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My biggest debt of gratitude is owed to my companion Muhsinah without whom this work would not have been possible, as she fueled my passion, believed in it, backed it up and egged me onto completing this translation. I dedicate this book to the memories of my late father and my late shaykh Muhammad ash-Shadhili an-Nayfar.

TRANSLATOR’S INTRODUCTION

Concerning the approach to a classical text of a Muslim savant, one can think of two possible modes. One approach is to read it as a reminder, soul-enriching and consoling to some extent, of the greatness of our past, exemplified by living examples of the din al-fitrah and of the correct form and meaning of the Homo Islamicus. Thereafter the book is placed on a shelf, perhaps dusted off one more time or lent to a similar reader, and its value (nevertheless present) thereby exhausted.

As for the second approach, I am mindful of Heidegger’s description of the fitting interaction with a work of art. As a work of art opens up vistas otherwise unknown, it must be entered into on its own terms for the discovery of Being to come to pass in that novel vista. Likewise we can enter into a work such as this, that opens up a vision of a manner of living, infused by Islam ‘ilmun wa ‘amalan, far removed from our present mortified condition. To read it thus is to walk inside that lush orchard, not with nostalgia or “dry” admiration, but so as to take on the human mould therein documented and absorb it into our being. By such reverential assimilation, the character of the exponents of such a practiced worldview penetrates into our consciousness and our daily acting out of the life transaction with Allah.

As al-Qurtubi himself indirectly states in the body of the translated text, the emulation of the leaders of the din is the very fundament of our way of life. Such emulation is a dhu, and it is a science, for one needs to know who he is taking
from, and here, from the author down to the individuals he draws from, the reader is in safe hands.

It is thus hoped that the bulk of its readers will traverse the second such path of approaching a work like this, as a manual of instruction-cum-action.

II

One of the great benefits of many a classical text is the format used by those penning their kind. This format, pellucidly reflected in this work, is the pearlescent construction of the book through a variety of quotations from the Qur'an, hadith literature, sayings of the great sages, anecdotes and stories, conceptual analyses embodied in concrete shapes, and the like thereof. Thus the reader is never overcome by ennui and he sees strewn before him an uninterrupted string of gems of guidance, from the divine to the human via the prophetic, across a river of multifarious generations.

In the ultimate analysis, in works such as this, the theme dealt with by the author is less important than what his dealing with it encompasses, or we could say, the pedagogical method of handling it. One could have exchanged for the subject of zuhd one of many other topics shedding light on the straight path, without having detracted from either the usefulness or the enjoyable nature of what is read. A thematic cornerstone of the din, such as zuhd, is like a ship sailing through the ocean of transformative Islamic knowledge. The voyaging through it, and the quality of the captain-scribe (al-Qurtubi in our case), are more central tools than the conveyance instrument utilized.

While there is undoubted scope for the translation of more or less monothematic works of manifest worth to the present and future ummah, it is our modest opinion that more emphasis should be placed (and will be placed by us in our future endeavors, insallah) on these types of treasures of assorted wisdom. The accent will thus be put as much as possible on many-sided texts, embroidered with living examples of our predecessors—we acutely need to drink from what they have said or done, in zones of existence impinging on our daily lives.

III

Another inspirational key of this translation has been our desire to bring

to the light of publication unearthed jewels of classical Islam, in particular from the region broadly known as the Islamic West (al-gharb al-Islāmī). There are a number of sound reasons for this preference of ours (shorn as it is of prejudicial exclusivity). One is the high qualitative level of such legacy. In this specific instance, the rank of al-Qurtubi, not just as the writer of one of the best commentaries of the Qur'an ever compiled, and the perfumed loftiness of the Andalusian heritage, are too obvious to the perceptive reader to spend further elucidatory words thereon.

A second motive is that, to a large extent, the textual milestones of the Islamic West, of crucial significance in an age of formidable expansion of the Muslims' polity throughout the western countries, have been largely ignored by translators, whether into the English language or other vernaculars. So much so that the available classical literature in English tends to concentrate more and more on a few selected names of authors monopolizing the eyes of prospective buyers scanning the shelves of ordinary or cyber bookstores.

Thirdly, the Islamic West, of all geopolitical realities, has been traditionally the only one where the people have uncompromisingly held to a pure version of Islam, and by that I mean the manhaj of Ahl al-Sunnah wa al-Jamā'ah, thereby increasing the reliability of their output for Muslims assailed by all kind of sectarian propaganda filling the stocks of published material.

IV

The full title of the Arabic text is Qam' al-hirsi bi al-zuhdi wa al-qanā'ah wa naddu dhull al-su'āli bi al-kutubī wa al-shafi'ah, i.e., The curbing of covetousness by doing-without and contentment, and repelling the abasement of asking by books and intercourse. A variant which has been cited is Qaṣr al-hir, with the meaning of the lowering or reduction of cupidity. The word nadd could be variously rendered as resisting, opposing, warding off, rejecting, or driving back or away. The correct spelling might be katbi, which would signify writing instead of books. One should not be oblivious of the fact that copyists of manuscripts dispersed with diacritical points, let alone vowelless of words.

Strictly speaking it is an opus of fiqh, which goes on to prove the aforementioned point of richly-layered, three-dimensional, non-academic works treating subject matters in a miscellany of different facets.
The entire work, in fact, is devoted to a single question and the unifying thread it moves through: What is permissible in terms of asking other people and what is not, and the explanation of the virtue of patient reticence restraining one from asking. He spreads out this question in 40 chapters altogether, talking within the folds of such interlaced beads about contentment and its subdivisions, and about zuhd and its states.

The section on zuhd is the third one of the overall book. It consists of 13 chapters and it is in the form of a self-contained unit, which can (and has been) published in Arabic on its own. The corresponding chapter numbers of the greater Arabic text are in parentheses following the chapter number in this work.

Should the translation of this segment prove successful, it would be our desire to bring forth a new edition embracing the translation of the whole text, possibly by attaching thereto as an appendix excerpts from other renowned classical works on zuhd that have been published in the Arab world, of which more later.

V

As the Sufi of the fiqhah, Ahmad al-Zarrûq has affirmed, Sufism has been defined in countless ways, such that we could practically trace to every great Sufi a different definition thereof peculiar to him, because their tastes thereof differed. That is so, because it is a living dimension and they experientially traveled in it. That is why, in the Hilyah, Abû Nu’aym “adorned” (the word hilyah meaning decorative adornment) each of the friends or members of the elite he gave a biographical account of with the definition of Sufism best personifying his tasting and expression of.

Likewise with the reality of zuhd, no definition can be exhaustive or even aims to be so. It has been defined, understood, interpreted, and enacted in many ways, depending on the visual angle it has been examined from.

All of the interpretive emphases quoted by the author are true, and each reader will naturally find his watering place among the semantic tributaries branching from its core linguistic signification.

The Madinans, for instance, from al-Zuhri to the Malikiyyah via Malik b. Anas and Sufyân b. ‘Uuyaynah, in their terse clarity and illuminated avoidance of any tightening encumbrance in Islam, pertinently stressed the equation of zuhd with the discarding of dubious superfluities. Others, such as ‘Abdallâh b. al-Mubârak, likewise appropriately, placed the beat on the action of the heart turning away from this World, i.e., not to be owned by anything as opposed to not own anything. In this connection, one notices a number of significant aspects:

a) The common sense extolling of the value of wealth when used rightly. Ibn Rushd the grandfather considered it more meritorious than poverty or even mere sufficiency, unless frittered away in obnoxious pursuits. The Andalusian Muslims, in general, frowned upon begging and lauded man’s efforts to earn a living;

b) The supreme instances of generosity of the sires mentioned by the author, with the companions in their vanguard, something to marvel at but even more so to restore in the present age. All of that was built on wealth-creation, and in this context one has to read the writer’s staunch defense of ‘Abd al-Rahmân b. ‘Awf & vis-à-vis the forged hadith, Shi’i-inclined, which despised wealth, misleadingly made it synonymous with absence of zuhd, and lent credence to the sinister legend that only the likes of Abû Dharr al-Ghîfârî & and the poor among the muhajirûn, had a valid title to an unbroken following of the Prophetic Sunnah. The mushrooming in the first eras of al-bâqiyyât al-gâhillât, to borrow a well-known Qur’ânic term, whether documented knowledge or awqâf or other species, was founded on wealth well-acquired and well-disposed of;

c) The median, balanced judgment of the true fiqhah, exemplified by the miżân of ‘Abdallâh b. Mas’ûd on the issue of eating the food of the host whose wealth is partially tainted by unmentionable gains, or the one of the judge Abû Bakr b. al-‘Arabî (a typical feature of the Madinans) when censuring the identification of any form of asking with disobedience to the Creator.

Yet a third group, insightfully, linked zuhd to the lessening of one’s worldly hope and from there to love of death, distinct as such from wishing death for oneself. Time has indeed proved that the Muslims’ attachment to the “flower of the dunyâ,” life and wealth first and foremost, but especially the latter, is the great fitnah of this nation and the major stumbling block to its revival. Linguistically, zuhd is the opposite of raghbah or desire, which is a species of will. What predominates now is a desire to possess, escorted by the abasement of the Muslims. What prevailed yesteryear was a lack of volition to possess, accompanied by an abundance of means that the great Muslims did not escape from and which did
not escape from them. Ibn Taymiyyah mentioned that lack of volition did not
denote lack of love for the thing possessed, zuhd meaning the disappearance of
volition and dislike for the thing in question at the same time. The use of means
is separate from their elevation to a goal in themselves. Thus we encounter in
Islam the expression: al-zuhd fi al-zuhd, to exercise zuhd about zuhd, to wit, that
the zāhid should belittle what he exercises zuhd in.

Zuhd, in other words, is not a void. The absence of stimulation of desire,
for dubious redundancies, for excessive hope, for perpetuity of worldly life, for
one’s self, implies the stimulation of desire for good. Thus the good flowed copiously and was circulated in a vortex for everyone’s benefit. Zuhd and generosity, as the anecdotal accounts of al-Qurubī establish beyond doubt, are inseparable
twins. Yabyā b. Mu’ādh relevantly said: “The distinguishing sign of zuhd is to
be generous with the existent.” And it was said to one of the great men: “What
did zuhd lead them to?” He replied: “To solacing friendliness with Allāh, not to
a void of renunciation pure and simple.”

One should also remark the exacting delineation of the scope of zuhd, that
is, the six areas where it operates. It is patent in such crucial demarcating
cases that, for instance, falls outside its scope, as the story of the self-misleading “worshippers” who visited the apartments of the wives of
the Prophet ﷺ illustrates. The locus of zuhd is not left to the self’s whims and
self-orientation. It is defined by Allāh and His Rasūl ﷺ and as such accords with
shariah parameters. As one of the erudite predecessors has said: They were only
deprieved arrival (al-umārā) by laying the roots (al-‘usāl) to waste. If the roots of
zuhd signify its praiseworthy in matters of attire but not in matters of marital
expansion, for example, tampering with such roots by their deracinating inver
tion and so forth, will preclude the would-be zāhid’s reaching the fruits of the
tree of zuhd.

The rest can be worked out analogically. Means of transport, for instance,
are not included in the catalogue of the mazhdū fihi, i.e., the thing one exercises zuhd in, since the abundant breeding of animals was recommended for
the purpose of equipping the Islamic military forces and strengthening the caliphate. Yet owing with one another in expensive cars has more to do, nowadays, with the image consciousness and luxury spending on attire than with fulfilling the erstwhile purpose of transportation. Again, one looks at the roots without being
deceived by the ostensible branches.

Let us string some pearls of indicia together: The luxuriant clothing, the nice
processed food, vehicles as image-projecting status symbols, the house to be ignored “because the man busy around it built it only so that it be looked at,”
as the dismissive al-Thawrī mentions in one of the anecdotal accounts in this
book. Then the zāhid Muslim moving in the opposite direction would have been saved from the incarceration of the whole consumerist cycle.

The fine balancing act is expressed in the most eloquent way in the following
verse:

Seek the abode of the akhira with what Allāh has given you, without forgetting your portion of the dunyā (28:77).

VI

The centrality of zuhd can be gauged not only by the fact that sections
consecrated thereto are found in all the major collections of hadith (be they the
Sahihayn, the works of Sunan, Aḥmad’s Musnad or the Musannafāt such as those
of ‘Abd al-Razzāq or Ibn Abī Shaybah), but also by the far-reaching literature
dedicated specifically to this topic.

1) The most essential works in this category are the following: the imam and
hāfiẓ, Shaykh al-‘ālim ‘Abd Allāh b. al-Mubārak (d. 181 AH) wrote al-Zuhd wa
al-naqāq, which has been published in Arabic;

2) The imam al-Mu‘āf b. ‘Imrān al-Mawṣūlī (d. 185 AH). The hāfiẓ al-Dhahabi
mentioned in Tadhkīr al-huffāẓ that al-Mu‘āf authored works on Prophetic
sunan and one on zuhd, al-dī‘ā;

3) The muḥaddith and hāfiẓ Muḥammad b. Fuḍayl b. Ghazwān al-Kūfī (d. 195
AH). The hāfiẓ al-Dhahabi said in Tadhkīr al-huffāẓ that he penned al-Zuhd, al-
Dī‘ā and other works;

4) The imam and muḥaddith Wāqī’ b. al-Jarrāḥ (d. 197 AH), one of Aḥmad b.
Hanbal’s shuyūkh, wrote a treatise on zuhd which was published in the 1980s for
the first time;

5) The imam and faqīḥ Ahmad b. Ḥanbal (d. 241 AH) likewise composed a
celebrated work on this subject, published in Arabic in various editions;

6) The hāfiẓ and zāhid Hannād b. al-Sāri (d. 243 AH), a disciple of the aforesaid
Wāqī’ b. al-Jarrāḥ and the Shaykh of al-Kūfā in his age, whose book on zuhd
has similarly emerged in published form in the 1980s;
7) Imam Abū Bakr ʿAbdallāh b. Muhammad, known as Ibn Abī al-Dunyā (d. 281 AH). His work on zuhd exists in manuscript form in the library of Aḥmad al-Thālīth, in 126 pages, under reference number 591;
8) The ḥaḍīz and ʿālim İbrahim b. al-Junayd (d. ca. 260 AH), to whom al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī attributed books on the subjects of zuhd and heart-soothing matters (al-raqāʾiq), as reported by the ḥaḍīz al-Dhahabi in Tadhkiraṭ al-ḥuffāẓ;
9) The ʿallāmah and qādi Abū Aḥmad Muḥammad b. Aḥmad al-ʿAssāl al-Āshbahānī (d. 249 AH), among whose works the ḥaḍīz al-Dhahabi enumerates Kitāb al-raqāʾiq in Tadhkiraṭ al-ḥuffāẓ;
10) Aḥmad b. ʿAmr b. Abī ʿĀṣim al-Shaybānī (d. 287 AH). His Kitāb al-zuḥd, on Sufism and zuhd, was published by Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyyah in Beirut in 1988;

There are of course other such notable works.

Al-Qurṭubī, who postdates all the abovementioned luminaries, was aware of such rich heritage, whence his prefatory words (at the commencement of the entire work, meaning the first third thereof): “I aimed at gathering a book expanding on the meaning of the works of my predecessors thereto, and building further on the foundations contained therein.”

He has indeed achieved his stated objective, which attainment adds further justification to our decision to translate this “improving completion on his antecedents’ building blocks.”

But over and above the centrality signaled by such literary output, the essentiality of zuhd for the Muslims is perfectly captured by the author’s quotation of Ibn Masʿūd’s qualitative comparison between the generation of the companions and the following, more seemingly “pious” generation, and his connection thereof to the increasing curve of Muslims’ attachment to this World.

Translator’s Introduction

VII

In our translation, we have sought to strike an equilibrium between faithfulness to the text, the preservation of the flavor of classical Arabic and the characteristic expressive style associated with it, and an intelligible rendering thereof in fluent modern English.

We further deemed it fit to include as many biographical references as possible, since knowledge of the bygone masters we take from is itself most desired for our din in our present epoch.

We aimed at clarifying possible ambiguities in the text, indicating those passages where the Arabic manuscript presents lacunae, and referring the reader to further sources for self-illumination.

It was not our intention to run commentaries on the Qur’ānic verses or Prophetic ḥadīth quoted in the text, for that would have rendered this agile manual cumbersome and run counter to the inspiring idea behind the author’s treatment of his subject. We nevertheless endeavored to trace all textual authorities to their original loci, so that the committed reader could further his engagement with the topic by visiting such mapped out sites and their commentaries.

If this translator’s time and energies have met with some reward, and Allāh is the grantor of thawāb, it is purely by His Generous Bounty.

Any error or imprecision is to be ascribed to my human defectiveness. Readers are kindly encouraged to point them out and put forward any constructive suggestions for improvement on this, wa mā tasfiqiyā illā billāh.

Abū Sālīf Ahmad ʿĀli al-ʿAdanī
Johannesburg, 30 September 2006
BIOGRAPHY OF IMAM AL-QURȚUBĪ

He is Muḥammad b. Āḥmad b. Abū Bakr b. Farḥ al-Anṣārī al-Khizarjī al-Andalusī, Abū ‘Abdallāh al-Qurṭubī. Abū ‘Abdallāh was his kunyā or patronymic name. Al-Anṣārī is a noun of ascription showing that his lineage was traceable back to the Madīnān Anṣār, and al-Khizarjī that it specifically connected him genealogically to the Khazrajī, one of the two main tribes of al-Madīnāh together with the Aws, though to be more precise the reference is to the Khazārījī who settled in al-Andalus.

The Andalusian and the one from Cordova, his city in Islamic Spain, are self-evidently intelligible nouns of further ascription.

BIRTH, FAMILY, AND EARLY GROWTH

Oddly, though we know the precise day of his departure from this World, all his biographers have failed to attribute him to even a specific year for his birth, let alone a particular day or day of the week.

That, together with the general paucity of biographical details about his person, over the centuries, has caused modern scholars to ask questions about his family milieu, especially whether he came from a home of fame and material blessing or one of poverty and obscure indigence, and whether he grew up safely by his parents’ side or as an orphan tutored and monitored by some relative of his.

The key to unravelling the riddle is not found externally in some biographical gloss, but inside the author’s own opus. When commenting, in fact on Sūrah
Al 'Imrân (169–170), where Allâh describes the state of the shuhada', alive and provided by their Lord to their satisfaction, he mentioned in his tafsîr, in his treatment of the fifth mas'ûlah derived from such pair of Qur'ânic signs, that the enemy attacked Cordova in the early hours of the morning, when the victims were neglectful of their ambushing presence near their stone basins, and killed some of them, his own father included, while taking others captive. The author wanted to know whether his father's rank was that of a shahîd killed in battle or whether the ruling pertaining to him was that of the rest of the deceased believers. He sought clarification from his shaykh and teacher Abû Ja‘far Abîmâd, who advised him to perform the ritual bath on him and pray over him because he had not been killed among the rows of fighters in the battlefield. His other shaykh Rabi‘î b. ‘Abd al-Rahmân b. Abîmâd b. Rabi‘î supplied him with the opposite answer to the very same question. He then proceeded to pose it for the third time to qâdî al-jama‘ah (a high judicial post), Abû al-Hasan ‘Ali, while he was surrounded by a group of jurisprudents, and he reverted him to the first view (only adding thereto that he should place him in a shroud before praying over his corpse). Al-Qurtûbî acted by his judgment. Thereafter, when his knowledge was more advanced, he came across the verification of the correct position in this mas'ûlah in Abû al-Hasan al-Lakhtmî's al-Tâbi‘îrah and other extolled works, so he commented: Had I known it beforehand, I would not have performed the ghusl on his dead body, but I would have buried him with all the bloodstains on the clothes he was wearing at the time of his death.

He was thus raised by his father, in whose custody he remained until the latter's death as a shahîd according to the correct juristic view. His father worked in the field of agriculture, and was directly overseeing the harvesting of one species of farming produce when he met his death at the hands of the assailing Christians in Cordova, in the year 627 AH, during the early morning of the third day of Ramâdân.

Cordova was at that stage under the leadership of Muhammad b. Yusûf b. Hûd (d. 635 AH), who had succeeded in removing the yoke of the Muwahhidûn and summoned obedience to himself which spread in a number of Andalusian fortresses including Murcia and Badajoz. For that reason, the Christians spotted a danger in his rule and carried out a number of ruthless raids against his possessions, razing villages and spoiling cultivated tills in the process. The assault which resulted in the death of the author's father might have been engineered by the troops of Ferdinand III, the then King of Castilla, after the preparatory work carried out by his father Alfonso IX.

Ambiguity still permeates the date of birth of al-Qurtûbî, but from the said biographical reference in his tafsîr one might safely assume that he was born during the rule of the Muwahhidûn, probably in the course of the caliphate of Ya‘qûb b. Yusûf b. ‘Abd al-Mu‘min, between 580 and 595 AH, and Allâh knows best.

**HIS LEARNING**

At a young age enabling him to receive the first rudiments of knowledge, he studied Arabic and poetry side-by-side with learning the Qur’ân. That was in conformity with the pedagogical model followed by the Andalusians in contrast with the Muslim educationists of all the other Islamic regions. The great judge of Sevilla Abû Bakr b. al-‘Arabî criticized it, since in his view the youth should be taught only language and poetry at first before moving to the study of the Qur’ân, which would become easier once approached from such solid initial foundation. Ibn Khaldûn at first praised Abû Bakr b. al-‘Arabî’s approach, but then he retraced his steps and clarified that, if a younger accosted the learning of the Qur’ân at a somewhat advanced age, a barrier might interpose itself between him and reception of knowledge of the Book, or else worldly pursuits might engross him away from studies, and in that manner learning the Qur’ân would have eluded him.

His furtherance of learning, with the ferocious resolution and unflinching perseverance so characteristic of the Andalusians, took him from one reputable circle of knowledge in Cordova to another, up to the point when he left his city having already accumulated a wealth of ‘ilm within his cognitive compass. Study circles were widespread in al-Andalus during his age, and Islamic learning rotated around mosques in conformity with the classical custom modern nation-states have wreaked havoc against.
TEACHERS IN AL-ANDALUS

In Cordova, one can again cite the ones he asked a fatwa from on the issue of his father's burial:

1) Ahmad b. Muhammad b. al-Qays, Abū Ja'far, known as Ibn Abī Hijah, eulogized by the historian Ibn al-Abbār as an 'ālim in Arabic and Qur'anic sciences. He wrote a number of works, including an abridgment of the Sahihayn. When Cordova fell into Christian hands in the year 633 AH, he moved first to Sevilla and then to Mallorca. The Christians however took him prisoner and subjected him to painful physical punishment, and he passed away shortly thereafter in Mallorca, in the year 643 AH. Many were the teachers he graduated under, including Abū al-Qāsim Khalaf b. Bashkuwāl (d. 578 AH), the prolific author of many books in manifold fields, and the Cordovan Ibn Maḍā‘ Abūnāy. Abūnāy.

2) Rabī'. Abū al-Rahmān b. Ahmad b. ‘Abd al-Rahmān b. Rabī’ al-Ash‘arī, Abū Sulaymān, also from the inhabitants of Cordova and their judge. A righteous and fair man in his judgments, of eminent social rank heading from a noble family, was how Ibn al-Abbār synthetically traced the contours of his virtue. His specialization was in hadith. He also left his hometown following its conquest by the Christians on Sunday, 23 Shawwāl of 633 AH, setting out for Sevilla where he met his death very shortly after his departure for it. One of his own šuyūkhs was Abū Muḥammad Ḥawwāllah (Abdallāh b. Sulaymān b. Dāwud b. Umar al-Anšārī, d. 612 AH in Granada), a master of many disciplines who acted by his knowledge and sternly avoided the people of innovation and whims. His corpse was transferred to Malaga where he is buried;

3) His full brother Yahyā. Abū al-Rahmān b. Ahmad b. ‘Abd al-Rahmān b. Rabī’ al-Ash‘arī al-Qurtubi (b. 553 AH), a judge in Cordova before its fall and later in Granada, who died in Malaga after being affected by hemiplegia in the year 639 or 640 AH. He was extremely humble, a precise researcher, a gentle dia-

TRIP TO EGYPT

His knowledge was already firmly rooted and branching out in multifarious directions when he travelled to Egypt. His age at that stage has been left unspecified in his biographies. We know from his taṣāfīr that the enemy once passed by him seated in an open space two times without noticing his presence, protected by Allāh with a ḥijāb mastār, “an obscuring veil,” as He similarly concealed the Prophet ﷺ from the approaching wife of Abū Lahab, al-‘Awrā‘ Umm Jamil bin Ḥarb, while sitting with Abū Bakr in the mosque. [See Sūrah al-Isrā’, 17:45.] After that recorded experience of miraculous divine assistance, he safely returned to Cordova, which he left with the bulk of its grieving inhabitants during the month of Shawwāl of 633 AH. We ignore whether he directed himself at once to Egypt or whether he spent a period in another fortified city of Islamic Spain such as Valencia or Seville, until it, too, was conquered by the enemy (the latter in 642 AH). What we are told by the surviving accounts is that he first resided in Alexandria, a typical stopover for the exiled Andalusians migrating by land or by sea, prior to 648 AH (the year of Ibn al-Rawwāj’s death), before settling down definitively in Upper Egypt.

In Alexandria he studied under the imām and muḥaddith Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Wahhāb b. Rawwāj (b. 554 AH). He also got in contact with the šuyūkhs attached to the schools of Abū Bakr al-Ṭurtuṣhi (from Tortosa in al-Andalus), Ibn ‘Awf and the ḥāfiz al-Salafi. Al-Ṭurtuṣhi, who wrote core works, some of which have been published, such as his political treatise Sirāj al-mulūk and al-Hawādith wa al-bida‘, was one of the topmost Mālikī fiqāḥī in his age, an acting-‘ālim and scrupulous zāhid of marked humility and contentment with little. He arrived in Alexandria when the city was in the grip of fear and the Islamic landmarks fundamentally paralyzed, given that the majority of the sages, belonging to the Madinan madhhab, were
under the oppression of the official methodology of the ruling Fatimids. The fearless al-Turtushi, however, openly started to teach and spread the knowledge of the Madinans despite the tight grip of Shi'i domination. One of his students was the other zāhid al-Tāhir b. 'Āwfi (d. 581 AH), the first teacher of the earliest madrasah in Alexandria, titled after him al-Madrassat al-‘Awfiyyah, where Islamic sciences were studied. Another student of his was the hājjī al-Salafi, Abū al-Tāhir Aḥmad b. Muhammad al-Asbaḥānī al-Shāhi (d. 576 AH), originally from Isfahan, and resident in Alexandria since 511 AH. He was one of the imams of hadith, fiqh, and Arabic.

It is in this milieu, revived by the breeze of life al-Turtushi and his disciples injected into the stagnancy al-Turtushi had found upon his arrival, that most of al-Qurtubi's Alexandrine shaykhīkh emerged and developed. Apart from the aforementioned imam in hadith and fiqh, Ibn al-Rawwāj (whose name was Zāfir b. 'Ali b. Fattūh al-Azdī al-Iskandarānī al-Mālikī), the shaykh, imam, and muhaddith rightly-guided in the din, and the musnad of Alexandria, as al-Dhahabi praised him, who had come out of al-Salafi's school and received oral transmission of knowledge from Ibn 'Āwfi, we encounter:

1) The ʿallāmah Ibn al-Jumayzī, Bahā' al-Dīn Abū al-Hasan ʿAlī b. Hikātālāh al-Lakhmī al-Miṣrī al-Shāfiʿī, a much-traveled ʿālim who heard al-Bukhārī's Sahīth from Ibn ʿAskīr in Damascus, inter alia. He also studied under al-Salafi and Ibn ʿAwfi. Al-Dhahabi lauded his status of shaykh in hadith. He was the khatib of the Jāmiʿ mosque in Cairo, as related by al-Subkī, and the head of the ʿulamāʿ in that city, where he taught and issued fatwas for a period. He was very majestic. His own teacher Ibn Abī ʿAsrūn placed over his head and shoulders the shawl-like garb known as the taylasān as an ennobling mark distinguishing him from his fellow students and contemporaries. He knew tafsīr as well, and undoubtedly our author studied that science, where he was created to excel, with him, as well as, quite likely, the Shāfiʿī fiqh. Al-Qurtubi was a sober follower of Mālik, who had no qualms in disagreeing with his imam, as in the mas'ūlah of the ablation-performer's involuntary swallowing of a little water while rinsing one's mouth during fasting, if the stronger shari'ah proof so demanded;

2) One of the leading imams, not a direct student of al-Salafi and Ibn ʿAwfi, though he breathed the cultural air of their school, Abū ʿAbbās Aḥmad b. Umar b. Ihbāḥī al-Mālikī al-Qurtubi (578–636 AH), born and bred in Cordova, where he already achieved proficiency in Islamic sciences before emigrating to Alexandria. There, too, he attained widespread fame (embracing both the East and the West), first and foremost in fiqh and Arabic. His most famous work is his commentary on Muslim's Saḥīḥ, al-Mughfīm fi sharh Sabīḥi Muslim, which is like the dough on which al-Māzūrī, al-Qāḍī ʿīyād, al-Nawawī (most extensively of them all) and al-Ubābī levered their subsequent commentaries thereon building relatively on it. Our author heard from him parts of the said work;

3) Al-Ḥasan b. Muhammad al-Bakrī (574–636 AH), al-Taymilī al-Naysābūrī then al-Dimashqī, Abū ʿAlī Saʿd b. al-Dīn, another extensive traveller (nicknamed al-Rahḥāl by the historians), born in Damascus, and an imam, muhaddith, and historian of fine features and an eloquent tongue, though, for a period, he was not shorn of weaknesses such as excessive and exaggerated claims, passing over the explanation of difficult words, mixing things up, and a penchant for impudent jocularity and a prankster's jesting. He also remained semi-paralyzed in the latter part of his life. In any event, our author studied under him when both his character and his knowledge were integral and free from such transient deterioration, probably in Alexandria, as was also the more likely case in respect of Ibn al-Rawwāj. At the same time, his reception of knowledge from Ibn al-Jumayzī and al-Bakrī (who rested from his many travels and resided at the end of his days therein) took place in Cairo according to the more preponderant view, during the time span preceding the author's settlement in Upper Egypt.

In addition to all the people already mentioned above, the author transmitted hadith from Abū al-Hasan ʿAlī b. Muhammad b. ʿAlī b. Ḥāfṣ al-Yahsubī.

DEATH

Al-Qurtubi finally put roots in the city of Upper Egypt, north of Asyūt and east of the Nile, then called Munyah Bani Khaṣṣīb (taking its name from an individual, al-Khaṣṣīb or Ibn al-Khaṣṣīb), where he died in the night of Monday, 9 Shawwāl 671 AH, the equivalent of 29 April 1273 AD, and where he is buried [It is the present-day al-Munyā]. No indication of the reason for his final residential choice has been handed down to us by either his biographers or by himself. His grave is visited to draw blessings from. In 1971, a large mosque named after him was built in an area known as "Arḍ Sūltān" bi al-Munyā. It includes a mausoleum where his remnants have been transferred from his erstwhile grave.
HIS CHARACTER

All his biographers concur with al-Dāwūdī's summation of his character, after mentioning that the travelling 'ulamā' moved around with his tafsīr within their reach: "He was one of the righteous slaves of Allah, knowledgeable savants, and practitioners of zuhd in this World, engrossed by the matters of the Hereafter, his time enlivened by effort, 4 ibādah, and writing (…). He discarded affection and walked in a single garment, a white cotton skullcap on his head."

The zuhd of al-Qurtubi did not consist in self-deprivation of good things, but in not making the pursuit of nice provisions and pleasures a goal of his life, his ultimate focus in the Hereafter. He was the sovereignty of this World over his existential domain. In his tafsīr, he attacked the distorted understanding of zuhd held by some Muslims, and asserted that the beautification of oneself by wearing nice clothes when meeting people in no way negated the practice of zuhd, the choice of patched garments being that of the persons affecting zuhd. In Nafh al-mīth, indeed, the Andalusian al-Maqhari, from his exile in Greater Syria, stressed that the Andalusians, of all nations, were the most concerned with the cleanliness of their clothing, so much so that one of them might be without daily food and retreat at night fasting and with an empty stomach, but he would not fail nonetheless to buy soap to wash his dress and make it look sparkling clean when moving around outdoors. The author's lack of affection must be viewed in this context excluding any filthy appearance or shabby dressing. As Ayyūb al-Sakhtiyānī stated, zuhd in what Allah has decreed to be halāl is but the third, last, and lowest form of zuhd.

Al-Qurtubi, a devout worshipper, was extremely scrupulous in matters of the din, but that did not prevent him from advocating the permissibility of the monetary grants of monarchs and emirs, as laid out fully in the thirteenth and conclusive chapter of this work.

Here we have a typical paradigm of the middle-of-the-road, well-poised savant from the Islamic West.

HIS 'AQIDA

As it is amply demonstrated by his tafsīr, al-Qurtubi, echoing in that the majority of the 'ulamā' of al-Andalus, was a follower of the 'aqīdah of Ahl al-Sunnah in its Ash'arite form, which he defended against the attacks especially of the Mu'tazilah, given the popularity of the Mu'tazilite creed among numerous Andalusian scholars.

HIS INTELLECTUAL LEGACY

A versatile, alert, and sagacious 'allamah and reliable hafiz who had plunged himself in the sea of knowledge, as reflected in his books evincing abundant reading, deep understanding and erudition, his imamate and his far-reaching merit, was how al-Dhahabi described him, though his terse acknowledgment of his virtue and achievements does not render full justice to them. A knowledgeable imam who dived into the meanings of ahādīth, wrote elegantly and had the gift of correct transmission, was Ibn al-'Imād's description of our man.

Al-Qurtubi had many students, but they are covered in obscurity. He gave an ijtīḥād to his own son Shihāb al-Dīn Abī al-'Abbās Ahmad. He might be Ibn Farh al-Isbīlī, due to the undermentioned, having grown up in that city since the age of eight after the fall of Cordova. Ibn Farh al-Isbīlī fell captive to the Christians besieging Sevilla, and was later rescued from their hands by Allah. He was thus empowered to relocate to Egypt, where he was with the prominent shaykh of the age. But, before he took up residence in Damascus, studying in the Umawī Mosque therein and excelling in the Prophetic hadith. The shaykhdom of hadith studies at Dār al-Ḥadith al-Nāṣiriyyah was offered to him, but he declined the post. He passed away on 9 Jumāda al-Ākhirah, 699 AH.

Fortunately for us, more is known, and in a number of cases firsthand, about the works he authored, themselves attesting on their own to the conceded breadth of his learning:

1) His noble tafsir, one of the greatest, most comprehensive, renowned, consulted, valued and valuable ever, al-jāmi'al-ṣahābām al-Qurʿān wa al-mubayyin li mā taddamanah min al-Sunnah wa ʿayi al-fuqahā', known simply as the Tafsīr of al-Qurtubi, published in countless editions, scholarly and otherwise. A treasure trove not just for the interpretation of verses of legal judgments, for which it is justly celebrated, but for all aspects of Qur'anic knowledge sciences. Probably commenced in al-Andalus, albeit not in Cordova, it was completed during his stay in Upper Egypt;

2) An encyclopaedic compendium on the otherworldly states of the barzakh and the Hereafter, presently the most known such work in the field, whether
classical or modern, loved and respected by all, al-Tadhkirah fi ḥuwāl al-mawtā wa umūr al-ākhīrah, likewise published in many glossy and well-annotated editions;

3) Al-Tadhkīr fī ṣafāl al-adhkar, a lovely work on Allāh’s Book, similar in style to al-Nawawī’s al-Tibyān but more complete and filled with knowledge than it (as remarked by al-Ḍawūdī), dealing with a wide array of issues such as the etiquette of handling the Book, matters of ṣaḥīḥah related to it, dimensions of Qur’ānic sciences, and virtues of the Qur’ān and of single sūrah thereof. It has been published in Arabic, and is eminently suitable for a translation into English;

4) Al-Ḥālm bi mā fī ādīn al-naṣṣarī min al-maqāsidī wa al-aḥām, wa izhār maḥāsīn ādīn al-Islām, a precious book, similarly published in Arabic, where he refutes the delusions and exposes the corruption of the Christian religion, and underpins by comparison the virtuous merits of Islam;

5) Qam’ al-hirsi bi al-zuḥdi wa al-qanā’ah wa raddu dhull al-su’āli bi al-kutubi wa al-shaḍ’ah, which is the book this translation is devoted to as far as its third section is concerned. The full text, too, has appeared in Arabic. Ibn Faruqīn mentioned that he had read no better book on the topic than the subject matter of our translation, and that is something, given the said lofty company of authors and authored works preceding him in this balsamic field;

6) Faḍa’il al-Qur’ān wa ẓādāb al-tilawah, a work ascribed to the author and published in Egypt by al-Maktab al-Thaqāfī, edited by Aḥmad Ḥiḍāt al-Saqā, which overlaps in many chapters with number 3 hereabove, and fundamentally deals, in a shorter form, with the same range of subject matters;

7) Al-Asnāfī sharh asmā’ Allāh al-ḥusnā, one of the finest classical works on the bountiful divine names;

8) Al-Taqrīb li ṭiḥāb al-tanbih, also referred to by most of his biographers as Sharḥ al-taqṣīṣ, a gloss on Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr’s famous commentary of al-Muwatta’ endeavoring to ease the comprehension thereof. It is in two huge volumes, in manuscript form, in the library of the Qarawiyīn Mosque in Fez;

9) Risālah fi al-qabī al-hadīth. In manuscript form (in Algiers);

10) Al-Miṣḥāb fī al-jānī biyan al-afāli wa al-saḥḥāh, a linguistic work gathering al-Jawhari’s al-saḥḥāh and Ibn al-Qāṭṭā’s al-Afāl, in manuscript form (in Berlin);
THE SECRETS OF ASCETICISM

BEING THE THIRD PART OF

Qam' al-Ḥirṣi Bi al-Zuhdī Wa al-Qanā'ah

IMAM AL-QURṬUBĪ
Allâh has said We made everything on the earth adornment for it so that we could test them to see whose actions are the best (Qur'ân, 18:7). Sufyân al-Thawrî has stated, in relation to the words “whose actions are the best,” that they mean: [To see] who exercises the greatest zuhd in relation to it, i.e., the adornment. Likewise, Abû ‘Asâm al-‘Aṣqâlânî commented about the same phrase: Those who forgo it the most. The word zuhd, in the speech of the Arabs, signifies the following: Turning away from wealth and fame.

Al-Jawhari and other linguists have asserted that zuhd is the opposite of desire. One says in Arabic: Zahâda fi al-shay' or ‘an al-shay’, yâzhadu zuhdan wa zuhadatàn. Zahâda yâzha du is another morphological variant. As for so-and-so tâzâhâda, it means ta‘âbbada, i.e., withdraw into devotional worship. Al-tâzâhâda fi al-shay' or ‘an al-shay’ is the contrary of the stimulation of desire. And the word al-muzhîd means a man of scarce wealth.

In the prophetic hadîth it has been reported that: “The best of people is the believer of little wealth (mu‘min muzhîd).”

The connotation of the word al-zâhid is (the) “little”. One says in Arabic: Fulân zâhid al-âkîl, i.e., “So-and-so eats little”; and Wâdîn zâhid means, “A valley that takes in little water.” It is also said by the Arabs: Khudh zâhîda mā yakífâk, that is, “Take the measured amount that suffices you”; as well as, Fulân yâzhadu ‘atâ’a fulân, “So-and-so esteems the gift of so-and-so as being little.”

The Prophet was of all people the one with the highest level of zuhd, and the one who was the most self-sufficient, i.e., in want of the least amount of
external material things. It suffices, on the issue of his zuhd, to relate what has been reported by al-Tirmidhī, Ibn Mājah, and others, on the authority of Abū Umāmah," that he said: “My Lord offered me the land of Makkah in its gold and silver equivalent. I said: ‘No, Lord, rather I satiate myself with food one day and stay hungry another day, so that, when I am hungry I turn to You beseechingly and remember You, and when I eat to my full satisfaction I praise and thank You.’” Al-Tirmidhī said it was a good (hasan) hadith.

Zuhd was the state of Abū Bakr, ‘Umar, ‘Ali, Abū Dharr [al-Ghifārī], ‘Uthmān, Abū al-Dardā’, Tamīm al-Dārī and their likes. How many indeed were those who practised zuhd among the companions! ‘Abd al-Rahmān b. ‘Awf and al-Zubayr were both people of zuhd, and no heed is paid to the transmission of the one who narrated that ‘Abd al-Rahmān b. ‘Awf would enter jamah crawling, no one having previously narrated it. As for al-Zubayr, he had no equal. Allāh willing, examples of the states of those who practised zuhd in this world, and the modalities of their zuhd, will be mentioned hereafter.¹⁴

**VARIANT ALLUSIONS TO ZUHD AMONG THE SAGES**

Mālik b. Anas said that zuhd was taqwā. Our scholars³⁵ stated that he intended guarding oneself from dubious things, since man has ample room to maneuver in neutrally permissible things.¹⁶

Al-Musayyib b. Wādīh⁷ asserted that Ibn ‘Uyaynah was asked about zuhd, whereupon he replied: “To do without what Allāh has proscribed. As for what He has declared lawful, He has permitted it. Thus, the prophets ate, drank, and married.”

Al-Zuhri said that zuhd was not attained by ascetically mortifying the flesh, but rather by exercising self-restraint [and staying] away from the dubious things. It was further reported from him that he was questioned as to what zuhd in this life was, and he responded as follows: “Not to let the forbidden overcome your patient self-restraint, or the permitted your thankfulness.”

Sufyān al-Thawrī and those who took from him said: “Reducing and curbing hope. It does not lie in eating coarse food or wearing a woollen wrap.” That is a fine statement, since the one whose hope is lessened and held back turns away from this World and devotes his full energy to worshipping the Master.¹⁹

Ibn Zurārah b. Awf,²⁰ after the death of Sufyān al-Thawrī, said to him: “May Allāh show mercy on you. What were you told?” He turned away from me [Ibn Zurārah b. Awf], and then I said:²¹ “What did Allāh do with you?” He was receptive to me on hearing the question put to him that way, and he answered: “Allāh has bestowed favor on me by His generosity and kindness.” I asked him: “Which action in your knowledge best helps one attain the goal?” “Contentment,” he replied, and a limited hope.

Some people, on the other hand, maintained that zuhd meant to loathe encomium and the love of praise.²²

I said: This is an allusive reference by him to the fact that to forgo the whole of this World is the most loved form of forgoing it.²³

It has further been related from him that he declared: “Zuhd is to do without meeting people.”¹

I said: “And this is an allusion by him to seclusion and (concealed) devotional worship, as well as finding friendly solace in loneliness.”

Abū Sulaymān al-Khaṭṭābī has indeed excelled when he said:

I found soliciting friendliness in my loneliness, and clang to my house
That way amiable friendliness endured, and happiness increased
Time has disciplined me, and I don’t mind
I fled, so I’m neither visited nor do I visit
I will not ask anyone for favors so long as I’m alive
whether the sitting companion departs or the governor travels away.

And Maṣūr the jurist said excellently as well:

Good gathers better in silence and in clinging to one’s houses
So if this or that is equal for you, then be contented with the least food.

In the same vein are the words of the judge Abū Bakr b. al-‘Arábi:

A Muslim attains untainted safety
when he repairs to a dwelling and some basic nourishment
What then is slowed down and deferred
after he takes shelter in a house and a minimum modicum of food?

Poems on this abound. Abū Muṭṭi’ Makhūl b. al-Fadl al-Nasafi put it well when he said:

Trace a path, O self, so that I aim at an Absolute One,
Everlasting Sustainer of All, Sustaining Himself on none
And leave me alone, lest I seek anyone other than my Lord
He is my full sufficiency and my friendly companion, so forgo people
In none apart from Him will you ever find any refuge.
Ja'far b. Sulaymān stated the following: I asked a woman about the devout worshippers, and said to her: "Who is with you in your house?" She replied: "The One I confide intimately with is my company. Can I experience loneliness once He is my intimate friend, 'Abdallāh?"

Al-Fudayl said: "Whenever I see the night approaching I rejoice in it, and say to myself that I will withdraw in the company of my Lord. Conversely, whenever I see that daylight has dawned upon me, I say innā lillāhi wa innā ilāhi nāṣī`in as if a calamity has struck me, out of dislike of meeting people, and the thought that someone who will engross me away from My Lord, Mighty and Exalted is He, will appear."

It has also been said: "No one exercises zuhd until and unless renouncing the World is dearer to him than appropriating it." That was said by Ibrāhīm b. Adham. I say: Such a saying concurs with the linguistic signification of zuhd in accordance with what has been stated hereabove. One man said to al-Ḥasan: "Our jurists say," [and before he could complete the sentence] al-Ḥasan remarked: "And have you seen any jurist (faqīh)? The faqīh is the one who practices zuhd in his worldly life, who possesses insightful knowledge of his dīn, and who persistently worships his Lord." Some said: "Zuhd is to do without in this world with one's heart." That was stated by Ibn al-Mubāraq. I say: "This is a very good statement, since it is the same whether this world yields itself to his hand or not, as zuhd is one of the actions of the heart."

Likewise with the companions: This world fell into their hands, while they turned away from it with their hearts, in accordance with what shall be explained hereunder:

One group affirmed: Zuhd is love of death. I say: "This statement encompasses the semantic range of all the sayings quoted about it, as love of death implies love of meeting the Master as well as turning away from this World, so it represents the summit of all such sayings."

The Prophet ﷺ explained zuhd with a clarification dispensing with any other statement on it. Ibn Mājah reported in his Sunan, and al-Tirmidhī in his Jāmi', on the authority of Abū Dharr al-Ghifārī ﷺ that he narrated: The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said:

Zuhd in this World is not by forbidding to oneself the lawful or in dissipating wealth away. Rather, zuhd in this World is not to feel more confident in what is in your hand compared to what is in Allāh’s hand and to desire the reward of calamity when you are afflicted by it more than you would do if it were to be held back for your sake and deferred."

Ibn Mājah said: Hishām b. 'Ammār stated the following: "Abū Idrīs al-Khwālānī used to mention that this hadith was, among the ahādith, the equivalent of pure gold from within the family of golden products." Al-Tirmidhī said about it: "A strange (gharīb) hadith." The name of Abū Idrīs al-Khwālānī was 'A'idhullāh b. 'Abdallāh. As for 'Amr b. Wāqīq (found in the transmission chain of the said hadith), he was one whose narrations were disowned.

I say: In this hadith, he allusively indicated two vast dimensions: 1) reliance (on Allāh). Reference to it has already occurred here above; and 2) contentment, which falls into two types:

First is general contentment. It is to find no Lord but Allāh, no dīn but Islam, and no Messenger but Muḥammad. The zuhd of (general) contentment is something no Muslim is devoid of, since no profession of the dīn of Islam is true without it. That is the import of his statement: "[Only] he who is pleased with Allāh as Lord, with Islam as dīn, and with Muḥammad as Messenger, is the one who tastes the flavor of innā."

Second is specific contentment. It is the one spoken about by the masters of hearts. The best expression of it came in the statement of al-Thawrī: "It is the hearts' happiness on the unfolding of the Decree." This second category is what the Prophet ﷺ alluded to in this hadith [from Abū Dharr], and Allāh knows best. This [narrower, more specific] variety is the ultimate goal of contentment: The slave manifests tolerant endurance of suffering at the inception of hardship; he is pleased with Allāh's reward as a quid pro quo for what He took away from him; and he resents nothing, hence does not reject the Decree. Shaqīq said: "I purchased a watermelon for my mother, who got angry when she cut it open. I said: 'Mother, what are you angry about? Do you reject the Decree? Or do you blame the ploughman or its seller, or perhaps you blame its Creator? As for the ploughman and the vendor, by Allāh, no sin can be attached to either of them, since they only wished it to be a watermelon of the best quality. In truth, I see you blaming none but its Creator, so fear Allāh and do not blame..."
Him.” Shaqīq went on to state: “By Allāh, my mother never heard from me a more beneficial talk than that.” Al-Zubayr b. Bakkār said: “Ali b. Muhammad b. ‘Abdallāh related to me the following: Ghaylān wrote to one of his brothers who had been stricken by a calamity that befell his son: ‘Allāh granted you the gift of your son, and imposed on you the duty of educating him and providing for him, while you feared the testing of your faith by means of your son, whereupon your happiness was strengthened by such gift. When Allāh retracted the gift of his life, removed from you the task of disciplining him, and you thereby became safe from his trial, your fretful despondency grew stronger. [If only you exercised patience vis-à-vis such testing affliction], you would have delighted in the loss you consoled yourself about. When this writing of mine reaches you, bear patiently the matter with the reward for which you cannot dispense, and the punishment of which you cannot endure, and know that every calamity that causes you to rejoice with the reward does not banish the grief it encompasses, that is the everlasting grief. Peace.”

CHAPTER 2 (29)

SOVEREIGNTY OVER THIS WORLD AND EXERCISING ZUHÐ THEREIN

There are three things: curbing hope; mentioning death; and visiting the graves. Al-Bukhārī reported from (‘Abdallāh) Ibn ‘Umar that he said: The Messenger of Allāh ﷺ took me by my shoulder and said: “Be in the dunyā as if you were a stranger or a traveller crossing it.”60 Ibn ‘Umar used to say: “If you enter the evening, do not wait for the morning, and if you enter the morning, do not wait for the evening. Moreover, take from your time of wealth what benefits your time of sickness, and from your life what avails you in death.” It has been narrated from ‘Abdallāh b. ‘Umar that he said: The Messenger of Allāh ﷺ passed by us while we were attending to a hut of ours. He ﷺ said: “What is this?” We replied: “Its foundation has become weak, so we are busy putting it right.” He thus commented: “I do not see the matter (of death) but that it is more urgent than that.”61 Al-Tirmidhī said: Hadīth hasan sahīh.62

Ibn Mājah reported on the authority of Abū Ayyūb that he said: A man came to the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ and said: “O Messenger of Allāh, teach me concisely.” He ﷺ replied: “If you rise for prayer, pray like the man who is bidding farewell (to this World), do not talk with words you are going to apologize for, and gather despair about what is in the hands of people.”63 It has already been mentioned before.

He [Ibn Mājah] further reported on the authority of [‘Abdallāh] Ibn Mas‘ūd that the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ said: “I used to forbid you to visit the graves before. Visit them now, since they stimulate zuhd in this World and make one
remember the Afterlife.” Wuhayb b. al-Ward stated the following: “Nūh  bât a house made of reeds. It was said to him: ‘If only you had built a different type of house!’ He replied: ‘That is a lot for one who is going to die.’” Ibn al-Muhājir said: “Nūh  spent 950 years among his nation in a house built of hair.” They said: “O Prophet of Allāh, why did you not build something other than that?” He replied: “I am going to die today, (or) I am going to die tomorrow.”

Al-Tirmidhī reported on the authority of ‘Abdallāh [like that in the Arabic text of al-Tirmidhī’s work, without qualification] that he said: The Messenger of Allāh  stood on a mat and the traces of it appeared on his side. We thus said: “O Messenger of Allāh, what if we get for you some soft bedding and a nice carpet you can sleep on?” He  replied: “What have I got to do with the dunyā? I am but a rider who takes shade under a tree, then departs and leaves it behind.”

The one who said the following has excelled:

Donate this World away, it will be driven to you spontaneously
Isn’t vanishing the final destination of it?
Your World is like nothing but a shade
then permission is given to depart.

Another one said, likewise excellently:

Seek this World with eagerness and haste
This World is only like a shifting shade
We are in it akin to a travelling party alighting
It is said that as soon as such party establishes itself it moves away without fail.

Our men of knowledge stated: The matter being like that, it does not befit a person endowed with intellect to be deceived by this World. Truthful are the words of the one who affirmed:

Dreams during sleep or like a withdrawing shade
Surely the judicious person is not duped by the like thereof.

Ibn ‘Abbās transmitted from the Prophet  that he said: “If you wish to attain what is with Allāh  be in this world as guests stopping over for a limited while.”

Manṣūr narrated from al-Ḥasan that he said: “When death came upon Salmān,” he wept, so it was said to him: ‘O Abū ‘Abbās, what makes you weep given that you are a companion of the Messenger of Allāh  ?’ He said:

‘For myself, I do not weep in grief for the departing dunyā. Rather, the Messenger of Allāh  entered into a covenant with us, and I discarded his covenant. He solemnly stipulated that the sufficient provision of any one of us should be the provision of a rider.’ Manṣūr said: When he passed away, they had a look and, lo! they found approximately thirty silver coins left in his possession.”

Thābit narrated from Anas that Sa’d (Ibn Abī Waqqās) visited him in his sickness and said to him [Ṣalmān]: “What makes you weep, brother?” The aforementioned narration then follows. It has been reported by Ibn Mājah in his Sunan, saying therein: Thābit mentioned: “It reached me that he left behind no more than some twenty silver coins from a disbursement to him.”

Al-Tirmidhī narrated the following: Mahmūd b. Ghaylān related to us: ‘Abd al-Razzāq informed us: Sufyān informed us from Manṣūr and al-A’marsh from Abū Wā’il that he said: Mu‘āwiyah came to Abū Hishām b. ‘Utbaḥ on a visit to him while he was sick. He said to Abū Hishām: “Uncle, what is causing you to weep? A pain that is disquieting you, or a desire for the dunyā?” He replied: “Neither of the two. Rather, the fact that the Messenger of Allāh  concluded a covenant with us which I did not abide by. He  had said ‘A servant, and a riding beast in the path of Allāh, is all that suffices you in terms of gathered wealth,’” yet today I find in my house that I have gathered [wealth in excess of that].” Ibn Mājah, too, reported it, and it is authentic [ṣahih].
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Sovereignty Over This World
Ibn Mājah reported on the authority of Sahl b. Sa‘d al-Sā‘idī that he said:
A man came to the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ and said: “Messenger of Allāh, guide me to an action Allāh will love me for if I do it, and I will be loved for it by people, too.” The Prophet ﷺ replied: “Do without” in the dunyā, and Allāh will love you. And do without what is in the hands of people, people will then love you.”

He [Ibn Mājah] further reported on the authority of Abū Khallād, to whom some companionship of the Prophet ﷺ is attributed, that he said: The Messenger of Allāh ﷺ said: “If you see the man who has been gifted zuhd and great parsimony in speech, draw near him, since he is made to acquire knowledge.”

‘Ābdallāh b. Mas‘ūd said: “Today you perform more prayers and carry out heavier acts of worship than the companions of the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ yet they were better than you.” They asked: “And why is that so?” He replied: “They exercised greater zuhd in the dunyā, and were more desirous of the Other World than you.”

Sufyān al-Thawrī stated: “If the slave does without in this life, Allāh entrenches wisdom firmly in his heart, makes his tongue express such wisdom, empowers him to discern the defects of his self, and turns the sickness of his self into the cure of his self.”
CHAPTER 4

STATES OF THOSE WHO PRACTISED ZUHД IN THIS WORLD

Their [states] are six: 1) Speech; 2) Attire; 3) Food; 4) Patient bearing of poverty and a needy state; 5) Refraining from asking; and 6) Obscurity (self-effacement).

1. SPEECH

As for speech, which is the first of such states, it is the topmost goal, by achieving concordance between what one says and what one does. Allāh ﷺ has indeed censured in His Book a nation accustomed to command righteous actions they themselves did not carry out, and said therein: Do you order people to devoutness and forget yourselves, when you recite the Book? Will you not use your intellect? (2:43).53

Mānṣūr the jurist put it well as follows:

A people who enjoin
what they themselves do not implement
are verily madmen, even though they might not go mad
in an ordinary clinical sense.

Whereas Ābū al-ʿAtāhiyyah stated:

You described taqwād as if you yourself were endowed with it
Yet the odor of misdeeds from your clothes is spreading
How ugly is the urging to zuhd by the preacher
who sets people upon zuhd without him practising it
Were he in his prompting to zuhd veracious,  
the mosque would be his house morning and evening  
If the people reject, why is it that he  
asks the people for favors and does not lie down?24  
Divine provision is distributed on recipients you are not oblivious to  
Both the fair-skinned and the dark-skinned strive after it.

And Abū al-Aswād al-Du‘ālī excellently wrote:  
Don’t forbid creation while you engage in something similar  
[That is] a huge blemish, if you do so  
Begin with your self, and command it away from straying  
for, if it stops such straying, you are indeed a wise man  
Then if you admonish, your counsel is accepted,  
the speech emanating from you is used as a model to guide behavior,  
and teaching (others) yields benefits.

Ibrāhīm al-Nakham made: I strongly abhor story-telling because of three verses  
(of the Qur’ān): a) His Statement ٱع۪: Do you order people to devoutness and forget  
yourself? b) His other statement ٱع۪: You who have iman! Why do you say what  
you do not do? (61:2);65 c) as well as His statement ٱع۪: I would clearly not want to go  
behind your backs and do something I have forbidden you to do (11:88).66

II. DRESS

Rāfi‘ b. Thābit looked at the ruler of al-Kūfah who was busy preaching.  
He said: “Have a look at your ruler who preaches to the people while wearing  
the clothes of the sinful.” He had in fact soft clothes on him.

III. FOOD

It should be of a median type. These three states are reciprocally attesting,  
each of them verifying the trueness of the other.

IV. PERSEVERANCE

His patient self-restraint in the face of neediness and poverty, if it occurs or  
befalls him, whereupon no trace thereof becomes visible on him, in the way He  
Descried such type of people by His statement: The ignorant consider them rich  
because of their reticence (2:272).67 This is the mark by which they are known. It  
is their satisfaction with the judgment of the Master. It has also been said that  
what is meant by al-tā'āfūf is not reticence but self-adornment or beautifying  
(al-tajammūl), just as He ٱع۪ said: Therefore be patient with a patience which is beautiful  
(90:5).68 It has further been said that He gives preference to others over himself,  
so that the giver who bestowed something on him develops the illusion that he  
is rich. Another interpretation is that it means not to store anything out of fear  
of the future, as well as not to ask anyone save Allah ٱع۪ in the same way that the  
righteous slave said: My Lord, I am truly in need of any good You have in store for me  
(38:24).69 The meaning thereof is: I am truly in need of my provision which You  
have written for me, so if it is (thus written), send it to me and remove my need.  
I said: This last one is the best of such statements regarding the aforementioned,  
Allah willing, since by it he causes his need to lodge in the will of Allah ٱع۪ while  
he displays self-adornment to his fellow human beings who accordingly regard  
him as rich. The one who said the following has spoken well:

My friend asks me what my state is  
Self-sufficiency has deluded him, though I toiled and strove.

The quotation of this verse has occurred previously in this work.70 Another  
one said (and mention thereof, too, is found in an earlier passage of this book):71

I enmoe my face above directing it, when putting forward a request, to other  
than the One, the Everlasting Sustainer.

The judge Abū Bakr b. al-‘Arabi said: “One of the Sufis forbade asking,72  
declaring it to be a repulsive and ignominious condemnation of the Lord on  
the part of the slave. This is far-reaching ignorance. Allah has veiledly informed  
us that His slaves encompass both the poor and the rich man, commanding us  
in the process to visit the poor often. That is from His judgment and from His  
wisdom alike. What reviling condemnation is there in the needy man informing  
us about his state that He has singled him by, given that Allah ٱع۪ has acquainted  
us with such state generically (by apprising us of the mixture of rich and poor  
among His slaves)?”

They have said: “In it one detects self-debasement by a man.”73 We replied  
thereto: “What debasement is entailed by your Master assigning a blessing He  
has bestowed upon you to your brother’s hand, which blessing He has stored in  
him for you (so that you receive it indirectly from his hand)? Self-lowering lies  
in the asking, not in the one who asks. The addressee is your storing treasurer:
If he gives you what he has been commanded with, he will be rewarded, and if he dislikes (doing that) or wavers (about it), he will be recompensed with a wrongdoing written against his name."

They have also said: “In it there is harm inflicted to the one who is asked, since, if he grants the request generously, parting with his wealth weighs heavily on him, and, if he stints in it, that is a blameworthy image (which he projects of himself).” To this we said in reply: “Allah placed a heavy burden on them yet they were not stingy with the favor Allah regaled them with, deeming it to be good for them whereas it was bad for them.” In support of their stance they have narrated a hadith from the Prophet ﷺ: “Asking people is one of the shamelessly loathsome acts.” We said as a response to them: “Narrating this hadith is actually one of the most impudently detestable acts, one of the biggest major sins, and one of the gravest offences.”

V. SUFFICIENCY

If he has what suffices him he should not ask Allah for other than one day’s food. That is in accordance with the hadith of Sahl b. al-Hanzaliyyah, which has been quoted before.76

VI. SELF-CONCEALMENT

This is to be unknown through self-concealment, which conforms to what is found in the hadith of Abū Umāmah77 from the Prophet ﷺ:

Verily, the most fortunate of my friends in my judgment, and the one with the best final destiny, is a believer whose social state is slight, who possesses his lot in prayer, whose worship of His Lord is the best, who obeys Him the most in secrecy, and who is obscure among people, no fingers pointing (attentively) at him.78

Mention of the hadith has already occurred before.79 Uways al-Qaraṇi said to ‘Umar (b. al-Khaṭṭāb): “It is dearer to me if you let me be among the poor and needy people.” Muslim has reported it [in his Ṣaḥīḥ]. If the righteous people were recognized they used to flee the way Uways did, for he hurriedly went away and proceeded on his path as soon as he was noticed.
The Prophet's Zuhd

continuous succession of military conquests, and the cornucopia of wealth and collected taxes and levies flowing to him.

In the Sahihayn (of al-Bukhārī and Muslim) we find, again from her that the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ bought food to be paid on a later date from a Jew, and gave him in pledge for the purchase price thereof his iron coat of armor. 

Al-Nasā’ī reported the hadith of (ʿAbdallāh) Ibn ʿAbbās ﷺ that he said: “The Messenger of Allāh ﷺ passed away while his armor was pawned to a Jew in exchange for 30 double-handed scoops of barley [he purchased] for his family.”

Ibn Mājah reported on the authority of Abū Hurayrah that he said: “One day the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ was given some hot meal and he ate it. When he finished it he said: ‘Allāh’s is the praise! No hot meal had entered my stomach since such-and-such a time.’”

It has been narrated from ʿUrwh b. al-Zubayr that he said: My maternal aunt said to me: “By Allāh, my son, we spent 40 nights and no fire nor lamp was lighted in the house of the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ throughout that sojourn. He [ʿUrwh b. al-Zubayr] said: ‘I then asked her: In such a case, what did you use to live by?’ She replied: ‘The two black things, dates and water, nothing else.’”

ʿAʾishah ﷺ said: “By Allāh, we verily used to wait for the (new) crescent, and then the next crescent, and then the next, three crescents in two months, and no fire was lighted throughout that period in the house of the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ.” Muslim reported it.

[Abū Bakr ʿAlī b. Muḥammad b. Ishaq b. al-Sanāʾ, the ḥāfiz of hadith, narrated the following: “ʿAbd al-Karīm b. al-Haytham related to us: ‘ʿAbd b. Yaḥyā related to us: ʿAbd b. ʿUbayd b. Saʾīd b. Maysarah related to us from Anas b. Mālik from Abū al-Dardāʾ that he said: the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ did not use to sift the flour, and he only had one shirt.”]

It has been reported from the hadith of Hishām b. ʿUrwh from his father from ʿAʾishah ﷺ that she said: “The Messenger of Allāh ﷺ did not take for him anything in pairs, whether two shirts or two cloaks or two loincloths, save for two sandals.”

Al-ʿAbnāʾ b. Qays heard ʿUmār b. al-Khaṭṭāb ﷺ say to Ḥafṣah: “I ask you in the name of Allāh, taking an oath by Him in your reply thereto: Are you aware that the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ used to put his clothes aside to be washed, Bilāl
would then come to him and recite the call to the prayer to him, and he would find no clothing which he could wear to the prayer until the said clothes had dried and he was able to go out dressed in them?”

Al-Bukhārī reported from ‘A‘ishah ﷺ that she said: “The bedding which the Messenger of Allâh ﷺ used to sleep on was a skin stuffed inside with pam-fibers.” Ibn Mâjah, too, reported it, though the Arabic word for “bedding” in his narration was given by him as dijâ’ instead of finâsh. It has been narrated by al-Bukhârî, too.

Al-Tirmidhi reported from her that she said: “The pillow which the Prophet ﷺ used to recline on was made of skin with fibers in its interior.” He said about it: Hadith hasan sahih.

As for Abû Dâwûd, he reported the following: “Musaddad related to us: Hammâd related to us from Khálid al-Hadhâhâ from Abû Qilâbah from one of the family members of Umm Salamah that he said: “The bedding of the Messenger of Allâh ﷺ was similar to what a deceased person is made to lay on in his grave, and the masjid used to be by the side of the bed where he would lean on with his head.”

Ibn Mâjah reported the following: ‘Amr b. Râfî’ related to us: Jarîr related to us from Muslim (b. Kaysân) al-A‘war from Anas b. Mâlik that he said: “The Messenger of Allâh ﷺ used to visit the sick frequently, escort the deceased to their final resting places, answer the invitation of the slave, and ride a donkey. On the day of (Banû) Qurayzah and al-Nâdîr” he was riding a donkey. On the day of Khaybar he was seated on a donkey muzzled by a halting rope of bast and underneath him was a pack-saddle made of fibers, too.”

In the Sahîhîn (of al-Bukhârî and Muslim) we encounter the following narration from Mu‘âdh b. Jabal to the effect that he said: “I was riding at the rear of the Messenger of Allâh ﷺ seated on a donkey by the name ‘Ufâyr, etc.” Muslim reported it.

I] We start with Abû Bakr as-Siddîq ﷺ. One of the jurists examined al-Shîbî by means of the following question (on the rules of zakât): “Shaykh, how much is levied on the one possessing five camels?” Al-Shîbî asked him: “According to our madhhab or according to yours?” He said: “Why, have you got a madhhab different from ours?” Al-Shîbî replied: “Yes.” He asked: “And which one is it?” Al-Shîbî answered: “Well, in terms of your madhhab, the tax on such property is one sheep from his livestock.” As for our madhhab, the whole of such property is given out to you.” He said: “Have you an imam you follow in this madhhab?” Al-Shîbî replied: “Yes, the Commander of the Believers Abû Bakr al-Siddîq ﷺ since he brought his entire wealth to the Prophet ﷺ and the Prophet ﷺ asked him: ‘What did you leave for your dependents?’ whereupon he replied: ‘Allâh and His Messenger.'”

During the illness of which he died he said: “I saw this world come before me and it genuflected, but it refrained from approaching me further.” Surely a day will come when you will adorn yourselves with silk drapes and water basins of silk brocade, keeping your distance in the process from woollen beds, and yet you (once) got to the point where you used to find yourselves in the most severe condition, nibbling on the forage of thorny plants [being anxiously disquieted
and restlessly fidgety]. I swear to Allāh: That one of you be brought forward and beheaded without having perpetrated anything deserving of such a penalty being prescribed for him; this would be better for him than to float in the flood of this World."  

He was once asked: "O caliph of the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ why do you not utilize the people of Badr (for political offices)?" He replied: "I am aware of their status, but I dislike to soil them by this world."  

II) As for ‘Umar Ibn Al-Khattāb ﷺ he brought half of his wealth, and kept the remaining half for his dependents. He wore a new shirt, then asked for a blade and said to his son ['Abdallāh]: "Son, trim the (long) sleeve(s) of the shirt. Place your forefingers across the edge of my knuckles and clip the balance hanging out." ‘Abdallāh said: "I clipped the two sleeves on both sides of the shirt, but some part of the sleeves protruded out on another." I ['Abdallāh] said: "Father, what if I put the trim right with a pair of scissors?" ‘Umar replied: "My son, (no, it is fine, for) I have seen the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ do it that way." He kept on wearing his shirt like that, until it fell apart ['Abdallāh further narrated], and oftentimes I used to notice the fallen threads hanging over his feet.  

Al-Hasan al-Basri said: "‘Umar ﷺ gave a khutbah while he was the caliph wearing a loincloth with twelve patches on it."  

Qatādah said: "It has been mentioned to us that ‘Umar ﷺ said: "If I wanted, I would eat the most pleasant food and wear the finest clothes from all of you, but I save my delights for the other world."  

Al-Ahaaf b. Qays said: I heard ‘Umar say: "I am the most knowledgeable of you about reducing the standard of living, but if I wished I would have the most pleasant life of all of you. By Allāh, I am not ignorant of (how to dress) a camel’s humps, of grilled meat, of ṣināb or sala’tiq, but I have chosen to spare my pleasures for the Hereafter. In describing a category of people, Allāh ﷺ has said: ‘You dissipated the good things you had in your worldly life and enjoyed yourselves in it’ (46:19)."  

The word al-ṣilā’, with the elongation of the ‘alif and the kasra on the ṣaad, means broiled meat. It has been so named because it is warmed by exposing it to the heat of the fire and by burning it therein [yūsallār or yūsilā]. As for al-ṣināb, they are the spicy condiments made of mustard [seeds] and raisins, whereas the term al-sala’tiq [with the ṣaad] signifies the varieties of long thin bread. Sala’tiq with the ṣin, instead, denotes legumes and their like that are cooked in boiling water. And Ḥafṣ b. al-‘Āṣ mentioned the following: I used to have lunch with ‘Umar ﷺ partaking of bread, oil and vinegar, or bread, milk and meat cut into strips and dried. The least common item of food we used to have was fresh meat. ‘Umar used to say: Do not sift out the flour, for the whole of it is nutritional food.  

III) About ‘Uthmān Ibn Affān ﷺ, suffice it for your knowledge of his sīlah that he equipped the Army of Hardship with 300 camels, their saddle blankets and saddles included. In addition, he brought 1,000 gold coins to the Prophet ﷺ scattering such wealth before him, while he left an equal balance as a protection for the ummah, lest discord spread among them. He used to feed the people with the rulers’ food while eating bread, vinegar, and oil when he retreated into his private house. ‘Abdallāh b. Shaddād said: "I saw ‘Uthmān b. Affān on a Friday wearing a loincloth from ‘Adan valued at four or five silver coins (only), as well as a torn wrap from al-Kāfāh."  

IV) Concerning ‘Alī Ibn Abī Ṭālib ﷺ the following was said by one of the reliable narrators: "I visited ‘Alī in al-Khawranq at a time when he was shivering under a threadbare garment made of rough fibers [he was wrapping himself up with to keep warm in the cold].” I said to him: "Commander of the Believers, Allāh has given you and the members of your household a share in this wealth, and yet you do this to yourself?" He replied: "By Allāh, I have not deprived you people of any of your properties. This is simply my velvet shawl that I brought with me from my home [i.e., al-Madinah].”  

He once purchased a shirt for some silver coins and wore it. It hung over all the way to his fingers, so he commanded that the excess be clipped from it.  

Ibn al-Nabbāḥ came to him and said: "The public treasury is filled to capacity with every kind of yellow and white [gold and silver]. On hearing that, ‘Alī ﷺ set out to the public treasury and, having reached it, he gathered all the eligible beneficiaries, whereafter he distributed the entire contents thereof among them,
saying while he was doing that: 'O yellow, turn yellow, o white, be white, deceive someone other than me, here! take!' He kept on doing so until not a single gold or silver coin remained, then ordered that the (emptied) treasury be sprinkled and prayed two rak‘ats in it in the hope that it will testify in his favor on the Day of Rising.”

On one occasion he was brought a plate of fālādaj [a sweet made of flour and honey]; the man serving him placed it before him. He said [addressing the sweet]: “Your smell is good and appetizing, your color is nice and appealing, your flavor is pleasant, but I loath to (re)train myself to do what it was previously unaccustomed to.”

I said: “The zuhd of these caliphs did not stem from compulsion and necessity, rather, it was an act of free election on their part. The choice of the more virtuous state, a deed of self-abasement vis-à-vis Allāh as well as an emulation of their Prophet ﷺ.”

Al-Tirmidhī has indeed reported the narration by Sahl b. Mu‘ādh from his father to the effect that the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ said: “Whoever renounces (new and nice) clothes out of humility to Allāh, though capable of affording them, Allāh will call out for him over the heads of the creatures, in order to give him the choice of wearing any garb of imān he prefers.” He commented: Hadith hasan.

V) Al-Hasan444 said: “ṬALHĀ B. ‘UBAYDILLĀH ﷺ [whom we are going to deal with now] sold a piece of land (which he owned) for 700,000 silver coins. He spent one sleepless night with such money kept in his house, out of fearful concern over it. When he woke up in the early morning, he distributed (all of) it among the destitute.”445 Ziyād b. Ḥudayr said: “I saw Ṭalhā b. ‘Ubaydillāh distributing 100,000 silver coins among the people in the mosque.”

Whenever Abū Bakr used to mention the day of Uhud he would say: “The whole of it was the day of Talhā.”

VI) Turning to AL-ZUBAYR B. AL-‘AWWĀM ﷺ he owned 1,000 slaves446 who used to hand over to him the land taxes (they had collected). Each night he divided the entire amount they brought him, and by the time he had returned to his house none of it was left in his possession.448 Imam Ahmad b. Ḥanbal reported it.449 He sold a house for 600,000.450 It was said to him: “Abū ‘Abdallāh,451 you have charged an immoderate price.” He replied: “No, by Allāh, you shall come to know that I charged no exorbitantly excessive price, since all the proceeds of the sale are spent in the path of Allāh ﷺ.”

On the day of the Battle of the Camel, he set about instructing his sons on what to do with his debts, saying: “Sons, if you lack the strength to do something, then seek the help of my Master in carrying it out.”452 Abūdallāh b. al-Zubayr said: “I asked: And who is your master?” He said: “Allāh.” Proceeding with his narration, ‘Abdallāh said: “I swear by Allāh, whenever I fell into some anxiety concerning his debts I only had to say: O Master of al-Zubayr, satisfy the debt of al-Zubayr, and He would immediately settle his debt.”453

When al-Zubayr ﷺ was killed, he did not leave behind a single gold or silver coin. He only left two estates, one of them being al-Ghābah,454 and eleven houses in Egypt. His debt derived from the fact that when someone came to him with goods and gave them to him for safekeeping, al-Zubayr would tell him not to do so, but rather to convert them into a loan, stating that he feared their extinction. ‘Abdallāh (b. al-Zubayr) went on to say: “I counted his debts and found that they amounted to one million and two hundred thousand.”455 ‘Abdallāh settled his debts for him from the legacy of the aforementioned properties, according to what al-Bukhārī has mentioned in his Sahīb.

‘Abdallāh (b. al-Zubayr) attended the pilgrimage season for four years in a row summoning whoever had a credit over al-Zubayr to approach him. Once four years had elapsed, he divided his estate, and his heirs received the balance thereof. Al-Zubayr had four wives, and each one of them inherited one million and two hundred thousand (silver coins). His whole estate consisted of fifty million and two hundred thousand (silver coins).456 Al-Bukhārī, rahimahullāh, mentioned that.

VII) Lastly, ‘ABD AL-RAHMĀN B. ‘AWF ﷺ is one of the ten companions for whom the jamānah has been (prophetically) attested to (in this World) ﷺ. ‘Abd al-Rahmān migrated to Abyssinia and was involved in both migrations. He also took part in all the battles (during the prophetic era), and remained steadfast by the Prophet’s side ﷺ on the day of Uhud. The Messenger of Allāh ﷺ prayed one rak‘ah behind him during the expedition of Tabūk, and he said ﷺ: “No
Prophet was taken away from this World before praying behind a righteous man of his nation.” He was one of the affluent companions, his wealth having come entirely from trade.

Al-Zuhri said: During the time of the Prophet ﷺ ‘Abd al-Rahmān b. ‘Aww went out as sadāqa half of his wealth, 4,000, then gave another 40,000 gold coins in sadāqa, and subsequently financed 500 horses at first, followed by 100,000 horses in the path of Allāh, together with 100 riding camels.

Ownership of a caravan from Greater Syria,156 comprising 700 riding camels, was handed over to him, and he turned it into a sadāqa in the path of Allāh, with their loads, saddles, and saddlebags included.157

In the book al-Mustadrak by al-Ḥākim, Abū ‘Abdallāh, Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh, we find from Ja‘far b. Burqān that he said: “It has reached me that ‘Abd al-Rahmān b. ‘Aww manumitted 30,000 families.”158 One comes across the following in the same book by al-Ḥākim: “Abd al-Rahmān used to be referred to as the apostle [bāwāriyy]159 of the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ.”160 This narration, based on the hadith of Muḥammad b. Ishāq, is authentic in accordance with the criterion of authenticity laid down by Muslim.

It has been narrated that ‘Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb used to visit Umm Kullutīm, the daughter of ‘Uqbah, and ask her: “Did the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ tell you to marry ‘Abd al-Rahmān b. ‘Aww since he is the master of the Muslims?” She replied: “Yes.”

It has further been narrated that ‘Ali said to ‘Abd al-Rahmān b. ‘Aww: I verily heard the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ say: “You are trustworthy in the eyes of the inhabitants of Heaven, and trustworthy in the eyes of the inhabitants of the Earth.”161

I said: “‘Abd al-Rahmān b. ‘Aww, Allāh is pleased with him and may He please him, is one of the ten whose admission to jannah has been attested to [during their lifetime]. He is also one of the six whom ‘Umar and the companions of the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ appointed to determine among them the election of the new caliph. Of them, he was the one singled out for renouncing his lot of the caliphate, consequentially on his zuhd,162 so that he could choose for the Muslims what Allāh had chosen for them. He gave his oath of allegiance to ‘Uthmān, and by his allegiance the bay’ah to ‘Uthmān was perfected.

The ummah was pleased with his role of trustworthy man, and the compan-
he fled away from where he was until he came to 'Uthmān (b. 'Affān), recounting to him the story and pleading for his assistance. Abū Dharr, meanwhile, was approaching in hot pursuit of Ka'b, unravelling the traces of Ka'b's escape in the process. He eventually reached the house of 'Uthmān. As he entered it, Ka'b went to sit behind 'Uthmān, fleeing away from Abū Dharr to such a shelter. Abū Dharr then said: "Son of a Jewess, you allege that what 'Abd al-Rahmān left is unobjectionable and does not matter! The Messenger of Allāh ﷺ went out one day and said: 'The ones who have a lot are verily the ones who have little, save for those who spend (their wealth) in this [and that]." 167

Our people of knowledge, Allāh have Mercy on them, have said: "This is a false hadith which is not entrenched among narrations that are paid regard to. Its veracity is an impossibility, and it originates in the forgery of the ignorant."

Some of it has been narrated, but the route of transmission is disproved, because we find in the chain thereof Ibl Lahṭah, who has been the target of critical assessments. Yabīyā said: "His hadith is not accepted as proof."

Historical truth, moreover, teaches us that Abū Dharr died in the year 25 AH, whereas 'Abd al-Rahmān b. 'Awf passed away in the year 31 AH, and thus lived seven years after Abū Dharr.

I said: What certifies 'Umārah's forgery in the very narration we have quoted is his statement, ascribed to Abū Dharr: "Son of a Jewess!" This is an act of finding fault (with Ka'b) on his part, for the like of which the Prophet ﷺ had previously rebuked him, as it is mentioned in Muslim's Sunḥ, on Abū Dharr's authority, that he said: "A dispute arose between myself and one of my brothers (in the din), so I disgraced him by a reference to his mother, whereupon he complained about me to the Prophet ﷺ and he said: 'O Abū Dharr, you are a man in whom some jāhiliyah lingers.'" I [Abū Dharr] said: "Messenger of Allāh ﷺ the woe of those reviling a man is to revile his father and mother." He said: "O Abū Dharr, you are a man in whom some jāhiliyah lingers," etc. 168

Can you countenance the possibility of Abū Dharr relapsing into the very thing the Prophet ﷺ reprimanded him about? That is preposterous to imagine in respect of someone of a lower status than him, so how much more far-fetched to attribute it to him, may Allāh be pleased with him and may He please him?

Likewise his statement (in the narration): "The ones who have a lot are verily the ones who have little, save for those who dispose of their wealth this way and that," is off the mark, given that 'Abd al-Rahmān b. 'Awf is precisely one of those who spent it thus, in conformity with what we have mentioned here-above.

To repeat, this hadith is a false one that the ignorant have forged.

As our people of knowledge have asserted, one does not direct any attention to it nor does he rely on it. Besides, 'Abd al-Rahmān b. 'Awf is more virtuous than Abū Dharr by a long margin, due to his antecedence in Islam, and his inclusion in the ten for whom the Garden has been guaranteed in this world, which makes him one of the first ones to enter it, beating most of the rest into it, and one of those triumphant with such otherworldly reward. Anything other than that is given no consideration. Success and protection are by Allāh.

Additional elucidation of the aforementioned is to be found in the two chapters after this, Allāh is willing.
CHAPTER 7 (34)

THE VIRTUE OF ACQUIRING WEALTH PROPERLY AND SPENDING IT ON ITS RIGHTFUL BENEFICIARY

Al-Bukhārī reported on the authority of Abū Hurayrah that he said: The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said: “This wealth is (like) sweet, succulently tender and fresh greenery: What an aid it is for the one who acquires it appropriately, and places it where it is due! As for he who appropriates it without rightful entitlement to it, he is like the one who eats without ever getting satiated.”

Muslim also reported on the authority of Abū Dharr (al-Ghiḍārī) from the Prophet ﷺ that he said: “The well-to-do will be the propertyless destitute on the Day of Rising, save for him on whom Allah confers good [wealth], and he dispenses it to his right, left, in front of him and at his back, and who does good with it.” Al-Tirmidhī reported from Khawlah bint Qays, then under the authority of Hamzah b. ʿAbd al-Muṭṭālib ﷺ that she said: I heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say: “This wealth is (like) sweet, succulently tender and fresh greenery: Whoever acquires it appropriately is blessed in it. By contrast, how many of those who hastily dispose of the wealth of Allah and of His Rasūl according to the whims of their selves, who will get nothing but the Fire on the Day of Rising!” Al-Tirmidhī said about it: This is a hadith hasan ṣaḥīh.

Al-Tirmidhī further reported on the authority of Abū Kābshah al-Anmārī that he mentioned that he heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say: “Three attributes
of character I swear by, and I relate to you a majestic saying which you should memorize.” He said: “No slave’s wealth is diminished by sadaqah; no slave is oppressed by an injustice which he bears patiently without Allah increasing him in freedom from abasement; and no slave opens on his self a door of beseeching external help but that Allah opens a door of poverty for him,” or something like that. “And I (now) relate to you (another) statement, so commit it to memory from me. ‘This World is but for four groups of people: [1] A slave on whom Allah has bestowed wealth and knowledge, and he guards himself vis-à-vis Allah in that, keeps ties with his family relatives by such dual gift, and recognizes Allah’s right in what He has provided him with of wealth and knowledge alike. This one is in the best of stations; [2] a slave whom Allah has gifted with knowledge but not wealth, and who, his intention being truthful, says: If I had wealth, I would act with it as so-and-so who spends it in acts of goodness does. He is judged by his intention, and the reward for him and the one he would emulate (if only he possessed his means) are identical; [3] a slave on whom Allah has conferred wealth without knowledge, who, in a state of ignorance, disposes of his wealth in the gratification of his self’s caprices, does not fear Allah concerning it, does not keep ties with blood relatives, and ignores Allah’s right in it. This one is in the lowest rank with Allah; [4] and a slave whom Allah has regaled with neither wealth nor knowledge, and this one says: If only I owned wealth, I would act with it the same way as so-and-so (who spends it in evil pursuits). He is accorded the ruling of his intention, so his burden and the burden of the one he would emulate (were he granted the same means) are the same.”172 Al-Tirmidhi commented about it: Hadith hasan sahih.

Our people of knowledge, Allah have Mercy on them, have said: “The import of this chapter has elucidated both the merit and demerit of wealth, and the fact that the owner thereof who spends it on what is right and places it where it rightfully belongs, is in the loftiest degrees and in the highest stations and upper chambers (in jannah).”173 That is in conformity with what has been explicitly laid out in the Revelation, specifically in His statement Ṣ: It is not your wealth or your children that will bring you near to Us—only in the case of people who have iman and act rightly; such people will have a double recompense for what they did. They will be safe from all harm in the High Halls of Paradise (34:37).

The Qur’anic verses praising wealth are many, if one reads the Book of Allah reflectively. That is a guideline creating in you the inducement to earn it, trade in it and gather it. Sa’d b. al-Musayyib used to say: There is no good in one who does not seek wealth, by which he discharges his debts and protects his honor and good repute, and which he leaves in inheritance to somebody else when he dies. The Messenger of Allah Ṣ said to Sa’d: “To leave your heirs rich is better than to leave them as indigent persons begging from people.”174 He Ṣ also said: “No wealth whatsoever benefited me more than Abū Bakr’s wealth did.”175

He Ṣ likewise said to ‘Amr b. al-‘Āṣ: “How good is the wholesome wealth for the wholesome man.”176 He Ṣ made a prayer for ‘Akin (b. Malik), saying: “O Allah, increase his wealth and offspring, and bless him in that.”177 All of this is firmly documented in the authentic abādih.

At their death, Sa’d b. al-Musayyib left behind 400 gold coins, and Sufyān al-Thawrī, with all his zuhd and asceticism, 200. Sufyān al-Thawrī said about that: “To leave behind 10,000 silver coins which I am going to account for in the Reckoning is better to me than to be in want of people’s help. He used to say as well: In this time, wealth is a weapon.”

A man said to him: “O ‘Abū ‘Abdillah,”178 do you retain this world in your grasp?” He replied: “Shut up, for if it were not for these golden coins those kings (out there) would cajole me and associate with me.”179

Of the same import is the statement by ‘Abd al-Rahmān b. ‘Awf: “How excellent is this wealth, by which I safeguard my honor and I extend to Allah a good loan which He repays to me manifold times over its value!”

The (pious) predecessors never stopped praising wealth and gathering it for the sake of acts of kindness and benefaction such as grants and donations, and for the sake of assisting the poor. The majority of the companions earned wealth and bequeathed it on their deaths.180

In a narration, ‘Abū Mas‘udd said: “The Messenger of Allah Ṣ used to command that sadaqah be given out, whereupon one of us would set out at once and exert himself with toil and difficulty until he brought the mudd (a dry measure consisting of a handful of staple foodstuff). Nowadays, the least affluent of them possesses 100,000.” Shaqīq said: He was alluding to himself.181 This is a sound chain of transmission. Ibn Mājah reported it in his Sunan where he said: ‘Abdallāh b. Numayr and ‘Abū Bakr related to us, saying: ‘Abū ‘Uṣāmah related to us from Zā’idah from al-‘A’rash [and the said narration then follows]. Muslim mentioned
the narration in terms of its meaning, without reporting it literally.143

The consensus of learned opinions has settled on the permissibility of gathering wealth due to His statement Ṣo make full use of any booty you have taken which is halal and good (9:70).146 His other statement ṢBut only by means of mutually agreed trade (4:29), as well as His statement ṢYou who have imân! give away some of the good things you have earned and some of what the earth produces for you (2:266)144 as well as other verses (of similar import).

Allah Ṣpermitted the earning of wealth to establish a connection with Him and reach Him thereby, by fighting an enemy in warfare, assisting a poor man, feeding an orphan, helping someone oppressed or giving succor to one aggrieved and worried. So long as the sound intention in its acquisition is there, gathering it is more meritorious and virtuous (than its opposite), without any debate between the people of knowledge on that.

I said: This having been firmly entrenched, it points to the falseness of what they have mentioned and narrated, to the effect that 'Abd al-Rahmân b. 'Awf will enter the Garden last and crawling. Their singling out 'Abd al-Rahmân b. 'Awf in their concern is itself an indication of their ignorance, since they did not narrate the biographical accounts of the companions who left behind wealth, nor did they narrate the biographies of the pious predecessors who have set the guiding example. Were it not for fear of prolixity, we would have mentioned in that respect a great number of prophets, Allah's blessings be on all of them, and other than them, may Allah be pleased with them.

Al-Tabarâni, Sulaymân b. Ahmad, reported the following: Muḥammad b. al-Fadl al-Thaqâfî related to us: Sa'îd b. Sulaymân al-Wâsîfî related to us from Abû Usâmah146 from Hîshâm b. 'Urwa from his father that he said: "I got hold of Sa'd b. 'Ubâdah while an announcer was calling out towards food: 'Whoever likes fat and meat should come to Sa'd.' Then I got hold of his son Qays inviting people loudly to what I mentioned. Sa'd b. 'Ubâdah said: 'O Allah, grant me praise, and grant me honor. There is no honor save by action, and no action save by wealth. O Allah, little is not suitably wholesome for me, and I am not suitable for it."146

Suffice you what the utterly truthful one147 said to his daughter 'Ā'ishah Ṣwhen death befell him: "By Allah, after me no one is more loved than you, and no one is of mightier poverty than you."
by the associationism (shirk) in the indirect causes spoiling their understanding of the Oneness of the Supreme Lord.”  

I said: The aforementioned, together with what semantically resembles it, has been used as a supporting proof by those who disown gathering wealth, earning it, taking receipt of it and purchasing it, because of the corrupting vices stemming from that, and because of the good actions and the benefits which its owner is deprived of.  

There is, however, no proof in it in their favor, since people’s states in that regard differ, as unveiled by two Prophetic sayings:

1) The hadith of Abū Kabshah al-Amārī quoted in the preceding chapter.
2) What has been reported by inter alia al-Bukhārī and Ibn Mājah on the authority of Abū Hurayrah that he said: The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said: “Perish the slave of this World! Perish the slave of silver coins! Perish the slave of the black dress of silk fabric with embroidered markings! Perish the slave of the finely wrought velvet! If he is prickly by a thorn, he is unable to extract it with a chisel. Whenever he is given he is pleased, but if giving is withheld from him he becomes irate.” Then he said about Thumāmah: “Blessedness to a slave seizing the reins of his horse in the path of Allah, his head dishevelled, his feet covered in dust. If he is appointed as sentinel, he is there guarding, and if he is assigned to directing the motion of the army, he is there at its rear protecting it. He seeks death on the spot. If he is given he thanks and if he is deprived he exercises patience.” Our people of knowledge have said that he ﷺ distinguished (therein) between the slave of wealth and passion and the slave sincerely devoted to his Master. He made a harsh supplication against the former with the purpose of reverting him to the Master, whereas He singled out the sincere one by the word habbādah (blessedness to), which is the degree of His beloved friends. Evil is that wealth which engrosses away from the remembrance of Allah and from the fulfilment of His rights. If it does not preclude that, such wealth is good, just as he said, Allah’s blessings and peace be upon him: “How good is the wholesome wealth for the wholesome man.”  

Since, however, the safety and integrity of one’s din is a rarity in the presence of wealth, and afflicting tests, together with corrupting calamities, get the upper hand (when wealth is owned), it has become mandatory to do with a little of it and flee from it, and it has become incumbent on a person to take such modicum of wealth that suffices him for his inescapable needs. The masters of understanding have said: “Whatever wealth or family connection distracts you away from Allah is ill-omened for you.”

Yahyā b. al-Mutawakkil said: “I was walking with Sufyān al-Thawrī when I passed by a man building an edifice and speaking in glowing terms of it. He (Sufyān al-Thawrī) said: ‘Do not look at it, for he built it to be looked at.’”

Hishām b. ‘Urwa said: Whenever my father visited somebody possessed of some adornment of this World, he would hasten the return to his family and would stand by the door loudly reciting: Do not direct your eyes longingly to what We have given certain of them to enjoy to the end of the verse, whereafter he would exclaim: “Time for prayer! Time for prayer!” They would then rise and pray together.
\textbf{THE LOWLINESS OF THIS WORLD IN THE ESTEEM OF ALLĀH ﷺ}

\begin{quote}
A l-Tirmidhi reported on the authority of Sahl b. Sa’d [al-Sā’id] that he said: The Messenger of Allāh ﷺ said: “Were this World in Allāh’s esteem worth one wing of a mosquito, He would not have given a kāfir to drink one sip of water from it.”\footnote{\textit{Al-Tirmidhi}, \textit{al-Jami’}, 1/218.} The following has been declaimed:

\begin{quote}
You hear from the passing days if you are resolute
for verily you lie in them between a proscribing and a mandating injunction
If this World preserved for a person his din
whatever has elapsed of them is not harmful
This World shall not equate a wing of a mosquito
nor the weight of a wing belonging to a flying creature
This World is not pleased as a recompense for a believer
nor is it satisfied to be the retribution for the unbeliever.
\end{quote}

Muslim reported on the authority of Jābir b. ʿAbdallāh that the Messenger of Allāh ﷺ passed by the market, entering it from one of its elevated sections. The people who were present gathered around him on both sides, and he walked by a dead young billy goat that had small ears. He got hold of its corpse and took it by one ear, whereupon he said: “Which of you would like to have this for a single silver coin?” They replied: “We do not like to have it for any sum whatsoever! And what are we going to do with it?” He said: “Would you like it to be yours?” They answered: “By Allāh, if it were alive, it would be a defective commodity because of its small ears, let alone now that it is dead.” He said:
\end{quote}
“And by Allāh, this World is certainly more contemptibly low in Allāh’s esteem than this one (kid) is in yours.”

It has been narrated from the Prophet ﷺ that he said: “Part of this World’s lowliness in the esteem of Allāh ﷺ lies in the fact that disobedience only takes place in it, and the fact that what is stored with Him is not attained save by renouncing it.”

Our people of knowledge have stated that the meaning of this World’s baseness in Allāh’s esteem is that He did not make it a goal in itself, sought after as such for its own sake, but rather a path taking one to other than itself; as well as the fact that He did not make it an abode of settlement and retribution, and only made it an abode of transit and trial. In addition, He granted it in the majority to the unbelievers and the ignorant, safeguarding the prophets, His friends and the abdāl 200 from it.

Suffice it for you, concerning its vulgarity in the esteem of Allāh, that He has belittled, disdainfully disparaged and censured it, and that He has loathed it, the lovers thereof and those devoted to it, being satisfied with nothing in it, for the person possessed of intellect, but desire-free devotional worship, critical reproaching thereof, and inflaming excitement to depart from it.

Notwithstanding its triviality, however, man cannot do without it since it is the intended path and the praiseworthy road. He said ﷺ: “Do not curse this World, for what a good riding animal it is for the believer! Good is attained by its medium, and through it one is rescued from evil.”

One man dispraised this World in the presence of ʿAlī b. ʿAbī Tālib ﷺ, whereupon ʿAlī said: “This World is an abode of truthfulness for the one who grasps its reality, an abode of salvation for the one who understands it, and an abode of richness for the one who takes his provision from it.”

Māmūd al-Warrāq said in laudable verses:

Do not follow the mention of this World and its passing time with censure if you suffer an adversity in it.

Part of the nobility and virtue of this World is that the course of the Hereafter is made firmer by it.

To summarize: In every moment in time the slave has a state entailing either a praiseworthy or a blameworthy attribute. Judgments are connected to such attributes, and reward or punishment occasions from them. If good is found in this World in one respect only, it is something that cannot be dispensed with. The one who takes by the good aspect thereof, thus, will surely get good in return. This world is, after all, the riding beast of the wayfarer, the provision of the traveller, and the bridge of the passersby, not the abode of the purposeful voyager. It is the locus of the effort of the one who does with a little of it, hunting it and making use of it in moderation, without asking for a lot of it, and taking nourishment from it without hoarding. The simile of it is the sword, which suits the purpose of the just and the unjust man alike. Each of them disposes of it in accordance with his intention, and in conformity with what his will is enamored with. Whatever in this World brings closer to Allāh ﷺ and facilitates the worshipping of Him is the praiseworthy on every tongue, and the beloved to every man. The like of this is not cursed, nay, it is something desired and liked. An allusion to this is found in the use of the clause of exception as far as the hadith of Abū Hurayrah from the Prophet ﷺ is concerned: “This World is accused; what(ever) is in it is accused, save for the remembrance of Allāh and what approximates it, and save for a man of knowledge and a learner.” Al-Tirmidhī has reported it, saying: Hadith ḥasan qazīm. 201

It has been narrated from ʿUḥdāb b. ʿAl-Samīt ﷺ that he said: The dunyā is brought on the Day of Rising, and the Lord, Blessed and Exalted is He, will say: Take out all that is in it that belongs to Us and throw the rest of it in the Fire.
Allāh ﷺ said: The metaphor of the life of the dunyā is that of water which We send down from the sky, and which then mingles with the plants of the earth to provide food for both people and animals. Then, when the earth is at its loveliest and takes on its fairest guise and its people think they have it under their control, Our command comes upon it by night or day and We reduce it to dried-out stubble, as though it had not been flourishing just the day before! (10:24). He also said ﷺ: Know that the life of the dunyā is merely a game and a diversion and ostentation and a cause of boasting among yourselves and trying to outdo one another in wealth and children: like the plant-growth after rain which delights the cultivators, but then it withers and you see it turning yellow, and then it becomes broken stubble (57:19). He further said ﷺ: Do you not see that Allāh sends down water from the sky and threads it through the earth to emerge as springs and then by it brings forth crops of varying colors, which then wither and you see them turning yellow and then He makes them into broken stubble? (39:20), as well as other verses (of similar meaning). He furthermore said ﷺ: Man has only to look at his food (80:24).

Ibn Abī Khaythamah reported from al-Ḍāhḥāk b. Sufyān that he said: The Messenger of Allāh ﷺ said to me: “O Ḍāhḥāk, what is your food?” I said: “Meat and milk.” He said: “Then it turns into what?” I said: “Into what you have verily come to know, Messenger of Allāh!” He said: “Allāh has indeed made what
comes out of the son of Adam a metaphor for this World.”

Ubayy b. Ka‘b said: The Prophet ﷺ said: “The food of the son of Adam has been made a metaphor for this World. If he seasons and salts it, let him look at what it eventually becomes.”

Abū al-Walād said: I asked (‘Abdallāh) Ibn ‘Umar about the man who gets inside a wilderness and looks at what comes out of him. He said: “The angel comes to him and says: Look at what was melted thereby into what it became of it.”

Our people of knowledge, Allāh’s mercy be upon them, have said: There are nine wonders of eloquent expression in Allāh’s metaphorical description of this World by the water sent down from the sky:

1) Just as water is not called down by a stratagem, so this World is not attained save by capture. Allāh ﷺ has said: We have allocated their livelihood among them in the life of the dunyā (43:31).

2) Though rain does not come except by Decree, nevertheless it is called down by desire and supplication. In the same way, worldly provision (rizq) is sought from Allāh. Allāh ﷺ has said: [B]ut ask Allāh for His bounty (4:32);

3) If He brings down rain it benefits, although, if it exceeds the limit of need, it causes harm. In likewise fashion, the owner of wealth is in bliss if it stays within the boundary of sufficiency, whereas, if it is in excess, it places its owner in a state of exhausting hardship and gripping oppression;

4) If water is running it is pleasing, but when it stagnates, hoarded in a storing place, it undergoes a transformation. The same holds true of wealth: It is delightfully good and agreeable when its owner makes it circulate in its right channels, but as soon as he withdraws it (turning it into a concealed form of accumulation), it becomes bad for him and is swallowed up in a void. Allāh ﷺ has said: Those who are tight-fisted with the bounty Allāh has given them should not suppose that that is better for them. No indeed, it is worse for them! What they were tight-fisted with will be hung around their necks on the Day of Rising (3:180);

5) Water that is pure is suitable for clothing and acts of worship, and water that is impure is unsuitable for acts of worship. Similarly, livelihood and obedience are both put upright by wealth that is licit, which is also safe from people’s claims over it. As for wealth that is illicit, if … you trace it back (to the one entitled thereto), it lays bare its defectiveness, and if you cause him to famish, it eliminates its reverential inviolability (as a lawful asset one blissfully enjoys and disposes of);

6) Whenever plants arise out of water and spread around, trees sprout forth, fruits ripen, cascading in different forms and shapes on the onlookers, the farmer has no assurance that a calamity is not going to strike his cultivated land without any apparent cause, nor that its state is not going to be turned upside down by something which had never been brought into any antecedent reckoning. When, identically to it, wealth grows in its owner’s hands, he employs it proficiently in the various arts of investment, satisfying thereby the whole spectrum of his pleasures, and an abundance of wives and children is bestowed upon him (by virtue thereof). He basks accordingly in the perceived cloudless serenity of his states, elevation of his rank, high-valued nature of his resources, mutual proximity of his assets, radiant blossoming of the meadows in his plot, alternation of the branches of the surroundings’ social affability and his intimate joy, when, lo! destruction seizes the houses, loss of the beloved befalls him, the assets smile in the grasp of desolation’s hand, and he makes off with those assets he places his greatest hopes on, which he is in most need of, and which he is most pleased with and yearns for the most as they fill him with the most extensive delight. On this meaning al-Maghribi wrote: “We lost it when it reached its perfection and exulted in sublimity / Like that is the eclipse of the full moon when its cycle is completed.”

7) His Statement ﷺ: [B]ut then becomes dry chaff scattered by the winds (18:44).

If such alteration mentioned therein is due to some calamity occasioning crop damage, then this verse and the one after it converge in conveying the same meaning. If, on the other hand, this is that variety of crop the grains of which put out germinal seeds with plentiful covering peel, and then it becomes dry chaff scattered by the winds or an ephemeral entity that vanishes away, by which the earth manifests its generosity and intermittently pushes forth with, that would be a metaphor in His uniquely wonderful mode of expression, namely, the next such wonder of eloquence:

8) That if the slave takes from wealth the quantum that fulfils the need of his livelihood, consuming the rest in appetites of the self, such wealth is vanished into non-existence in respect of this World, it is dry chaff, and its owner, because of it, becomes blamed, his time turning into something reprehensible;

9) From the viewpoint of reminders, the crops of varying colors, which then
wither and you see them turning yellow and then He makes them into broken stubble, shed alerting light on the differing states a crop goes through from its creation to its growth and its eventual coming into man’s hands, from its first formation, that is, until its demise. As a planted seed does not send out its crop except after a phase of desiccation, likewise a person’s action is not good unless and until he coaches and tames his self, and removes before he is reverted to the meanest age, i.e., the condition of weakness in one’s vital forces, and debilitation of the organs. The Prophet used to say: “O Allah, I seek refuge in You from being reverted to the lowest phase in a man’s lifespan.” As for the metaphor of the Prophet to the effect that this World educates man, its meaning is manifest. One of the virtuous people, in turn, likened this World to the corpse which (even) dogs refrain from, saying:

It is nothing but a converted corpse
over which are dogs whose concern is to entice it
If you avoid it you are at peace with those suited thereto
But if you allure it and win it over, the dogs will dispute with you over it, and attempt to wrest it from you
Blessedness to the self fond of the depth of its home
the doors whereof are shut and the curtains of which are lowered.

The aforesaid verses were penned by al-Shāfi‘i, Allah have Mercy on him.

Muslim has reported on the authority of Abū Hurayrah that he said: The Messenger of Allah said: “This World is the prison of the mu‘min and the Garden of the kāfir.”

This World is a prison because in it the mu‘min is fettered by the restrictions imposed by divinely legislated obligations. He thus has no freedom of movement or stillness save insofar as the law makes him room for that, thereby loosening the shackle on him and enabling him to carry out an action or abstain from it, as the case might be, with all the varieties of affliction and ordeal entailed for him by the above. In addition, in this prison he experiences the utmost degree of fear and alarming anxiety, since he does not know which action is going to seal off his earthly life. The kāfir, by contrast, is set loose from such obligations, is safe from those sources of alarm, devotes himself to his pleasures, persistently pursues his appetites with eager earnestness, and is deceived by the (apparent) assistance lent him by the passing of the days, eating and enjoying himself in the manner of beasts, until he soon awakens from these dreams and ends up in the unwanted prison.

A story: Sahil al-Ṣū‘līkī, the Ḥanāfī jurist from the region of Khurāsān, used to conjoin leadership in matters of din and dunyā alike. One day, while with his escort of attendants, he was in the heater of a public bath, a Jew in tatters, exiting his hostel slowly, came forward to him and said: “Are you not those
narrating from your Prophet ﷺ that this World is the prison of the believer and the Garden of the unbeliever who covers up the truth? I, for that matter, am a kāfīr slave, yet you see what my condition is, whereas you are a mu‘min and your condition is in front of your eyes.” Sahl al-Ṣu‘līkī intuitively replied to him at once: “If you end up tomorrow in Allāh’s punishment, this one is your Garden, and if I end up in Allāh’s bliss and in His satisfaction, this one is my prison.” People were astonished by his sharp understanding and expressive proficiency. This narration is very authentic.

As for the hasan hadith (on this issue), the following has been related: “This World is the mu‘min’s prison and barren land. When he departs from this World he departs from the prison and the arid soil.” Abū Bakr b. al-ʿArabī mentioned it in his book Sirāj al-muridīn.224

Al-Tirmidhī reported on the authority of (Abdallāh) b. Mas‘ūd ﷺ that he said: The Messenger of Allāh ﷺ said: “Be ashamed vis-à-vis Allāh to the degree that is proper.” We said: “Messenger of Allāh, we do display modest shame vis-à-vis Allāh, praise be to Allāh.” He said: “That is not it. The true measure of shame vis-à-vis Allāh is to guard the head and the real understanding it encompasses, to guard the stomach and what it contains, and to remember death and testing affliction. Whoever desires the Other World should forgo the adornment of this World. The one who does so has verily showed the true measure of shame vis-à-vis Allāh.”245 He commented: Hadith gharīb.

Allāh ﷺ has said, elucidating the meaning of the adornment of this World: We made everything on the earth adornment for it (18:7). He also said: Wealth and sons are the embellishment of the life of the dunyā (18:45).246 He further said: To mankind the love of worldly appetites is painted in glowing colors: women and children (3:14).247

A statement comparable thereto from the Sunnah is his saying ﷺ “This World is (like) sweet, succulently tender and fresh greenery, and Allāh has appointed you as vicegerents in it in order to see how you act”248, as well as his saying ﷺ “What I fear most for you is the flower of this World which Allāh issues forth for you.” They asked: “And what is the flower of this World?” He replied: “The delightful produce of the earth.”249 Muslim reported the aforesaid pair of state-
ments from the narration of Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī.

The meaning is that this World is something deemed pleasant in the tasting thereof, which delights with its guise the way the likeable date pleases the one looking at it. Yet Allāh has tested His slaves by it to see which of them is best in action, i.e., which of them does away with it\(^5\) and renounces it the most, in conformity with what we have mentioned hereabove. The slave has no route to some of what Allāh has created as adornment save by His power over it.\(^6\)

Because of that, ʿUmar  used to say, based on what al-Bukhārī has reported: “O Allāh, we are incapable of rejoicing in what You have adorned for us. O Allāh, I ask you to enable me to spend it in what is entitled thereto as its due.” He thus supplicated Allāh to help him spend it appropriately.

In the same semantic vein is his statement ʿ: “Whoever takes it spontaneously is blessed in it, and whoever appropriates it by deliberate self-management\(^7\) is like the one who eats without being satiated.” The latter is the one who collects an abundant supply of this World, and who is not contented with the portion thereof that falls to his lot, since his concern is to gather it (to the maximum degree possible). That is the upshot of a lack of understanding about Allāh and His Messenger. In his state, faith is put to the test and safety is predominantly missing, as it has been previously remarked. Victory is for the one who submits (to the will of Allāh) and is granted a sufficient modicum of sustenance, Allāh placing in his heart contentment with what He has provided him with.\(^8\)

---

**Chapter 13 (40)**

**The Prophet’s Statement: “Whoever Loathes My Sunnah Is Not of Me”**

Al-Bukhārī and Muslim reported from Anas (b. Mālik), the wording being that of al-Bukhārī, that he said: Three groups of people came to the apartments of the wives of the Prophet  asking questions about the Prophet’s worship. When they were informed about how it was, it was as if they were disputing it. They said: “Where do we stand in comparison with the Prophet  He has been forgiven all his earlier errors and any later ones.” One of them said: “As for me, I spend the whole night in prayer every day.” Another one said: “I fast all days without ever leaving one day for the diurnal partaking of food.” A third one said: “And I stay away from women without ever getting married.” The Messenger of Allāh  came and said: “Are you the ones who said this and that? By Allāh, I certainly fear Allāh the most and circumspectly guard myself by what is pleasing to Him more than any of you. Yet I fast and do not fast, I pray and take a break from prayer, and I marry women. Whoever loathes my Sunnah is not of me.”\(^9\)

Muslim has reported on the authority of Sa‘īd b. Abī Waqqās that he said: ‘Uthmān b. Maz‘ūn\(^10\) wanted to remain celibate, so the Prophet  forbade him that. Had it been permissible for him [Sa‘īd commented], we would have followed his example and curbed our desire for women.

Al-Tirmidhī al-Ḥakīm, Abū ‘Abdallāh, reported in his book Nawādir al-ṣūl, on the authority of Sa‘īd b. al-Musayyib, that he said: ‘Uthmān b. Maz‘ūn came to the Messenger of Allāh  and said: “O Messenger of Allāh, the self’s whisper-
ing talk has overcome me, so I deemed it right not to embark on any new step until I mentioned that to you.” The Messenger of Allah ﷺ asked him: “And what does your self say to you, ’Uthmân?” He said: “My self tells me to adopt celibacy.” He said: “Take it easy, ’Uthmân. The renunciation33 of my ummah is fasting.” He said: “Messenger of Allah, my self tells me to lead a monastic life on a mountain summit.” He said: “Take it easy, ’Uthmân. The monasticism of my ummah is to sit in the mosques and wait for the prayer.” He said: “Messenger of Allah, my self instructs me by words to roam around in the earth.” He said: “Take it easy, ’Uthmân. The roaming around of your ummah is to conduct military raids in the path of Allah, and to perform the hajj and the umrah.” He said: “Messenger of Allah, my self tells me to give up my entire wealth.” He said: “Take it easy, ’Uthmân. Your giving out sadaqah every day, holding yourself and your family back (from full self-gratification), having mercy on the destitute and on the orphan, and feeding the latter, is better than that (which you have mentioned).” He said: “Messenger of Allah, my self says to me that I should divorce my wife Khawlah and flee.” He said: “Take it easy, ’Uthmân. Migration in my ummah is to migrate away from what Allah has prohibited or to make hijrah to where I am during my lifetime, or to visit my grave after I die, even if any such member of my nation were to die leaving behind two or three or four wives.” He said: “Messenger of Allah, you have enjoined me not to divorce her, but in its conversation my self exhorts me not to cohabit with her.” He said: “Take it easy, ’Uthmân. Whenever the Muslim man cohabits with his wife or one whom his right hand possesses, without any progeny resulting from such intercourse, he will have a valet serving him in the Garden; and if that very same intercourse begets a child, he will be for him, on the Day of Rising, a predecessor who precedes him in entering it and an intercessor for him if such child dies before him, or a light for him if he passes away after him.” He said: “Messenger of Allah, my self tells me not to touch perfume.” He said: “Take it easy, ’Uthmân. [the angel] Jibrîl brought to me perfume from the Garden at constant intervals, and said: ‘Do not forget to put it on every Friday.’ ’Uthmân, do not loathe my Sunnah. Whoever loathes my Sunnah and renounces it, and then dies before seeking forgiveness for that, the angels will turn his face away from my pool238 on the Day of Rising.”339

It has become clear to you, brother, from what has been mentioned both in this chapter and in the antecedent ones, that renouncing the permissible things and forbidding the good kinds of provision is not part of zuhd.

Allah ﷺ has said: ‘Who has forbidden the fine clothing Allah has produced for His slaves and the good kinds of provision?’ (7:30).440

He also said ﷺ: ‘Messengers, eat of the good things and act rightly (23:52).441

He further said ﷺ: ‘We sent them Messengers before you and gave them wives and children (13:39).442

The verses conveying this meaning are aplenty.

If it were to be said: It has been narrated from Jâbir (b. ’AbdAllâh) that he said: “My family desired meat, so I purchased some for them. On my way from buying it I chanced upon ’Umar b. al-Khaṭṭâb ﷺ. What is this, Jâbir?” I informed him of the aforesaid, whereupon he commented: ‘Does that mean that whenever one of you443 desires something he puts it in his stomach? Are you444 not afraid to be one of the people referred to in this verse: You dissipated the good things you had in your worldly life?”’ (46:19).445 The reply thereto would be as follows: This is a reprimand to him by ’Umar for liberal self-expansion in this World and, through the purchase of meat, for departing from the crude nourishing basis of bread and water (only), as al-Tirmidhî has narrated from ’Uthmân (b. ‘Affân) in the aforementioned report. Natural dispositions deteriorate, and habit settles in on an ongoing basis, by busying oneself with the pursuit of the good kinds of provision that are lawful. When such a nature and such a habit lose such things, they succumb to the impulse of acquiring them by dubious means until they fall into the forbidden pure and simple, with a concomitant worsening of the self that constantly commands evil, such a jump being the predominant usual development of the aforesaid. Accordingly, ’Umar grabbed the matter at its source, and protected him at its point of inception, the way the likes of ’Umar are wont to do.

‘All, ﷺ has indeed stated, when the falsâdghaj was brought to him: “I do not declare it to be prohibited. I dislike, though, to accustom my self to a habit it had not developed.”

The judge Abû Bakr b. al-‘Arabî has said: What precisely defines this discourse for you, and establishes its guiding principle in a balanced way, is that the man of zuhd eats whatever he finds, whether pleasant or plain, without forcing himself to seek out and stick to the pleasant. The Prophet ﷺ used to eat his fill
whenever he found it, and exercise patient restraint if he did not. He used to eat sweetmeats if he had the opportunity, and partake of honey if that happened for him. And he used to eat meat when that was made easy for him without habitually eating it or making that his customary practice. The livelihood of the Prophet ﷺ is well-known, and the usual way of the companions with food has been transmitted down to us.

As for the present times, when the unlawful has gained the ascendancy, and worldly vanities have spread corruption, salvation is hard. Allâh grants the gift of salvation, and helps attain it. He is the supporting protector and the guarding defender of the truthful admonisher.

It is unbecoming to leave out mention of the mas'âlah taking the form of a complementary coda to this book, rather, understanding and learning it is indispensable.

What the people of knowledge have said about it encompasses what we have narrated to the effect that the Imam Abû 'Umar b. 'Abd al-Barr, may Allâh show mercy on him, said, upon being informed of the criticism levelled at him by a group of people from Shâhibah about his eating the food of the political authorities and accepting their monetary rewards:

Tell the one who mentions my eating from the food of the rulers:
In this ignorance of yours
you're in the position of the fools.

That is so since emulating the righteous models from among the companions, the followers, those firmly rooted in knowledge and the Muslim leaders of fawa'id from the bygone generations, is in fact the fundament and essential prerequisite of the din.

Zayd b. Thâbit, who was among those firmly rooted in knowledge, used to accept the monetary rewards of Mu'âwiyyah and of his son Yazîd. And ['Abdallâh] Ibn 'Umar, with all his scrupulousness and virtue, used to accept the gifts of his in-law al-Mukhtâr b. Abî 'Ubaydillâh (sic), as well eating his food and taking his monetary rewards, despite the fact that al-Mukhtâr (literally meaning: the choice one) was anything but choice.

'Abdallâh b. Mas'ûd, who was filled with knowledge, replied as follows to a man who had asked him the following: "I have a neighbor transacting in usury and not refraining from the unlawful in his earnings, who invites me to partake of his food and whose invitation I accept. Is it correct for me to do so?" "Yes ('Abdallâh b. Mas'ûd said), for you the felicitous swallowing of what agrees with your nature and for him the sin, so long as you do not positively identify the very thing partaken of as an unlawfully acquired wealth."

As for 'Uthmân b. Affân ﷺ; he said, when asked about the rewarding grants of the rulers: savory gazelle meat.

Al-Sha'bi, one of the foremost followers from among their men of knowledge, used to discipline the sons of 'Abd al-Mâlik b. Marwân, yet he would accept his grants and partake of his food.

Ibrâhîm al-Nakha'i, the rest of the scholars of al-Kûfâh, al-Hasan al-Bâsîr (his zuhd and scrupulousness in the din notwithstanding), together with the remainder of the people of knowledge in al-Bâshrah, Abû Salamah b. 'Abd al-Rahmân and Abân b. 'Uthmân, as well as all the seven fuqahâ' of al-Madinah, with the exception of Sa'id b. al-Musayyib, used to accept the grants of the political rulers.

Ibn Shâhâb (al-Zuhârî) used to accept them, too, and dispose of them. They represented the bulk of his earnings. Likewise with Abû al-Zinâd. And Mâlik, Abû Yûsuf, al-Shâhî and other jurists from al-'Irâq and al-Hijâz, also used to accept the allowances of the sultans and the amirs.

Regardless of his scrupulousness and virtue, Sufyân al-Thawrî used to mention that the grants of the political authority were dearer to him than the gifts of the friendly brothers (in the din), since the fraternal coreligionists, unlike the political ruler, would accompany their munificence by demands for gratitude (yamnnûn). Several such sayings, which people have strewn together in full chapters of books, have originated with our virtuous men of knowledge.

Ahmad b. Khâlid, the jurist and savant of al-Andalus, authored a book which he devoted to his own situation, filling it with the various derogatory attacks against him by his fellow townsmen arising out of his acceptance of 'Abd al-Rahmân al-Nâshir's grants. 'Abd al-Rahmân al-Nâshir, in fact, had him move to the city center of Cordova, lodged him in one of the houses attached to his primary mosque, drew him close to him, and maintained him with basic food and condiments, as well as with security personnel. Ahmad b. Khâlid and his likes had monetary shares apportioned to them in the public treasury (bayt al-
mâl), and the aforementioned sultan was personally responsible for ensuring that such allotments would not get mixed up.

As 'Abdallâh b. Mas'âd said, for you the felicitous swallowing of what agrees with your nature and for him the sin, so long as you do not positively identify the very thing partaken of as an unlawfully acquired wealth. This is something the veracity of which has been established by the consensus of opinions among the prominent people of knowledge: Whenever one knows that a specific thing is illicit, having been appropriated in an unlawful manner, such as a particular unit of bread or some other foodstuff or an individualized livestock or some other demarcated thing that has been usurped, stolen or seized by plainly unjust means beyond any obfuscating doubt, no one differs concerning the fact that it is forbidden, that the testimony of the one partaking of it is rejected since he is not an admissible witness of integrity, and that receiving or acquiring ownership over such a thing is similarly proscribed. I know of no follower who scrupulously stayed away from the allowances of the political rulers save for the dual exception of Sa'd b. al-Musayyib in al-Madinah and of Mu'âammad b. Sirin in al-Baṣrah, both of whom have been elevated to the rank of paradigms of utmost scrupulousness. One counts, among those who followed in their footsteps, Ahmad b. Hanbal and the people of zuhd, scrupulousness in the din and asceticism, may Allâh have mercy on all of them.

To exercise zuhd in this World is one of the most meritorious virtues. Yet it is not permissible for one whom Allâh has granted success to, and who has done without in this World, to declare what Allâh has permitted of it to be prohibited. How astonishingly odd are the people of this time who decry and find fault with the dubious things while declaring the forbidden things to be lawful. Their likeness in my view is that of the people who asked 'Abdallâh b. 'Umar about the person wearing the ihâm who kills the locusts and lice, whereupon he said to such questioners: “From where are you?” They replied: “From the inhabitants of al-Kūfah.” He commented: “You ask about this and yet you are the ones who killed al-Husayn b. 'Ali!”

('Abdallâh) Ibn 'Umar narrated from the Prophet ﷺ that he said: “Take whatever comes to you without you asking for it, and make it your own.”45 This hadith has also been narrated from Ibn 'Umar from the Prophet ﷺ: “Eat whatever comes to you without you asking for it, and make it your own.”

Abû Sa'id al-Khudrî and Jâbir b. 'Abdallâh have both narrated from the Prophet ﷺ not literally but in terms of its meaning: “It is but a provision which Allâh has bestowed upon you,” in the hadith of one of the two of them. The wording of some of the narrators thereof is: “The provision of Allâh is not returned to Him.”

The aforementioned, in its full compass, is built on what they had unanimous consensus about, and it is the truth.44

I said: This is the end of Abû 'Umar (b. 'Abd al-Barr')'s speech, may Allâh shower him with Mercy.

In his book al-Ma'âlim,45 a commentary on Abû Dâwûd's Sunan, al-Khattâbî, Abû Sulaymân, alluded to the aforementioned in the course of his clarifying speech about his statement ﷺ: “The lawful is clear and the prohibited is clear,”46 where he said at the end of his elucidation of this hadith: Within the folds of this discourse one tackles the case of dealing with someone whose wealth is tainted by some obfuscating doubt about its lawfulness or which is mixed with usury. The preferred view is to keep away from dealing with such a person in favor of dealing with other than him. There is no forbidden action on our part, however, if we deal with him so long as no certainty prevails that the very same object of the interaction is unlawful and that, accordingly, the context of its public production is unlawful.

The Messenger of Allâh ﷺ gave his armor in pledge to a Jew in exchange for measures47 of barley which he took into his possession for the daily nourishment of his family.48 It is known that they (the Jews) charge usury in their trading transactions and view the price fetched by intoxicants as lawful. It follows from the above that it is disallowed for one who believes in Allâh and the Last Day to prohibit what Allâh has made permissible without knowledge and discerning insight. The following statement by Hishâm b. 'Amrân is linked to what has been stated hereabove, confirming it emphatically and entrenching it more decisively:

I came to Mâlik b. Anas in al-Madinah while he was sitting at the center of a mattress he was sunk in. Some euchuns, wielding fly swatters whereby they protected him from flies, were standing over his shoulders. I said: “O Abû 'Abdallâh,”49 narrate to me some Prophetic sayings.” Mâlik said (to his attendants): “Seat him comfortably.”50 Hishâm said: “I was thus carried to a place in
front of him, so I turned my attention to him, saying: 'O Abū 'Abdallâh, by the One whom you ask to show mercy to your abased standing in front of Him, should you not show mercy to my abased standing in front of you?' He (Mâlik) said: 'Take him back (where he was).'” He then narrated to me nineteen Prophetic sayings  سبحانه وتعالى.

The Imâm Abū Hâmid (al-Ghazâlî), in the section about the cure of glorious feats and commendable deeds from his book Minhâj al-‘âbidîn, الجزء_third mentioned the following:

“If it were to be said: What does one assert concerning the acceptance of the rulers’ grants in this epoch? The reply to such question would be as follows: ‘Know that the views of the people of knowledge have differed in this regard. One group claimed that it is permissible to take whatever is not known for certain to be an unlawful property. Another group alleged that one is not allowed to take anything that is not known for sure to be a lawful asset, given that the forbidden is what is dominant among rulers in this age, and that the permissible in their possession is a precious rarity or non-existent. Yet a third group affirmed that the gifts of the rulers are licit for both the poor and the rich, provided their prohibited nature is not an established fact, and that any responsibility attaches to the grantor only.” They say in support of their opinion: Because the Prophet سلاله عليه وسلم accepted the gift of the king of Alexandria, and borrowed from the Jew despite the statement of Allâh سبحانه وتعالى: They are people who listen to lies (5:42). A number (of companions), inter alia Abû Hurayrah, (Abdallâh) Ibn ‘Abbâs and (Abdallâh) Ibn ‘Umar, lived long enough to witness the age of the unjust rulers. As for a fourth group, they averred that none of their properties was permissible for either the poor or the rich, since they became opulent (precisely) through injustice, and their prevalent condition was one of ill-gotten gains and ownership of the unlawful, whence the ineluctable obligation of steering clear (of their wealth).

A further group contended that whatever is not known to be surely unlawful is permissible for the poor but not the rich man, save insofar as the poor man knows that the very property given as a grant has been usurped, in which event he is only entitled to take it for the purpose of returning it to its rightful owner (it has been usurped from). In such matter, no tight restriction stands in the way of the poor man who entertains no doubt about its lawfulness. He can take such property, even if it falls part of the war-booty acquired without actual fighting (al-jây), the land tax (al-kharîj) or what is levied on the lands whose proprietors embrace Islam at a time when they enjoy the ownership thereof (al-‘ushr or al-‘ushrî). The poor man has in fact an entrenched right to it (in any case). The same is true of the qualified devotees of knowledge. ‘Ali b. Abî Tâlib ﷺ said: ‘Whoever embraces Islam in a famished state and reads the Qur’ân has a lawful entitlement to an annual share of 100 silver coins from the Muslims’ public treasury.’ مالك مالك and Dhârî said: ‘If he does not take receipt of it in this World he will do so in the Next World.’ Given the aforementioned, the poor man and the scholar merely take exactly what is due to them (in the first place).

They have also said: If the wealth in question is mixed with usurped one, with no possibility of differentiation between the two, or it is the very fruit of usurpation that can be returned neither to its rightful owner (it has been usurped from) nor to his offspring, the ruler cannot escape from disposing of it personally as his sole option. It is not for Allâh to command him to give it in zadâgh to the indigent man, when such destitute person is forbidden from taking receipt thereof, or to command him to grant the poor man permission to accept it, when such property is unlawful for the poor man.

In short, therefore, the poor man is extended the right to accept any such property except the very usurped asset that is unlawful, which he cannot receive into his possession.”

Abdallâh Muhammad al-Mâlikî stated in his work Akhkhâm al-Qur’ân:

“With regard to taking the unjust rulers’ provisions, know that they fall under three categories:

1) All the property in their hands has been acquired in accordance with the shari‘ah. In such case, receiving any of it is permissible. The companions and the followers received wealth at the hands of al-Hajjâj and others;

2) It is a blend of the lawful and the ill-gotten, as is the wealth of today’s rulers. The scrupulous approach is not to take any such provision. It is however permitted to the needy person to receive it, since in his hands it is pure and unadulterated. ‘Masrûq said: In this category falls the wholesome and lawful wealth which a man entrusts to another as his agent, whereupon a thief comes, and then gives out some of it in zadâgh, the stolen property in itself not being known in this scenario (in which instance the needy man can lawfully
take receipt of such *ṣadaqah*. In like manner, if he were to sell or purchase it, the contract of sale regarding such article would be legally valid and binding. Scrupulousness, however, lies in avoiding association with such (mixed) wealth, since wealth acquires its status of unlawfulness in its generality, not on the basis of its single constituent units;

3) Whatever is in their hands is ill-gotten and unlawful. It is impermissible to take any of it. Or what is in their hands is usurped wealth the rightful owner whereof is unknown and which no claimant is laying a claim to, as is the case with what is found in the possession of thieves and robbers. It is stored in the public treasury, and as much time as possible is granted for its (rightful) claimant to come forward. If, however, its owner is unknown and remains so after the lapse of a reasonable time, the political leader disposes of it in utilities aimed at benefiting the well-being of the Muslims."

I said: This is the statement of the people of knowledge that I came across in this *mas'alah*. All of them concur as to the impermissibility of acquiring or receiving individually demarcated property of undiluted unlawfulness. Concerning whatever belongs to a different category, forgoing it represents the scrupulous option.

We have laid out this meaning before in sufficient detail, in the twelfth chapter (of this overall work). Herein we cast additional light on this subject, the proper place and time for mention whereof being herein. Praise for that is Allaah's. There is no Lord and no worshipped one but He, Exalted and Glorified above any association is He. To Allaah belong the praise and the gracious kindness. Thankfulness is owed to Him for the blessings that He beneficently willed and conferred, and His is the merit for whatever is understood and embraced by knowledge. Allaah has sent blessings and peace upon Muhammad, his slave and Prophet, and He has lauded and exalted (him).

This book was completed by the praise and assistance of Allaah. Praise be to Allaah, the Lord of all the worlds. Allaah, send blessings on Muhammad whenever the people of remembrance mention him, and whenever the neglectful neglect to remember him.

---

**APPENDIX ONE**

**THE ABDĀL**

"The *abdāl* in this ummah are thirty men. Their hearts are on the heart of Ibrāhīm, the intimate friend of the Merciful. Whenever one such man passes away, Allaah puts another man in his place, as his substitute." This hadith has been narrated on the authority of ‘Ubaidah b. al-Ṣāmit. Ahmad reported it in his *Musnad*. Al-Haythami said that the transmitters in the chain of this hadith were transmitters of authentic narrations, save for ‘Abd al-Wahid b. Qays on whom the views of evaluators of transmitters differed [al-’Ijli and Abū Zur’ah pronounced him to be reliable, whereas others declared him weak].

In *al-ṣāhi‘* al-ṣāhir, al-Suyūṭi referred to it with the mark symbolizing an authentic (ṣahih) hadith. In the famous commentary on such collection of narrations, *tāyid al-qādīr*, the great Egyptian scholar and Sufi al-Munawwī said that the word *abdāl* was the plural of *hadal*. Allaah the Exalted singled them out by bestowing on them certain qualities specific to them. For example, they lean in their states on Allaah without stirring motion. Another peculiar characteristic is their refinement and good character traits. It has been mentioned that they have been given the name *abdāl* because, when they are absent, spiritual forms standing in their places substitute for them. A path to Allaah the Exalted has been opened to them which accords to the path of Ibrāhīm ˹和平 upon him. Another recension says: "Their hearts are upon the heart of a single man." Al-Hakim (al-Tirmidhi) said the only reason for that was that their hearts have become oblivious of everything but Him, so they became connected to Him with a single, unified connection. They have thus become as if they are one and the same heart.
He (Ibn al-'Arabî) said in al-Futūḥât that his statement here "on the heart of Ibrâhîm," the one in another narration on the heart of Adam ṭabûn, and his saying concerning others on the heart of one of the great human beings or angels, all those utterances bear the meaning that they move around in the fields of Divine gnosia by the heart of such creature (man or angel). That is so the Divine sciences come upon the hearts of all loci. Every knowledge, therefore, that descends on the heart of such a majestic angel or human likewise descends on the hearts of such men who are set on his heart. One possibly says in Arabic: So-and-so is upon the foot of so-an-so. Its connotation is what has been mentioned by the Sufi litterateur al-Qâyûrî al-Rûmî as having been said by the gnostic Ibn al-'Arabî: 'He said "on the heart of Ibrâhîm" ṭabûn, because sainthood or wilâyâh is absolute or restricted. The absolute one is the complete sainthood incorporating all the partial dimensions of sainthood, one by one and embracing all the single components of sainthood. The manifestation of both the partial and the complete sainthood is something that is sought after and required. All the varieties of sainthood of the prophets have manifested themselves in this nation by inheritance from them. That is why in this narration he said here "on the heart of Ibrâhîm" ṭabûn, and in another hadith "on the heart of Mâsaid" ṭabûn, or on the heart of so-and-so or on that of our Prophet Muḥammad ṭabûn, the possessor of the complete sainthood, as his is the perfected circle of full wilâyâh. That is so because the inward dimension of that perfect prophethood is the complete and absolute sainthood. Since the sainthood of every prophet has its manifestation in this nation, one of the graces of the prophets is to have in this nation those who are upon the heart of one of the prophets.'

As for the last sentence of the hadith, "[w]henover one such man passes away, Allâh puts another man in his place, as his substitute," it is for that they have been named abdâl, meaning substitutes. Another possible interpretation of the word is that they replaced their evil character traits and disciplined themselves by training themselves until the virtuous aspects of their character became the decoration of their actions.

The outward indication of the speeches of the experts of haqîqah is that the ranks of those thirty men vary. The gnostic (Abû al-'Abbâs) al-Mursî al-``âlî also said: 'I was sitting in front of my teacher al-Shâihilî when a group of people came to visit him. He (al-Shâihilî) said: Those are abdâl. I cast a look with my inner eye without perceiving them to be abdâl, so I plunged into a state of confusion. Then the Shaykh (al-Shâihilî) said: The one whose evil actions are turned into good actions as a substitute for the former, he is a badal. I thus understood that that was the first degree of badaliyyah or the state of being a badal.'

Ibn `Asâkir (the great haqîq who inter alia authored the encyclopaedic Târîkh madina Dimashq, or The history of Damascus) reported that Ibn al-Muthannî asked Ahmad ibn Hanbal: What do you say about (the great Sufi) Bishr al-Hâfî ibn al-`Ârahî? He replied: 'The fourth-ranked of seven abdâl.'

There are of course several other prophetic narrations about the abdâl, five of which are enumerated in the said work by al-Suyûtî and elucidated by the commentators thereon such as Abû al-Ra`îf al-Munâwi. In addition, the various implications of the term have been expatiated upon in general Sufi texts, and in treatises devoted to Sufi terminology particularly or the technical lexicon of Islamic sciences generally, such as al-Jurjâni's al-Ta`rifât, al-Munâwi's own corrigendum and compendium to the same opus, as well as the Indian scholar al-Tâhânâvi's Kashf al-mustalahât al-funun. These naturally cover the many accounts and anecdotes concerning the lives, states, characteristics, sayings, and deeds of famous abdâl renowned as part of such an elite group of His friends.
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BIOGRAPHICAL NOTATIONS

The following are brief biographical notations of the persons cited in this text. Names are alphabetized as they appear in the text, disregarding diacritical marks and the Arabic definite article al.

ABĀN B. 'UTHMĀN
Abān b. 'Uthmān b. 'Affān al-Umawī al-Qurashi, Abū Sa‘īd or Abū 'Abdallāh (d. 105 AH). He was a trustworthy Madinan follower and the caliph's son; he transmitted narrations from his father, Zayd b. Thābit, and Usāmah b. Zayd. Abū al-Zinād and al-Zuhri were among those who took hadith from him. He was a reliable transmitter, with a solid understanding of the fiqh of hadith; Yahyā al-Qaṭṭān counted him as one of the seven fiqah of al-Madinah, the city where he was born and died. He was struck with some deafness and leprosy, and one year prior to his death (during the rule of Yazid b. 'Abd al-Malik), he became semi-paralyzed, so he used to be carried to the mosque on a litter. He was the first to write on the subject of the Prophet's biography and the Prophet's military expeditions, committing his contribution thereto to a written memorial. He handed his writing thereon to Sulaymān b. 'Abd al-Malik in the course of his hajj in the year 82 AH, but in Sulaymān's care it was destroyed. What he wrote included jesting anecdotes, some of which were quoted by Abū al-Faraj al-Ashbāni, the author of al-Aṣḥāb. He participated in the Battle of the Camel on 'A'ishah's side and was given prominent influence by the Umayyads, a circumstance inclusive of his appointment as governor of al-Madinah between 72 and 83 AH Malik b. Anas is reported as saying that Abān knew some of his father's judgments and was the teacher of 'Abdallāh, Abū Bakr's son. Aḥmad b. Ḥanbal denied that he
directly heard any hadith from his father, yet his hadith transmission in Muslim's *Sahih* explicitly affirms such firsthand reception of narrations from his father.

'ABD AL-MALIK B. MARWĀN

'Abd al-Malik b. Marwān b. al-Ḥakam al-Umawī al-Qurashi (268–86 AH), one of the mightiest and cleverest caliphs, was a *faqīḥ*, devout worshipper, and pious ascetic of vast knowledge; he grew up in al-Madīnah.

'ABD AL-RAḤMĀN AL-NAṢĪR

'Abd al-Raḥmān b. Muḥammad al-Nāṣir al-Marwānī al-Umawī, Abū Muḥarrīf (288–350 AH). He was the first to take the designation of khāliḍ (in his own right, rather than the title amir) among the Umayyad rulers in al-Andalus; he was a descendant of 'Abd al-Raḥmān al-Dākhil (the first such ruler, i.e., the one who entered). He had in fact realized the weakness of al-Muṭāṭir al-Abbaḍ in 'Irāq. His self-designation "al-Nāṣir li Dīn Allāh" (the Supporter of Allāh’s Dīn) was appropriated by his successors. He was born and died in Cordova, and grew up as an orphan; he lost his father at the age of twenty-one and was brought up by his grandfather. His uncles, on account of his grandfather’s special affection for him, were the first to swear allegiance to him. He was judicious, clever, full of praiseworthy virtues, a great peacemaker, and strongly ambitious as well. He devoted his energies to military conquests, urban development, and the erection of monuments. He built the city of al-Zahrā’. A famous historian called him the most majestic Umayyad ruler in Islamic Spain.

'ABD AL-RAZZAQ

'Abd al-Razzāq b. Ḥamān b. Nāfi’ al-Ḥimyar (by *wala‘*), Abū Bakr al-Ṣanā‘ī (a noun of ascription to the largest Yemeni city), (126–211 AH) He was a master of hadith transmission, used by all the authors of *al-Sittah*, about whom ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd b. Ḥanbal said he had seen no one better than him in hadith. He transmitted *inter alia* from Mālik, al-Awzā‘, and the two Sufyānīs, al-Thawrī and Ibn ʿUyayrah, the latter being one of his *shaykhs*, who in turn transmitted from 'Abd al-Raẓzaq. Maʿmar said he was worthy of people beating the lives of the camels to travel to him and drink from his knowledge.

Biographical Notations

'ABDALLĀH MUḤAMMAD AL-MĀLĪK


'ABDALLĀH B. NUMAYR


'ABDALLĀH B. SHADDĀD

'Abdallāh b. Shaddād b. al-Hād al-Laythī al-Madānī, Abū al-Walīd (d. 82 AH). He was used by all the compilers of *al-Sittah* and transmitted from most of the leading companions. His mother was the sister of Asmā’, one of the reliable vanguards of knowledge and right action from the generation of the followers. The stronger view is that he was not killed (in the year 81 AH), but that the horses he and Ibn Abī Laylā were riding plunged themselves into water, and they could no longer be found.

'ABDALLĀH B. AL-ZUBAYR

'Abdallāh b. al-Zubayr (1–73 AH). He was the full brother of ʿUrwah b. al-Zubayr b. al-Awwām. His kunya was Abū Bakr. He was Quraysh’s horseman during his lifetime, and the first to be born in al-Madīnah after the hijrah. He took part in the conquest of northeast Africa under the caliphate of ʿUthmān. He was sworn in as caliph in the year 64 AH, in the aftermath of Yazīd’s death; he ruled over Egypt, the Hijāz, the Yemen, Kūraṣān, the ‘Irāq, and most of Greater Syria, making al-Madīnah the headquarters of his caliphate. Heavy battles occurred between him and the Umayyads, who eventually set al-Hajjāj out in pursuit in the time of ʿAbd al-Malik b. Marwān. ʿAbdallāh relocated to Makkah, whereas
al-Hajjāj’s troops encamped in al-Ṭā‘if. War broke out between the two sides, resulting in the dreadful assassination of ‘Abdallāh in Makkah after the bulk of his supporters deserted him. He fought heroically in his last battle, despite his very advanced age. His short-lived and tragic caliphate spanned seven years. He was the first to mint the circular silver coins. Such coins had writing on both sides, respectively: “Muḥammad al-Rasūlullāh” and “Allāh has commanded loyalty and justice.” Thirty-three abādthā from him are recorded in the known collections. He was a reputed khaṭīb, drawing comparisons with Abū Bakr in that regard.

ABŪ AL-ASWAD AL-DU‘ALĪ
Zālim b. ‘Amr b. Suwayh b. Jandal al-Du‘ālī al-Kinānī, Abū al-Aswād (1 BH-69 AH). He was the founder of Arabic grammar. He conjoined fiqh, nobility, political leadership, chivalry, and promptness of speech. He was counted among the followers. ‘Ali b. Abī Tālib commenced for him a small part of the foundational principles of grammar on a piece of writing material, and Abū al-Aswād elaborated on it, meeting with the approval of people who took from it, though the author of Siḥh al-‘aṣāḥ, al-Qalqashandī, ascribed to him no more than the introduction of the vowing and nunciation of words. He settled in al-Baṣrāh during the caliphate of ‘Umar and became the governor thereof during the rule of ‘Ali. He took part on ‘Ali’s side in the Battle of Siffin. When Mu‘āwiyah took over (al-Du‘ālī having endured as governor until the assassination of ‘Ali in al-Baṣrāh, where he died), he set out to meet Abū al-Aswād and honored him extensively. The majority view is that he was the first to place the diacritical marks on the letters of the mushaf of the Qurān. He wrote poems of exquisite taste, collected in a dīwān that has been published.

ABŪ AL-‘AṬĀHIYAH
Ismā‘īl b. al-Qāsim b. Suwayd al-‘Aynī al-Anaẓī (from the tribe ‘Anazah) by wala, Abū Ishāq known as Abū al-‘Atāhiyah (110–211 AH) was a copious writer of innovative poetry, quick-witted, who used to compose 250 verses in one day, so much so that the gathering of his entire opus is an impossible task. He died in Baghdad.

ABŪ AYYÚB
Abū Ayyūb al-Anṣārī was a great companion; his name was Khālid b. Zayd (d. 50 or 51 AH). He took part in the bay‘ah of al-‘Aqabah, in the battles of Badr, Uhud, al-Khandaq, and the other ones in the time of the Prophet. He passed away in Constantinople during the caliphate of Mu‘āwiyah.

ABŪ BAKR B. AL-‘ARABI
Muḥammad b. ‘Abdallāh b. Muḥammad al-Ma‘āfīrī (from the tribe whose progenitor was Ma‘āfīr b. Ya‘fur, the lineage of whom is ultimately traced to Qābṭān) al-Ishbīlī (from his native town Sevilla), Abū Bakr (468–543 AH). He was a great Andalusian judge, faqīh, muhaddith, mujaddid historian, and more. For a period, he was the chief judge of Sevilla. He moved to Morocco and died in the close vicinity of Fez, where he is buried. The historian Ibn Bashkuwāl called him the seal of the ‘ulamā’ of al-Andalus, and the last of its imams and hujjāzs. A small portion of his most distinguished historical-cum-theological and philosophical work, al-Awāṣim min al-qawāṣim, has been translated into English under the title Defence against disaster.

ABŪ AL-HASAN AL-LAḪMĪ
He is ‘Ali b. Muḥammad al-Raḥibī (d. 468 AH). He was from al-Qayrawān and settled in Sfax in present-day Tunisia. The Mālik biographer Ibn Farḥūn said about his work al-Tabīṣrāh: A vast gloss on Saḥnūn’s al-Mudawwana. A manuscript thereof is found in the library of the Great Mosque in Tāzah, Morocco, under the catalogue numbers 213, 14, 15, 219.

ABŪ IDRĪS AL-KHAWLĀNĪ (‘Ā’IDHULLAH B. ‘ABDALLĀH)
‘Alīdhullāh b. ‘Abdallāh b. ‘Amr, Abū Idrīs al-Khawlānī (8–80 AH), was a reliable hadith transmitter from the Followers, who was used as a narrator by all the compilers of the six canonical works on hadith. He transmitted from ‘Umar b. al-Khattāb, Abū al-Dardā’, Mu‘ādh b. Jabal, Abū Dharr, Bilāl, ‘Ubadah b. al-Sāmīt, Abū Hurayrah, Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī, and others. Al-Zuhrī was one of those who transmitted from him. He called him the relator and judge of Greater Syria during ‘Abd al-Malik’s caliphate. He was described further as the most knowledgeable savant of Greater Syria after Abū al-Dardā’. In terms of encountering the choicest companions of the Messenger of Allāh, Abū Zub‘ah said the best men of Greater Syria were Jubayr b. Nufayr and Abū Idrīs (al-Khawlānī).
ABŪ KABSHAH AL-ANMĀRĪ
Abū Kabshah al-Anmārī was a companion whose first name is the subject of discordant opinions among the genealogists, historians, and biographers. He settled in Greater Syria.

ABŪ KHALLĀD
Abū Khallād al-Ru‘aynī. In his biographical work on the companions, al-Isfahānī fi ma‘rifat al-ṣaḥāb, the hāfiz of the Islamic West from Cordova, Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said he could trace no first name or lineage for him.

ABŪ MAS‘ĪD
He is the companion al-Ansārī al-Badrī. See the “Book of zakāt” in al-Bukhārī’s Sahih, “Bāb ittaqū al-nāra wa law bi shiqqi tamaratin, wa al-qallī min al-ṣadaqah.” In it we first find a narration from Sulaymān (al-A‘mash) from Abū Wā’il from Abū Mas‘īd Ḥāfīz that he said: When the verse of ṣadaqah was revealed, we used to carry loads on our backs in exchange for a fee (exert ourselves in toiling effort which we bore patiently). A man came who gave out a large amount in ṣadaqah, and they said: ‘A show-off!’ Then another man came bringing only one sa‘i in ṣadaqah, and they commented: ‘Allāh is not in need of only one such sa‘i.’ Then the verse came down: As for the people who find fault with those mu‘minun who give ṣadaqah spontaneously [Sūrah al-Tawbah, 9:86 in the Warsh riwāyah, 79 in others].

ABŪ MUṬṬĪ MAKHūL B. AL-FAḌĪL AL-NASAFĪ
Makhūl b. al-Faḍīl al-Nasafī, Abū Muṭṭī (d. 318 AH) was a jurist who wrote a work on admonitions and counsels.

ABŪ QILĀBAH
‘Abdallāh b. Zayd b. ‘Amr, Abū Qilabah al-Jarmī al-Baṣrī (d. ca. 107 AH) was one of the savants who transmitted from Zaynab bint Umm Salamah and a large number of companions and followers. Khalid al-Hadīthī and many others transmitted from him. He had his office in Greater Syria, narrated many ābdīth, and was characterized by virtue, knowledge, judicial prowess, and according to Ibn Sa‘d, al-I‘jī, and others, reliability in his transmission. He was a Baṣrī follower, likewise utilized by all compilers of the six canonical collections.

ABŪ SALAMAH B. ‘ABD AL-RAHMĀN
Abū Salamah b. ‘Abd al-Rahmān b. ‘Awf al-Zuhrī (d. 94 or 104 AH) the son of the noble companion; he was one of the great Madinan jurists classified by some as the last of the seven ḫāqānī of al-Madinah. Al-Sha‘bī narrated the following: Abū Salamah came to al-Kūfah and was walking between me and a third man when he was asked: “Who is the more knowledgeable of those still alive?” He refrained from answering and paused for a while, then replied: “A man between the two of us. Al-Zuhrī mentioned: Four I have found to be seas, Sa‘d b. al-Mu‘ayyib, ‘Urwa b. al-Zubayr, Abū Salamah b. ‘Abd al-Rahmān, and ‘Ubaydullāh b. ‘Abdallāh b. Uthbah b. Mas‘ūd.”

ABŪ SULAYMĀN AL-KHAṬṬĀBĪ
He is Ḥamad b. Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm b. al-Khaṭṭāb al-Bustī (from Bust, a well-known town in the region of Sijātān, where he passed away), Abū Sulaymān (319–388 AH). He authored one of the most renowned and relied on commentaries of Abū Dāwūd’s Sunan, Ma‘ālim al-sunan. He was a jurist as well, and devoted to poetry; he wrote good poems, some of which have been included by his friend al-Tha‘alībi in his anthology al-Yatimah, because of their artistic merit, not because of the existing bond of friendship. He was a descendant of Sayyidunā ‘Umar’s beloved brother, Zayd b. al-Khaṭṭāb (whence the noun of ascension he is famous by).

ABŪ ʿUMAR B. ‘ABD AL-BARR
The hāfiz of the Islamic West, he is Yūsuf b. ‘Abdallāh b. Muḥammad b. ‘Abd al-Barr al-Namāri al-Qurṭubī al-Mālikī, Abū ʿUmar, (368–463 AH). He was an encyclopaedia of ‘ilm, a historian, a man of literature, and a faqīh (his al-Kāfī fi fiqh ahī al-Madīnah al-Mālikī is one of the essential middle-sized juristic works following the Madinan methodology). He travelled far and wide in eastern and western Spain, took up judicial appointments twice (including in present-day Portugal) and died in Shātibah, after having enriched the Islamic library with an assortment of splendid works in a wide range of multifarious fields, a significant segment of which have been published in numerous editions, e.g., Jāmi‘ bayān al-ilm wa faḍālih, his biographical masterpiece on the companions; al-Isfahānī, which we have quoted herein much more than once; Bahjat al-majāls wa uns al-majāls; and Abā al-majālasah wa faḍāḥ al-lisan, two works we intend translating in future, inshā’llāh. First and foremost are his various commentaries on Mālik’s al-Muwatta’, especially al-Īstiḥklār; and the even more remarkable al-Tanjid, based
sequentially in the mode of a *musnad* of hadith, on the names of the (ultimate) narrators of the *ahadith* rather than the *fiqh*-inspired arrangement of chapters encountered in the text of *al-Musawa'ta*. Of al-Tambid, the Andalusian leader of the Literalist school, Ibn Hazm, with all the notorious ferocious mordancy of his critical tongue, said he knew no other work on *fiqh* of hadith that was in any way equal, let alone one superior to it. Methodological discrepancies apart, the pair used to walk around, confabulate about things and extemporize poetry. Famous is the incident when during a stroll Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr lightly rebuked Ibn Hazm, al-Zahiri for having praised a youth they passed by because of the handsomeness of his face without awareness of any corresponding inward beauty, and the latter improvised verses defending his outward-only judgment in concordance with his literalist juristic approach.

**ABŪ USĀMAH**

Hamad b. Usamah b. Zayed al-Qurashi by *walli*, al-Kufi, Abū Usamah (d. 201 AH), he was a narrator used by the author of all the six most famous hadith compilations. He took hadith (copiously) from Hisham b. ‘Urwa, as well as from al-A’mash, Shubbah, Sufyân al-Thawri, and a multitude of other reliable transmitters. Al-Shafi’i, Ahmad b. Hanbal, Abū Khayyamah, Abū Shaffar’s two sons Abū Bakr and ‘Uthman, and Muhammad b. ‘Abdallah b. Numayr were among the vast array of weighty transmitters from him. Ahmad b. Hanbal called him the most knowledgeable person about people’s affairs in his time, and described him, in the reports from his son ‘Abdallah (b. Ahmad b. Hanbal), as hardly prone to error in his grasp of hadith, and as a sharp-witted person. He is counted among the devotees of worship and the wise sages.

**ABŪ WA‘IL**

He is Shaiq b. Salama al-Assadi al-Kufi, Abū Wā’il (1–82 AH). Abū Wa’il was another great hadith transmitter from (an earlier generation of) the followers, and one of the main sources of hadith transmission for al-A’mash. Though his lifespan did intersect with the Prophet’s *sunnah* he never saw him, and thus he cannot be counted among the companions even if one were to adopt the broader and more permissive view on who falls under the first generation. The lack of any companionship, even though marginal, has been explicitly underlined by the scholars. He was a resident and one of the prominent worshippers of al-Kufah. He transmitted hadith from the four rightly-guided caliphs. He was a reliable narrator who passed away during the caliphate of ‘Umar b. ‘Abd al-‘Aziz according to al-Waqidi’s report.

**ABŪ AL-ZINĀD**

‘Abdallah b. Dhakwan al-Qurashi al-Madani (65–131 AH) was from the elite of hadith experts. Al-Layth b. Sa’d said: I saw Abū al-Zinād with 300 followers behind him. He pursued knowledge, *fiqh*, poetry, morphology, writing, and accounting. Sufyân al-Thawri designated him *amir al-ma’dimin* in hadith. Mus’ab al-Zubayr said he was the *faqih* of Alh al-Madinah, and Mālik’s debt to him is enormous. He was an intimate scholar of classical Arabic and an eloquent speaker. He passed away suddenly in al-Madinah.

**AHMAD B. KHALID**

Ahmad b. Khalid b. Zayd al-Qurṭubi, Abū ‘Amr (d. 322 AH) was known as al-Jābbāb as an aghnaem of attribution to the sale of wells and cisterns. He was a *hāfar* of hadith, the Shaykh of al-Andalus in his time, and an imam in the *fiqh* of Mālik. He wrote *Musnad Maliki, al-Salat, al-imān, and Qisas al-anbiya*. 

**AL-AHNAF B. QAYS**

Al-Anfāb b. Qays b. Mu‘awiyyah b. Husayn al-Murār al-Su’d al-Minqart al-Tamīmī, Abū Bahir (3 B.H.–72 AH) was the chief of the tribe of Tamim, and one of the high-ranking, clever, eloquent, and brave conquerors. He is used as a paradigm of clemency or *lilm*, i.e., gracious restraint from chastisement or revenge though in a position to exact it. He was born in al-Baṣrah and lived in the time of the Prophet’s *sunnah* albeit without meeting him. He approached ‘Umar during his caliphate, and ‘Umar kept him in al-Madinah one year, before authorizing him to return to al-Baṣrah. ‘Umar wrote to Abū Muṣā al-Ash’ari (then governor of that city) instructing him to bring al-Anfāb close to him, consult with him and lend his attentive ear to him. He participated in military conquests, was appointed governor of Khurāsān, and befriended the amir of al-Iraq, Mus’ab b. al-Zubayr, whom he visited in al-Kūfah where he died in his residence. Reports on him are an ocean. The works on literature, history, and geography abound with his sermons and sentences. When a man told Yahyā al-Barmakī (the generous and noble *wazir* who tutored Hārūn al-Rashid) that he was more clement than al-Anfāb b. Qays, Yahyā replied in a rebuking tone that one who credited them with what was in excess of their due was not brought closer to them [Yahyā and his companions or the likes]. Al-Anfāb is a *laqab* or aqnaem based on the fact that he was afflicted with a distortion of the foot, which is called *hanaf* in Arabic.
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As for his first name, biographers have adopted divergent views.

`ALI B. MUHAMMAD B. 'ABDALLĀH

`Ali b. Muhammad b. 'Abdallāh b. Abī Yūsuf, Abū al-Hasan al-Madā'īn al-Akhbārī (d. 224 or 225 AH) was a prolific writer of books, historian, transmitter of ancient Arabic poetry, and expert in relating rare accounts as well as stories and narrations or akhbār (whence his laqab or designation of al-Akhbārī). He was originally from al-Basrah though he took up residence in al-Madā'in (close to Baghdad on the Tigris river, a cluster of urban settlements conquered by Sa'd b. Abī Waqqās), thereby explaining his said noun of ascension, before settling down in Baghdad where he passed away. He was regarded by al-Dhahabī as not particularly strong in hadith transmission; as it was not his scholarly forte. Al-Zubayr b. Bakkār is the most renowned mukaddith who transmitted from him. The great historian Ibn Taghribī Birdī described his book on history, no longer extant, as the best in its genre and the one later scholars in such field depended upon.

AL-A’MASH

Sulaymān b. Mihrān al-Asadi (by walī? [also al-Kāhili al-Kūfī], Abū Muḥammad al-`Ammar (61–138 AH) was a reliable imam and high-ranking follower (from the younger generation of the followers). He was born, grew up, and died in al-Kūfah, though his ancestry linked him to Tabrestān. He was knowledgeable in Qur‘ān and hadith, and transmitted 1,300 abādīth. Al-Dhahabī termed him as a leader in beneficial knowledge and salutary action alike. Al-Sakhāwī mentioned that the sultans, kings, and wealthy people were not seen as possessing a lower status in any circle of learning than in al-A’mash’s circle, and that in spite of his extreme material want and his poverty. Ahmad b. Hanbal valued the hadith transmission of Manṣūr as being more accurately reliable than al-A’mash’s. That is due to the latter’s inadvertent ṭaddīs, which in the technical nomenclature of the mukaddithin entails the concealment of a defect in the narrative chain while robbing the outward of such chain with a good appearance (and thus beautifying it to the listener). If that emanated from him, it was unintentional and due to bona fide unawareness. It is īrdām to conceive of anything else in respect of such veracious, scrupulous, and trustworthy luminary of the din, as emphasized by al-Dhahabī.

AMR B. RĀFI’

The rafī`-i Amr b. Rāfi` b. al-Furāt b. Rāfi` al-Bajalti al-Qazwīnī, Abū Ḥujr (d. 237 AH) was used as a narrator by Ibn Mājah. He transmitted from Jarir b. `Abd al-Ḥamīd, Sufyān b. `Uyaynah, `Abdallāh b. al-Mubārak, and others. Ibn Mājah and Abū Zur`ah were among those who transmitted from him. Abū Ḥātim reckoned him as one of the soundest mukaddithn he and his fellow students wrote narrations from.

AL-DĀHĀK B. SUFYĀN


AL-DĀRAQÛṬNĪ

`Alī b. ‘Umar b. Ahmad b. Mahdī, Abū al-Ḥasan al-Shāfī’i (306–385 AH) was the imam in hadith of his epoch. He was born in a suburb of Baghdad called Dār al-Qūta (lit., the Abode of Cotton). After a significant sojourn in Egypt he returned to Baghdad where he died. Al-Sunan is his most famous work.

AL-FUḌAYL

Al-Fuḍayl b. `Iyād al-Tamīmī al-Yarabī’i (a noun of tribal attribution), Abū `Alī (105–187 AH) was a reliable transmitter of hadith, many including al-Shāfī`i having narrated from him. He was the Shaykh of the Makkān Haram and one of the elite of righteous men of frequent devotional worship, He was born in Samarqand and came to al-Kūfah (the city of his ancestors) at an advanced age. From there he moved to Makkah where he passed away.

AL-JAWHARĪ

He is Ismā’il b. Hammād al-Jawhari, Abū Naṣr (d. 393 AH), an imam in knowledge of the Arabic language, whose most famous work is al-Salihān, incorrectly referred to by some as al-Sāliḥ. [A famous abridgment thereof by the linguist al-Rāzī, Mukhtār al-salihān, is a standard small-sized dictionary of classical Arabic.] He was originally from Fārāb in the region of Khorāsān, like the great philosopher. After much travelling in the most renowned lands of the Islamic East, he settled in Naysībār (Nishapur). He was the first who attempted flying and died in the
path of such endeavor. He constructed two wooden boards, which he tied to a mountain, whereupon he climbed the roof of his house, calling out to the people: “I have devised something unprecedented, and I shall now fly at once.” The people of Nishapur crowded to the scene, looking at him; he placed the two boards under his armpits and jumped. His invention, however, betrayed him and he fell on the ground lifeless.

AL-MUḤĀSĪBI

Al-Ḥārith b. Asad al-Muhāsibi, Abū Abdlallāh (d. 243 AH) was one of the choice Sufi masters, an admonisher easily moved to tears, who was well-versed in the fundamentals of the din as well as the fiqh of human transactions. He is the author of a sizeable opus, made up of works on zuhh and other subjects, and he taught most of the sages of Baghdad during his time. His mādhhab in Sufism is extremely demanding (he ended up accounting for every single breath of his life, whence the agnomen he is renowned by), such that it has proven hard to follow by his successors. He was born and bred in al-BAṣrah but died in Baghdad.

AL-MUKHTĀR B. ĀBĪ ‘UBAYDILLĀH

Al-Mukhtār b. Ābī ‘Ubayd b. Mas’ūd al-Thaqafi, Abū İshaq (1-67 AH) was one of the leading rebels against Umayyad rule, and a man of exceptional bravery. From al-Tā’if, he came to al-Madinah with his father at the time of Āumar’s caliphate. His father moved to the ‘Irāq where he died a shahid. He stayed on in al-Madinah, as a devoted supporter of the Hashemites. Ābdlallāh b. Āumar b. al-Khaṣṭāb married his [Abū İshaq’s] sister Safiyyah bint Abī ‘Ubayd. Āl-Mukhtār, too, eventually moved to the ‘Irāq, on ‘Alī’s side, and after the latter’s assassination he settled in al-Baṣrah. When al-Ḥusayn b. Ālī was killed, al-Mukhtār turned away from the amir of al-Baṣrah, ‘Ubaydullāh b. Ziyād, who managed however to have him apprehended, flogged and jailed, later exiling him to al-Tā’if due to the intercession of his brother-in-law Ābdlallāh b. Āumar. When Yazīd b. Mu‘awiyyah passed away, and Ābdlallāh b. al-Zubayr (b. al-‘Awwām) lay title to the caliphate, al-Mukhtār accosted him, pledged him his loyal support, fought with him for a while, then sought his authorization to head for al-Kūfah to rally support for his claim to the caliphate, which was granted in exchange for solemn assurances and conditional on clear instructions. While in al-Kūfah, however, he devoted his energy to his real concern, i.e., exacting full revenge from those who fought against and killed al-Ḥusayn. He thus summoned the people to the Imamate of Muḥammad b. al-Hanfīyah, and secured a secret bay‘ah of roughly 17,000 men, by whom he waged war against the governor of al-Kūfah ‘Abdlallāh b. Mu‘tī, overpowering him in the process. He seized control of Mosul (al-Mawsil) and his political stature grew exponentially. From such a position of strength he started pursuing the killers of al-Ḥusayn, and succeeded in executing Shamir (one of those who had carried out the treacherous deed), Khawfī (who carried al-Ḥusayn’s head to al-Kūfah), and Āumar b. Sa‘d b. Abī Waqqas (the general of the army that fought al-Ḥusayn). His next target was ‘Ubaydullāh b. Ziyād (who had equipped the army assigned to fighting al-Ḥusayn). ‘Ubaydullāh and many of those who played a role in the murder of al-Ḥusayn were killed by al-Mukhtār’s troops. Al-Mukhtār used to send money to Ābdlallāh b. ‘Umar, Ābdlallāh b. Abībās, and Muḥammad b. al-Hanfīyah, all of whom accepted his monetary grants. Many lies about him, some of them utterly far-fetched in their character assassination, were spread by storytellers. Al-Mukhtār came to know that Ābdlallāh b. al-Zubayr had adopted harsh measures against Ābdlallāh b. Abībās and Muḥammad b. al-Hanfīyah for their refusal to pledge the oath of allegiance to him in al-Madinah, and had detained the pair in a place in Makkah, from which they were released (setting out thereafter for al-Tā’if) by a battalion al-Mukhtār dispatched to storm Makkah for that purpose, an action which found favor with the people. Ābdlallāh’s fraternal deputy, Mu‘ṣab b. al-Zubayr, entered into a series of hostilities with al-Mukhtār’s forces, resulting in al-Mukhtār’s confinement to the palace of al-Kūfah, and the killing of both al-Mukhtār and his captured supporters. Replete with momentous events as it might have been, al-Mukhtār’s emirate lasted no more than sixteen months. Ābdl-Malik b. Āumar mentioned the following odd coincidence: He saw ‘Ubaydullāh b. Ziyād to whom al-Ḥusayn’s head was taken; then he saw al-Mukhtār when ‘Ubaydullāh’s head was taken; then he witnessed al-Mukhtār’s head brought to Mu‘ṣab b. al-Zubayr; and finally he was there when Mu‘ṣab’s head was carried to Ābdl-Malik b. Marwān.

AL-SHA‘BĪ

‘Āmir b. Sharāḥīl al-Sha‘bī (from Sha‘b, a clan of the Hamdān tribe) al-Himyarī, Abū ‘Amir (19-103 AH) was a follower turned into the paradigm of excellent memorization by a proverbial saying. He was born, grew up and died (suddenly) in al-Kūfah. He associated closely with the revered Umayyad Caliph Ābdl-Malik b. Marwān (26-86 AH), becoming his intimate, his confidant, and his favorite sitting companion during night conversations, as well as his envoy to the Byzantine king. Physically, he was lean and emaciated, having been born at seven
months, a premature child. He was asked about the extent of his memorization
and replied that he put down nothing black on white, and no man narrated to
him a hadith, but that he memorized it. He was a reliable transmitter and expert
of Prophetic sayings. The Caliph 'Umar b. ‘Abd al-'Aziz appointed him as judge,
and he was a faṣīḥ and poet as well.

AL-SHIBLI
Abū Bakr al-Shiblī, whose first name was probably (given the abundant
controversy surrounding it) Dula'f b. Jaḥdar (247–334 AH) was the devoted
worshiper and one of the early masters of Sufism, when Sufism was a reality
without a name. Originally from Khurāsān, his ancestry being from the village of
Shibalh, he was at first a governor appointed by the Abbasid authority, before he
relinquished office and devoted himself to worship, achieving widespread fame
for his righteousness. He was an excellent poet as well, all the poems traceable
to him having been compiled in a collection or dīwān published in the modern
era. He died in Baghdad.

AL-ṬABARĀNĪ, SULAYMĀN B. AḤMAD
al-Qasīm (260–360 AH) was one of the topmost traditionists from Greater Syria,
characterized by longevity and a passion for travelling. He died in Isfahān. He
compiled three maqāmāt of hadith: al-Muṣjām al-kaḥīr, al-Muṣjīm al-ausāt, and al-
Muṣjām al-saḥīr, the last-mentioned one based sequentially on the alphabetical
order of his teachers' names. He authored a book on "firsts," al-Awā'il, of which
we translated the first section, as part of a multi-volume effort to translate the
whole of it in an edited, annotated, comprehensive and peculiar, multi-disciplinary
format.

AL-TIRMIDHĪ AL-ḤAKIM, ABŪ ‘ABBĀDALLĀH
circa 320 AH), not to be confounded with the author of the Sunan (d. ca. 320 AH).
He was a researcher and an analytical Sufi, well-versed in the sciences of hadith
and the fundamentals of the din as well. He was from Tirmidhī from which he
was banished for penning a work running counter to the prevailing views of its
scholars, who went to the extreme of attesting to his presumed unbelief. Another
interpretation is that the accusation of a Sufi path founded on allusions and the
allegation of kashīf or the inspirational unveiling of the unseen was levelled at his
person, paving the way for his exile. A third opinion is that he was criticized
for giving preference to wulāyah over prophethood, an accusation from which
subsequent scholars defended him. Yet a fourth exegesis is that he maintained
that there was a seal of the auliya' in the same way as with the prophets. Having
left Tirmidhī he took up residence in Balkh (possibly when he was around 90
years of age), where he was welcomed for his concordance with their prevailing
madhhab, as reported by al-Subkī. Amongst his several works is Nawādīr al-wulāf fi
abādīth al-Rasūlī, which has been published. His most controversial opus is Khattām
al-wulāyah wa ‘ilal al-sharī‘ al-ḥ.

AL-ZUBAYR B. BAKKĀR
The Imam al-Zubayr b. Bakkār b. ‘Abdallāh al-Qurashi al-Asadī al-Makki (172–
256 AH), Abū ‘Abdallāh, was a descendant of the noble companion al-Zubayr b.
al-'Awwām. He was a genealogist, a historian, and a transmitter of ancient Arabic
poetry as well as Prophetic hadith (described in that respect as a reliable receptacle
of knowledge). Born in al-Madinah, he was appointed judge in Makkahe where
he died. He authored a number of significant works, some of which have been
published. One of them, al-Muwaffaqīyya, was written for al-Muwalla b. al-
Mutawakkil al-Abbāsī (Ṭālḥā b. Ja‘far b. al-Mut‘aṣım), the political leader (and
de facto caliph for a period) whose tutor he was in his youth.

AL-ZUHRI
Muḥammad b. Muslim b. ‘Abdallāh b. Shihāb al-Zuhrī, from the Qurayshi sub-
trope of Zuhrī b. Kilāb, Abū Bakr (58–124 AH) was one of the topmost huffāz
and fiqahā’ of the followers, and the first one to formally record the Prophetic
hadith. He lived in al-Madinah, before moving to Greater Syria where he settled
(though he seemingly passed away in Shaghb, on the border between the Hijāz
and Palestine). He memorized 2,200 abādīth. He used to bring along material
(such as tablets and scrolls) on which he would write down every hadith he heard.
The debt owed to him by Mālik, nay, by the custodians of the din generally, is
evermore and incalculable. The great Caliph ‘Umar b. ‘Abd al-‘Aziz wrote to his
officials: “You have to take by Ibn Shihāb, since none is more knowledgeable
about the Sunnah of the past than him.”

HABBĀD
Hannah b. Zayd b. Dirham al-Azīdī al-Jahdānī (a tribal ascription) al-Baqī al-
Azraq (the dark-colored one, from “blueness,” his laqāh), Abī Ismā‘īl (98–179
AH), a sage of dignified bearing and humility, blessed with intelligence and a discerning, illuminated heart, was used by all the compilers of al-Sittah. A prolific hadith-narrator and a proof, he transmitted from a rich sample of the followers (such as Hishām b. ‘Urwaḥ) and erudite scholars from the following generation. He has been lauded as the most knowledgeable man in the Sunnah and hadith of his time [Ibn Mahdi], the one with the best juristic understanding in al- Баšra [Ibn Mahdi again], the unmatched ḥafiz [Yaḥyā b. Yaḥyā al-Naysābūrī], the one with the best understanding of the law [Abū ‘Asīm], and the master of the Muslims at the time of his death [Yazīd b. Zurayf]. Suffice it to mention in praise of him that when Mālik was once visited by one of Ḥammād’s fellow townsmen, he asked about none from the people of al- Баšra save Ḥammād b. Zayd.

ḤĀTIM AL-RĀZĪ

His father was Muḥammad b. Idrīs b. al-Mundhir b. Dāwud b. Mihrān al-Hanzalī, Abū Ḥātim (195–277 AH), a ḥafiz of hadith, among the contemporaries of al-Bukhārī and Muslim. He was born in al-Rayy, in the Khurāsānī region (the noun of ascription whereeto is al-Rāzī), and died in Baghdad after manifold relocations in disparate regions, sometimes far away from one another. He penned several worthy works [Abū Ḥātim al-Rāzī]. The reference here is to his son ‘Abd al-Rahmān (240–327 AH), Abū Muḥammad, the prominent ḥafiz of hadith and the author of the key reference work in the science of hadith narrators al-Jarḥ wa al-Ta’dīl [Ibn Abī Ḥātim].

HISHĀM B. ‘AMMĀR

Hishām b. ‘Ammār al-Sulami, Abū al-Walīd (153–245 AH) was a judge and a renowned Qur’ānic reciter, described by al-Dhahabi as the ḥafiz, Qur’ānic reciter, muhaddith, and ʿalim of his city Damascus, where he died.

HISHĀM B. ‘URWAḤ

‘Urwaḥ b. al-Zubayr’s son, Abū al-Mundhir (61–146 AH) was a follower (of the younger generation). He was one of the imams in hadith, and one of the ‘ulamā’ of al-Madinah, where he was born and lived. He visited al-Kūfah, and its residents took knowledge from him, then he came to Baghdad (where he eventually died) on a visit to the Abbasid ruler al-Mansūr, one of whose elite advisers he was. Around 400 abādith have been narrated by him, and accounts of his life, sayings and deeds are countless.

IBN ABĪ KHAYTHAMAH

Ahmad b. Zuhayr (Abī Khaythamah) b. Ḥarb b. Shaddād al-Nasā’ī then al-Baḥdādī, Abū Bakr (185–279 AH) was a historian and one of the ʿulamā’ of hadith. He was a reliable scholar and a narrator of works of literature. His ancestry was from Nasā’ī (whence his first noun of ascription), though he was born and died in Baghdad (thereby explaining his second such noun). His most illustrious work, al-Tārīkh al-Kabīr, was praised by al-Dāraquṭnī as the most copiously beneficial work on history.

IBN LAḤĪ‘AH

‘Abdallāh b. Laḥī‘ah b. Furā‘ān (or b. ‘Uqbah) al-Ḥadramī al-Miṣrī, Abū ‘Abd al-Rahmān (97–174 AH) was the judge, ʿalim, and muhaddith of the Egyptian lands. Ahmad b. Ḥanbal affirmed that Egypt had no muhaddith but Ibn Laḥī‘ah. And Sufyān al-Thawrī averred that the roots were found with Ibn Laḥī‘ah, and the branches with him and his likes. In the year 154 AH he was appointed judge in the service of the Abbasid ruler al-Mansūr, who allocated to him 30 gold coins per month. He remained in that post for ten years. In 170 AH his house and private library were burnt down, so the great savant al-Layth b. Sa‘d sent him 1,000 gold coins. He wrote down many abādith, encompassed a vast knowledge in diverse fields, and travelled long and hard in quest of further knowledge. He died in Cairo. More than seven and a half pages, in what is generally a condensed work distinguished by its terseness, were consecrated to him by al-Dhahabi in Mizān al-adīl. Not everybody shared Ahmad’s appreciation, and lack of strength, or positive weakness has been attributed to him by more than one expert.

IBN AL-MUBĀRAK

‘Abdallāh b. al-Mubārak b. Wādīh al-Hanzalī (by wālī), for he was the son of a slave, and was attached to the tribe of Hanżalāh via the process of setting free al-Tamimī al-Marwazi, Abū ‘Abd al-Rahmān (118–181 AH). He was a ḥafiz in hadith, Shaykh al-Islām, a warrior, and a very capable trader who travelled and performed the hajj many times and composed many works, including a celebrated one on zuhd, al-Raqa‘iq. He was the first scholar to write a treatise specifically about jihad, which is well-known and has been published in many editions. He was strong in faṣāḥ and in Arabic history, too, and combined bravery with exceptional generosity. He died in a town on the Euphrates after a military raid against the Byzantines. The works on history and biography overflow with reports about him. He studied under both Sufyān al-Thawrī and Abū Hanīfah,
extolling the virtues of both and causing the former to appreciate the latter and remove the misgivings about him sowed by what Sufyān had learnt second-hand about the Kufan Imam. When he sat one day in the circle of learning of Mālik b. al-Madina, he seated him in a place of honor, despite his students’ unawareness of his status, and at the end introduced him to his students as the “faqīh of Khuṇās,” the region Abdallāh was from originally. It is not surprising that the author quotes his definition that focuses on zuhd with the heart. Through his trading enterprises, he was a whirlpool of wealth-acquisition and distribution. He once said that he would not trade if it were not for the likes of al-Fudayl b. Iyād, i.e., he generated wealth to sustain the worshipping of the zuhd, not for self-gratification or aggrandizement.

**IBN AL-MUḤĀJIR**

He may be Khālid b. al-Muḥājir b. Sayfūllāh (the great “Sword of Allah”) Khālid b. al-Walīd b. al-Mughira al-Makhzūmi, and Allāh knows best. If this is the case, he was a Hījāzī and ostensibly a staunch opponent of the Umayyads.

**IBN AL-NA’BĀḤI**

‘Aмир b. al-Nabāḥ, the mu’addidhin of ‘Ali b. Abī Tālib who also narrated as hadith from him. Cf. Ṭabaqāt b. Sa’d.

**IBN ʿUYAYNAH**

Sufyān b. ʿUyaynah b. Maymūn al-Hilālī al-Kūfī (as he was born in al-Kūfā), Abū Muḥammad (107–198 AH) was the great muḥaddith of the Makkah Ḥaram, who passed away in Makkah. He was a reliable ḥāfiz of hadith and a sage of vast knowledge, highly ranked by people. Al-Shāfiʿī’s statement is renowned: “Were it not for Mālik and Sufyān, the knowledge of the Hījāz (with its two epicenters Makkah and al-Madina) would have vanished.” He was one-eyed and performed the hajj seventy times.

**IBRĀḤĪM B. ADHAM**

He is Ibrāhīm b. Adham b. Mansūr al-Tamīmī al-Balkhī, Abū Ishāq (d. 161 AH), the prestigious zāhid whose father was one of the affluent men in Balkh (the largest city in Khurāsān at that time), and who travelled at length in the three pivotal regions of the Ṣiraq, Greater Syria, and the Hījāz. He maintained himself by doing harvesting and grinding work, guarding orchards, and serving as a porter, while fighting the Byzantines in raiding platoons. His father’s slave came to him once with 10,000 silver coins and the news that his deceased parent had bequeathed a huge fortune to him, whereupon he set the slave free and donated the silver coins to him, unconcerned thereafter with his father’s legacy of wealth in Balkh. In winter he used to wear a fur with no shirt underneath, and in summer he wore no turban or shoes, fasting whether travelling or resident in a town. He spoke classical Arabic eloquently, so much so that if he attended a lesson by Sufyān al-Thawrī consecrated to admonishing counsel, Sufyān would shorten his talk out of fear of making any linguistic error. The anecdotes and reports about him are plentiful, though not always consistent. He possibly died in a Byzantine citadel.

**IBRĀḤĪM AL-NAKAḤA’I**

Ibrāhīm b. Yazīd b. Qays b. al-Aswad, Abū ʿImrān al-Nakḥa’ī (46–96 AH) was one of the choicest faqīḥā of the followers, and among their elite of veracious and righteous guides. A truthful memorizer and narrator of hadith from al-Kūfā, he passed away in a concealed place hiding away from the despotic Umayyad governor al-Hajjāj. He has been described as the faqīh of al-ʿIrāq. He was a mujahid inim in his own right, and he had his own madhhab which has, however, failed to survive. On his death, al-Shābi mourned the passing of an unequalled champion of good.

**JA’FAR B. BURQĀN**

Ja’far b. Burqān (d. 154 AH) was the companion of Maymūn b. Mihrān and one of the ‘alāma of the ‘Irāqī city of al-Raqāq. Wāḥi and Abū Nuʿaym have inter alia transmitted hadith from him. He was illiterate. He is regarded as a reliable transmitter save in respect of his narrations from al-Zuhri.

**JA’FAR B. SULAYMĀN**

He is probably al-Dubā‘ī (d. 178 AH), a scholar and hadith transmitter renowned for his zuhd but also for his quasi-Shīʿi inclination to the Ahl al-Bayt. Al-Bukhārī reported a view that he was illiterate.

**JARĪR**

The judge Jarīr b. ʿAbd al-Ḥamīd b. Qurṭ al-Dabābī al-Rāzī, Abū ʿAbdallāh (110–188 AH) was born in one of the villages around Isfahan, though he grew up in
al-Kūfah and settled in Rayy (whence al-Rāzī). He transmitted from al-A‘mash, inter alia, and among those who transmitted from him one can enumerate the two sons of Abū Shaybah, Abū Khayyamah, Yahyā b. Ma‘īn, and Yahyā b. Yahyā. Narrations from him are found in each of al-Sittah. He was trustworthy, a proof for Ibn ‘Ammār al-Mawṣili, and one to whom people used to set out in travel to receive hadith from.

KA‘B

Ka‘b al-Abbār, i.e., Ka‘b b. Mātī al-Himyarī, Abū Isḥāq (d. 32 AH), was a follower and one of the leading Jewish ulama in the era of the Jāhiliyah; he embraced Islam during the caliphate of Abū Bakr, and took up residence in al-Madīnah when the reins of power had been handed over to ʿUmar. The companions, and not only they, took from him many accounts of the bygone nations, while in turn he received knowledge of the Book and the Sunnah from the companions. He eventually relocated to Greater Syria, settling in Ḥims where he passed away at the venerable age of 104 years.

KA‘B B. ‘IYĀD

Ka‘b b. ‘Iyād al-Asḥari was a companion from Greater Syria. Jābir b. ‘Abdallāh and possibly Umm al-Dardā transmitted from him. The famous narration he is associated with was related to him by Jubayr b. Nufayr al-Ḥadrānī, another companion whose existence spanned both Jāhiliyah and Islam.

KHĀLĪD AL-ḤADHDHĀ’

Khālid b. Mīhrān al-Ṣaṣrī al-Ḥadhdhā’ (an agnomen meaning the shoemaker or trader in footwear), Abū al-Manzūl (d. 141 or 142 AH) was likewise used by all the authors of al-Sittah. He met Anas b. Mālik. He transmitted from Abū Qīlābah, Muḥammad b. ʿĪsā, and others. Sufyān al-Thawrī, Shu‘bāh, al-A‘mash, and his own shaykh, Muḥammad b. ʿĪsā, together with many more, transmitted from him. He was an awe-inspiring, venerable person of reliable and copious hadith transmission. He was used in al-Ṣaṣr to collect the taxes levied on the lands whose owners entered Islam at a time when they were the proprietors thereof. However, in the last part of his life, as reported from Ḥammād b. Zayd, his faculty of recollection changed for the worse. Yahyā said: I asked Ḥammād b. Zayd: “And (what about) Khālid al-Ḥadhdhā’?” He replied: “He visited us once from Greater Syria and it was as if we no longer recognized his memorization and we refuted it. Shu‘bāh wanted to reproach him, so ‘Abbād b. ‘Abbād decided to approach Khālid with Ḥammād b. Zayd and they said to him: What’s the matter with you? Have you gone insane? The pair threatened him and he kept quiet. This science is a din, as Mālik said, so one might be a revered man of righteousness, and even an ‘ālim in the very field he is mercilessly but generously asked to estrange himself from, to preserve its purity, at a later stage when his proficiency dwindles and recedes.

KHAWLĀH BINT QAYS

Khawlah bint Qays b. Qād b. Qays al-Anṣāriyyah, Umm Muḥammad was a ʿAbābīyyah, and a wife of the conquering lion of Allāh, Hamzah b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib ﷺ.

MAḤMŪD AL-WARRĀQ

Maḥmūd b. Ḥasan al-Warrāq (d. ca.225 AH), was a poet mostly devoted to composing works of admonition and wisdom. Ibn al-Mubarrad quoted some of his verses in al-Kāmil fī al-lughah wa al-adab, and his collected poetical opus or diwān has been published.

MĀLIK, ABŪ YŪSUF

Abū Yūsuf Mālik was Abū Ḥanīfah’s foremost disciple with al-Shayhānī.

MĀLIK B. DĪNĀR

Mālik b. Dīnār al-Baṣrī, Abū Yahyā (d. 131 AH) was a man of scrupulous piety who ate from the earnings of his work, and used to copy down the muṣḥaf of the Qur’ān in exchange for a fee (at a time when that was unusual). He was also a known hadith narrator who is buried in al-ṣaṣr.

MĀṢUR

Māṣur b. Ismā‘īl b. ‘Umar al-Tāmmī al-Miṣrī, Abū al-Ḥasan (d. 306 AH) was the blind poet and Shāfi‘i jurist from Baghdaḏ. He schooled in fiqh at the hands of the immediate followers of al-Shāfi‘ī and their followers. His poetry was of outstanding quality, and he was proficient in many sciences. Many stories and anecdotes about him have survived, including the accounts of his generosity to the judge Abū ‘Ubayd, as well as the friction that eventually developed between them, and the split in political partisanship to the two of them as a result thereof.
APPENDIX TWO

MASRŪQ
Masrūq b. al-Ajda b. Mālik al-Hamdānī al-Wādī’ī, Abū ʿAbīshah (d. 63 AH) was a reliable Yemeni savant from the followers, who settled in al-Madīnah during Abū Bakr’s caliphate before relocating to al-Kūfah where he took part in ‘Alī’s campaigns. He was more proficient in fatwā-issuing than the renowned Shurayh, who in turn excelled over him in judicial decision-making.

MUHAMMAD B. ʿABDALLĀḤ
Muhammad b. ʿAbdallāḥ b. Ḥamdawayh al-Ḍabbi al-Naysābūrī, known as al-Ḥākim, Abū ʿAbdallāḥ (321–405 AH) was one of the great ḥuffāẓ of hadith and a writer of significant works in that science. He was born and died in Nishapur (whence his noun of ascription), though he travelled extensively. He was selected for judicial appointment in Jurjān after he had been a judge in his native town as well, but he declined to accept the appointment. He received knowledge at the hands of 1,000 shuyūkh. He was also a good political ambassador.

MUHAMMAD B. IṢHĀQ
Muhammad b. Iṣḥāq b. Khuzaymah al-Sulami, Abū Bakr (223–311 AH) was the Imam of Nishapur in his era. He was a jurist, a muftī and an expert of hadith, lauded by al-Subki as the Imam of Imams; he travelled quite a lot though he was born and died in Nishapur.

MUHAMMAD B. SĪRĪN
The follower Muhammad b. Sīrīn al-Baṣrī al-Anṣārī (by wali’), Abū Bakr (33–112 AH) was the imam of his time in Islamic sciences in the city of al-ṣBaṣr (where he was born and died), a noble writer who grew up as a cloth merchant, and he was partly deaf. He learnt fiqh and narrated abādīth. He became famous for his extreme scrupulousness in the dīn and his interpretation of dreams, on which he authored a well-known treatise published in several editions. Another work on the subject (Muntakhab al-kalām fi taṣṣīr al-abāh) is misleadingly attributed to him. His father was the freed slave of Anas b. Mālik, and Anas used him as an official scribe.

MUSADDAD
Musaddad b. Musarhad b. Musarbal (b. Mugharbal b. Mura’bal b. Urandal b. Sīrīndal b. ‘Urandal) al-Baṣrī al-Asadi, Abū al-Ḥasan (d. 228 AH) was a ḥuffāz from among the muḥaddithūn who transmitted from Fuḍayl b. ‘Iyāḍ, Ḥammād b. Zayd and several others. Al-Bukhārī and Abū Dāwūd transmitted from him. Generally praised as a reliable narrator, he was defined as a proof and one of the trustworthy imams by Ibn Ṣaḥīf al-Dīn. He was the first to compile a munad of hadith (arranged on the basis of the names of the ultimate narrators, not on subject-based chapters like prayer, zakāt, fasting, etc.) in al-ṣBaṣr. He wrote to Ahmad b. Ḥanbal (an estimator of him) asking him details about the ḥuffāẓ which plagued people with the dissemination of fatalist trends, over-rationalist doctrines, the false allegation of the created nature of the Qur’ān, the emergence of the Raḍī’īnah movement and similar other topics. Ahmad replied to him in a four-page synoptic letter.

QATADAH
Qatādah b. Di‘āmah b. Qatādah b. ‘Aziz, Abū al-Khaṭṭāb, al-Sadūs al-Baṣrī (61–118 AH) was the renowned muḥafīṣir and ḥuffāz eulogized by Ahmad b. Hanbal as the summit of the Baṣrī ḥuffāz. He was also a leader in the sciences of Arabic, historical accounts of the Arabs and genealogy. He was born blind and died during the plague in Wāṣīt, the city built by al-Ṭājjājī between Baghdad and al-Kūfah, so named because it lies equidistantly between al-Kūfah and al-ṣBaṣr, in the median point (wasaṭ).

SA’D
Sa’d Ibn Abī Waqqāṣ.

SA’D B. ‘UBĀDAH
Sa’d b. ‘Ubadah b. Dulayym al-Ansārī al-Sā’idi, Abū Thābit was a handsome nobleman and generous chief from among the Madīnah companions; he participated in the first pledge of al-‘Aqabah and in the Battle of Badr according to some historians such as al-Wāqīḍī (but not Ibn Iṣḥāq). He continuously fed people with meat and fats, following in the tradition of his father ‘Ubadah and his grandfather Dulayym. His own son Qays, in turn, used to summon his fellow townsman to the same, and was renowned for his exceptional liberality as well.

SAHL B. AL-ḤANZALIYYAH
He is Sahīl b. al-Rafi’ b. ‘Amr b. ‘Adīyy b. Zayd al-Anṣārī, from the tribe of al-Aws, one of the two largest Madīnah tribes (al-Ḥanzaliyyah being his mother,
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though it is also said his great grandmother). He took part in the oath of allegiance under the tree, and was a virtuous man of knowledge who shunned people's company and sitting together with them, while praying and remembering Allah frequently. He relocated to Greater Syria, meeting his death in Damascus, without leaving behind any offspring, in the early part of Mu‘awiya’s caliphate. Apparently, he was biologically prevented from fathering a child, but he was not bothered by that, declaring to Sa‘id b. ‘Abd al-‘Aziz that having any base, low-value chattel or foodstuff coming his way while on the din was dearer to him than whatever the sun rose on.

SA‘H B. MU‘ÄDH

SA‘H B. SA‘D AL-SÄ‘IDI
He is Sahl b. Sa‘d al-Khazrajī al-Anṣārī (d. 91 AH) from the tribe of Banū Sā‘idah (reflected in his aforementioned noun of ascription). He was a celebrated Madinan companion who lived around 100 years, transmitting 188 ahādhīth reported in the collections of Prophetic sayings.

SA‘H AL-ŠU‘LÜKI
Sahl b. Muḥammad b. Sulaymān al-Šu‘lūkī al-Naysibūrī, Abū al-Ṭayyib (d. 387 AH) was the Hanafi jurisprudent from the region of Khurāsān and the muftī of Nishapur in his time, as well as the son of the previous muftī thereof. His renowned work is al-Fawā‘id.

SA‘ID B. AL-MUSAYYIB
Sa‘īd b. al-Musayyib b. Ḥazn b. Abī Wahab al-Makhzūmī al-Qurashi, Abū Muḥammad (13–94 AH) was one of the elite of the followers, nay, the Master of the Followers (Sayyid al-Tābi‘īn). He was one of the seven fuqaha’ of al-Madina, his resting place, a treasure of fiqh, hadith, ziyād, and scrupulousness in the din; he lived out of trade in oil and accepted no grant from the political authorities. He knew better than anybody else the judgments and rulings of ‘Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb, to such an extent that he was nicknamed nāvīyatū ‘Umar, the one who transmitted and preserved ‘Umar’s legacy. Not surprisingly, Mālik’s connection to him was indissoluble.

SA‘ID B. SULAYMĀN AL-WASI‘Ī
Sa‘īd b. Sulaymān al-Ḍabbī al-Wasi‘ī al-Bazzāz, Abū ‘Uthmān (d. 225 AH), known as Sa‘dawayh, was a resident of Baghdad. He was used, directly and indirectly, by the compilers of al-Sittah without exception, and was a reliable narrator praised by the bulk of experts. He died in Baghdad in the year 225 AH, at the age of 100.

SHAQIQ
Shaqiq al-Balkhī, i.e., Shaqiq b. Ibrāhīm b. ‘Ali al-Azdī al-Balkhī, Abū ‘Ali (d. 194 AH) was a famous zāhid and Sufī and one of the venerable shaykhs of Khurāsān in his age and thereafter. It is speculated that he might have been the first to speak methodically on the knowledge of Sufi states in the region of Khurāsān. He was one of the prominent muḥābīds as well, and died as shahīd in a military expedition.

SUFIYĀN AL-THAWRĪ
Sufyān b. Sa‘īd b. Masrūq al-Thawrī (97–161 AH) was from the tribe of Banū Thawrīb. ‘Abd Manāt branching off from Mu‘ād. His kunyā was Abū ‘Abdallāh. He was born and bred in al-Kūfah. He was annûr al-ma‘āmin in hadith and the master of the people of his epoch in knowledge of the sciences of the din as well as in taqāwa. His madhḥah was one of the renowned ones in the Islamic lands for a long period, though it eventually became extinct due to the lack of eminent followers who, uninterrupted, recorded, studied, and transmitted it, thereby preserving it for posterity. He was sought after to take charge of the judicial affairs of the caliphs by two Abābid rulers, al-Mansūr and al-Mahdī, but he declined the overtures of both. In the first case, he left al-Kūfah to sojourn in Makkah and al-Madina. With the latter, he hid away and relocated to al-Baṣrah where he passed away in concealment. Among his famous sayings was the following: “I never memorized something that I later forgot.”

TALHAH
Talhah fought in the Battle of Uhud, and was bleeding profusely and lying wounded in one of the groves after he sustained nearly seventy wounds and blows and lost his fingers during the battle. On top of his selfless courage, he had to wait for nursing while care was taken first of the Prophet (p). After the battle, when the Prophet (p) stood on the minbar in the mosque of al-Madina,
a verse was revealed praising the men from the *mu'minun* who were true to the covenant they made with Allah, some of them having fulfilled their pact by death and some others still waiting to do so, not having changed in any way at all [Sūrah al-Ahzāb, 33:23], someone stood up and asked: “O Messenger of Allah, who are such people?” At that moment, as recounted by Abū Bakr [cf. *Hijāt al-abābiyya*], Ṭalḥah had just arrived at the mosque, wearing a green robe under a green cloak, when the Messenger of Allah  saw him, he said: “O questioner, here is one of them.”

**TAMĪM AL-DĀRĪ**

Tamīm b. Aws b. Khārijah al-Dārī, Abū Ruqayyah was an illustrious companion who embraced Islam in the ninth year after the Hijrah. He lived in Madīnah, which he left for Greater Syria after the assassination of ‘Uthmān, settling in Jerusalem and dying in Palestine. He was a devoted worshipper who led an ascetic life; he was the foremost exponent of such practices in Palestine during his time. He was the first to keep a light burning continually in a mosque, a constant practice of the Muslims up to the present days.

**THĀBIT**

When the name Thābit, without further elaboration, is cited, the reference is to Thābit b. Aslam al-Bunānī al-Hashrī, Abū Muḥammad (d. 123 or 127 AH), a righteous man and trustworthy narrator. He was extolled by Abū Ḥātim as the most reliable of the students who associated with Anas b. Mālik and narrated from him, save for al-Zuhrī, and preferred even to Qatādah in that regard. He spent forty years in the company of Anas, he used to recite the Qur’ān day and night, and to fast asiduously. Al-Muzani said he had met no more devout worshipper than him. He was, among the inhabitants of al-Hashrī, one of the pillars of sincere worship.

**‘UMĀRAH B. ZĀDĀN**

He is ‘Umarah b. Zadān al-Hashrī al-Saydāštī, Abū Salamah. Some critics of narrators, however, held a better view of his status.

**UMM AYMAN**

Barakah b. Tha‘labah was the servant and wet nurse of the Prophet  who was first married to ‘Ubayd al-Habashi, from whose union she had Ayman (known as Ibn Umm Ayman), and then to Zayd b. Ḥārithah, giving birth to his son Usāmah. Accounts on this great woman proliferate. She took part in both migrations, to Abyssinia and to al-Madinah. She was under ‘Abdallāh b. ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib, and was transferred to the Prophet  by inheritance. It is also said she was under the mother of the Prophet  who termed her “my mother after my mother.” He used to visit her in her house, as did Abū Bakr and ‘Umar after him . She is, according to one view, the one who in the famous narration informed the inquiring Prophet  that she had drunk his urine from the bowl he had placed under his bed.

**UMM KULTHŪM BINT ‘UQBAH**

Umm Kulthūm bint ‘Uqbah b. Abī Mu‘īt [Abūn b. Abī ‘Amr] embraced Islam in Makkah before the women started to migrate to al-Madinah. She herself made hijrah and gave formal allegiance to the Prophet  (and thus falls under the description *al-muhājirāt al-mubāyāt* at in Sūrah al-Munṭabahān). It has also been reported that she was the first woman to migrate to al-Madinah, in the year 7 AH, during the truce between the Prophet  and the *mushrikūn* of Quraysh. Since they had stipulated with the Prophet  that any believing woman who came to al-Madinah from Makkah had to be returned to them, the famous verse in the same chapter came down with the new legislation of the issue. Upon her migration, in fact, her two brothers al-Walid and ‘Umarah, ‘Uqbah’s sons, accosted her with a view to returning her to the associationists (as per the said divinely abrogated agreement), but Allah forbade such occurrence by the revelation of the said verse, by virtue of her Islam. Many accounts of this remarkable woman’s life have survived. It is said that she walked from Makkah to al-Madinah. She first married Zayd b. Ḥārithah, then, after he was killed, al-Zubayr b. ‘Awām, and thereafter, subsequent to his divorcing her, ‘Abd al-Rahmān b. ‘Awf, with whom she gave birth to two or three sons. She outlived him. She then married ‘Amr b. al-Ās, with whom she spent one month before meeting her death. She was ‘Uthmān’s half-sister (through his mother).

**URWAH B. AL-ZUBAYR**

He is one of the seven *fiqāhā* of al-Madinah and the son of the lofty companion al-Zubayr b. al-‘Awām, al-Asadī al-Qurashi, Abū ‘Abdallāh (22–93 AH). A stalwart of the followers, righteous, generous, knowledgeable about the *dīn*, he steered clear of any political or religious *fīnah* stirring up the Islamic community of his age. He moved first to al-Hashrī and subsequently to Egypt, where he
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married and resided for seven years, returning thereafter to al-Madinah, his final resting place. He was the full brother of 'Abdallāh b. al-Zubayr. The well called Bi‘r ‘Urwa‘h in al-Madinah takes its name from him.

UWAYS AL-QARANĪ

Uways b. 'Amir b. Jaz‘ b. Malik al-Qaranī was from the Banū Qaran b. Radmān (d. 37 AH) was one of the masters of the followers, as well as one of the great ascetics and devout worshippers of the early part of this ummah. He was originally from the Yemen. He used to dwell in desert wastelands and amidst sand-dunes. He was born during the time of the Prophet ﷺ but did not see him. He went to meet 'Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb before residing temporarily in al-Kufah. He participated in the battle of Šīfīn with 'Ali, and the predominant opinion of the historians is that he passed away in such battle.

WUHAYB B. AL-WARD

Wuhayb b. al-Ward b. ‘Abī al-Ward al-Makhzūmī (by wula‘), Abū Umayyah (d. 153 AH) was a contemporary of ‘Ibrāhīm b. Adham and one of the prominent devout worshippers and wise savants. His name was ‘Abd al-Wahhāb, which by a morphological process of diminution turned into Wuhayb. He was originally from Makkah, and died there. Whenever Sufyān al-Thawfī transmitted hadith in the Masjid al-Ḥarām in Makkah, he would say to the people, on completion thereof: “Go (now) to the pleasantly wholesome,” meaning Wuhayb. Reports and statements of his have been preserved.

ZĀ‘IDAH

He is, correctly, Zā‘idah b. Qudāmah al-Thaqafi al-Kūfī, Abū Šalṭ (d. 160 or 161 AH), who transmitted from al-A‘māsh and from whom, in turn, Abū Usāmah transmitted narrations. He was regarded as truthful and a man of knowledge by Abū Zur‘ah, and as a reliable narrator and a broad embodiment of the Sunnah by Abū Ḥātim and al-‘Irāfī. Al-Nasā‘ī, Ibn Sa‘d, Ibn Hibbān, and al-Dāraquṭnī, too, had a high opinion of him. He died in Byzantine country during a military expedition.

NOTES

1. See Ibn Khaldhūn’s al-Muqaddimah.
2. Literally: Tawajjah, i.e., orientation, or setting his face towards something, with the meaning here in all likelihood of the orientation to earn a sufficient modicum for his daily existence, without letting that consume too much of his time or subtract vital space from his said two other central pursuits.
4. Ibid.
5. Zahida is obviously the mādat, yazhada the muḍārī, fi and ‘an are the letters by which it takes its indirect object, and zahāl / zahādah two forms the maṣdar (original) of the verb assumes. Another variant which has been mentioned by the linguists is zahad.
6. Literally, another “language” of the Arabs in the pronunciation of the verb, since it has been transmitted that they made authentic use of such alternative form. Tha‘lab, another prominent linguist, records the existence of the variant zahūda as well.
7. The maṣdar, i.e., carrying out, generally and without correlation to time, the act of the verb taẓzhāhada.
8. That is the equivalent of the noun of the doer of the augmented verbal form azhada of the la‘ ala morphological type. Since no action but a status is expressed by the verb, there is no “doer” as such, but a person endowed with
the qualitative status of possessing scant financial means.

9. Cf. al-Jāmi‘ al-saḥīh by al-Suyūṭī. Al-Suyūṭī ascribed it to al-Ḍaylānī in the transmission from Abū Hurayrah, and marked it with the symbol indicating a weak (dā‘if) hadith. The meaning of the hadith is: a believer with little wealth, since he exercises zuhd in what he possesses due to his paucity. The adjectival attribute muzāhid (on such reading of the relevant word) is the equivalent of the noun of the patient (not the doer), i.e., one in respect of whom others exercise zuhd. Because of his poverty and ragged clothes, he is not given consideration and weight, and people’s attention is not directed to him. Others have transmitted the report on the basis that the word used is muzāhid, the noun of the doer, from the verb azhādafi al-dunnā (he exercises zuhd in this worldly existence) whenever the slave relinquishes this World. The believer’s zuhd in this World, in fact, makes him attain the highest degrees in the otherworldly life. Accordingly, when Ḥaḍīth was asked about two men who chanced upon a treasure, one of whom bypassed it without bothering to give it any attention while the other seized it, as to who was the better of the two, he replied: “The one who left it aside.” That has been narrated by al-Munāwī in his commentary on al-Suyūṭī’s said collection, titled Fayḍ al-qādir, which the readers are referred to concerning this whole hadith.

10. See in this entire regard the entry “zāy-hā-dāl” in Līsān al-ʿArab by the great African linguist Ibn Manẓūr.

11. There are a number of companions with such kunyā. The reference is here to the most copious narrator of Prophetic sayings among them, Šuṭayy b. Ṭālān al-Bāhili, from the tribe of Bāhilah. He settled eventually in Egypt, and later in the city of Ḥāriṣ in Greater Syria (al-Shām), where he passed away in the year 81 an. He was, according to some, the last companion to die in Greater Syria. Not surprisingly, the hadith transmitters from al-Shām have particularly relied on his narrations.

12. It has been reported by al-Tirmidhī in his Sunan, by Ahmad b. Ḥanbal in his Musnad, and by al-Baghawī in al-Mishkāt, i.e., Mishkāt al-maṣādīb.

13. It is not our intention here to delve into the subtleties of hadith classification. Suffice it to point out that in the technical lexicon of al-Tirmidhī, the unqualified hasan hadith coincides with what has been described by the experts in this science as hasan li ghairih, i.e., good in other than itself, meaning the weak one with several narrative chains, the plurality of which cure its feebleness when examined on its own.

14. In other words, the author repudiates the focus on the said exalted duo of companions as representing (negative) exceptions to the widespread practice of zuhd in the era of the best generation. He rebuts such claim with concrete and detailed counter-proof in subsequent chapters.

15. The author being on his madhhab.

16. And thus, without falling into sinfulness being in issue, it is nevertheless preferable to stick to those neutrally permissible matters untainted by any obfuscating doubt, and do away with the rest.

17. Al-Musayyib b. Wādīh, al-Sulami al-Talmanisī (from a fortress in Greater Syria) al-Ḥātim. He transmitted hadith from Ibn al-Mubārak and others, and inter alia Abū Hātim transmitted from him in turn. He used to write down abādīth himself. Al-Nasairi had a high opinion of him as a narrator, others esteemed him less. Abū Hātim himself mentioned that he was a truthful transmitter who used to err a lot in what he transmitted.

18. In his ṭafṣīr, the author commented that Sulaymân b. Ḥātim had spoken the truth, since the one whose hope is restrained does not seek the most pleasantly wondrous foodstuffs and a variegated diversity of clothes, and takes from this World what has been made easy to him of it, contented with the measure thereof which ensures sufficiency.

19. One of the followers who took from him. He is obviously relating a true dream that occurred to him after Sulaymān’s death.

20. Rephrasing the question better.

21. Al-ridā, satisfaction, pleasure with one’s lot.

22. In his ṭafṣīr, al-Qurtubi traced such statement to al-Awzā’i and those who took that same definition.

23. The “I” is the first person of the author, al-Qurtubi. “This” refers to the last-mentioned statement of al-Thawri preceding the view of “some people.”

24. The kunyā, i.e., patronymic name of Ja‘far b. Sulaymān.

25. In his ṭafṣīr, he quoted from Fudayl the other statement that zuhd meant to renounce the whole of this World, whether one liked such renunciation
or not. He then proceeded to mention the saying of Bishr b. al-Ḥārith that
love of this World was love of meeting people, and zuhd in this World lay in
doing without meeting people, following that up with a third citation from
Fudayl, to the effect that he said: “The distinguishing sign of zuhd in this
World is to do without people—make zuhd of people.”

That is, it is a true zāhid.

That is, turning away from wealth and fame, and being the opposite of de-
sire.

Meaning ostensibly the grandson of the Prophet ﷺ.

In his taṣfur, he contended that the definition of zuhd as the circumscription
of hope was the most general in the meaning it engirded, and was the worth-
liest and most appropriate one.

That is, his Sunan.

Cf. al-Tirmidhi’s Sunan, Ibn Mājah’s Sunan, and al-Mishkāt by al-Baghawi.
The meaning of the hadith is that you are rewarded for the calamity but
such reward would go amiss should you not be struck by it. Two reliable
witnesses of your zuhd in this World and your inclination to the final desti-
nation in the Afterlife are thus to have greater desire in the occurrence and
existence of the calamity for the sake of its reward than your desire for its
non-existence.

That is, the one transmitted from Abū Dharr.

In a nutshell, such a hadith is for him one which the experts of hadith have
found to be strange for one of several possible reasons, such as the addition
found in a transmission compared to the other narrative variants of the same
hadith, or the fact that only one narrative path (narrator) of such hadith ex-
ists, or the odd status of the transmission chain despite the fact that it has
been transmitted through many other paths, this last one being the ghāthah
which is so only isnādan, as to its chain, and not matan and isnādan (strange
in both its text and its chain).

Al-Dimashqī. Ḥishām b. ‘Ammār transmitted abādith from him [Indeed,
al-Khawālī is a few links down in such transmission path]. Most of the
comments about his hadith transmission, extending to the accusation of de-
liberately lying, are highly negative. However, it is particularly the abādith
which have only been narrated through him that are robustly discarded.

35. Munṣar al-ḥadith in the text, which is the definition of him given by al-
Bukhārī. Munṣar literally means declared to be unknown. The act of inkār
is the opposite of the act of ta’rīf, declaring something to be known. In short,
such a transmitter is explicitly catalogued among those whose narrations are
not used as proof.

36. Al-tauwakkul.

37. Al-riṣā. Also satisfaction and pleasure authentically felt in the heart.

38. It has been reported by Muslim in his Sahih, the “Book of imān,” and by
Aḥmad in his Musnad.

39. That is, the Sufi masters.

40. Such passage is omitted from the original manuscript of the Arabic text,
which shows a blank.

41. It has been reported by al-Bukhārī in the “Book of al-Riṣā” (heart-soften-
ing matters) in his Sahih, as well as by al-Tirmidhi in his Sunan.

42. It has been reported by Aḥmad in his Musnad, by Abū Dāwūd, al-Tirmidhi,
and Ibn Mājah in their respective Sunan, and is widely regarded as a saḥīḥ
hadith.

43. Five different views have been paraded about to explain the import of such a
definition. A modern scholar underpinned the opinion that by it al-Tirmidhi
intended the hadith transmitted through a number of narrative chains, some
being the chains of the ḥasan and others of the saḥīḥ hadith, and Allāh knows
best.

44. Reported by Aḥmad, Ibn Mājah, al-Ṭabarānī, in al-Muṣjarn al-kaḥīr, Abū
Na‘aym in al-Hilyah, and al-Baghawi in al-Mishkāt. It is a good hadith. The
word a:mmij in the text (teach concisely) means to limit himself ﷺ to the es-
sence of the matter to enable an easier grasp of what is taught, or to convey
that sought after knowledge by an abridging speech using a few words that
nevertheless gather a vast knowledge and a far-reaching meaning. As for mu-
suaddi‘ (who is bidding farewell), it denotes the following: Be as if you were
praying your last prayer. The signification of ima‘ (gather) is an injunction
that he should firmly believe in, and resolve on (despair vis-à-vis what is in
people’s hands).

45. In a preceding part of the overall treatise, of which this self-contained unit
devoted to zuhd represents the third section.
46. Ibn Mājah reported it. In a different and sounder variant it is also found in al-Ḥakīm’s Mustadrak, that is, his Mustadrak ‘ala al-Sahḥāyah of al-Bukhārī and Muslim.

47. Abū Nu‘aym quoted it in the biographical account of Wuhayb found in his Hilyah. The word qasab in the text signifies any plant with joints (of a knotted stem).

48. Al-Tirmidhi, who described it as hadith sahīḥ, Ibn Mājah, Ahmad, al-Ḥakīm, and Abū Dāwūd al-Ṭayālīṣī (in his Musnad) have reported it. The phrase مَا لَيْسَ لِي الْدُّنْيَا (“What have I got to do with the ḍunyā”) is the subject of debate. Some say the mā is here a letter of negation, i.e., I have no intimacy or love relationship with this World, and this World has no intimacy or love relationship with me, that I should be desirous of it, spread myself towards it, and gather for myself what is in it. Others have interpreted it as a letter of interrogation, that is: What intimacy and love do I have with this World? Or: What have I got that goes along with an inclination towards this World, and what inclination has it got towards me, for I am a seeker after the Next World, and the ḍunyā is its rival?

49. I have not been able to trace this narration to any known source.

50. Refer to the entry of Abū Sulaymān al-Khaṭṭābī in “Appendix Two: Biographical Notations.”

51. Meaning al-Fārisī ภาพ.

52. His kunyā.

53. See the biographical account of Salmān ภาพ in Hilyat al-auliya’ by Abū Nu‘aym.

54. Ibn Mājah reported it, as well as Abū Nu‘aym in Hilyat al-auliya’.


56. Ibn Abī Sufyān, the great caliph ภาพ.

57. His uncle.

58. In the singular in the text, despite the reference to a covenant with “us.”

59. It has been reported by Ahmad, al-Tirmidhi, al-Nasā’ī, Ibn Mājah, and al-

Baghawi in Mishkât al-maṣāḥīḥ. It is a good narration. The Arabic word in the text, which we rendered as disquieting, comes from the root-meaning of a thick and very stony ground.

60. That is, exercise zuhd.


62. It has been reported by Ibn Mājah in his Sunan and by Abū Nu‘aym in Hilyat al-auliya’.


64. That is, rest in ease, take a metaphorical (or even literal) recumbent position, since his promoting of zuhd presupposes that he is not in need of this World and of people’s gracious bestowals of worldly lots on him. And provision has already been divinely allotted as the addressee “fully knows.”


66. Sūrah Hūd, 11:88, from the address to his nation by Shu‘ayb ภาพ.


68. Sūrah al-Ma‘ārjīj, 70:5.


70. That is, in another section thereof.

71. Ibid.

72. That is, asking somebody else for the fulfilment of one’s need.

73. The state of asking humans while in need.

74. Meaning such affluent people, who did not stint in granting themselves the benefit of Allāh’s gift to them.

75. That this narration could not be traced to any known source.

76. In another section of the three-part treatise.

77. See note 10.

78. Al-Tirmidhi reported it, and mentioned that ‘Alī b. Yazīd, found in its chain of narration, is declared weak in his hadith transmission. It has also been reported by Ibn Mājah, Ahmad, al-Ḥakīm in his Mustadrak, Ibn al-Mubārak
NOTES

in his aforementioned work on zuhd, and al-Ṭabarānī in al-Mu'jam al-kabīr. Aghbat (the most fortunate) means the one whose state and final destination are the best. Khājīf al-hādī (whose social state is slight) is a reference to the person of little wealth, whose back is lightened by the paucity of dependents. The semantic origin of the word hādī (synonymous with ḫāl or state) is that part of a horse's back on which wood is found. As for the phrase kāna ḥaḍmiḏan fi al-nās, it signifies the one who, among people, is obscure, concealed, and knowledge of whom is not diffuse.

79. That is, in a preceding section of this work.

80. See note 11.

81. That is, the stony ground known as Ḥaran al-Madīnah.

82. It has been reported by al-Bukhārī and Muslim, as it is said in the text. The wording here is Muslim's, found in the “Book of zakāt” (chapter on exhortation to give sadaqah). With variations, al-Bukhārī reported it in three distinct books of his Sahih, Kitāb al-zakāh (“Bāb nā ʿuddīya zakāthu fa-layn bi kanz”); Kitāb al-istiṣna (“Bāb ʿādâ’ al-dawāʾīn”); and Kitāb al-niqāq (“Bāb qad al-Nabi” § “Ma yasurunna amma ʿindî mithla Uḥdun dhahabat”). It is said that the reference to three nights is due to the fact that, ordinarily, one would be unable to dispose of the golden equivalent of such as Uḥd in a shorter time. In this hadith, we detect a strong inducement to appropriately spend splendour on Allāh’s slaves, as well as a majestic indication of his deep concern with the discharge of debts, of his zuhd in this world and his encouragement to his ummah to likewise practise zuhd in the matter of wealth save for what is stored to satisfy a debt.

83. Reported by al-Tirmidhī who described it, in the transmission form, as hadith hasan ṣaḥīh ghāthb, which fundamentally denotes either a hadith transmitted through a number of narrative chains, as in the present instance, some of which display strange elements, or, in other examples, a hadith transmitted through a single chain which al-Tirmidhī was undecided about whether to classify it as hasan or as ṣaḥīh, due to the existing divergence of such two opinions on it among the ‘ulamā’. The meaning is that, once their barley flour was used to make bread, no surplus portion would be left for them, thereby indicating the severity of their material condition.

84. In a starved state. Literally, empty in the stomach (for lack of eating).

85. Reported by Ahmad, al-Tirmidhī (who classified it in the aforementioned manner), and Ibn Mājah.

86. It has been reported by al-Tirmidhī, who actually termed it hadith ṣaḥīh, and by Ibn Mājah.

87. The word ṣaḥīh literally means healthy, sound, wholesome. Its opposite is saṭīn meaning ill, unhealthy, sick. Al-Tirmidhī’s definition of such a hadith concords with that of the muhaddithūn generally.

88. Ibn Mājah reported it, and its transmission chain is good.

89. Reported by al-Bukhārī, Muslim, Ahmad, and Ibn Mājah.

90. It is reported by Muslim, al-Tirmidhī, who judged it to be ṣaḥīh, and Ibn Mājah.

91. Al-Bukhārī reported the meaning of it (though not its letter), and it has been further reported by Muslim.

92. Cf. al-Bukhārī and al-Nasā’ī.

93. It has been reported by the Shāykhbayn as in the text, as well as by al-Tirmidhī (in terms of meaning, but not literally), Ibn Mājah and Ahmad.

94. Cf. al-Bukhārī, Muslim, and Ahmad

95. Al-Nasā’ī has reported it.

96. Ibn Mājah reported it. We find in its chain of transmission Suwayd b. Sa’īd, concerning whom Ibn Hajar al-ʿАṣqālānī said in Taqīd al-tahdīth: “In his own hadith gathering, he was truthful. However, he became blind and started to receive extrinsic hadith.” In other words, such reliance on getting and learning hadith he did not personally take down exposed him to the narrations of less than truthful transmitters.

97. Reported with similar wording by al-Bukhārī, as well as by Muslim.

98. This is the same as with the previous hadith in terms of reporting sources.

99. A precise technical term in the lexicon of the hadith-scholars, describing a muhaddith of exalted rank who fulfils a whole catalogue of demanding prerequisites.

100. In its chain of transmission we encounter Sa’īd b. Maysarah, whose credibility has been impugned. Al-Bukhārī ascribed disowned narrations to him, and Ibn Hībān asserted that he related forged ahādīth. In particular, al-Hākim linked such forgeries to his hadith transmission from Anas. Yahyā al-Qaṭṭān
openly declared him a frequently mendacious narrator. This transmission path is thus regarded as forged, hence our decision to quote it within square brackets. See in this whole regard al-Bukhārī's al-Tārīkh al-saghir and al-Tārīkh al-kabīr, al-Dhahabi's Mīzān al-sā'id al and Ibn Abī Ḥātim's al-Jarh wa al-ta'dīl.

The ṭīdī mentioned in the text is a loose outer garment.

I could not trace it to any source. Indeed, the passive form of the verb "e has been reported," without reference to any known collection, has been utilized in the author's text.

Cf. Al- Bukhārī, Muslim, and al-Tirmidhī, who described it as ḥasan ṣaḥīḥ.

Such variant.

That is, 'A'īshah Pedido.

Al-Tirmidhī, who reported it (Abū Dāwūd, too, reported a similar variant thereof), defined it as hadīth ḥasan ṣaḥīḥ in terms of the particular narrative path which he recorded.

The wife of the Prophet IDEO.

Cf. Abū Dāwūd's Sunan, and al-Bagḥawi's Mishkāt al-maṣābīḥ. It is not a particularly strong narration, and weakness has been attributed to it.

The two treacherous tribes against which war was waged.

Ibn Mājah reported it. The problem with its isnad is the presence of Muslim b. Ḥayyān al-ʿAwar, a weak narrator. Refer to al-Duʿáyī by al-ʿUqaylī and the other sources cited in footnote 100 above.

That is, dust-colored or earth-colored. It is the diminutive by elision (due to the elision of the first consonant, the hamzah, to fit it into the classical morphological form fāʾiy of the diminutive) of the word aʿfar bearing the said meaning. See in that regard Ibn al-ʿAthīr's al-Nihāyah fī gharīb al-ḥadīth wa al-athār, under the entry "āyin-fāʾ-ʾalā". The hadīth is reported in both Sahīth al-Bukhārī and Sahīth Muslim.

Or even: "from the genus of livestock."

Al-Tirmidhī reported it, save that the wording handed down by him is different, "Mā abqayta bi-ahlīkai?" though the import is identical. He termed it hadīth ḥasan ṣaḥīḥ. Abū Ẓayyām, too, reported it in al-Mustadhrak and labelled it ṣaḥīḥ, al-Dhahabi concurring with him on that. It is also reported in Abū Nuʿaym's Ḥilyat al-awliyāʾ.

Indeed, it is said by some that Abū Bakr did not love this world, and the ḍunya, in turn, did not love him, whence inter alia the brevity of his caliphate, by contrast to ʿUmar who loved it and was loved by it in return, as attested by the length of his rule and the great expansion it witnessed.

One wonders how this passage could have been rendered, in a widely-circulating English translation of the relevant section of Abū Nuʿaym's Ḥilyah, as "with silk and fineries [generically], "and they will condemn woollen garments," when no garments are mentioned in the Arabic text, and no need exists, for the readers' sake, to draw a comparison with silk and wool in matters of dress. Had he wished to make such a straight comparison Abū Bakr IDEO would have done so, but he chose to make a different analogy. In the analogy he chose a special meaning (as well as a species of eloquence) is embedded, apart from the duty of faithfulness to the translated text.

The word used in the text is ḥasāʾik, the plural of ḥasākah, the gnawed fodder of barley and its like. It is annexed by the genitive construction (idāfah or annexation) to the word saʿdān, meaning a specific thorny plant (we have generalized the meaning) which is one of the most useful and salubrious varieties of pasture, so much so that a famous proverbial expression elevates it to the paradigm of something preferred over its peers or likes. It also denotes the thorn of the palm-tree. As for ḥasāk, it is a name of several prickly herbs, especially of the genus Tribulus (nitrāṭ yah in Arabic). The famous idiomatic phrase of the Arabs is ḥasāk al-saʿdān. One says: Kaʾamma ḥanabo ʿalā ḥasāʾik al-saʿdān, i.e., as if his side were on the ḥasāk of the ṣaʿdān, meaning anxiously disquieted, agitated, apprehensively perturbed, as well as restlessly fidgety and disgruntled, and twitching nervously. Abū Bakr IDEO thus used another figurative expression, tangible and abstract at the same time, referring this time to nourishment instead of attire or bedding. This whole sentence, though, has been ostensibly expunged from the said English translation, otherwise excellent and meritorious in its generality. Granted that some of the words used were not easy or common, but rendering in a foreign language the meaning of the sayings of the sires of the past one seeks to emulate is a trust to be discharged in full earnest, given the present-day Muslims' reliance on such translations thereof.
120. That is, the job was sloppily done and the fabric started to unravel.
121. So that he could then hem them for him.
122. *Hilyat al-awliyā*.
123. Meaning the grandson of the Prophet ﷺ.
124. *Hilyat al-awliyā* and Ibn al-Jawzi’s *Ṣifat al-ṣafiwah*.
125. *Hilyat al-awliyā*. The author of *Montakhabu kanz al-‘umād* quoted it as well, ascribing it to Qatādah from ‘Abd b. Ḥamid and Ibn Jarir.
126. To make them more comfortable.
128. Which are used for seasoning.
129. *Al-qadid*, jerked meat.
130. *Jaysh al-‘issa‘ah*, before the Battle of Badr took place.
131. It has been reported by Aḥmad, and by Abū Nu‘aym in *Hilyat al-awliyā*.
132. *Fitnah*, a severe testing of their faith by rifting disunion, et al.
133. Lit., entered.
134. The famous city in Yemen, i.e., an ‘Adā‘ loincloth, one made in such locality.
135. *Hilyat al-awliyā*, where the narrator’s name is distorted into Abū al-Malik b. Shaddīd. The noun for wrap in the Arabic text is *ṣayyāh* (also a classical proper noun of women), which denotes a single piece of cloth, not two pieces patched together.
136. Referred to elsewhere as ‘Antarah, the father of Hārun b. ‘Antarah, who narrated it from Aḥmad b. Ja‘fār.
137. A district of al-Kūfah in this context. The same name was given to the palace of al-Nu‘mān built by the “architect par excellence,” the Byzantine Sinimmar.

138. That is, the revenues flowing into the *bayt al-māl*.
139. *Hilyat al-awliyā*.
140. *Hilyat al-awliyā* and *Ṣifat al-ṣafiwah*.
141. *Hilyat al-awliyā*.
142. *Hilyat al-awliyā*.
143. It has been reported by Al-Tirmidhi, Aḥmad, al-Ḥākim, and Abū Nu‘aym in the *Hilyat al-awliyā*. It is a good narration.
144. Meaning the grandson of the Prophet ﷺ.
146. Here the text presents a visible gap between one part of the account and the rest. The full narration as relayed in the *Hilyat* from Talbah’s wife Sa‘dā b. ‘Awf is as follows: She said: “In one day, Talbah gave one hundred thousand silver coins to ‘aṣa‘ah. What held him back from setting out for the mosque was the fact that I tied up for him some money in his robe.” The narration is reported in *Hilyat al-awliyā* and *Ṣifat al-ṣafiwah*.
147. Not servants or workers as found in an English translation. He thus “owned” such persons [their *rīzāh*, not their mere use either], as unequivocally attested by the Arabic phrase *kāna lahu* in the text.
148. That is, he had distributed the same in full.
149. In *al-Zuhd*. It is also in *Hilyat al-audiyya*.
150. Meaning silver coins in all likelihood.
151. His *kūnāyā*.
152. *Hilyat al-audiyya* and *Ṣifat al-ṣafiwah*.
153. Literally meaning the forest or the thicket.
154. *Hilyat al-audiyya*.
155. See Muslim, al-Nasā‘i, and Aḥmad.
156. Al-Shām.
157. Al-Tabarānī and Abū Nu‘aym (in *al-Hilyah*) have reported it. It is also quoted by Ibn Ḥajar in his biographical work on the companions (al-Ībād). The narration has a broken chain of transmission.
By freeing an equivalent number of slaves in his ownership who headed such family nuclei. Cf. al-Mustadrak.

The word used in the Qur'an to describe one of the helpers of Sayyiduna 'Isā șāh.

Cf. al-Mustadrak.

As an advisory instruction.

Al-Hākim in his Mustadrak, al-Dhahabi commenting on it that Fūrat b. 'a-Sā'ī, found in its narrative chain, was discarded by the reliable hadith transmitters. It has also been quoted by Abū Nu'aym in al-Hilya.

Causing himself to be left out as a candidate for the office and thus as a potential choice for the caliphate.

The Prophet  said the losers were those having a huge amount of wealth, except so and so and those who spend their wealth generously on those whom they find in front of them, behind them, on their right side and on their left side, adding that they are a few. How could 'Abd al-Rahmān not be one of those few? How could he be one of the losers, wa 'iyādu billāh?

Sūrah al-Ḥadīd, 57:10. Some commentators have referred the allusion to the Treaty of al-Hudaybiyyah, though the majority of the mujāhidūn have taken the word “Victory” to be a reference to the conquest of Makkah. In any case, ‘Abd al-Rahmān b. ‘Awf participated in both (and many more defining occurrences before).

That is, beset by ḫaṭirah, a disorderly corruption and disturbance of the equilibrium. It denotes a special kind of deficiency in hadith transmission.

See above.

 Reported by al-Bukhārī, with some minor difference in wording, by Muslim.

It has been reported by al-Bukhārī, Muslim, al-Nasā’ī, Ibn Mājah and Ahmad (in their respective abovementioned works).

It has been reported in the Sahihayn, by al-Bukhārī and by Muslim.

Reported by al-Tirmidhī. It is an authentic narration. We have rendered the Arabic word in the text mutakhawwīd as “who hastily disposes of.” The semantic origin of the root word al-khawwīd is to walk on water and to stir it. It was then employed, figuratively, to signify deceitful obscuring of a matter and dealing with it (once so stirred and upset by obfuscating commotion). The meaning is thus: How many a person who deals with the wealth of Allāh and His Rasūl by what Allāh is not pleased with, i.e., they meddle with the public treasury and dispose arbitrarily of the Muslims’ wealth without distributing it. The word khadīrath can be rendered in many different ways, more literal or more figurative. The meaning is that the outward shape of this World is nice and elegantly, pleasantly fine, and the Arabs refer to every shiningly radiant and brilliant thing as a green nādir. It might be a feminine noun because of the “flower of this World” (zahrat al-dunyā) mentioned in the Qur’ānic verse, since wealth encompasses such flower. Or the meaning of wealth in this narration is the dunyā, because wealth is part of this World’s adornment. Given that dunyā is a feminine word, there would be gender concordance of the feminine khadīrath; the dunyā with its adjectival descriptive bahwah (sweet).

Reported by al-Tirmidhī, Ahmad, and al-Baghdāwī in al-Mishkāt, an authentic hadith. Al-Mundhūrī quoted it in al-Tājīḥ wa al-tarīḥ. As for the second slave mentioned in the narration, he has the reward of beneficial knowledge on top of the reward for the would-be wealth he would spend correctly.

Al-ghanīṣū, translated hereunder as “high halls of Paradise.”

Cf. al-Bukhārī, Muslim, Abū Dāwūd, al-Tirmidhī (who attached thereto the tag of hasan saḥīḥ), al-Nasā’ī, Ibn Mājah, and Ahmad.

An authentic hadith reported by Ahmad and Ibn Mājah.

Ahmad reported it. The ḫaṭīṣ al-Haythamī quoted it in Majma‘ al-zawā’id, saying that Ahmad reported it, as well as al-Ṭabarāni in al-Mustam jam al-kabīr and al-Muṣjam al-aswāt, and by Abū Ya’lā, the narrators of both Ahmad and Abū Ya’lā being the narrators of hadith saḥīḥ. Al-Ḥāfiẓ al-İṣāqī stated that Ahmad, Abū Ya’lā and al-Ṭabarānī have reported it from the narration of ‘Amr b. al-‘Āś through a good transmission chain.


Being his ḫunyā, as per footnote 1.

That means his independence, whereby his fearless advocacy of the truth
was secured, would be pressurized by persuasive coaxing, which his human state of need would make it difficult to resist or be indifferent to.

At this point in the text, the author makes reference to the specific cases of Talhah, al-Zubayr and ‘Abdallah b. Mas‘ūd, who bequeathed wealth in huge measures. The text, as evinced by the printing of the manuscript copy, is quite disjointed and unintelligible at times in these two lines. It further refers to something like the qantara, a varying wealth that would bear no meaning for the reader of this translation. In addition, unlike the preceding examples, the species of wealth is not clarified. Thus, even when the sentence “whereas Ibn Mas‘ūd bequeathed 90,000,” which is syntactically conjoined to the immediately preceding phrase, is structurally fine and semantically clear in general, one is left at a loss as to which variety of wealth the unit of 90,000 belongs to, though silver coins would be the likeliest conjecture. The point made by the author is in any event sufficiently conveyed, and the two lines merely tend to illustrate in a graphic form, appreciable in detail by a reader thinking in terms of such weights and monetary estimates, the earning, gathering and bequeathing of wealth (of far from insignificant proportions) by some of the foremost companions.

See al-Bukhare, Ahmad, al-Nasā‘i, and Ibn Mājah.

180.

If he did so, it is not however in his Sahih.

181.


182.

Sūrah al-Baqara, 21:266 (in the Warsh ni‘yāyah, 267 in others). One wonders why the said translation was chosen for the second part of the sentence, since Allāh ascribed the verb and thus the action denoted by it to Himself in the Arabic text, saying: and from what We extracted from the earth for you.

183.

See Abū Usāma in the Biographical Notations.

184.

Ibn Sa‘d has reported it (in his Tabaqāt), and al-Hākim, too, whereas al-Dhahabi has quoted it.

185.

Al-Suddiq, meaning Abū Bakr.

186.

The word nation translates the Arabic umma, while test renders fitnah, which is a punishment trial of one’s faith and its like, and literally comes from the expression fatana al-fiddah, i.e. he melted the silver. It can also be rendered as straying, perdition, and refractory disobedience. One’s īmān, communal concord, political strength et al. might be “melted away” by the pernicious effect of fitnah. The hadith has been reported by Ahmad, al-Tirmidhi, who classified it as hadith hasan sahih gharib, explaining his evaluation by adding that it was known to “us” in the version narrated by Mu‘awiyah b. Šāhīb, by al-Hākim, and al-Baghawi in al-Misīkāt. It is generally regarded as authentic, since the muhaddithūn have endorsed such judgment of its status by al-Hākim. The strangeness is thus only in the reported transmission mode used by al-Tirmidhi, though the narration has come down with a sahih chain elsewhere. “Wealth” here means to take delight in it, and fritter it away in amusing diversions, entertaining distractions, and pleasurable pastimes.

187.

Sūrah Tā Hā, 20:129. It is followed by: the flower of the life of this World [zahrah al-dunyā], so that We can test them by it.

188.

Cf., as mentioned above, Ahmad, al-Tirmidhi (who deemed it a hadith gharib, as “we only know it from the narration of Mu‘awiyah b. Šāhīb”), al-Hākim, and al-Baghawi in al-Misīkāt. It is widely regarded as an authentic hadith, as we have said.

189.

Unless the verb in the original text was kathma, which is more likely and in greater apparent congruity with the whole speech, in which case the meaning would be: so that the slavehood of the self-exalted and conceited abounded among them.

190.

By associating with Him the intermediate causes which He set up as the visible agents of the manifestation of His Lordship over the entire creation. He is Masāhib al-Asbūb, the Causers of intermediate causes, but they focused on the latter, being His creation emanating from His creative powers and veiling them from knowing and worshipping the One Causer.

191.

The companion.

192.

Al-Bukhare reported it in a slightly different version.

193.

Actually, by sāhīb.

194.

See footnote 176.

195.

Reported by al-Tirmidhi, who said about it: Hadith sahib gharib in this transmission mode. It is generally considered to be authentic. In the technical vocabulary of al-Tirmidhi, the qualification sahib gharib is adopted for a narration which is authentic, though strange at the same time, since the gharib encompasses both the sahib and other than it, and the sahib might have been transmitted through various chains or through a single one, in
the latter case combining authenticity with the strangeness or ghanībah entailed by the presence of an exclusive narration. That is why other than al-Tirmidhī disregarded the “strangeness” of the solitary chain and focused on the authentic status of this particular hadith.

Reported by Muslim and Ahmad. His questioning is for the sake of alerting guidance. One derives from the hadith that touching an impure substance such as a cadaver, provided that it is not moist on either side, does not engender ritual impurity.

Untraceable to any known source. Al-Qurṭubi quoted it with an expression indicating its weakness.

A well-known technical term used by the Sufis to describe the seven men by which Allāh protects the corresponding number of the regions of the world. Refer to Appendix One for greater elaboration.

Cf. al-Firdaws. Al-Suyūtī quoted it in al-Jami‘ al-saghīr, attributing it to al-Daylānī and Ibn al-Najjār, based on the narration from Ibn Mas‘ūd. Al-Sarī b. Ismā‘īl is present in its transmission chain. It is said that his hadith is discarded, Yahyā al-Qaṭṭān going as far as imputing habitual mendacity to him. See in his regard the biographical accounts and evaluative statements in (Yahyā) Ibn Ma‘īn’s Tārīkh, al-Bukhārī’s al-Tārīkh al-kabīr, al-Uṣayyil’s al-Du‘a‘ qa‘ī, Ibn Abī Ḥātim’s al-Ja‘f wa al-idā’īl, Ibn ‘Adī’s al-Kāmil, al-Dhahabī’s Mizân al-fīdāl, Ibn Hajar’s al-Taqriḥ, and similar standard reference works on critics of narrators. Great ‘ulama’ of all eras, however, have quoted this hadith.

Lit.: If a calamity comes upon you in the circles of variable events.

A good narration reported by al-Tirmidhī and Ibn Mājah.

An expression which has caused a lot of exegetical difficulties to the students of his work. The best explanation of this ostensibly oxymoronic phrase is that al-Tirmidhī meant by it al-hasan li dhātih (the hasan in itself) as defined by the generality of the muhaddithūn, i.e., the hadith with an unbroken chain transmitted by a trustworthy narrator with scant narrative mastery from his qualitative like, reliability-wise, all the way up, being free from estranging exceptionality or defectiveness.


219. Cf. Dhikr al-imām al-Shāfi‘i. In spite of the author’s comment, it is clear from the verses that it is not the “dogs” which shun it (too), nay, they pounce eagerly on it. The shunning one is the illuminated self that shirks preoccupation with attracting it to the side and grasp. Unless the typist from the manuscript distorted the verb “entice” into “avoid,” as dogs are engrossed with enticing this World.

220. Reported by Muslim, al-Tirmidhi, Ibn Mājah, and Ahmad (in four different sections of his Munād). The kāfīr has thus the ease and the delights in this World, despite their overall scarcity and their turbidity, intrinsically and comparatively to the unadulterated, abundant and everlasting bliss of the jannāh. Or one can say: A prison by the side of what Allah has stored for the mu’min in the Afterlife, and a garden by the side of what is prepared for the covering-up unbeliever in it. In truth, both interpretive insights hit the mark.

Laid down in the shaf’i’ah.

Severe trial.

Cf. Ahmad, and al-Hākim in his Mustadrak.

That is his work devoted to the fourth category of Qur’ānic sciences (based on his own classification), the science of reminder or al-tadhkrār. A very important book that has not yet seen the light of publication and exists in manuscript form only.


Sūrah al-Kahf, 18:45 (in the Warsh niwāyah, 46 in others).

Sūrah Al ‘Imrān, 3:14. It then says: and heaped-up mounds of gold and silver, and horses with fine markings, and livestock and fertile farmland.

228. It has been reported by Muslim, al-Tirmidhi, Ibn Mājah, and Ahmad.

229. Reported by al-Bukhārī in its meaning, and by Muslim.

230. Exercises zuhd in it.

231. In his tafsir he says: The slave has no way to the disobedience of what Allah has adorned this World with save by His assistance to him in finding it.

232. By the control of the self directing itself to its appropriation.

233. It has basically been traced hereabove. A similar narration is found in the “Book of zakāt” from Saḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, “Bih man d‘āhullāhu shay‘an min ghasīn mas‘alatin wa la ishrāfī nafs.”

234. In his tafsir, the author adds at this junction: Successful is the one who submits and is provided with sufficient means for a living, and whom Allah causes him to be contented with such sufficiency. Ibn ‘Atiyah (the great mutāfassir) said: My father Ḍū’l ‘Arab used to say about His statement whose actions are the best [Sūrah al-Kahf, 18:7, see chapter 1]: The best action is to acquire rightly and spend rightly, in conjunction with iṣmān, fulfilling the obligations and avoiding the forbidden things in the din, and doing the meritoriously recommended ones in abundance. Al-Qurtubī commented: This is a fine statement, concise in its wording and far-reaching in its meaning.

235. It has been reported by al-Bukhārī, Muslim, Ahmad (in three different sections of his Munād), and al-Nasā’ī.

236. The revered companion.

237. Literally, celibacy.

238. That is, his hawwāf.

239. The chain of transmission (and thus the narration) is mursal. The mursal hadith is the one in which, at the end of its insād, the narrator after the follower has been dropped. As such, it is a sub-species of the weak (da‘īf) hadith. However, there are two types of mursal. The mardūsī (pl. of mursal) ascribed to the eminent follower Sa‘īd b. al-Musayyib (as the last link of the narrative chain, bypassing the companion(s)) are the strongest of mardūsī. In any event, the meaning of this particular narration is good and its entire contents conform in full with the teachings of Islam.


243. In the plural, thus embracing Jābir’s family.

244. In the singular, referring to Jābir alone, since he was the leader of the family by whom the example was set and from whom it was sought.


246. Usually, of course, the ill-gotten asset has been consumed, and food bought
inter alia with it is simply the by-product thereof, not the prohibited property as such.

247. As part of a tutor's discharging of his duties, inclusive of chastising his pupils.

248. The great follower, too famous, even for his well-known and widely examined interaction with Râbi‘ah al-Baṣriyyah to be the focus of succinct biographical details in this context.


250. Despite that, since there is no foundational discrepancy between the two stances, ‘Amr b. Dinâr narrated that he had seen none to whom this World had less worth than al-Zuhri, in whose eyes it had the rank of animal dung, and none for whom the gold coin and the silver coin were more despicably lowly [Cf. Ibn ‘Asîkir’s Târîkh madîna Dimashq].

251. Allâh says in His Book: You who have iman! do not nullify your sadaqah by demands for gratitude [mann] or insulting words [adhâ] [Sûrah al-Baqarah, 2:263].

252. Al-Jâmi‘, the main mosque of the city where the earliest jumu‘ah prayer was held.

253. Reported (in its meaning) by al-Bukhârî, as well as by Muslim, al-Nasâ‘î, and Ahmad.

254. At this point, the following truncated sentence is found: So whoever knows that the specific thing, delineated in its individuality, is not lawful.

255. The signposts. We have already mentioned it when providing a short biographical account of al-Khaṭṭâbî.

256. Reported by al-Bukhârî, Muslim, Abû Dâwud, al-Tirmidhî, al-Nasâ‘î, Ibn Mâjah, and Ahmad.

257, 258. Some units of sâ‘, a cubic measure of varying magnitude. The sâ‘ in al-
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