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Foreword

Luxury glass and ceramics produced during the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries in Italy signify high points of Renaissance art production. Commonly known as cristallo and maiolica, these were groundbreaking art forms that established taste and became the envy of European courts and other collectors for the next three hundred years.

To this day, the visual appeal of these objects, at times stunningly beautiful and virtuosic, stimulates both the passion to collect them and the interest to study them. Since the late nineteenth century, research by collectors, curators, and scholars has helped to fill in the picture of Italian maiolica and glass production. Much of this research concentrates on placing these art forms within the Italian cultural and social context, and rightly so. But this artistic production would not have been possible without the technological and formal advances that arrived in Italy from outside the peninsula. The Arts of Fire, and the exhibition it accompanies, concentrates on the sometimes overlooked contributions that came to Northern Italian glassmakers and ceramists from the Islamic world.

The Getty’s own collection of Italian ceramics and glass has been ably documented in recent collection catalogues. Now Catherine Hess, author of those earlier volumes and associate curator in the Department of Sculpture and Decorative Arts, has initiated an exploration of the Museum’s objects from a new angle of vision: the complex influences, both technical and aesthetic, of Islamic glass and ceramics makers on their Italian counterparts. To flesh this story out she has borrowed important objects from two other museums: the Los Angeles County Museum of Art and the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York. I am indebted to both institutions for their generosity and willingness to share these rare objects with us; the story told in The Arts of Fire would have been incomplete without them. My thanks go also to the two distinguished scholars who contributed to this catalogue: George Saliba from Columbia University and Linda Komaroff from the Los Angeles County Museum of Art. Their essays, along with Catherine Hess’s historical introduction, show the vital role played by Islamic art and science in the shaping of this intriguing aspect of the Renaissance in Northern Italy.

At a time when relations between East and West are fraught, it is a pleasure to sponsor an exhibition and catalogue that demonstrate such rich and productive connections between very different cultures. I am grateful to Catherine Hess and her collaborators for reminding us of this important chapter in the history of ceramics and glass.

Deborah Gribbon
Director, J. Paul Getty Museum
Vice President, J. Paul Getty Trust
Acknowledgments
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Associate Curator, Department of Sculpture and Decorative Arts, J. Paul Getty Museum
The Islamic World and Southern Europe
ca. A.D. 700-1800
Brilliant Achievements: The Journey of Islamic Glass and Ceramics to Renaissance Italy

CATHERINE HESS

with the assistance of NASSIM ROSSI

Ars sine scientia nihil est.
—Jean Mignot (ca. 1390)

ABU’L-QASIM MUHAMMAD IBN Abdallah ibn Abd al-Muttalib ibn Hashim was born around A.D. 570 in the trading town of Mecca on the Arabian Peninsula. According to Muslim belief, as an adult this man, known as Muhammad, began to receive messages from God. He shared these revelations with friends and family, who joined him in worship. The new religion was known as Islam, from the Arabic word for “submission,” since its main precept was submission to the will of God, and its adherents were identified as Muslims. Muhammad’s teachings, with their emphases on social reform and encompassing political, social, and religious principles, attracted many followers, and by the time of his death in 632 the religion of Islam dominated the Arabian Peninsula and became the basis of Arab unity, developing eventually into the Arab or Islamic Empire. Within one hundred years the religion of Islam expanded from Spain and North Africa, through the eastern Mediterranean, south through the Arabian Peninsula, north to the Caucasus, and east to beyond Kabul. The speed and ease with which the religion spread over such a great distance are nothing less than astonishing.

Over subsequent generations great advances occurred in Islamic lands in mathematics, engineering, chemistry, literature, medicine, poetry, and astronomy—leading up to 1492, a familiar year in the Americas.

What is not often remembered, however, is that while Columbus “sailed the ocean blue” for Ferdinand and Isabella, non-Christians, including Muslims and Jews, were persecuted and either forced to convert to Christianity or expelled from Spain in a move to consolidate that same monarchy. The expansion of Christian Europe also marked the contraction and eventual extinction of the Islamic kingdom of Granada. In fact, the year 1492 signaled the decline of a golden age in Spain: the rich period that developed during the Islamic presence there.

Why did this golden age take place in Spain and elsewhere? In simple terms Islamic rule united, through language and religion, a vast area that retained the traditions of ancient civilizations. This unity facilitated communication, travel, and trade across a geographic expanse linking such far-flung areas as China and Spain. In addition, Islamic rulers established academies, schools, libraries, and observatories; patronized scientists; and supported research. Scientific advancements—in such areas as agriculture, luxury crafts, civil engineering, and medicine—helped produce the increasing material prosperity in Islamic cities.

By the end of the fifteenth century “for the first time, Europeans had begun to acquire realistic images of the Muslim world,” proof that they, specifically Italians, were engaged with and interested in Islamic society. Pilgrimages to the Holy Land, diplomatic missions, trade, and cultural exchange all served to foster this awareness. For example, the arrival in Italy of visitors from the East—such as the Byzantine emperor John VIII Palaeologus (r. 1425–58), who attended the councils of Florence and Ferrara in 1438—and the return from the East of traveling Italians—such as the artists Benedetto Dei (1418–1492), who traveled to Africa and the Middle East in the 1460s as a political agent of the Medici, and Gentile Bellini (ca. 1429—1507) and Costanzo da Ferrara (ca. 1450—after 1524), who were invited to Istanbul in the 1470s and 1480s by Mehmed II (r. 1444–81, with interruptions)—brought individuals into contact with one another and helped develop relationships built on experience rather than rumor.

At this moment in Italy the Renaissance was fully under way. Giotto had been dead 150 years. Leonardo was about to paint his Last Supper, Michelangelo sculpt his Pietà, and Bramante embark on his reconstruction of Saint Peter’s. In the field of decorative arts, where the distinction as “decorative” was not made at the time, Italian glass and ceramic production was reaching a level of sophistication and beauty unknown on the peninsula since antiquity. The reasons for these developments are many and interrelated. Of these, the most straightforward and, perhaps, most decisive were the lessons learned from the Islamic world, without which these art forms would not have been possible.
Crafts arose and developed because an original creator transmits to a successor what he had created earlier. This successor examines it critically and adds to it as far as he possibly can. This process continues until the craft achieves perfection.

—Abu'l-Faraj Abdallah (d. 1043/44)⁶

Glass and ceramics have much in common. They are often united under the term “arts of fire” because of the heat that must be manipulated to create them. In an inverse sequence glass is melted and formed in a furnace, becoming rigid when it cools, while a soft piece of shaped clay hardens in a kiln. Glass and ceramics can also have very similar ingredients—silica, calcium, frits, and fluxes—confusing the precise definition of some glassy clay bodies, such as the so-called Egyptian faience and certain porcelains and frit pastes. In addition, glass and ceramics share an ancient history, explained in part by their function as jars for storage, vessels for dining, and beads and figurines of symbolic and ritual significance. Glass is a wonderfully sanitary material for food and drug storage—vessels made from it are watertight and easily washed—and pottery can be made likewise by being covered with a layer of glass, called glaze. Further, the technological development of glass and ceramic ornamentation for which these crafts became renowned art forms occurred in essentially the same place at the same time: in the Islamic Middle East between the eighth and twelfth centuries. For both glass and ceramics, this ornamentation highlighted the qualities of color and sparkle. Enamed glass and tin-glazed pottery accentuated color, while gilded glass and luster-painted pottery emphasized sparkle.

Glass

Glassmakers of ancient Rome created spectacular luxury objects.⁷ Some types of Roman glass—clear glass, mosaic glass, and glass decorated with gilding or enamel paint—reappeared in Venice a millennium after the end of the empire in the fifth century. A continuous history of glassmaking may have existed in Venice since ancient times. Roman glass dating to the fourth and fifth centuries has been found at Aquileia, and glass was produced on the Venetian island of Torcello as early as the seventh century.⁸

It is known, for instance, that glassblowing—the single most important innovation in the history of glass production, making possible the quick and easy manufacture of vessels in various useful shapes and sizes—was invented in Mesopotamia under Roman rule in the first century B.C.⁹ Once invented, glassblowing (inflating molten glass at the end of a tube or blowpipe) became the preferred method of efficient...
glass production (pls. 1–3). Whether other Roman practices of glass-making, such as the decorative techniques of enameling and gilding, persisted into later centuries or were developed independently and then brought to Venice is not known. It is likewise uncertain to what degree glassmakers from Byzantium or from Islamic lands were responsible for the transfer of these techniques to Venetian artisans. That parts of the Islamic Middle East especially important for glassmaking, Syria and Egypt, had been under Byzantine control before the Arab conquest in the seventh century complicates a clear understanding of the picture.

The earliest piece of postclassical enameled and gilded glass is a Byzantine object: a red bowl ornamented with figures from classical mythology probably dating to the tenth century and now in the San Marco Treasury, Venice (fig. 1). It is the only piece of luxury glass widely accepted as being of Byzantine manufacture. Certainly there must have been many others and a fair amount of Byzantine common glass remains, including mosaic tessera, vessels, and windowpanes. Not only do documents describe an active Byzantine luxury glass industry, but the first treatise on glassmaking, written in the thirteenth century, considers Byzantine practices. It appears that the early Byzantine red-glass bowl reached Venice as booty after the fall of Constantinople just after the turn of the thirteenth century. Innocent III (pope, 1198–1216) ordered the crusade that led to the capture of Constantinople. Venice was asked to provide transport in exchange for payment and a division of spoils. The Venetian authorities also were interested in participating because the crusade gave them the opportunity to win territory. On April 13, 1204, Constantinople fell and underwent three days of looting and destruction. Many Byzantine artifacts, including Islamic glass in Byzantine mounts, were pillaged and carted off to western Europe, primarily to Venice. One can imagine that the arrival of such objects spurred local interest in glass and glassmaking.

The so-called middle Byzantine era, the high point of Byzantine civilization, corresponds to a period of great flourishing of Islamic culture from the mid-ninth to the mid-thirteenth century. During this time contact between the Byzantine and Islamic worlds was inevitable if ambivalent. Despite episodic conflict, diplomatic ties fostered courtly gift giving, rivalry promoted artistic one-upmanship, political envoys engaged in commerce, and similar interests in luxury items and decorative themes were shared. All this served to circulate valued goods—textiles, metalwork, gems, and glass—between Constantinople and the Islamic communities in Spain, North Africa, Egypt, Syria, and Iraq. Since interest in promoting classical learning and science must have been shared among Byzantine and Islamic scholars, it would not be surprising if artisans were also eager to acquire technical advances pertaining to art production.
The first examples of fine Venetian enameled glass are vessels and vessel fragments, several signed with Italian names—Aldobrandini, Pietro, and Bartolomeo—and datable to the late thirteenth and early fourteenth centuries (fig. 2). The method by which these beakers were shaped does not conform to the typical Islamic methods of manufacture, and the recipes for the glass and enamel differ from Islamic formulas. In fact, enamelisters working in Venice came from areas formerly within the Byzantine Empire, where they would have been trained. Nevertheless, although the earliest securely dated examples of Islamic glass decorated with gilding and enameling were made in the late twelfth and early thirteenth centuries, at least two hundred years after the Byzantine red-glass bowl in Venice was made, a tradition of painting on glass existed from the earliest years of Islamic rule in the East. This tradition, involving the application of metallic oxides with a brush or quill pen, was developed in Egypt and Syria.

It was, however, gilded and enameled Islamic glass of the late medieval period—produced under the Mamluks in Egypt and Syria, especially from the late thirteenth to the fourteenth century—that exerted the greatest influence on Venetian glass production (pls. 4–6). Indeed, this sumptuous glass is among the supreme achievements of Islamic glassmakers, and, not surprisingly, at its first appearance in Europe it created a sensation. Among the most splendid examples known today are beakers probably from Syria and datable from the late twelfth to the
thirteenth century. Crusaders possibly brought these to Europe very soon after they were made. Several such beakers, such as the so-called "Luck of Edenhall," were supplied with European mounts or leather cases, which have helped preserve them in the eight hundred years since their manufacture (fig. 3).  

Glass was also imported along with other valuable merchandise. Fourteenth-century archival sources tell us that glass "di Dommascho" was arriving in Venice and Florence and that Venice served as a transshipment point for glass lamps "di Domasche." Since commercial scribes were probably not glass connoisseurs, the precise meaning of the description "of [or from] Damascus" is unclear. The fortunate discoveries of documents tracking individual objects illustrate the difficulties resulting from lax terminology. In one Italian invoice, a group of ceramics is referred to as "porcellane domaschine" and in a financial report describing the same objects, they appear simply as "porcellane." Was this Chinese porcelain that arrived via Damascus, a porcelainlike Syrian object, or some kind of blue-and-white pot from the Islamic Middle East?
Not only objects, but also actual practices traveled from east to west. A fifteenth-century manuscript in Bologna provides evidence that glass enameling in Venice was based on processes used in Islamic lands. As further confirmation of contact with the Islamic world, from the thirteenth century on, about the time that Venetian glassmakers were organized in the guild of the fiorari (bottle makers), most raw materials necessary for glassmaking were imported into Venice from the Levant. Byzantine glassmakers were working in Venice by the thirteenth century and it seems likely that Islamic craftsmen were as well, particularly after the destruction in 1401 of Damascus and Aleppo, major Syrian centers of glass production, by the Turco-Mongol conqueror Timur (1336—1405), known in the West as Tamerlane. Although we do not know precisely how and when this transference began, it is clear that some combination of objects arriving from the East, creating local taste and providing examples to copy, and information gleaned from the objects’ makers brought the valued techniques of glass enameling and gilding to Venice.

Ceramics

For ceramics the story is similar, if older. Mesopotamia was an area of great development of the ceramics medium, partly because of the area’s geographic particularities between the Tigris and Euphrates rivers. This fluvial region was annually flooded and replenished with silt, making clay handy and abundant. Clay use there became widespread thousands of years before Roman rule and served to create architecture, pottery, sculpture, and even stamped labels identifying the ownership or contents of a container. This labeling practice, whereby simple tools were impressed in soft clay, led, in 3000 B.C., to cuneiform marking, an intellectual achievement that amounted to nothing less than the invention of writing. Glazed pottery became an art form in northern Mesopotamia under the Assyrians around 1000 B.C. These glazes were transparent—tinted mainly blue, yellow, or green—and served at least as much to seal the porous clay body as to ornament it. From the sixth to the fourth century B.C., pottery with glazes that obscured the clay body was produced in this area, specifically in Iraq around Nippur and other sites. This opaque-glaze ware continued in production until the end of the Sasanian dynasty (224—651), the threshold of the Islamic era. Scientists have found that crystals, bubbles, and undissolved quartz caused the density of these rudimentary glazes. Under Islamic rule an important discovery was made. By adding tin oxide to a transparent glaze, potters in Iraq and Syria found that they could create an opaque, white, and non-runny ground for colorfully painted ornamentation (pl. 14). This discovery established ceramics as a painterly medium and decisively changed its history.
Technical studies tell us that tin had been used under the Romans to create opaque glass as early as the second century A.D.\(^9\) The use of tin opacification for ceramic glazes must have resulted from knowledge of the technique that remained in the formerly Roman areas of Iran and Iraq coupled with the stimulus supplied by Islamic rule. In the eighth and then in the ninth century the capital of the Islamic world was located first in Damascus and then in Baghdad. These areas were the wealthiest in an enormous empire, united under a series of caliphs, the civil and religious leaders of the Muslim state. In addition, it was a policy of the caliphate to promote knowledge,\(^{30}\) whether from classical texts, from other parts of the empire, or from empirical research, such as that concerned with technical processes. This interest in science, coupled with the caliphate’s wealth—which stimulated a taste for fine things—led to technical achievements in the production of art.

Around this time porcelain—that most prized of all ceramic ware for its translucency, whiteness, fine grain, and strength (making possible the creation of elegant thin-walled vessels)—was created in China during the Tang dynasty (618—907) and began circulating toward the eastern Mediterranean. That some early Islamic whitewares copy Chinese shapes confirms that the development of tin glaze was an attempt to imitate the prized import.\(^{31}\) A document of circa 1059 records the diplomatic gift of the late eighth to the early ninth century of more than two thousand pieces of porcelain sent by the governor of Khorasan to Harun al-Rashid (r. 786—809) of the Abbasid dynasty (750—1258),\(^{32}\) whose interest in the arts resulted in the creation of art and luxury, memorialized in the *Thousand and One Nights* (see Komaroff’s essay in this volume, p. 40).\(^{33}\) This importation must have stimulated market demand, prompting potters to copy techniques and styles. For the highly admired and coveted whiteware porcelains of the Tang and later Song dynasty (960—1279), however, local artisans were capable of copying only the appearance, not the technique. In fact, nearly another thousand years transpired before true porcelain was successfully produced outside China in 1707 at the Meissen factory in Saxony.\(^{34}\) By adding tin oxide to the glaze of their earthenware, Iraqi potters, soon after the year 800, succeeded in producing the first whiteware ceramics to be made outside the Far East.\(^{35}\)

Porcelain from China, with its brilliant and thin white body and glassy smoothness, was also greatly valued abroad for a later development: blue-and-white decoration (pl. 37). Blue decoration from cobalt oxide had been used on Chinese earthenware of the Tang dynasty. On Chinese porcelain, however, good-quality blue-and-white decoration was first produced in the second quarter of the fourteenth century and exported to Islamic areas soon after. It seems that Chinese potters produced such porcelain primarily for export rather than for local consumption.\(^{36}\) One scholar maintains that blue-and-white porcelain was adopted “in response
to new Mongol and Middle Eastern export taste for more obvious ornamentation” (fig. 4). Other evidence of this early market in blue-and-white porcelain includes a chronicle of the first decades of the fifteenth century that tells us Chinese “blue porcelain” (almost certainly blue-and-white) was taken to Mecca and other parts of the Middle East on expeditions sent by the Ming dynasty emperor Yongle (r. 1403–24). In addition, the great collections of Chinese porcelain that reached Turkey—probably brought there as loot after the early-sixteenth-century Ottoman conquest of Egypt and Syria—include fourteenth- and fifteenth-century porcelain that possibly had been in Middle Eastern collections since soon after its manufacture.
To create blue-and-white ornamentation on porcelain, an underglaze is used whereby the cobalt pigment is painted on the ceramic body and then fired under a clear glaze that acts like a varnish. Once again, potters in the Islamic Middle East first developed this procedure. The technique was used for twelfth-century ceramics from Syria and Iran and then, more than one hundred years later, on Chinese porcelain. Without a protective layer, cobalt, a strong colorant, blurs on the ceramic surface, and cobalt decoration on Chinese ceramics of the Tang dynasty shows this effect. As further confirmation of these long-distance dealings, even though China had its own sources of cobalt, scientific examinations suggest that the type of cobalt used on early Chinese ceramics was imported from the Middle East, where it had been used to decorate ancient Egyptian glass and Assyrian pottery. It seems that Tang potters were unaware of their local supply and sought out sources in Iran, which were described by the Persian craftsman Abu’l-Qasim, whose treatise on pottery of 1301 mentions not only the source of the mineral near Kashan but also its preparation for ceramic decoration.

At about the same time that Iraqi potters began using a tin glaze to create their own porcelainlike whitewares in the eighth century, they also began applying luster decoration to their ceramics. A sort of "poor-man’s" gilding, the difficult and temperamental technique of luster manufacture took many years to perfect. To produce luster, metal compounds are applied onto the surface of a pot's already fired and usually tin-based glaze, which is then submitted to a reduction firing. In such a heating process the fire is suppressed by restricting the air supply or by adding wet fuel to the kiln. The resulting carbon monoxide seeks out oxygen, which it finds in the metallic compounds, chemically converting them into an iridescent film. Iraqi potters seem to have adapted the luster technique from glassmakers. As mentioned earlier, Egyptian and Syrian glassmakers painted their glass vessels with silver and copper lusters from as early as the eighth century (pl. 15). Soon after, the technique was used on pottery (pl. 16). For more than a century luster-painted ceramics seem to have been made only around Baghdad, from whence they were shipped to the far reaches of Islamic society. The tiles sent to ornament the Great Mosque of Kairouan, Tunisia (862–63)—the capital of the Islamic Aghlabid dynasty (800–909)—can still be seen today in their original setting. From the tenth to the twelfth century the production of luster on both pottery and glass spread from the Middle East (pls. 17–18). As twelfth-century artisans mastered glass gilding, to produce an even more glittering effect than luster, the practice of glass luster died out.

Also in the ninth-century East—in Iran, Iraq, and parts of Central Asia—potters began to achieve chromatic ornament on their ceramics by scratching through a top layer of light-colored slip to reveal a dark clay body beneath, a technique thought to originate in Tang China.
these wares, the costly tin glaze with its capability to produce clearly painted decoration was not needed. Instead a clear lead glaze was used to protect the friable surface. The technique reached Italy, where it was copied and called sgraffito (Italian, “scratched”) (figs. 5—6). These incised slipwares could have arrived as souvenirs in the hands of pilgrims to the Holy Land or as trade items. Potters migrating from the East could have also brought the technique with them. As with glass, these artisans might have been from Byzantium or traveled to Italy via Byzantium. At any rate, Byzantine examples often copy earlier Islamic models. For example, the decoration can include animals and activities of the hunt, a favored pastime of Islamic court life symbolizing princely values and authority.

Evidence of the importation of Islamic ceramics into Italy is provided by the Italian practice of embedding dishes or basins, called bacini, into the brick walls of churches and other buildings—found in and around such cities as Milan, Pavia, Bologna, Florence, Lucca, Rome, and,
especially, Pisa—in an effort to embellish the architecture colorfully in a similar but less expensive manner than by inserting mosaics or stone (fig. 7). These bacini represent sgraffito as well as lead-glazed, tin-glazed, and luster-painted production and date from the eleventh to the thirteenth century. They are among the earliest examples of such pottery to arrive in Italy. Since most of these churches have documented architectural programs, Islamic bacini are further valuable in establishing dates for comparable Islamic pieces, a field of study notorious for its lack of dating benchmarks. Most of these bacini were made in Tunisia, Sicily, or Spain, with a smaller number from Fatimid Egypt or Syria. The very similar, almost identical, examples from Tunisia and Sicily testify to the close contact between these places a mere hundred miles from each other at their closest points. Indeed, the same potters could have worked in both locations.

While incised slipware was traveling other routes, the ceramic techniques of tin glazing and luster painting reached southern Spain (Almería, Murcia, and Málaga) by way of Berber and Arab settlements across the Maghrib (the western part of North Africa bordering the Mediterranean) as well as, it appears, directly from the Islamic Middle East. Not only techniques but also much of the early Spanish decorative repertoire originated in the Middle East, especially Egypt, suggesting the presence of Egyptians among Spanish potters. Indeed, a Córdoban doctor records that potters from the “East” brought techniques of manufacture with them to Andalusia. Although objects can inspire local interest and influence styles, actual practitioners must have taught the techniques since a full understanding of the vicissitudes of the procedure, especially for lusterware, was critical.

Tin-glazed pottery was produced by roughly A.D. 1000 in Spain, with luster production appearing later, by the early thirteenth century. Murcia and, to a lesser degree, Almería were the most important lusterware centers in the thirteenth century, and both sponsored active trade relations with the ports of Pisa and Genoa. By the early fourteenth century Arab travelers to Spain extol the beauty of “golden pottery” from Málaga. This town was the main port of the Nasrid dynasty, the last of the Muslim dynasties in Spain, established in 1238 and centered in Granada. Málaga became so famous for these ceramics that its name became associated with Spanish lusterware in general. Documents of the fourteenth, fifteenth, and sixteenth centuries list this pottery as “obra de málaga” or “de malicha” (Málaga ware) whether or not it was made in Málaga.

In the fourteenth century the ceramic industry in Spain shifted in response to economic and political demands. When the Nasrid kingdom began to falter because of internal struggles and pressure from a newly united Christian Spain, potters migrated north to areas outside the Islamic sphere, such as Barcelona and, especially, Valencia (pls. 19–20).
Pottery had been made in the Valencian region since at least Roman times, suggesting that there was good clay in the vicinity. When Muslim potters became active in the area, they settled primarily in the town of Manises. The earliest reference to this activity is a document of 1342 that mentions the production of “obra de midequa” (lusterware) in Manises. The virtually indistinguishable Málaga ware and early Manises pottery suggest that these potters emigrated directly from the Nasrid port town. The potters may have chosen Manises, at least in part, because they were encouraged to do so by members of an important local family, the Boil, entrepreneurial landowners with connections to the Aragonese crown. In 1372 Pedro Boil, lord of Manises, requested that King Peter IV (r. 1336–87) grant him a concession for all business involving ceramics in his town.58

As with enameling and gilding on glass, precisely how the techniques of tin glaze and luster on ceramics reached Italy is unclear. The importation of objects, which would have stimulated taste and supplied examples to copy, led to stylistic relationships. Artisans, however, must have provided the technical expertise, given the complexities of the procedures. The identity of these individuals is not known, although one can trace where and when the techniques “traveled” around the Mediterranean—appearing first in one place and then another along a general route—until they reached Italy and later spread north of the Alps. Remarkably, the timetable for both glass and ceramics is essentially the same. For glass, this path originates east of the Mediterranean around the ninth century and the techniques gather improvements from various points in the Islamic Middle East before reaching Venice around the thirteenth century via Byzantium. For ceramics, the starting point is the same and occurs around the same time but the path appears to take two directions: one to North Africa and then to Italy in the twelfth century by way of Sicily or to one of the peninsula’s more northern ports; the other across North Africa or directly from the Islamic Middle East to Spain and then to Italy.

The early importation of ceramics from the Islamic world—from the East as well as from Spain—mainly comprised bacini, arriving as early as the eleventh century. Around 1150, almost certainly in response to this importation, Italians produced a few isolated examples of their first tin-glazed ceramics: in Pavia a band of tin-glazed bricks on the Torre Comune and tin-glazed bacini on the nearby church of San Lanfranco.59 One hundred years passed, however, before tin glazing became widespread in Italy. Two basic types of early tin-glazed ceramics coexisted in Italy from the mid-thirteenth century, if not slightly earlier, and appear to have originated from different sources. One comprises jugs, plates, and basins painted in a simple palette of manganese brown and copper green with the later addition of some yellow and blue.60 This type, often called
archaic maiolica, seems to predominate in central and northern Italy. The second type, often called proto-maiolica, mainly includes plates decorated in a more varied palette and seems localized south of Rome and in Sicily. Within one generation the production of tin-glazed ceramics was standard practice in workshops throughout the Italian peninsula.

The luster technique was achieved later, in the second half of the fifteenth century. The primary centers of luster-painted ceramics in Italy were Deruta and Gubbio, although evidence shows that potters from other centers—such as Cafaggiolo, Faenza, and Naples—were capable of mastering the tricky process. Although Deruta had been a pottery town since the end of the thirteenth century, the earliest dated piece of Italian lusterware is a relief plaque inscribed with the date July 14, 1501. Whether this plaque was made in Deruta or not, other evidence indicates that luster was being produced there long before, around the mid-fifteenth century. A luster-painted Deruta jar in the Louvre conforms to a shape and style of decoration in fashion from 1460 to 1490 and could not have been produced much beyond those dates. In addition, a statute of 1465 mentions that city streets were being blocked by juniper branches, a kiln fuel used only in reduction firings to produce luster. Deruta was known for a copper-oxide luster and a brassy, straw-colored sheen, whereas Gubbio, less than thirty miles away as the crow flies, specialized in a slightly later silver-oxide luster and a more golden reddish gloss (pls. 21-22). The luster process must have been one of those secrets that potters kept “hidden from [even] their own sons, and then, knowing themselves to be on the point of death, among other goods they leave behind, they summon their oldest and wisest son, and reveal to him the secret.”

Arguably the most important stimulus to the nascent art of ceramic production in Italy was the contact with Spanish wares. Archaeological evidence suggests that Spanish ceramics—tableware as well as bacini—had been imported into Italy since the tenth and eleventh centuries. Later, the large numbers of Valencian ceramics commissioned by Florentine families in the second half of the fifteenth century make clear that this type of object was especially prized (fig. 8). Why this is so probably has as much to do with mercantile associations as it does with fashion. Active trade, some passing through Majorca, a transshipment point to docks throughout the Mediterranean, linked Manises, the port serving Valencia, and Pisa, the port serving Florence. By the fourteenth century Valencia and Florence were among the few European towns to claim an exchange, an organized center where commodities were traded. Although other valued ceramics had arrived in Italy earlier—such as Chinese porcelain and Islamic fritware—the more general influx of Spanish lusterware in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries helped transform ceramics into a true luxury commodity in Europe.
Figure 8
Jar with wing handles. Probably Spain, Manises, after 1465.
Tin-glazed earthenware, H: 57 cm (22 1/2 in.).
Photo: © The British Museum.

The image in the center of this jar—a diamond ring and three ostrich feathers—is a device of Florence’s Medici family.
The term that has come to signify Italian Renaissance tin-glazed earthenware—"maiolica"—derives either from the Spanish phrase obra de malequía or from the distribution entrepot of Majorca. It initially referred solely to imported luster products and only much later described the famous Italian production. As late as 1557 Cipriano Piccolpasso (1524—1579) used the term in this way in his famous manuscript treatise on pottery making. In like fashion, the term used for European products emulating maiolica—faience—derives from the name of an Italian town, Faenza, much of whose late-sixteenth- and seventeenth-century pottery was exported north of the Alps, where it became very popular.

The naming of an object type after a place known for its manufacture was not new, as the Persian name for ceramic tile is kashi or kashani and probably derives from Kashan, Iran's main center for lusterware production by the second half of the twelfth century.

After becoming skilled in the techniques of tin glaze and luster, Italian potters developed their medium to satisfy local taste and market demands. Early pigments—such as copper green and manganese brown—were so-called solution colorants that dissolved and spread into the glaze ground when fired. By 1450 potters had developed colors that had insoluble pigment particles and a binder component to keep the colors stable during firing. This development gave ceramic painters precise control of the pigments, making possible the rendering of clear and, at the same time, subtly modeled images in often complex compositions. In addition, around that same time, potters began using a lead-silicate top glaze—coperta or vetrina—to smooth out the irregular pigment layer and form a bright, reflective, and protective surface. As in underglaze painting, this top layer also helped hold the painted decoration in place and keep it from running in the kiln. Carefully prepared pigments and glazes were critical for the successful outcome of this process, which made possible the firing of many dozens of elaborately decorated objects in the kiln at the same time and at the same temperature. Such manufacturing efficiencies must have proved beneficial, if not decisive, for the market. Indeed, the success of the Italian maiolica industry by the end of the fifteenth century was enormous, eclipsing Spanish production and establishing a new type of painterly ceramic ware that dominated Europe until the advent of porcelain three hundred years later.

Around the mid-fifteenth century the Venetian glass industry achieved similar success, largely determined by the development of various types of luxury glass, the most important of which was an especially clear and colorless glass called cristallo after the rock crystal that it evoked. Refinements in glassmaking technology made possible the production of cristallo (pls. 8, 12—13). These refinements included elaborate procedures to purify the glass mixture, the use of a decolorizer, and the continual stirring of the molten glass while maintaining it at the highest possible
Glass became an important and prestigious Venetian export, and the restrictions imposed on the industry reflect its importance to the Republic.

Early on, the forms and decoration of Italian glass and maiolica betrayed their Islamic origin. Produced in both materials, common cylindrical jars—albarelli (pls. 26, 32–36)—and canteens—pilgrim flasks (pls. 12–13, 23, 42)—are forms that came to Italy by way of the Middle East. Italian glass ewers with attenuated and elegantly curving spouts (pl. 10) seem to have copied earlier Middle Eastern or Chinese examples. In addition, Islamic tiles influenced the Italian versions, both in the painted ornament and in the geometric patterning of the tile arrangement. The incorporation of Islamic tiles as architectural elements by the ninth century—for interior and exterior surfaces of secular and non-secular buildings—represents the most sophisticated use of tilework up to that time. Probably some combination of the love of geometric patterning, the development of techniques to produce bright and clear glazed ornament, the importance of architectural settings for worship and learning, and a hot, dry climate for which cool and easily cleaned surfaces were a benefit led to this remarkable production. Kashan in Iran was the most important production center for Islamic tiles during the first quarter of the thirteenth century and then again, after the Mongol invasions, in the second half of that century, during the Ilkhanid dynasty (1256–1353). Syria, Spain, North Africa, and, in the sixteenth century, Ottoman Turkey were the most important areas for tilework in the Islamic world. Tile production comprised four basic types: single tiles, composite-tile panels, tile mosaics, and tiled architectural elements such as prayer niches (mihrabs). Most prominent in fifteenth- and sixteenth-century Italy were the single tiles often called a cellula autonoma (tiles whose images were “autonomous” and did not link with neighboring tiles to complete the picture). These were arranged in such patterns as hexagons around a central square and stars interlocking with crosses.

Dense surface ornament emphasizing geometric patterns—knotwork, diapered scales, arabesques, rosettes or medallions, shallow foliage, and Arabic script—became popular on early Italian vessels and dishes (pls. 10, 12, 21–22, 26, 34–35). A decorative type referred to as a contour panel, whereby figural elements are given an approximate outlined border and set against a contrasting background, was also adopted from Islamic crafts, probably ceramics. It appears that textiles—highly prized and easily transported (folded and stacked without the risk of breakage)—played a particularly large role in circulating motifs (pls. 11, 27, 30). Textiles from the Islamic world may well have brought to Italian ceramics such widespread early motifs as the palmette and paired animals (pls. 28, 31). The palmette takes many forms. It can resemble the lotus, from which it is thought to derive, or a more elaborate vegetal or fruitlike form that,
by 1450, can take the distinct shape of an artichoke or pomegranate. Various palmette motifs were adopted for maiolica ornament around 1500 but became particularly widespread on sixteenth-century and later Italian brocades, cut velvets, and damasks (whose name indicates their presumed Eastern origin in Damascus).\textsuperscript{81} The paired-animal motif is of ancient origin. Repeats of facing or addorsed animals may have become prevalent on textiles from early on because the complicated system of drawloom harnesses—used to render the woven image by raising and lowering warp threads—favor symmetrical patterns of regular outlines. Weavers could, therefore, create, without too much trouble, a visually interesting and figured textile pattern by simply repeating a nonsymmetrical image that had been flopped back to front.

The “Oriental” influence on early Italian maiolica was recognized from the first years of maiolica studies.\textsuperscript{82} One pioneer, Gaetano Ballardini (1878–1953), ventured to make the field comprehensible in the 1930s by establishing typological and chronological categories that remain useful today.\textsuperscript{83} His “Severe Style,” spanning the whole of the fifteenth century, encompasses several types (such as the evocatively named “Italo-Moresque”) that codify and clarify links with the Islamic world. Although most stylistic influences traveled east to west,\textsuperscript{84} there is occasional evidence of Italian shapes and ornament influencing Eastern pottery.\textsuperscript{85} Much has yet to be understood of such stylistic transferences. To what degree does the adoption in Italy of foreign ornament indicate, for example, a preference for “exotic” styles (or, at least, those styles traditionally associated with the imported crafts), the presence of foreign craftsmen in Italian workshops, or the tendency of Italians to copy these new imports before they felt confident enough to develop their own idiom?

As the fifteenth century progressed, most Italian glass and ceramic artists began to favor more typically Italian ornamentation, including coats of arms, figures, trophies, classicizing busts, and whole narrative scenes (pl. 13, 21, 23, 36, 39), the likes of which were already well established in panel, fresco, and oil painting. As in narrative painting, ceramic subjects drew from such sources as Roman history, the Bible, and mythology. Maiolica painters adopted current pictorial techniques—chiaroscuro, volumetric modeling, linear perspective—with the aim of rendering naturalistic figures in illusionistic space.

With glass, the fashion for this kind of decoration did not endure. Perhaps the vivid colorism of such scenes was deemed unsuitable for delicate glassware, the prized clarity of which had been hard won. Moreover, the undulating surfaces of wine vessels may not have easily lent themselves to pictorial scenes. In contrast, northern drinkers preferred tall, cylindrical vessels for their beer. Such vessels with their large expanses of glass may have spurred glassmakers north of the Alps to develop elaborate enamel decoration into a characteristic art form. Rather than
featuring enamel painting, sixteenth-century Venetian glass began to display embellishments that played on the delicacy of the medium, such as the distinctly nonpictorial and noncoloristic techniques of diamond-point engraving, filigree glass, and ice glass. Painters on pottery, however, specialized in narrative scenes. Starting around 1500 the so-called istoriato (Italian, “storytelling”) maiolica was a specialty of such central Italian towns as Pesaro, Deruta, and, especially, Faenza. By 1525 Urbino and neighboring Castel Durante had become famous for their narrative ceramics, and some individuals responsible for this production—Francesco Xanto Avelli (ca. 1487–ca. 1542), Orazio Fontana (1510–1571), Nicola da Urbino (ca. 1480–1537/38), and Guido Durantino (fl. 1520–76)—distinguished themselves as masters of their art. Given the technical exigencies of their medium, many painters made extensive use of stencils to copy figures or whole scenes from prints or drawings. Apparently the market could only sustain the cost of painting ceramic compositions, not of inventing them.

By the mid-fifteenth century porcelain and porcelain-like objects from the East are documented in Italian collections, sometimes in great number. The rarity and preciousness of such ceramics increased the desire to create a similar product locally. Indeed, one decorative impulse shared by Italian Renaissance glass and ceramic artists was the desire to re-create porcelain. A type of glass common around 1500 was latitmo, so-called because of the latte (Italian, “milk”) after which it was named. Milky white, glassy, and translucent, latitmo glass resembled porcelain so much that it was used to make a porcellana contrefacta (counterfeit porcelain) in late-fifteenth- and early-sixteenth-century Venice. In 1519 Alfonso d’Este I (1486–1534), duke of Ferrara, ordered the purchase of two pieces of porcellana ficta (fake porcelain) that must have been glass. Porcelain-like maiolica (alla porcellana) was produced by about 1500, although it was never intended to serve as a substitute for porcelain. A specialty of Cafaggiolo outside Florence, Venice, and Faenza, this maiolica combines scrolling foliage of Eastern derivation with Italian trophies and scrolls, all rendered in blue and white (pl. 39). Italians finally were successful in creating a kind of porcelain under the encouragement of Francesco I (1541–1587) and Ferdinand I de’ Medici (1549–1609), grand dukes of Tuscany. Francesco had established a workshop to foster the creation of sought-after art forms, such as tapestries, hard-stone carvings, and porcelains, and his brother Ferdinand took over the enterprise on his death in 1587 (pl. 42). In 1575 the Venetian ambassador to Florence wrote that Francesco had rediscovered the method of making porcelain and that a craftsman from the East—alternately called a “Levantine” and “a Greek who had traveled to the Indies”—had helped teach the process. The production of this so-called Medici porcelain continued for a few decades after Francesco’s death in 1587, after which, curiously, porcelain was not produced again in Europe for more than one hundred years.
In addition to Chinese blue-and-white porcelain, pottery composed of a white, slip-covered fritware made in Iznik and Kutahya, Turkey, exerted a strong influence on Medici porcelain. These ceramics produced under Ottoman rule feature characteristic foliate and floral ornament in blue and white as well as in polychrome colors, including bole, an iron-oxide-colored clay that, in liquid form, rendered tomato red decoration (pls. 38, 40–41). Other motifs include carnations, palmettes, roses, and feathery leaves that also appear on certain Medici porcelain examples. Commonly called Iznik pottery, this production began arriving in Italy by the sixteenth century and was imitated there soon afterward, although its vitreous body and brilliant painting on a pure white ground must have made it practically indistinguishable from Chinese porcelain at the time for all but connoisseurs.

The question of whether Italian ceramic painters copied actual Chinese blue-and-white porcelain or Islamic types imitating that porcelain has yet to be unraveled, as has the question of how this material arrived in Italy. An interesting case is the depiction of three blue-and-white bowls by Giovanni Bellini (ca. 1430–1516) in his Feast of the Gods, an enormous painting executed for Alfonso d'Este I and completed by Titian (ca. 1485–1576) after Giovanni's death (fig. 9). The bowls in the painting seem to be "portraits" of actual pieces; two are probably Chinese and one may be Iznik. A hypothesis speculates that Giovanni's brother Gentile may have acquired the pieces during his stay in Istanbul, where the Chinese bowls could have been sent as diplomatic gifts. Their inclusion in the painting must have pleased the duke, who, as we have seen, was keenly interested in porcelain.

Throughout this period Italians continued importing and ordering ceramics from abroad, especially from Syria, Turkey, and Spain. That Italian potters occasionally copied "foreign" types, sometimes very closely, is further evidence that these imported products appealed to local clients. In Tuscany, where the influence of Spanish ceramics was strong, potters working in centers such as Montelupo imitated the dense vine and bryony leaf patterns characteristic of Valencian pottery. In Liguria ceramic painters adopted the so-called scrolling calligraphy patterns in imitation of a type of Ottoman blue-and-white decoration of spirals and comma-shaped leaves based on manuscript illumination. Another area whose local port connected Italy with the Islamic Middle East was the Veneto, where, probably in and around Padua, more traditional Iznik polychrome patterns, including tulips, feathery saz leaves, and carnations, were copied. At a time when Italian ceramic painters had developed their own masterful visual idiom, such imitations of foreign products, although produced in limited numbers, must have resulted from an attraction to the more exotic product, whose value was well established.
According to one scholar, the remarkable development of the decorative arts—notably, glass and maiolica—that took place in Italy created “an almost total upset of the commercial balance of those products” and “a collapse of the trade from the Near East to Italy.” What accounts for the success of these industries? Geography played a role. Italy is a peninsula jutting into the center of the Mediterranean, at the heart of lively sea traffic. Just as Sicily’s proximity to North Africa and eastern Spain’s orientation toward the Tyrrhenian Sea determined routes of ceramic transmission, the position of Venice—an active port since the Middle Ages, linking the East and West—fostered the city’s glass industry. In addition to exposing the local population to varied art forms and technologies, Venice’s trade connections helped solve the problem that Venice, as an archipelago, had to import all raw materials for glass production.
Population also played a role. During the second half of the fourteenth century the plague ravaged Italy, reducing its population by roughly one-third. Some scholars argue that one result of this terrible mortality was that more resources — accumulated wealth as well as foodstuffs — were available to fewer people, making the populace generally richer and better fed.¹⁰⁰

Figure 10
Duccio di Buoninsegna (ca. 1255–ca. 1319).
The Wedding Feast at Cana, Italy, Siena, 1308–11. Tempera on wood, 43.5 x 46.5 cm (17% × 18½ in.).
Siena, Museo dell’Opera del Duomo. Photo: Scala/Art Resource, New York.

This sparsely furnished table includes a few bowls and glass beakers, as well as three serving dishes and two knives.
Bread and discarded bones are also placed on the modest tablecloth of brocaded linen and cotton.
Tableware

Whatever the role of the Black Death, the general diet became more varied and, together with the current interest in luxury and lavish display, this diet involved more elaborate meals, requiring more specialized table settings, including dishes and drinking vessels (figs. 10—12). A new type of gastronomic literature began to flourish, including the mid-fifteenth-century Libro de arte coquinaria (The art of cooking), by Martino da Como, who worked for such illustrious men in Milan as Duke Francesco Sforza (1401—1466) and military captain Gian Giacomo Trivulzio (1441—1518), and, slightly later, De honeste voluptate et valetudine (On honest pleasure and good health), a reworking of da Como’s recipe book by his friend Bartolomeo Sacchi (1421—1481), known as Platina, who served as cook to Pius V (pope, 1566—72). These works refer to such aesthetically oriented preparations as “heavenly blue sauce
for summer,” “vine-tendril relish,” and “trout eggs prepared so they seem to be peas.” Pinnacles of such a culinary style surely must have been the meals served in the Este court in the 1520s, 1530s, and 1540s that are described by Cristoforo Messisburgo in his Banchetti, composizioni di vivande, e apparecchio generale (1549). The variety and complexity of these meals are stupefying. One meal serving fifty-four guests consisted of over twelve courses of 140 separate foods, totaling more than twenty-five hundred plates. At other meals elaborate delicacies—“roast peacock emblazoned with the cardinal’s insignia,” “truffles boiled in white wine, sliced, fried, and served with a sauce of orange juice and pepper,” and “Neapolitan’ pasta cooked in milk and served with butter, cinnamon, and cheese”—typify the many dozens of other dishes served at a single meal. Between courses guests washed their hands in “fragrant water.”

The red wine is beautifully presented in a Venetian glass bottle and tazza, and the leafy fruit sits in a maiolica white ware *crespina*. 
Pictorial representations inform us that glassware was common on dining tables. Since no comparable and reliable fifteenth- or sixteenth-century representations exist for fancy maiolica vessels, some controversy remains regarding their function. It is possible that particularly elaborate examples were used simply for display on a sideboard or shelf. Nevertheless, evidence suggests that fine maiolica was, indeed, used for dining, although probably not for everyday meals. The various shapes of maiolica imply a range of fare presented to diners, with separate vessels used for roast peacock, boiled truffles, milk pasta, and so on. Many pictorial depictions show so-called *crespine* (molded dishes) holding fruit; their particular undulating shape may have served to circulate air to retard spoilage (fig. 13).

As documented by Piccolpasso in 1557, maiolica bowls and plates were made as parturition dishes for women in confinement after childbirth. Documentary evidence exists in the form of a letter written in 1524, in which the duchess of Urbino tells her mother, Isabella d’Este (1474—1539), that the exceptional maiolica service she had given Isabella is probably most suitable for her country home at Porto. Two other plates—one from the service made in 1535 for Cardinal Antoine Duprat (1463—1535) and the other dated 1577 and probably made in Padua—provide rare firsthand proof that fine maiolica was used during meals. The Duprat plate represents David and Goliath in a landscape setting in which the painted river on the front disappears “underground.” The hidden cavity under the plate must have been used to drain off liquid from the food it held. The 1577 plate—painted with scrolling foliage, birds, and an overall ground of dots—includes a prominent banderole with the words “per meter uno pesco di vitello alleso” (for placing a piece of boiled veal). Certainly the dining function of maiolica is later well established. In his seventeenth-century essay on how to run a house, Antonio Adami writes that important men “would like their food to be prepared and served on white maiolica because it is cleaner than copper and doesn’t give food a bad taste... and princes drink from clear glass even if they have cups, beakers, and vessels of gilt silver... because [drinking from] a vessel of clear glass is more appetizing and pleasing.”

The interest in cleanliness went hand in hand with a new self-consciousness at the Renaissance table that emphasized good manners and refinement. Indeed, Italians traveling abroad noted the barbarity of the table manners they encountered just as English and French visitors to Italy mentioned the luxury and refinement in dining at Italian tables. Codifying and promoting these standards was a proliferation of literature concerned not only with the preparation of food but also with its consumption. Rather than the medieval practice of sharing bread or wood trenchers, vessels, and even knives (the only utensil then used), diners now were granted individual place settings and used flatware instead...
of their ringers. Instructions were given in the appropriate use of napkins, and dishes were replaced between courses of a meal. The changing of plates, the more elaborate preparation of food, and a new concern with "a well-orchestrated kitchen and table" all required larger and more varied table services. Accordingly, table services increased in size. Sixteenth-century documents report of table services ranging from 150 to 600 separate items. Such a bountiful production of tablewares — serving the middle as well as the upper class — was only possible with materials that were less expensive than silver or gold, substances that were commonly used for luxury plate. Clay and glass were just those materials. Admittedly, the hard-to-come-by technical knowledge and artistic skill are difficult to appraise. Nevertheless, aside from the small amount of tin required for the glaze ground — an expensive commodity imported from Cornwall via Flemish merchants — the actual substances making up maiolica and glass were cheap, especially when compared with the porcelain and rock crystal they were supposed to imitate or with materials valued for their intrinsic worth, such as precious metals and gems.

**Consumerism**

Nevertheless, Italian Renaissance society was materialistic and consumer oriented. Moreover, if the Italian middle class needed help in spending their new disposable income, contemporary writers provided guidance in the form of treatises. Some emphasized fiscal responsibility. The merchant and moralist Paolo da Certaldo (1273—1332?) wrote in his manual on household advice, “If you live without restraint, you will lose the good things in life” and “It is a good thing to know how to earn money, but it is even better to know how to spend it well and with moderation.” Many others, however, promoted the spending of money as a sign of righteousness. According to the great humanist Leonardo Bruni (1369—1444), “Wealth is indeed useful, since it is both an embellishment for those who possess it, and the means by which they may exercise virtue.”

A generation later, Giovanni Pontano (1426—1503), Neapolitan humanist, Latin poet, and advisor to the Aragonese kings of Naples, explicated this virtue in his *I libri delle virtù sociali* (1498). He divides the quality into five categories: generosity, beneficence, magnificence, splendor, and conviviality, all requiring lots of money and lots of spending. Pontano writes, “The magnificent man proves his greatness in great expenditures.” This spending activity was to benefit those less fortunate (generosity, beneficence), engender respect and awe (magnificence, splendor), and exhibit one’s graciousness to others (conviviality). Surrounding oneself with beautiful things, maintaining a lavish lifestyle, and offering impres-
sive hospitality to one's guests at the dining table exemplified the desired virtue. A contemporary of Pontano, the Florentine merchant, banker, and humanist Giovanni Rucellai (1475–1525), seems to have learned the lesson well when he admits:

Earning money and spending it are some of the greatest pleasures that one can have on this earth and it is hard to decide which is the greater of the two. I have done nothing during my fifty years of life but earn money and spend it and I think spending has given me greater delight than earning...[and] has done me greater honor and made my soul happier.\(^{14}\)

What accounts for the new interest in luxury and lavish display? As is widely known, Florence in the fourteenth century became a center of banking, textile production, and general commerce and trade, while Venice, at a nexus between the East and West, became wealthy and powerful mainly as an emporium and center for shipping, later becoming a leading industrial center for Europe. These activities produced money beyond the immediate needs of survival. At the same time the social organization was changing, from a rural, feudal system to an urban, mercantile one. More people became part of a growing middle class, living in urban centers. With more money to spend, they could allow themselves the luxury of eating and drinking in higher style—with greater hygiene and better taste. Besides, in an economy in which gold and silver could be put to good use generating more wealth, tableware made of plate would have certainly been considered foolish.\(^{15}\) “Urbane” (of the city) began to be contrasted with “villainous” (of the villa, that is, country) society.

With the dissolution of the seignorial economy in the fourteenth century the means of production shifted away from that based on the relation between lords and peasant workers toward private enterprises with income distributed through the operation of markets. This so-called free-market economy was the origin of today’s commercial capitalism. Certainly the new uniform monetary system developed in thirteenth-century Genoa, Florence, and Venice— inherited from Byzantine and Arab precedents—made possible this new economy. Indeed, the market system itself had roots in the Islamic Middle East in the form of the suq, or bazaar. Although markets had existed in pre-Islamic societies, during the Middle Ages in Islamic lands commerce and craft activities began to take place in an urban public square. The bazaar not only was a public location for mercantile exchange but also began to represent a system of social relationships. The social, political, judicial, and religious role of the ancient agora was replaced with that of the mosque and the marketplace, now located in the center of the city.\(^{16}\) The systems and institutions created to serve the Islamic markets— involving transporta-
tion, taxes, storage, safety, weights, and measures—extended, perforce, to trading partners in Italy. Aside from the proliferation of bazaarlike markets all around the Mediterranean, such establishments as the Islamic fonduk (fundoq) serve as hostelry, warehouse, point for taxation, depot, and emporium—were adopted in such non-Islamic cities as Barcelona and Venice. More money and an active system of trade and exchange, however, do not entirely explain the consumer culture of Renaissance Italy. One scholar describes these economic conditions as "permissive" rather than "effective" causes and admits that the desire to possess beautiful things—the impulse to buy and own material objects—is likewise elusive.

With all the obvious differences between Islamic society and Italian society at the threshold of the Renaissance, several noteworthy commonalities directly relate to the subject at hand, the development of glass and ceramics as art forms during the early modern period. In similar fashion, formerly feudal Italy was becoming a more urban society, as was the Islamic world, much of which, given its landscape of sparse resources, previously relied almost entirely on a nomadic, pastoral way of life. In Islamic lands, as in Italy, portable art objects, such as those made of glass and ceramic, were becoming commodities in the new urban market. In both societies this system facilitated social mobility and expanded the middle classes—who could now afford such goods for themselves. Both cultures considered objects of everyday use worthy of artistic effort, expression, and innovation. Indeed, innovation itself was valued. The expansion of the Islamic world was also a period of great scientific advancements. New technologies were developed based on classical learning, empirical research, and an interest in understanding the physical world. The same can be said for Italy during the Renaissance. To trace one such link, the work of classical philosophers and scientists such as Aristotle (384–322 B.C.), Eratosthenes (c. 276—c. 194 B.C.), and Ptolemy (Claudius Ptolemaeus, fl. A.D. 150) led to the understanding that the earth is round. In the ninth century Islamic scholars preserved much of their writings, translating them from Greek into Arabic. They were then translated from Arabic into Latin in the High Middle Ages—becoming available to Europeans—and the theory of a spherical earth was finally proven by Christopher Columbus two centuries later. The motivations that drove the Renaissance age of discovery and humanist concern with material investigation were probably very different from those governing the great Islamic intellectual achievements. Nevertheless, the former could not have taken place without the latter.
NOTES

1. The terms "Islam" and "Islamic" do not neatly correspond to those used for other religions. Initially the words referred to the religion as put forth by the Prophet Muhammad. After the spread of the Muslim faith, however, "Islam" came to connotes more general geographic and cultural meanings. There were, for instance, Islamic Jews, Islamic Spaniards, and Islamic glass and ceramics.

2. In the preface to his journal Columbus states that his Atlantic crossing to reach "the lands of India" was intended, at least in part, so that Muslims (followers of "the sect of Mahoma") "might be converted to our holy faith" (Journal of Christopher Columbus [1893] 1971, 16). On January 2, 1492, Granada, the last Muslim foothold in Spain, fell to Christian armies. In March of that year Jews were ordered expelled from Spain. In August the edict of expulsion was carried out and Christopher Columbus set sail.

3. Hassan and Hill 1986, esp. 1—35.
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I myself... came to the full Nile in a barbarian boat... having gone up the river for five days we came to the great palace of the Sultan [in Cairo]. But who could describe how huge the city is, full of a multitude of houses, populous and abounding in all manner of barbaric wealth.

—Cyriacus of Ancona to Eugenius IV, 1441

From the Renaissance onward the Islamic arts of the object—surely one manifestation of the “barbaric wealth” referred to above—have fascinated and intrigued Westerners, provoking, among other things, a desire to possess them through acquisition, imitation, or reinterpretation. What were these obscure objects of desire? They are simple enough to define, especially if set between the polarities of Islamic architecture and the arts of the book. They are the “everything else” that might describe the material culture of the ruling elite and privileged classes in the Islamic world in a period stretching over well more than a millennium and in an area extending from southern Spain to northern India. Brilliantly glazed pottery and tiles, enameled and gilded glass, inlaid metalwork, carved wood and stone, and sumptuous woven textiles and carpets all absorbed the creative energies of artists to become highly developed art forms. Such objects, meticulously fabricated and carefully embellished, often with rare and costly materials, were for the most part portable, so portable that the vast majority have long since been removed from an original context.

These objects may be viewed in many different ways, in part because they have led multifaceted lives. For example, an enameled and gilded glass lamp (pl. 6) was made in the mid-fourteenth century for a building in Cairo. It was later transported to Europe, probably in the nineteenth century, when such objects were avidly collected and imitated by European glassmakers. In 1936 the lamp became the property of the American collector William Randolph Hearst (1863–1951), who gave it to the Los Angeles County Museum of Art in 1950.1 In art-historical literature the life story, or “biography,” of an object or class of objects is essentially concerned with how they were viewed or received, very often beyond and apart from the context of their original creation. Such “biographical” information is particularly germane when considering Islamic arts of the object—given their portability and tremendous attraction for Westerners.

Examples of enameled glass from the Mamluk period (1250–1517) in Syria and Egypt found their way to Europe as early as the fourteenth century.2 These glasswares, produced primarily between 1300 and 1400, owe their strong appeal to their technical virtuosity. In such works polychrome enamels and gilding were applied cold and then carefully fixed in a low-temperature kiln. In the mid-nineteenth century a popular taste for this glass exploded in Paris, the center for the market in Islamic art. At that time Cairo was the single greatest repository of Mamluk art—including enameled glass, such as the lamps that were specially commissioned to illuminate the numerous religious monuments constructed by the Mamluks. Cairo became the most important source for the acquisition of enameled glass. Ironically, the increasingly rapid disappearance of works of art from Cairo’s mosques and charitable institutions, due largely to the rapaciousness of Western collectors, contributed to the creation in 1881 of the European-dominated Commission for the Preservation of the Monuments of Arab Art.3 The commission began to transfer works of art from religious monuments to a central repository in Cairo, which formed the basis of the Arab Museum (later renamed the Museum of Islamic Art). European taste for enameled glass also inspired glassmakers in France, Italy, and Austria to imitate Mamluk glass, which would have been in short supply once the commission began removing lamps from mosques and other religious institutions.4

At around the same time that Europeans were clamoring for Mamluk enameled glass, they were also developing a keen appetite for luster-painted ceramic wares, primarily in the form of star-shaped tiles from Iran (pl. 18). Such luster tiles, which served as architectural revetment on secular and especially religious edifices of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, were painted with a metallic compound and refired. They were admired by Europeans for their wondrous reflective surfaces and perhaps
because they fed an imagined idea of the Orient and served as "a revelation of a lost art redolent of the romance of the East." To satisfy this demand several individuals, who seem to have had some relationship to the government of the reigning Qajar dynasty (1779–1924), helped procure large quantities of tiles, thereby stripping entire buildings of their decoration. A prominent figure in these endeavors was the director of the Persian Telegraph Department in Tehran, Robert Murdoch Smith (1835–1900), who in 1873 became an agent for the South Kensington (later Victoria and Albert) Museum in London. His acquisitions initially were accompanied by reports detailing from which building the tiles had been taken, but in 1876 the Qajar government, urged on by religious authorities, issued a pronouncement against the pillaging of religious monuments. Although this injunction does not appear to have affected Smith's continued acquisition of tiles, it does seem to have led to his deliberate falsification of facts regarding their provenance.

European collections and patterns of collecting have shaped and altered popular as well as scholarly perceptions of Islamic art. But in terms of the study of the objects themselves, their reception in Europe is largely just an interesting postscript. Their real lives, as it were, are embedded in another cultural tradition with its own special visual language and distinct meanings.

Islamic ceramics and glass, the subject of this essay, share several traits. Both are made from humble and highly plastic materials that undergo a remarkable transformation through firing. Based on their common chemistry, glazed ceramics and glass have a familial relationship, as the glaze itself is a special form of glass. In the early Islamic period (seventh–tenth century) the two media each drew on pre-Islamic artistic traditions, especially from the late Roman or Byzantine territories in the eastern Mediterranean. Ceramics and glass (along with metalwork, both base and precious) often exhibit the same shapes, and at times the two make use of the same colorant techniques—luster and enamel. Both served as a substitute for more costly metalwork, especially precious metal, the use of which as tableware was proscribed for Muslims in Hadith literature.

Both can range in quality from modest utilitarian objects to the highest level of luxury ware, although comparatively few bear direct evidence of royal patronage. Further, both mediums frequently demonstrate the virtuosity of the Islamic artist and the evident demand within medieval Islamic society for functional objects of exquisite beauty.

The period spanned by the ceramics and glass here under discussion—the ninth through the sixteenth century—comprises an enormous topic and covers a vast geographical area, even when restricted to two mediums. Given the common characteristics of glass and ceramics, it seems most profitable to discuss the two together in chronological fashion.
While the dynasties whose rise and fall characterize Islamic history often lend their names to the art of a given period, this essay will rely on a broader four-part chronology that subsumes dynastic distinctions:

**EARLY ISLAMIC (seventh through tenth century)** represents the origins of Islam, the birth of a religious, political, and cultural commonwealth, and the development of a new manner of art.

**EARLY MEDIEVAL (eleventh through mid-thirteenth century)** describes the emergence of autonomous regional leadership, which promoted distinctive forms of cultural expression.

**LATE MEDIEVAL (mid-thirteenth through fifteenth century)** delineates the continuance of regional dynasties in the aftermath of the cataclysmic Mongol invasions.

**LATE ISLAMIC (sixteenth century onward)** characterizes an age of great empires, in which dynamic dynastic patronage gave greater impetus to the creation and molding of artistic styles.

---

**Early Islamic Period**

The Islamic period has a fixed starting point — A.D. 622, the year of the hegira (hijra), marking the emigration of the Prophet and his followers from Mecca to Medina. But it is hard to date precisely the beginning of Islamic art. It seems to have evolved gradually during the first centuries of Islamic rule and is distinguished by the transformation and imaginative adaptation of pre-Islamic artistic ideas. Glass from this period often employs Roman forms and techniques but refashioned to satisfy a new taste or to serve new needs. Blown glass (free blown or blown in a mold, a technique developed in the first century B.C., in the eastern part of the Roman Empire, probably in Syria), continued to be produced without disruption into the early Islamic period. There is little obvious technical difference between a late Roman free-blown vessel with applied ornament (pl. 1) and one datable to the early Islamic period (pl. 3). Formally, the two vessels are unrelated. The early Islamic example is a charming perfume container in the guise of a kneeling quadruped, probably a camel, which exemplifies an important aspect of Islamic art — the transformation of a natural form into something more abstract. Here, for the animal to metamorphose into a functional vessel, the form has been reduced to its most elemental yet recognizable parts. The elegant, curved neck of the camel is elongated to serve as the vessel's spout, the hump is reconfigured as the handle, and the tiny, almost vestigial feet represent the legs folded beneath the beast.
Perhaps one of the most important technical achievements carried forward into the early Islamic period (in this instance from Byzantine Egypt) was the painting of glass with metallic pigments. A paste made of silver and copper compounds along with a reducing agent was applied to the surface of the glass, which was then fired at a low temperature to produce what today appears as a muted palette of yellow, brown, orange, and/or red decoration. Glass decorated in this technique, known as luster painting, was made in Egypt and Syria in the eighth century and by the ninth century the technique was known in Iraq.10

A deep bowl with golden and orange-brown luster painting, likely produced in Egypt or Syria, bears ornamentation that ties it to the early Islamic period (pl. 15). An Arabic inscription beneath the rim has so far defied the skills of the epigrapher, but the style of writing, in a script generically known as Kufic, suggests a ninth-to-tenth-century date.11 The vegetal devices within compartments encircling the vessel—trunks or branches punctuated by dots or commalike forms—also relate to the arts of the book, to Koranic illumination, where similar types of designs are used in horizontal fashion to separate chapters.12 Similarly, the overall decorative scheme, reminiscent of an arcade with alternating wide and narrow archlike compartments (ultimately drawn from the vocabulary of classical art, although it is also found in early Koranic illumination), can be compared to that of objects in other media from this period.13

Comparatively few complete luster-painted glass objects have survived.14 Instead, luster painting is best known through Islamic ceramics, beginning in ninth-century Iraq and extending to Egypt, Syria, North Africa, Spain, and Iran. Luster-painted ceramics represent one of the most original and spectacular contributions of the Islamic potter. This is an especially long-lived technique, and the resulting product must have always been considered a form of luxury ware, given its costly materials and manufacturing process.15 The secret of luster painting was probably closely guarded, even kept within a single family, such as the Abul-Tahir family, active for more than four generations in the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries in Kashan, Iran, the preeminent center for Persian lusterware. At the beginning of the fourteenth century a member of this family, Abul-Qasim, who pursued a career as a historian rather than a potter, wrote a treatise on the making of pottery and tiles, including a section on luster.16 His instructions called for two firings. For the first firing, an opaque, generally white glaze was applied, while for the second, the design was put on with a paste of silver and copper compounds ground with sulfur and dissolved in grape juice or vinegar. The second, all-important firing occurred in a special kiln that restricted the flow of oxygen to produce a reducing atmosphere that forced the metals to give up their oxygen, thereby creating a thin lustrous film that fused with the glazed surface. After firing, the cooled ceramic was polished with damp earth to expose the
luster. When the firing process was successful, the result was an object that “reflects like red gold and shines like the light of the sun.”

It is generally assumed that this technique was first introduced to potters in Iraq in the ninth century by Egyptian artists familiar with the secret of luster-painted glass, who had perhaps immigrated to the wealthy courts of the caliphate in Baghdad and in Samarra (836—92), under the Abbasi dynasty (750—1250). Much of the earliest luster-painted pottery differs slightly from the wares realized by Abu’l-Qasim’s recipe, in which the emphasis was on obtaining a golden hue. Ninth-century luster—as demonstrated by objects excavated at the great palace complex at Samarra or the tiles said to have been sent from Baghdad to the Great Mosque of Kairouan, Tunisia (ca. 862—63)—are generally polychromatic. The range in colors, including red, green, brown, yellow, and gold, suggests a period of experimentation as potters sought to control this complicated technique by varying the specific content of the metal compounds and the kiln conditions.

A deep bowl painted in yellow and brown luster over a white glaze and decorated with abstract and vegetal designs is an excellent example of the more colorful phase of this technique (pl. 16). The prominent split-leaf motif marking the four quadrants of the bowl is perhaps an abstracted, vegetal version of a pair of wings. Often employed as a design element in early Islamic art, the wings ultimately derived from the royal crown of the Sasanian dynasty (224—651), the last pre-Islamic rulers of Iran. Such a royally inspired motif does not necessarily connote a courtly patron; the costly technique does, however, suggest that the bowl was made for someone of financial means.

Contemporary texts—for example, the often-repeated account of the much-admired Chinese imperial porcelain sent by the governor of Khorasan, in eastern Iran, to Harun al-Rashid (r. 786—809)—testify to the appreciation for Chinese ceramics by the Abbasid court, which was renowned for its wealth, opulence, and extravagance. Chinese ceramics have also been excavated at various sites throughout the Abbasid Empire, including Samarra, Siraf (a port city on the Persian Gulf), and Fustat (Old Cairo), signifying a taste for these costly imported wares that must have extended beyond the court. To satisfy that taste Islamic potters in the ninth century began to imitate the whiteness of high-fired porcelain by covering low-fired earthenware with an opaque white glaze of tin oxide.

While the shapes of these ninth-century tin-glazed wares often follow Chinese prototypes, the decoration demonstrates far greater originality. In contrast to the pure white surface of the originals, the raw glazed surface was now painted with cobalt blue, copper green, or manganese purple designs. Fixed in a single firing, the paint or stain on the white glaze had a slightly diffuse effect that has been prosaically compared...
Both vegetal and geometric motifs are common, as in, for example, a bowl decorated in cobalt blue and combining these two types of motifs (pl. 14). This predilection for blue on white predates the production of Chinese blue-and-white porcelain, which was not made for export to the Islamic world until the fourteenth century. Imported blue-and-white porcelain was collected and imitated in the late medieval and late Islamic periods, but Chinese porcelain had a more immediate impact on the pottery of the early medieval period.

**Early Medieval Period**

Islamic potters invented an artificial ceramic body to replicate the white color, lightness, and translucency of Chinese porcelain, since a key ingredient for producing porcelain—kaolin—was unavailable to them. Known as fritware or stone-paste ware, this type of pottery seems to have appeared almost simultaneously in Egypt, Syria, Iran, and elsewhere by the twelfth century. It soon replaced the darker, heavier earthenware as the preferred material of most Islamic potters. The manufacture of fritware is described in the treatise by Abu’l-Qasim. There the recipe calls for ten parts silica or ground quartz, one part glass frit, or partially fused glass, and one part fine white clay, to be mixed into a paste that is kneaded like dough. Although they developed fritware for its whiteness, Islamic potters quickly began to add color effects, such as overglaze luster-painted decoration.

The art of luster-painted ceramics was likely introduced to Iran in the late twelfth century, probably from Egypt, where it had been in use since at least the early eleventh century (fig. 1). Kashan appears to be the primary documented center of production in Iran, although lusterwares ascribed to this site demonstrate various painting styles. Among the most impressive are those of the “monumental style,” so called because of the typically large-scale designs and dynamic manner of presentation. Characteristically, a boldly painted single figure occupies most of the decorated space, usually the center of a bowl, while thick scrolling palmettes fill the interstices. The main design is reserved on the generally white, glazed surface, while the details and background are filled in with luster. Horsemen, hunters, and musicians as well as a range of animals, including mythical beasts, such as the harpy (pl. 17), make up the somewhat limited decorative repertoire.

A hallmark of the Kashan style is the use of plump birds, reserved in white, both standing and in flight. These most often occur as a subsidiary design, but at times such birds provide the main decoration (pl. 29), while tiny spirals and commalike designs are typically scratched through the luster surface. Inscriptions, frequently rendered in a cursive
Figure 1

script, generally present Persian poetry. Dates and signatures are less common, and rarer still are inscriptions giving the name of a courtly patron. When the golden iridescence of the luster is well preserved, as in, for example, the bowl with birds (pl. 29), it is easy to appreciate the tremendous appeal of these wares and their role as luxury objects, perhaps mainly intended for an affluent, sophisticated urban clientele.

Although the production of luster in Syria begins about a century earlier than in Iran, its introduction again may be the result of émigré Egyptian potters. At present there is evidence to suggest two main centers of production, Tell Minis, in central Syria, the site to which the earliest lusterwares are attributed, and the city of Raqqa on the Euphrates. Figural ornament seems more typical of the former, earlier, site, while lusterwares from Raqqa, dating to the late twelfth and early thirteenth
centuries, are often decorated with abstract and vegetal designs. In contrast to Iranian lusterwares, the luster on those objects ascribed to Raqqa is generally a deep chocolate brown over a grayish glazed ground, which can be combined with an underglaze-painted blue. This category is exemplified by a storage jar whose body is divided into vertical bands filled with scrolling vegetal designs (pl. 32). This type of jar, with concave sides, which allowed for easier handling when closely arranged with other such vessels, became known in fifteenth-century Italy as an *albarello* (and is recognized today as the classic apothecary jar). The shape was evidently introduced to Europe through imported Islamic examples, which were produced over a wide area, including Syria, Egypt, Iran, and Spain. Such Islamic *albarelli* probably initially served a purely utilitarian function as shipping containers for the costly spices exported to Italy and elsewhere.

While the great period for enameled glass is the late thirteenth and fourteenth centuries under the Mamluk dynasty, the technique began earlier in the thirteenth century. An elegant long-necked bottle inscribed with the name and titles of a member of the Ayyubid dynasty who ruled in Aleppo (1237–60) and Damascus (1250–60) is the earliest datable example. Other enameled glass objects may also be ascribed to this earlier period—including a group of beakers with wide, flaring mouths and a limited decorative scheme. The eulogistic inscriptions generally begin with the phrase “Glory to our master,” a formula well known in the Ayyubid and Mamluk periods, but the titles mentioned on the beakers do not identify a particular individual. Vessels of this type, with enameled decoration restricted to generic inscriptions (pl. 7), nonetheless help flesh out the early history of this spectacular glass technique.

*Late Medieval Period*

The best-known and most impressive examples of enameled and gilded glass belong to the period of Mamluk rule in Egypt and Syria, particularly of the late thirteenth to mid-fourteenth centuries. The peculiar historical circumstances of this dynasty relate to the production of much of this glass. Members of this dynasty rose from the ranks of Turkish-speaking, imported military slaves, known as “mamluks,” who seized power from their former masters in 1250. One of the most remarkable aspects of the Mamluks was their creation of a new, self-perpetuating ruling class, which excluded members of the indigenous population and often prevented even their own heirs from succeeding to their position and property. In part as a means of allowing their offspring to profit by their wealth, the Mamluks built and lavishly endowed innumerable religious foundations, which were controlled by their descendants. Their capital, Cairo, especially benefited
from their building activities, for which all manner of furnishings were commissioned, including glass lamps.

Given the large number and often vast scale of the Mamluk foundations—mosques, madrasas (theological colleges), mausoleums, and khanqahs (Sufi hospices)—the beautiful oil lamps that served to light these structures must have been produced in enormous quantity, probably both in Egypt and Syria.37 Free blown with applied handles for suspension, the lamps have a very characteristic form with a tall, flaring neck and a compressed, globular body, supported by either a high, conical foot or a thick foot ring. Their brilliant enamel colors—essentially colored vitreous pastes painted on the glass and fused to the surface by firing—generally include red, blue, yellow, green, and white. Gold, used to outline or fill in designs, was applied as a liquid suspension and was fixed by firing at the same time as the enamels.38 Bold, rhythmic Arabic inscriptions are often the dominant decorative element. The lamps are typically inscribed around the neck with an especially appropriate quotation from the Koran (xxiv:35) in which the light of God is likened to the light from an oil lamp. Generally only the first few words (“God is the light of the heavens and of the earth”) of the verse are transcribed, but they are a sufficient reminder of the full text (which continues: “His light is as if there were a lustrous niche wherein is a lamp. The lamp is in a glass. The glass is as it were a shining star”), of which the lamp itself is a tangible re-creation.

Often inscriptions on the lower part of the lamp supply the name and titles of the individual who commissioned it, while a heraldic device, known as a blazon, which specifically pertains to its patron, is often repeated on the upper and lower sections of the lamp (pl. 6): “By order of the most noble authority, the Exalted, the Lordly, the Masterful, holder of the sword, Shaykhu al-Nasiri.” Shaykhu was a former mamluk of al-Nasir Muhammad (r. 1294—1340, with interruptions). The accompanying blazon, a circular medallion bearing a red cup set between a red and a black bar, refers to Shaykhu’s earlier and baser status as a royal cupbearer. This powerful and wealthy emir is known to have built a mosque and a khanqah in Cairo in the mid-fourteenth century, and the lamp was most likely made for one of these structures.39

Enameled and gilded glass was also made for personal, secular use. Primarily vessels, many of these objects can be classified as tableware, for example, beakers, bottles, and bowls, of which the latter are often set on a tall foot. A footed bowl, or *tazza* (pl. 4), comparable to a European chalice, likely served as a drinking vessel.40 Another popular vessel—a tall, long-necked bottle with a compressed, spherical body—was probably intended as a decanter for wine.41 During the Mamluk period many shapes found in enameled and gilded glass were produced in other media, especially metalwork and ceramics.
One shape produced in several different media is the so-called pilgrim’s flask, or canteen. It can be generally described as a globular vessel, flattened on the sides, with a pair of looped handles at the shoulder and sometimes at the neck. Known in enameled and gilded glass, inlaid metalwork, and glazed ceramic, while a related shape is also found in late-fifteenth- and early-sixteenth-century Venetian glass (pl. 12), the pilgrim’s flask was most frequently produced as a humble unglazed ceramic vessel. These utilitarian water vessels, probably left unglazed to allow evaporation to keep their contents cooler, are nonetheless often richly decorated and may even be inscribed with the name of their maker. For example, an inscribed band surrounding a central medallion with an elaborately coiled knot motif dominates a canteen with molded decoration identical on both sides (pl. 25). The inscription offers good wishes and provides the name of its maker, a certain al-Mufid. Such unglazed, molded canteens, especially associated with Syrian pottery workshops, must have been made for both soldiers and their officers, as some bear the blazons or heraldic devices of high-ranking emirs.

The Mongol invasions of western and eastern Asia in the early and mid-thirteenth century had an incalculable impact on contemporary societies. Although the Mamluks famously halted the Mongol juggernaut in 1260 at Ain Jalut (Spring of Goliath), near Nazareth, the new chinoiserie-inflected artistic language that had developed in Iran as a consequence of Mongol rule easily penetrated Mamluk art and culture. Direct contact through imported Chinese objects, such as textiles and blue-and-white porcelains in the fourteenth century, also helped disseminate a fresh visual vocabulary to Mamluk artists. In addition to the outright imitations produced in late-fourteenth- and fifteenth-century Syria (fig. 2), fourteenth-century Chinese blue-and-white porcelains also stimulated Mamluk potters to produce tile revetment in cobalt blue (and sometimes black, occasionally with turquoise or green borders) on a white ground. The decoration of these hexagonal and rectangular tiles typically combines Chinese-inspired vegetal motifs with an Islamic preference for symmetry and designs, such as the image of a spherical-bodied ewer resting on a stand (pl. 9). In the late Islamic period Chinese blue-and-white porcelain would have an even more significant impact on the development of Ottoman ceramics.

In the late medieval period glazed tile revetment was far less common in Mamluk buildings than in Iran under the Ilkhanid dynasty (1256–1353), the descendants of Genghis Khan (ca. 1162–1227). Decorated in the luster technique, alternating star- and-cross-shaped tiles served as a sparkling, ornamental skin covering the mundane brick interior walls of secular and especially religious monuments in Iran, beginning around 1200. The kilns of Kashan, the main center for tile production, were slowed down but not stopped by the Mongol invasions of the thirteenth century.
century, and by the 1260s large-scale production had resumed. There is only one excavated palace of the Ilkhanid period, at Takht-i Sulaiman, in northwestern Iran, which preserved extensive evidence of tile decoration as well as a kiln and a potter’s workshop. All other late-thirteenth-fourteenth-century luster tiles that remain in situ or have a known provenance come from a religious context, mainly funerary monuments or shrine complexes. Luster star tiles, including those associated with religious monuments, bear nonfigural and figural ornament and may include Koranic or purely secular inscriptions or, less commonly, pseudo-inscriptions. Among figural tiles the largest group is decorated with lively, sometimes humorously depicted animals and birds set within a landscape. As is typical of the Kashan style, the animals are spotted, without regard to their natural appearance, as, for example, on a tile with a pleasingly
plump horse amid tall, flowering plants and surrounded by a pseudo inscription in cobalt blue (pl. 18).

Luster-painted pottery traveled far from its origins in ninth-century Iraq, not only to Egypt, Syria, and Iran but also to Islamic Spain by way of North Africa. One important distinction between Spanish and other Islamic lusterwares is that the Spanish potters continued to use earthenware long after fritware had been introduced elsewhere in the Islamic world. While luster painting was practiced in Spain at least by the mid-thirteenth century, the most successful and spectacular examples belong to the period of Nasrid rule (1238–1492), the last Islamic dynasty on the Iberian Peninsula. Vassals of the Christian kingdom of Castile, the Nasrids governed the tiny kingdom of Granada in southeastern Spain. There, Málaga became the first important center of luster production. Málaga wares were widely exported to Christian-held cities, including Manises in Valencia, where they were imitated and eventually surpassed. Valencian lusterware, generally labeled Hispano-Moresque, is still very much within the tradition of Islamic pottery. These wares are typically decorated with interlace, geometric, and floral designs and Arabic inscriptions or pseudo-inscriptions, all taken directly from the repertoire of Islamic art (indeed, many Valencian potters were Muslims). For example, the deep dish in plate 19, with a stylized cobalt-blue tree, pseudo-Arabic inscriptions, and dense, luster-painted background designs, expresses the visual language of Islamic art (pls. 20, 33).

Late Islamic Period

A N AGE OF GREAT EMPIRES, the late Islamic period was dominated by three powerful dynasties: the Safavids in Iran; the Mughals in India; and the Ottomans, the greatest of the late Islamic rulers, who held sway over Anatolia, the Arab lands, and much of eastern Europe. Although the Ottomans already controlled all of Anatolia and parts of eastern Europe prior to their conquest of Constantinople in 1453, the sixteenth century was their golden age. Galvanized by royal patronage, the arts flourished under the Ottomans, whose military incursions into Iran in the later fifteenth and sixteenth centuries led to the appropriation of artists, works of art, and artistic ideas. Ottoman decorative arts and the arts of the book were thereby enriched by the repertoire of floral and vegetal designs first conceived in fifteenth-century Iran. Perhaps even more so than in earlier periods, art was an instrument of dynastic expression.

Chinese pottery had long been appreciated, collected, and imitated in the Islamic world, and this was especially the case at the Ottoman court, where a superb group of Chinese blue-and-white porcelains was assembled to serve as royal tableware. Such Chinese porcelains played a
vital role in the development of the Ottoman ware known as Iznik, after the northwestern Anatolian city where much of this pottery seems to have been produced. Fabricated as architectural revetment as well as tableware, Iznik pottery is one of the most renowned and influential arts of the Ottoman period.57

In the late fifteenth century, in emulation of Chinese blue-and-white porcelain, Ottoman potters began producing blue-and-white wares of a quality unequaled in Islamic ceramics. These potters obtained a compact, dense fritware body, which they covered with a white slip to replicate the hard, white body of the Chinese wares. Onto this brilliant white surface they painted floral scrolls, arabesques, and other designs of Chinese or Islamic inspiration in a deep cobalt blue and sometimes in a lighter blue and turquoise, which they then covered with a shiny, colorless glaze.58 For example, a deep, footed dish dating to the mid-sixteenth century (pl. 38) is decorated with scrolling vines punctuated by floral blossoms loosely based on early-fifteenth-century Chinese porcelains.59 Vessels of this type, which were made for courtly or affluent urban consumers and served as ordinary tableware, demonstrate the high aesthetic standards of the day.

Toward the mid-sixteenth century the color scheme of Iznik wares expanded dramatically to include not only deep blue and occasionally turquoise but also a bright grass green and an intense red, applied so thickly that it appears in relief.60 This represents the classic phase of Iznik, famous in Western museum collections and well known to visitors to Istanbul, whose imperial mosques and palaces are richly decorated with tile revetment of this type. Tableware and tiles demonstrate the wide variety of floral ornament used, including lush peonies, plump carnations, hyacinths, and the ubiquitous tulip.

At about the same time that the Iznik palette reached its classic form, the focus of the ceramic industry shifted from tableware to tilework. This shift may have occurred because of the massive building projects undertaken by the court beginning in the 1550s during the reign of Suleyman the Magnificent (r. 1520—66).61 The first important structure to incorporate a unified decorative scheme of Iznik tiles was the small mosque built by the renowned architect Sinan (ca. 1500—1588) around 1561, for Rustem Pasha, Suleyman’s grand vizier and son-in-law.62

Secular monuments also demanded tiles, most notably, the Topkapi Saray, or Canon-Gate Palace, both the royal residence and seat of Ottoman government until 1853.63 Murad III (r. 1574—95), grandson of Suleyman, sponsored a series of additions and renovations to the palace, which is actually a combination of buildings, courts, and gardens, divided among several courtyards and progressing from public to private spaces. Sultan Murad’s expansion of the royal quarters, including his bedroom pavilion, took place from 1578 to 1588. A series of magnificent tiles decorated with
blossoming trees, flowers, illusionistically painted imitation breccia marble, and bold calligraphy embellishes the bedroom pavilion. Many tiles are still in place, while others were likely removed following the devastating fires of the seventeenth century (pl. 41).64

The court—the main patron for Iznik wares—also set the price for these tiles, which remained fixed throughout the second half of the sixteenth century and the early seventeenth century, despite staggering inflation.65 Not surprisingly, this restriction created a conflict between the court’s demands for tiles and the Iznik potters’ desire for income, often leading to delays in tile production so that other commissions, including those from Europe, beginning in the 1570s, could be satisfied.66 A table service made to order for the European market could include a coat of arms or other such devices, while a group of pierced flower vases (pl. 40) bears a distinctive black insignia on the base in the form of a long-stemmed cross intersected by the capital letter S (fig. 3). Similar devices occur on maiolica apothecary jars, suggesting that the flowerpots were
perhaps made for an Italian customer. More specifically, this device has been related to the SP monogram of Stefano and Piero di Filippo, whose maiolica factory was established on Medici property at Cafaggiolo in 1498 and was in production throughout the sixteenth century. While price fixing and the allure of the free market may have contributed to the decline and eventual demise of the Iznik ceramic industry, the impact and influence of these wares persisted far longer than the zenith of their production in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries and extended well beyond even the considerable boundaries of the Ottoman Empire.

The evolution and production of much of Islamic art, particularly the types of ceramics and glass considered here, can be linked with the rise of great urban centers. These cities—Baghdad, Cairo, Córdoba, Damascus, Samarqand, Istanbul, to name just a few—each represented a confluence of power (both secular and religious), affluence, and commercial activity. While it would be difficult to project notions of modern consumerism onto the artistic creations of the medieval Islamic world, as has recently been done for the arts of the Italian Renaissance, there are a few points of comparison. Most notable is the lack of a royal or aristocratic aesthetic restricted to a princely class. Rather, the identical designs, visual metaphors, and artistic ideas, often rendered in the same materials, existed at several levels of society, especially for the Muslim urban bourgeoisie. Style and fashion were not a birthright but were directly tied to the purse and the good taste of the consumer, not unlike today.
NOTES

1. Cyriacus of Ancona (1391—1452) was an Italian traveler and antiquarian who made several trips to Egypt beginning in 1412; the one referred to in this letter took place in 1443 (see Lehmann 1977, 11, where a more complete excerpt from this letter is given).

2. Then known as the Los Angeles County Museum, Hearst acquired the lamp in April 1936 from the well-known European dealer Jacques Seligmann, who had purchased it at the Paris sale of the collection of Lucien Sauphar, one month earlier (see Galerie Charpentier 1936).


4. Ostensibly created to preserve architectural monuments, this was an Egyptian institution, mainly known by its French title, Comité de conservation des monuments de l’art arabe (see Reid 1992, 57—76).


6. See Wallis 1894, 3.


9. Hadith ("tradition," relating to what the Prophet Muhammad said or did) literature is second in importance only to the Koran. For reference to a somewhat contrary, later legal opinion see Jumblay 1988, 109—10, but also see 112—13. Also see Melikian-Chirvani 1986, 89—106, especially 102—3.


11. The script seems closest to examples dated to the end of the ninth and the first half of the tenth century in Déroche 1992, encompassing a mixture of the author’s “Early Abbasid,” nos. 62—65, and “New Style,” nos. 75, 79. This is a somewhat earlier dating than in the most recent publication of enameled glass vessel, Carboni and Whitehouse 2001, 218—19, where it is dated late tenth—early eleventh century. Jenkins 1986, 24, proposed an earlier date. Also, see below, n13.

12. Déroche 1992, no. 88 recto. Even if only generically related to the Koranic illumination, the design on the glass vessel seems to fall between the earliest types of foliate decorative bands (Bothmer 1987, 4—20, figs. 17—18) and the more elaborate designs more fully reminiscent of horizontally rendered trees and leafy plants of the tenth to twelfth century (Déroche 1992, nos. 84, 86—87). Also see Jenkins 1986, 19—23.

13. On this see Jenkins 1985, 31—36. Also see Grabar 1992, pp. 135ff., where this and related ornaments seem to be firmly rooted in the early Islamic period. For the Koranic illumination see Bothmer 1987, pls. 1—11.


15. On the latest examples of Persian lusterware from the nineteenth century see Watson 1985, 169—75.

16. For a translation and commentary on this often-quoted text the best source is still Allan 1973, 111—20.


20. The initial blending and abstraction of the Sassanian crown with a vegetal design occurs as early as the decoration of the Dome of the Rock, Jerusalem, completed in 691 (see Grabar 1973, 58–59; also see Jenkins 1985a, 52–55). First noted in the art-historical literature in Lane 1947A, 10, citing Abu'l-Fadl Muhammad ibn Husayn Bayhaqi (995–1077), the renowned Persian historian.
21. The initial blending and abstraction of the Sassanian crown with a vegetal design occurs as early as the decoration of the Dome of the Rock, Jerusalem, completed in 691 (see Grabar 1973, 58–59; also see Jenkins 1985a, 52–55). First noted in the art-historical literature in Lane 1947A, 10, citing Abu'l-Fadl Muhammad ibn Husayn Bayhaqi (995–1077), the renowned Persian historian.
22. See, for example, Sarre 1925, 54–64, also 65–66; Whitehouse 1969, 46; Scanlon 1970, 81–95; and Whitehouse 1972, 74.
24. The first significant production of blue-and-white porcelain in China is generally dated to the second quarter of the fourteenth century, under the Mongol Yuan dynasty (1279–1368), when cobalt from Iran would have been easily obtainable. Significantly, these wares initially seem to have been produced for export, especially to the Islamic world (see, for example, Krahl 1986, 21–61).
25. See, for example, Lane 1947B, 19–30.
29. For a detailed discussion of the motif of the harpy in Islamic art, see Baer 1965.
30. For example, a fragmentary bowl in the Los Angeles County Museum of Art, M.2002.1.187, inscribed with the name of a vizier, a certain Muhammad ibn Abdallah, who has yet to be more specifically identified.
32. This material awaits further study and publication but see Porter 1981; Porter and Watson 1987, and Jenkins 1992, where an eleventh-century date for the so-called Tell Minis lusterware is first proposed. Also see Jenkins-Madina forthcoming.
33. Wiet 1929, 143–45, pl. 1. Also see Ward 1998, 30, fig. 9–11.
34. See Kenesson 1998, 46, her type A.
35. The inscription on this object is only partially legible but can be read as “Glory to our master… the learned, just king.” Such beakers may have served as drinking vessels or they may have been used as lamps (Kenesson 1998, 45).
through the Iranian world (see, for example, Komaroff and Carboni 2002, cat. no. 71).

46. On Chinese blue-and-white porcelains found in Syria, see Carswell 1972A, 20–25. For finds from Fustat as well as from Syria, see Carswell 1985A, 28–32. Archaeological material from a shipwreck in the Red Sea, including many fourteenth-century blue-and-white porcelains, indicates how such wares reached Syria and Egypt (see Carswell 2000, 175–82).

47. See Carswell 1985, 69 (for a Mamluk bowl found at Hama, Syria) and 125 (for the dish from the Los Angeles County Museum of Art; M.2002.1.72; see here fig. 3, p. 46).

48. Such tiles, preserved in some quantity in many museum collections (British Museum, London; Museum of Islamic Art, Cairo; Los Angeles County Museum of Art; Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York; National Museum, Damascus; and Victoria and Albert Museum, London), are datable to the fifteenth century on the basis of tiles still in situ, as in the Tawrizi mosque complex, Damascus, built before 1430, or tiles known to come from a specific structure, such as the fountain of Sultan Qaytbay (r. 1468–96) (see Carswell 1972B, 99–124).


51. For example, tiles dated 1266–67 from a well-known Shiite shrine, the Imamzada Jo‘far, in Damghan are decorated with the lively spotted animals typically associated with Kashan and are inscribed with Persian poetry (see Watson 1885, 134; also Porter 1995, 36).

52. Frothingham 1951, 12–15; and Caiger-Smith 1985, 85. Also see Dods 1992, 99, where a twelfth-century text referring to the manufacture of luster in Spain is mentioned.

53. Significantly, Malaga wares were also exported to Majorca.

54. Frothingham, 1951, 83–209. Also see Caiger-Smith 1985, 100–103.

55. Generally referred to as the international Timurid style (see Necipoğlu 1990, 136–70).

56. For Ottoman archival documentation, see Krahl 1986, especially 1: 65–97. For references to their use at court, see Atasoy and Raby 1989, 14–15, 23, 30.

57. In the sixteenth century Iznik ware was heavily exported to Europe, including Italy, where it was imitated (see Mack 2002, 109), but Iznik ware experienced a second and perhaps still greater phase of admiration and imitation in nineteenth-century Europe (see Hagendorn 1998). Also see Atasoy and Raby 1989, 73.

58. For a detailed technical analysis of these wares, see Atasoy and Raby 1989, 50–60.

59. Such footed dishes bearing flower scrolls continued to be produced into the second half of the sixteenth century, although the floral designs become increasingly stylized (see Atasoy and Raby 1989, 124–25).

60. The color scheme had been modified earlier, in the 1540s, to include sage green and purple—so-called Damascus ware—but this palette seems to have been abandoned by the late 1590s.


62. Denny 1977 remains the best work on this subject.

63. See, for example, Necipoğlu 1991.

64. On Murad III’s rebuilding and enlargement of the harem, where the sultan’s quarters were also located, see Necipoğlu 1991, 164–75.


67. See Lane 1957, 58–59. Also see Atasoy and Raby 1989, 264–68; and Mack 2002, 109. On the general topic of trade between the Ottoman Empire and Italy at this time, mainly pertaining to silks and other fabrics, see Inalci k 1994, 218–55. Also see Rogers 2002, 709–36.

68. Atasoy and Raby 1989, 268. For the SP device, see Cora and Fanfani 1982, cat. no. 114. Also see Mack 2002, 106, 206 n. 67, where it is noted that the Cafaggiolo factory produced maiolica plates with Ottoman-style floral arabesques, probably during the time of Jacopo di Filippo, son of Stefano, and active ca. 1531–76, roughly overlapping with the period in which the flower vases were made.


70. Berens-Abouseif 1999, 162–64.
وعلّج الله بالسلاسة لوضع علماءٍ أضافوا كلامه
مرواً مرتين وعمر لواءهم ومنسوخ

علي دوقاً ورؤياً ينحوس بفروسية رخآة
وتبغى الأبطال الجرح ما جاء منهم نجاة وحذاء مسلفوين وفعل
من رقضٍ ضلّ أقصى ودخلوه لما واصلما
ناءً وسقى هذه الأذى علينا نذرنا بربنا
هاو طلون وسورة يدخلونها ومحسونا ورودنا
The World of Islam and Renaissance Science and Technology

GEORGE SALIBA

The commonly accepted opinions regarding the scientific and technological contacts between the world of Islam and the Latin West usually emphasize the truly remarkable translation activity that took place between the tenth and thirteenth centuries during which a whole variety of philosophical and scientific works were translated from Arabic into Latin. Even scientific and philosophical works that were originally translated from Greek into Arabic were usually translated once more from Arabic into Latin rather than from the original Greek. The extremely intricate individual and patronized activities that accomplished those feats were mainly centered in cities on the Iberian Peninsula, such as Toledo and Salamanca, and to a lesser extent in Palermo, Sicily, and nearby Italian and other southern European cities. Much scholarship has been devoted to this fertile period of European intellectual history, and some have even gone so far as to designate it as a true “medieval” renaissance. Others have taken notice of the genuine intellectual transformation that occurred during that time and ventured to see in it the beginnings of modern science.

In contrast, very little critical attention has been devoted to the continued contacts between the world of Islam and the Latin West after

the thirteenth century, despite the fact that the Muslim kingdom of Granada continued to flourish in southern Spain until its eventual fall in 1492. The gap between the events of the Middle Ages and the Renaissance has remained so wide as to allow the European Renaissance of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries to be commonly perceived as a European rediscovery of Greco-Latin antiquity, much to the detriment of, and in opposition to, what was achieved already in medieval times. Eventually scholars identified this later Renaissance period as the true cradle of modernity and, in particular, the birth time of modern science and technology. What contacts with Arabic and Islamic civilization that remained after the thirteenth century were deemed accidental and not as constituent a part of the European Renaissance. This break with medieval thinking, the trend that characterized Renaissance thought, was at the same time perceived as a break with Arabic and Arabism that was central to that same medieval thought.

In what follows I will paint a slightly more nuanced picture and will document a much wider contact between Renaissance Europe and the world of Islam. I will also detail the organic nature of that contact that seems to have prevailed in almost every field of science and certainly the most advanced technological productions and theoretical science. By technological productions and innovations I do not mean the making of paper or the transmission of the knowledge of the navigational compass, for those had already reached Europe during medieval times. I refer rather to the technological transfer of elaborate scientific instruments, such as astrolabes, quadrants, sextants, and the like. Although known, in theory, from classical Greek times, they seem to have made a great impact on Europe through their Islamic manifestations.

Intimate Technological Connections

Rosamond Mack's recent and most interesting book, Bazaar to Piazza (2002), surveys the extensive exchange between the world of Islam and Renaissance Europe in almost every technological domain. Whether in textile, carpets, ceramics, glass, or metalwork, the traffic between the two sides of the Mediterranean (mostly from east to west at first) stretched from the crusading period in the twelfth century all the way to the Renaissance of the sixteenth century and thereafter. In each domain there seems to have been an intimate connection between the two worlds. This dependence relationship extended as far as documenting, in a treaty from the year 1277, even the amount of broken glass loaded in Tripoli on the eastern Mediterranean shore to be shipped to Venice so that it could be used there as raw material for Venetian glassmakers. To the credit of later glassmakers, they managed by the fifteenth
and early sixteenth centuries to master the “sophisticated Syrian techniques and began responding to rising demand, [and] no longer needed to compete with Islamic glass.”

Glassmaking, in fact, offers many examples of the continuous contacts between the East and West that extended beyond the mere import and export of finished glass objects. On the level of technique, for example, the fine cutting of colorless crystal glass had already taken place in the eastern Mediterranean as early as the ninth century, and from there it spread to the West. Other cuttings, like facet, relief, and “graving,” were all “used on post-Roman glass in the east, but not in the west until reintroduced in the later Middle Ages.” Enameling on both colorless and colored glass became first much more prevalent in such Syrian cities as Raqqa and Damascus before the workers of those Eastern glass factories may have begun to migrate westward to “revitalize the western industry at Venice and elsewhere.” Like glass cutting, glassblowing, which was very well developed in ancient Roman times, survived only along the eastern Mediterranean after the decline of Rome, and “it was from eastern workers that the Venetians presumably relearned their skilled methods.” The same story could be repeated in almost the same details with respect to all other technical aspects of glasswork.

The world of ceramics even introduces elements of clandestine trade to this intriguing story of East-West contacts. “Cobalt blue,” for example, which was “known in the east for many centuries, was not used in Italy until early in the fifteenth century. It was imported as the impure oxide, zaffre, from the Levant through Venice (color damascino, Damascus pigment). Since it was dutiable in many parts, there was considerable smuggling in it.” Similarly, the incorporation of tin oxide into the lead-silicate matrix that was applied, first by ninth-century Iraqi potters, to render glass and glazes opaque, in imitation of the creamy porcelain then being imported from China became a widespread technique that flourished first in the East “and particularly in Persia, and [then led] to the profusion of ‘majolica’ and ‘delft’ painted wares of Europe from the fourteenth century onwards.” The case of cobalt blue brings to mind the “metallic oxides [which] were reduced to very small particles of metal, ... [and thus when applied as] a thick film will look like a solid copper or silver, while a thin film is iridescent and may be gold-coloured.” The technique was used in ninth-century Iraq to produce a metallic sheen but was spread westward by the thirteenth century in what is known as the “Hispano-Moresque wares of north Africa, Malaga, and Valencia... [leading to] similar wares [that] began to be produced in north Italy about 1500.” The color glazing and over-glazing techniques involved in tile making “had an effect in Europe comparable to that which they had on pottery. Spain developed a brilliant exotic tile-series, while Italian fifteenth-century tin-glazed tiles have painted arabesques as well
as Renaissance motives, and provided the source of inspiration for this style of refined tile-painting in Flemish, Dutch, French, and English series of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries."  

In the realm of metalwork the trade between the eastern Mediterranean and Europe was so extensive that Syrian and Egyptian metalworkers began to produce objects especially intended for the European market. In the mid-fourteenth century Venetian merchants could place orders with Damascene metalworkers for special types of objects that included European coats of arms. The accommodating eastern Mediterranean artisans could thus demonstrate the flexibility with which they could execute their craft.

Similar documentation bears witness to such interdependence in all other fields of technology and crafts. Thus European everyday life between the tenth and sixteenth centuries, if not beyond, was intimately connected to what was happening in the world of Islam. In matters of luxury and good living Europeans always looked eastward for examples to imitate, despite the fact that on the political level the relationship was mostly adversarial, especially in the later centuries, when the Ottoman Turks were pounding on the fortification walls of major European cities.

**Mathematical Technologies and Instruments**

Yet another area of refined technology does not garner the attention it deserves. The replication of scientific instruments not only requires the mastery of artistic techniques but also necessitates scientific precision. Only very educated craftsmen on both sides of the Mediterranean were capable of producing such refined instrumentation. The astrolabe is a perfect example of the ingenious mathematical technique of stereographic projection, that is, the projection that maps the spherical universe on a plane surface while preserving the angles among the celestial circles. Although well developed in classical Greek antiquity, artisans of the Islamic world efficiently combined this highly precise mathematical craft with superb brass metalwork. At first the instrument was usually executed to satisfy a scientific function, and all its lines were drawn with great mathematical precision. With the passage of time it came to be produced as an object of art. Surviving pieces from both sides of the Mediterranean from the ninth to seventeenth centuries, if not beyond, are treasured among the major museums across the world and testify to this exquisite production of fine technology.

From the year 1387, when Geoffrey Chaucer (ca. 1342–1400) wrote his treatise on the astrolabe—in which he acknowledged the Arabic tradition that he was rendering into “naked wordes in English” and the twelfth-century Latin translation of a similar treatise reputedly composed
by Messahalla in Baghdad sometime during the late eighth and early ninth
centuries—until the time of the sixteenth-century Flemish astrolabe
maker Arsenius (ca. 1575) and thereafter, the astrolabe continued to capture
the imagination of the European scientific community. Such derivative
instruments, like the navigational sextant and quadrant as well as the uni-
versal astrolabes that continued to be produced in most European cities
well into the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, were inspired by their
counterparts produced in the eastern Mediterranean, if they were not
straightforward copies of the same.

One astrolabe in particular deserves special mention because it
tells a story of significant cultural connections that took place in the early
sixteenth century. The famous Italian architect Antonio da Sangallo the
Younger (1484—1546), who worked on the building of Saint Peter's in
Rome as well as on the fortification of the city of Rome, had among his
papers, which are still kept at the Uffizi Gallery in Florence, a particular
paper that has on its recto the drawing of an astrolabe (fig. 1) and on
its verso the uppermost part of the same astrolabe, the rete (fig. 2). This
astrolabe would not have been of any special significance had it not been
for the fact that, in his meticulousness, Sangallo had also copied down
the name of the maker of the astrolabe, which he must have had in his
hands. The name of the original maker, copied so faithfully by Sangallo at
the edge of the upper-right quadrant on the back of the astrolabe, is
Khafif, the apprentice of Ali bin Isa. From textual his-torical sources we
know that this Khafif was active in the city of Baghdad toward the mid-
ninth century. We are also fortunate to have some of the actual astro-
labes he produced; one, in particular, is now kept at the Oxford Museum
of the History of Science.

The workmanship of the astrolabe is not of the highest quality
when compared to that of surviving specimens of the later centuries
and especially to the jewellike objects from Safavid Iran. Since it dates
to ninth-century Baghdad, however, it probably represents the earliest
surviving piece known. The more curious question is: What was this
astrolabe doing at the desk of one of the most famous Italian Renais-
sance architects and why was it so meticulously copied on paper,
including the name of the maker? The rendering of the Arabic lettering
in Sangallo’s draft reveals a rather mature handwriting, not at all similar
to the handwriting of an elementary student of Arabic. To complicate
the puzzle, the drawing also includes parts of the astrolabe: the alidade,
the pin (usually called “the horse”), and the rete, with a fully drafted
copy of the mother of the astrolabe and its back. Other questions come
to mind: What was Sangallo’s intention in drafting the parts of this
astrolabe? Was he planning to pass the draft on to a Florentine artisan
and have a duplicate made of it or was he planning to make one himself?
Figure 1
Antonio da Sangallo the Younger (1484–1546).
Drawing (recto) of the back and mater of an astrolabe made by Khafif (fl. 850).
Florence, Galleria degli Uffizi, Gabinetto dei Disegni e Stampe, U1454.

Figure 2
Antonio da Sangallo the Younger.
Drawing (verso) of the rete of an astrolabe made by Khafif (fl. 850).
Florence, Galleria degli Uffizi, Gabinetto dei Disegni e Stampe, U1454.
That an astrolabe would fascinate an architect and a civil engineer like Sangallo is not surprising. We do know, from a tenth-century text written by the famous astronomer Abd al-Rahman al-Sufi (903–986), that such an astrolabe worked like an analog computer and could solve some 380 common mathematical and astronomical problems. In a word, it was the most sophisticated calculating machine of its time. An engineer then would find use for it very much in the same way a modern engineer would find constant use for a pocket calculator or handheld computer. That a Renaissance engineer would resort to such an astrolabe and try to imitate it some seven centuries after it was made, with Arabic markings and all, reveals the extent to which Renaissance men of science were dependent on the scientific technology of the world of Islam. Sangallo must have thought of this particular astrolabe as the epitome of a sophisticated scientific machine despite the fact that it was by then seven centuries old and other examples far surpassed it in beauty, precision, and comprehensiveness. Just like his contemporary metalworkers, glassmakers, and textile manufacturers, Sangallo too was trying to imitate the kind of technology that he thought was superior to what was then available in Europe.

From Scientific Technology to Theoretical Science

The heavy dependence on sophisticated Islamic scientific instruments during the European Renaissance is further corroborated by a more general dependence on the theoretical scientific results that were also produced in the world of Islam and continued to be sought by European scientists during the Renaissance and thereafter. Recent research in the history of Islamic and Renaissance astronomy, for example, which has been systematically pursued over the past fifty years or so, has now demonstrated a similar intimate interdependence in matters of the highest theoretical sophistication. Other fields, like mathematics and medicine, to say nothing of major philosophical movements like Averroism (reading Aristotle through the commentaries of Averroës) and the like, also indicate an intellectual environment, in Renaissance Italy in particular, that was heavily influenced by what had been going on in the Islamic world more than two or three centuries earlier.

The astronomical field is of particular importance here, not only because astronomy was always thought of as the queen of the sciences in premodern times (a well-acknowledged competitor to theology, which was similarly crowned at times) but because it became, at the hands of Copernicus (Mikolaj Kopernik, 1473–1543) and his followers, the cause célèbre after which the scientific renaissance is conceptualized as a scientific revolution. From that perspective astronomical research marked a watershed within which was born modern science and modernity itself.
If one could demonstrate that Renaissance scientists, like their contemporary artisans, had recourse to results that were already forged in the Islamic world, then one could move a step closer to understanding the true nature of the European Renaissance as an intellectual temperament promoting the free exchange of ideas that were still being sought in the world of Islam.

The astronomical research pursued in the world of Islam between the ninth and the sixteenth century focused on three main issues. First, it sought to correct the basic astronomical values inherited from earlier civilizations, particularly those derived from classical Greek antiquity. Second, it attempted to conceptualize the very foundation of science, and astronomy in particular, in a novel way by insisting that various scientific disciplines be logically consistent within themselves and across disciplines. In Islamic civilization it was no longer sufficient to produce a chain of mathematical reasoning, say, like Euclidean geometry, and in the process involve absurd physical concepts that would no longer make sense in the field of physics. For example, a mathematically defined sphere must have certain mathematical properties that could be readily translated into a sphere, which then could be exemplified by an actual physical ball. Both spheres must have a fixed point at their center when they revolve around an axis that passes through that center, and both spheres must have outer surfaces equidistant from that center.

The third object of Islamic astronomy was to construct a comprehensive new astronomy to replace the inherited Greek form, which proved early on to be technically flawed. It was no longer sufficient to concoct astronomical theories to predict the positions of the planets or explain celestial phenomena, as was done by the best Greek astronomers. The new requirement instead demanded that one find an astronomy whose predictions were physically, mathematically, and cosmologically consistent.

The results achieved by astronomers working in the Islamic world were passed on to the Latin West either directly, through medieval translations, or in other ways. In correcting the basic Greek astronomical values it became apparent that all observational values, no matter how precise, would necessarily imply approximations that would become exaggerated with time. For example, the canonical Greek astronomical text of Ptolemy (Claudius Ptolemaeus, fl. 150) stipulated that the fixed stars moved with respect to the point of the vernal equinox at the rate of one degree for every one hundred years. Some seven hundred years later astronomers of ninth-century Baghdad measured the same fixed stars with respect to the vernal equinox and quickly discovered that the stipulated Greek motion was much too slow and that those stars moved at a faster rate, of one degree per about seventy years. Some refer to this phenomenon as the “phenomenon of precession,” which gave rise to the much-admired song “Aquarius” in the popular musical Hair of the 1960s.
The inclination of the ecliptic, reflected in the slanting of the earth’s axis that causes the four annual seasons, is now taught to be 23½ degrees, a value that was also established in ninth-century Baghdad, and not the Greek value that was about half a degree too large. Several other such basic values were freshly determined in early Islamic times, and all have become an integral part of the world heritage, thanks to their being incorporated in such fundamental Latin texts that were either translated or freshly produced in the late Middle Ages and the Renaissance.

The more fundamental changes introduced by the astronomers of the Islamic civilization were of the second type, namely, the “consistency project.” Astronomers working in the Islamic domain were no longer satisfied with simply correcting basic observational values but went further, looking for the reasons that caused those errors in the first place and to question the very foundation of the schematic presuppositions governing the conceptual scheme of Greek astronomy itself. Errors caused by faulty observational methods or faulty instruments were not as serious as those contradictions resulting from the conceptual assumptions regarding the very nature of Greek astronomy.

To illustrate: when Ptolemy found that the solar apogee (the point in the celestial sphere where the sun looked to be at its farthest distance from the earth or, conversely, when the earth was at the farthest distance from the sun) was fixed in the celestial space, only to be contradicted by ninth-century astronomers who found it to be in motion, the conclusion drawn by those astronomers was that Ptolemy had followed the wrong observational method by trying to observe the declination of the sun at the solstices, around June 21 and December 21. This difficulty is easily appreciated when we notice that the sun rises and sets almost at the same points along the horizon for several days before and after the solsticial dates of June 21 and December 21. If one were to determine the exact time at which the sun would actually cross the solsticial point, there would be a span of several days from which to choose and thus the precision would be highly compromised. An alternative method developed in ninth-century Baghdad yielded much better results. It depended on observing the sun’s declination during the middle of the seasons, when the change from one day to the next would be much easier to pinpoint. More accurate values for the motion of the solar apogee and for solar eccentricity, yielding in turn a better solar equation, were thus produced.

All these corrections and modifications were thought to be much less important than the fundamental disagreement found in the Greek sources between the accepted observational results and the cosmological presuppositions used to account for those results and to predict their recurrence. The geometric models used by Ptolemy to “explain” the observational phenomena of the planets and predict their positions for any time and place stipulated the kind of mathematical entities, such as
spheres and circles, that did not consistently preserve their mathematical properties when they were thought of as physical objects. In other words, the cosmological foundations of Greek astronomy—stipulating that all observable celestial phenomena were generated by physical celestial spheres made of the simple element ether, in the mathematical representations of those celestial spheres developed by Ptolemy, spheres that rotated in place at uniform speeds around axes that did not pass through their centers—were physically impossible.

To astronomers working in the Islamic domain, this fundamental contradiction between the presupposed cosmological physical foundation and representative mathematical geometry was much more serious than a simple mistake due to a faulty instrument or a bad method of observation. It was tantamount to asking someone to accept, for all sorts of reasons that were very well argued by Aristotle (384–322 B.C.) and his followers, that the universe was composed of celestial ethereal spheres and then turning around and speaking about those spheres as if they did not have the same mathematical properties as a sphere. It was as absurd as talking about spheres by drawing triangles to illustrate the argument. Great efforts were spent to rid the Greek astronomical tradition of such glaring faults. These attempts all focused on elaborating on the nature of those fallacies and then analyzing their significance in the field of astronomy.

The first efforts at correcting them were similar to the modifications deemed essential for arriving at basic astronomical values: to expose each contradiction and keep intact the rest of the cosmological structure. Further, the boundary conditions—the observational realities to be considered—were not neglected. A whole body of literature thus emerged in which expository treatises detailing the faults of Greek astronomy became a genre by themselves. Only then did attempts at taking bolder steps begin to be made. In creating alternative theories astronomers of the Islamic world found their greatest successes. One astronomer after another would venture to develop mathematical models that would depict the observational behavior of the planets, just as they were observed in Greek and early Islamic times, but at the same time preserve all the mathematical and physical properties of the constituent units of which the models were composed. Physical spheres remained to be represented by mathematical spheres, with properties befitting a genuine sphere.

During that process of creating new astronomical theories, new mathematical theorems were developed to refine the representational tools of the mathematical models, specifically so that the models would not contradict the physical cosmological presuppositions. One such theorem, developed by the astronomer Nasir al-Din al-Tusi (1201–1274), proved to be extremely influential in the development of Arabic and Renaissance astronomy. The treatise in which the theorem was formally stated by
Figure 3
Flyleaf of an Arabic manuscript with the inscription "Epitome Almagesti," Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vat. Arabo 319.

Figure 4
Two pages of an Arabic manuscript showing the statement and proof of the mathematical theorem now called the Tusi Couple. Vatican City, Biblioteca Vaticana, Vat. Arabo 319, folios 28 verso and 29 recto.

Tusi, together with its formal mathematical proof, can be found in many manuscript copies, one of which is preserved at the Vatican Library (figs. 3–4). A few centuries later the very same theorem was used by
Copernicus to explain and modify the same features of Greek astronomy objected to by earlier astronomers of the Islamic world (fig. 5).

Tusi’s theorem is only one of many connecting Copernican Renaissance astronomy with the earlier Islamic astronomy of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. To give only a few other examples: Copernicus’s model for the motion of the moon turned out to be the very same model developed by another Damascene astronomer, Ibn al-Shatir (1304–1375), who lived more than 150 years before Copernicus and who developed his model for the moon to explicitly create an alternative to the Greek model. In other words, Copernicus was adopting the latest Islamic astronomical model developed in Damascus a century and a half earlier. The model itself was a rejection of the Ptolemaic Greek model, and thus Copernicus was not recapturing the model of classical Greek antiquity as, we are told, was claimed by every Renaissance humanist.

Similarly the mathematical techniques used by Copernicus to resolve the difficulties in the Greek model for the motion of the planet Mercury were also developed earlier by the same Ibn al-Shatir of Damascus.
Furthermore, the techniques used by another Damascene astronomer, Muuyad al-Din al-Urdi (d. 1266), to resolve the difficulties in the Greek model for the motion of the upper planets Saturn, Jupiter, Mars, and Venus, were also used for exactly the same purpose by the very same Copernicus and were themselves the subject of an exchange between the German astronomer Johannes Kepler (1571–1630) and his own teacher Michael Maestlin (1550–1631). In short, the novel mathematical theorems and techniques used by Copernicus, which did not already exist in the classical Greek sources, were all developed in the Islamic world during the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. If the European Renaissance is characterized as an attempt to recapture the classical Greco-Latin tradition, then none of the works of Copernicus, with all the new mathematical theorems and techniques embedded in them, which were developed immediately before in the Islamic world in express objection to the same classical tradition, can be adequately accounted for or properly understood. Only when Renaissance scientists are seen in dialogue with their immediate predecessors working in the Islamic world does Renaissance science begin to make sense as the natural development of that dialogue. This does not ignore the fact that Renaissance scientists took giant steps on their own, such as, in the remarkable shift from geocentricity to heliocentricity by Copernicus. Those very shifts, however, were made within the context of objecting to the very same Greek astronomical tradition that had already been the subject of debate in the world of Islam for some six hundred years before the Renaissance and within the context of this dialogue across the Mediterranean that allowed the mathematical and conceptual tools to be incorporated, once they were discovered, in all subsequent attempts at reformulating Greek astronomy.

To speak of a dialogue across the Mediterranean during the Renaissance, at the highest and most technical levels of scientific production, is not idle talk, for we have considerable evidence to illustrate the breadth of this dialogue. The very same manuscript that included Tusi’s theorem had not only a scribbled Latin title, “Epitome Almagesti,” on its flyleaf (see fig. 3) but also included Latin annotations on the margins of the Arabic text itself (fig. 6). Such annotations point to an individual who could read the original Arabic, understand its technical import, and have an opinion to voice about its contents. Thanks to the distinguished research of Giorgio Levi Della Vida (1886–1967), we know the identity of that person. Levi Della Vida documents the provenance of that specific Arabic manuscript and assigns it to the legacy of the distinguished French Renaissance scholar Guillaume Postel (1510–1581), a younger contemporary of Copernicus. Postel had apparently acquired Arabic and Hebrew in Paris. In 1536 he was chosen to accompany a delegation sent by the king of France,
Francis I (r. 1515—47), to the Ottoman court to conclude a defense treaty with Suleyman the Magnificent (r. 1520—66). That he did indeed join that delegation is further established by his own signature on another manuscript, now kept at the Bibliothèque nationale in Paris (fig. 7), which also dealt with technical planetary theories. His ownership of the manuscript is further confirmed on the title page with the designation "Ex libri guilielmi postelli" (fig. 8). From the nature of the extensive Latin annotations on the margins one can conclude that Postel was apparently using this manuscript for his own study of astronomy, as some annotations comment on elementary astronomical concepts. At times he demonstrated his competence by noting a mistake in the manuscript and proposing to correct it, as he did with a table listing the names of the months in the various calendars known in medieval times, including the Hebrew months that were cataloged incorrectly from Adar onward (fig. 9).

Knowing that Postel was to be rewarded by Francis I with the post of professor of mathematics and Oriental languages at the Institut royal,
which later became the Collège de France, one should note the most interesting phenomenon illustrated by this manuscript. Here we have a professor at the Collège de France, studying astronomy at night from an Arabic manuscript, annotating it in Latin, and delivering his lectures the next morning on astronomy, in Latin. To demonstrate the intimacy of cross-cultural contacts between the two worlds of the Mediterranean and to relate the futility of relying on such inadequate concepts as “Arabic science” or “Western science,” I raised, in another context, the rhetorical question regarding these particular circumstances by asking: Whose science was Arabic science in Renaissance France?  

Lest one believe that the traffic was always one way, from east to west, a few other examples should clearly illustrate that this was not
Figure 10
Title page of the *Canon of Medicine* (1593) by Avicenna (Ibn Sina, 980–1037), printed by the Medici Oriental Press. The Medici press produced the first printings of Arabic scientific texts, and Avicenna’s canon was only one example. Princeton University Library.

Figure 11
Title page of an Arabic translation of the *Almagest* by Ptolemy (fl. 150), with a note in the lower part of the page, written and signed by the Ottoman astronomer Taqi al-Din ibn Maruf (1525/26–1586), who quotes the work of Ambrogio da Calepino (1435–1511). Tunis, Bibliothèque nationale, Tunis Arabie 7116.
the case. We know, for example, that at the end of the sixteenth century and beginning of the seventeenth century the Medici family of Florence had established a printing press to produce Arabic books, apparently for consumption in the eastern Mediterranean (fig. 10). Of the first six books produced by this press, four were on scientific matters, by authors who had worked in the Islamic world during the preceding five hundred years. One can be certain that such books had a European market as well, especially when we know of attempts to introduce Arabic into German medical schools as late as the end of the seventeenth century.31

Contacts were not limited to astronomical theory alone. Similar phenomena took place in other fields, such as medicine and mathematics, which demonstrate an equal interest among European circles, especially during the Renaissance, in what had been going on in the world of Islam during the preceding five centuries. In the case of medicine it is curious that the discovery around the year 1241 by the Damascene physician Ibn al-Nafis (1210/11—1288) regarding the pulmonary circulation of the blood, in express objection to the prevailing Greek theory, was to reappear during the 1550s in the works of such distinguished Renaissance physicians as Miguel Serveto (1511—1553) and Realdo Colombo (1516—1559).

Scientists on the eastern shores of the Mediterranean were not immune to what was going on in the West. We have in the hand of the most distinguished Ottoman astronomer, Taqi al-Din ibn Maruf (1525/26—1586), originally from Damascus, a note referring to his use of the multilingual dictionary of 1502 produced in Italy by Ambrogio da Calepino (1435—1511) (fig. n).32 At least one marginal note on his personal copy of the Arabic text of the Almagest by Ptolemy (Tunis Arabic 7116, folio 11
verso, Tunis Bibliothèque nationale) demonstrates very clearly that he was also using a Latin translation of that work. The later Ottoman bibliophile and distinguished historian Hajji Khalifa (Katib Celebi, 1609–1657), who refers to the same dictionary, was in all likelihood deriving his information from this very note of his predecessor and compatriot, Taqi al-Din. 33

His brief survey of the dynamic relationship between the two shores of the Mediterranean should illustrate the extent to which those areas were integrally interconnected. Whether in glass, ceramic, or metal technology or in the highest levels of technical theoretical sciences the two were in close contact, with ideas flowing, mostly from east to west, up to and including the time of the European Renaissance and well into the seventeenth century. What happened after is indeed a completely different and opposite story.
1. See, for example, Haskins 1960.
4. For a quick reference to the disputes between the “humanists” and the “Arabists” in Renaissance and post-Renaissance Europe, see Toomer 1996, 15–16, and nn. 6–77.
8. See Harden 1956, 327.
11. Harden 1956, 337.
12. See Pope 1956, 301.
15. Pope 1956, 304.
20. For a beautiful collection of such instruments from both sides of the Mediterranean and a discussion of their interconnections, see Turner 1985.
23. No. 57-84/155.
26. In particular, see Arabic manuscript, Vat. Arabo 319 flyleaf, and folios 28 verso and 29 recto (for an edited translation see Ragep 1993).
27. For the detail of the correspondence between Kepler and Maestlin, see Grafton 1973, 523–530.
29. There are several biographies of Postel, the most readable being Weill 1987.
32. Ambrosii Calepini Bergomatis Lexicon, rvito, quam vpiam hac est, secunm eurit, locuileus. Quid vero hac in editione nostra practi- teri naptlifantia, sequens docetria pagina Calepinae lector (1538).
33. As quoted by Nallino 1931, 223.

Pls. 1

_Aryballos_

Byzantium or late Roman Empire, sixth or seventh century. Free-blown glass with applied ornament, H: 8.6 cm (3 3/8 in.). Los Angeles, J. Paul Getty Museum, 71.AF.80.
PLATE 2

*Bottle*

Islamic Middle East, ninth century. Free-blown glass, h: 15.2 cm (6 in.). Los Angeles, J. Paul Getty Museum, 78.AF.23.
PLATE 3

Container in the form of an animal

Probably Iran, tenth or eleventh century. Free-blown glass with applied ornament, 8.1 x 5.6 x 11.1 cm (3 3/16 x 2 3/16 x 4 3/16 in.).
Los Angeles County Museum of Art, gift of Varya and Hans Cohn; m.88.129.187.
PLATE 4

Tazza

Egypt or Syria, late thirteenth to early fourteenth century. Glass painted with polychrome enamels and gold, H: 33.3 cm (13 1/6 in.), DIAM: 24.8 cm (9 3/4 in.). New York. The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Gift of Mr. and Mrs. V. Everit Macy, 1923; 23.189.
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PLATE 5

Bottle


Photograph © 2003 The Metropolitan Museum of Art.
PLATE 6

Lamp

Egypt or Syria, mid-fourteenth century. Enamed and gilded glass, 34.6 x 28.6 cm (13 3/8 x 11 1/4 in.). Los Angeles County Museum of Art, William Randolph Hearst Collection; 50.28.4.
Islamic Influences on Italian Glass

PLATE 7

Beaker

Syria, first half of thirteenth century. Enamed glass, 8.1 x 8.7 cm (3 7/8 x 3 3/8 in.). Los Angeles County Museum of Art, gift of Varya and Hans Cohn; M.88.129.196.
PLATE 8

Goblet

Italy (Murano), late fifteenth or early sixteenth century.
Free-blown colorless glass with gold leaf and enamel decoration,
H: 13.5 cm (5 7/6 in.). Los Angeles,
J. Paul Getty Museum, 84.DK.540.
Plate 9

Hexagonal tile
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Islamic Influences on Italian Glass
PLATE 10

Ewer

Italy (Murano), ca. 1500. Enameled and gilded glass, H: 25.4 cm (10 in.). Los Angeles County Museum of Art, purchased with funds provided by William Randolph Hearst, Decorative Arts Council Acquisition Fund, Decorative Arts Curatorial Discretionary Fund, Mrs. Lorna Hammond, the William A. Dinneen Estate, Mrs. Edwin Greble, Mrs. Walter Barlow, Mr. and Mrs. Allan C. Balch Collection, Allan Ross Smith, and Mrs. Wesley Heard; 84.2.1.
Textile fragment
with design of rosettes in ogival framework

Turkey, seventeenth century.
Metallic thread on silk satin ground,
48.3 x 57.8 cm (19 x 22\(\frac{1}{4}\) in.).
Los Angeles County Museum
of Art, The Nasli M. Heeramanec
Collection, gift of Joan Palevsky;
M.73.5.668.
PLATE 12

Pilgrim flask

Italy (Murano), late fifteenth or early sixteenth century. Free-blown colorless glass with gold leaf, enamel, and applied decoration, H: 37.3 cm (14 3/8 in.). Los Angeles, J. Paul Getty Museum, 84.DK.538.
Pilgrim flask

Italy (Murano), 1500–1520. Free-blown colorless glass with gold leaf, enamel, and applied decoration, H: 31.3 cm (12 3/4 in.). Los Angeles, J. Paul Getty Museum, 84.DK.539.
Tin Glaze and Luster on Ceramics

PLATE 14

Bowl

Iraq, ninth or tenth century.
Tin-glazed and stain-painted earthenware, Diam: 20.3 cm (8 in.).
Los Angeles County Museum of Art, The Nasli M. Heeramanek Collection, gift of Joan Palevsky; M.73.5.133.
Plate 15

Bowl with Kufic inscription

Probably Egypt or Syria, ninth or tenth century. Free-blown and stained bluish-colorless glass, tooled on pontil, h: 10.7 cm (4 3/8 in.). New York, The Metropolitan Museum of Art, Purchase, Rogers Fund and Gifts of Richard S. Perkins, Mr. and Mrs. Charles Wrightsman, Mr. and Mrs. Louis E. Seley, Walter D. Binger, Margaret Mushekian, Mrs. Mildred T. Keally, Hess Foundation, Mehdi Mahboubian, and Mr. and Mrs. Bruce J. Westcott, 1974; 1974.74.
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PLATE 16

Bowl

Iraq, ninth century. Luster-painted earthenware, DIAM: 24.1 cm (9 1/2 in.). Los Angeles County Museum of Art, The Nasli M. Heeramanek Collection, gift of Joan Palevsky; M.73.5.238.
Plate 17

Plate with harpy

Iran, late twelfth or early thirteenth century. Luster-painted fritware, DIAM: 17.2 cm (6 in.). Los Angeles County Museum of Art, The Nasli M. Heeramanneck Collection, gift of Joan Palevsky; m.73.5.269.
PLATE 18

Star tile with horse

Iran, fourteenth century. Luster-painted fritware, DIAM: 21 cm (8 1/2 in.). Los Angeles County Museum of Art, The Nasli M. Heeramanek Collection, gift of Joan Palevsky; M.73.5.377.
PLATE 19

Deep dish
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PLATE 20

Basin

Plate with coat of arms

Italy (Deruta), ca. 1520. Tin-glazed and luster-painted earthenware, DIA: 41.3 cm (16 3/4 in.). Los Angeles County Museum of Art, William Randolph Hearst Collection; 50.9.25.
PLATE 22

Condiment dish

Italy (Faenza, luster-painted in Gubbio), ca. 1560. Tin-glazed and luster-painted earthenware, 7.3 x 19.7 cm (2 7/8 x 7 1/4 in.). Los Angeles County Museum of Art, William Randolph Hearst Collection; 50.9.1.
PLATE 23

Pilgrim flask with marine scenes

Fontana workshop (possibly Orazio, 1510–1570), Italy (Urbino), ca. 1565–70. Tin-glazed earthenware, H: 43.5 cm (17 3/8 in.). Los Angeles, J. Paul Getty Museum, 84.DE.119.1–2.
Islamic Motifs on Italian Ceramics

PLATE 24

Page from a Koran

Probably Tunisia, ninth century.
Pen, ink, gold paint, and tempera on parchment, each leaf:
14.4 × 20.8 cm (5 13/16 × 8 3/8 in.).
Los Angeles, J. Paul Getty Museum,
83.MM.118.
Pilgrim flask

Syria or Egypt, fifteenth century.
Unglazed fritware, 25.4 × 20 cm
(10 × 7 in.). Los Angeles County
Museum of Art, The Madina
Collection of Islamic Art, gift of
Camilla Chandler Frost; M.2002.1.56.
PLATE 26

*Jar with a Kufic pattern*

Textile fragment
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Plate 28

Plate

Italy (Tuscany), ca. 1500–1520. Tin-glazed earthenware, 
Diam. 23.2 cm (9¼ in.). New York, The Metropolitan Museum 
of Art, The Lehman Collection; 1975.1.1113.
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Bowl with facing birds

Iran, early thirteenth century.
Luster-painted fritware,
DIAM: 16.5 cm (6 1/2 in.). Los Angeles County Museum of Art, The Nasli M. Heeramanek Collection, gift of Joan Palevsky; M.73.5.214.
Textile fragment


Relief-blue jar with harpies and birds

Probably the workshop of Piero di Mazzeo (b. 1377), Italy (Florence or possibly Siena), ca. 1420–30.
Tin-glazed earthenware,
The Albarello

PLATE 32

Albarello
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Albarello
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PLATE 34

Albarello
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PLATE 35

Jar with foliate decoration

Italy (Montelupo), mid-fifteenth century. Tin-glazed earthenware, H: 18.6 cm (7 3/8 in.). Los Angeles, J. Paul Getty Museum, 84.DE.100.
Plate 36

Jar with a woman and geese

Italy (Deruta or Montelupo), early sixteenth century. Tin-glazed earthenware, H: 24.8 cm (9¾ in.). Los Angeles, J. Paul Getty Museum, 84.DE.112.2.
The Influence of Porcelain

Plate 37

Blue-and-white bowl with flowers

China, Ming dynasty, Xuande mark and period (1426–1435).
Porcelain, DIAM: 20.7 cm (8¼ in.).
Sunrider International, Chen Art Gallery.
Footed dish

Turkey (Iznik), mid-sixteenth century. Underglaze-painted fritware, DIAM: 33 cm (13 in.). Los Angeles County Museum of Art, The Edward Binney, 3rd, Collection of Turkish Art at the Los Angeles County Museum of Art; m.85.237.82.
PLATE 39

Blue-and-white dish with a merchant ship

Italy (Cafaggiolo), ca. 1510.
Tin-glazed earthenware,
DIAM: 24.3 cm (9 ³/₄ in.).
Los Angeles, J. Paul
Getty Museum, 84.DE.109.
PLATE 40

*Pierced flower vase*  
(made for the Italian market)

Turkey (Iznik), mid- to late sixteenth century. Underglaze-painted fritware, 13.3 × 15.2 cm (5⅛ × 6 in.).  
Los Angeles County Museum of Art,  
The Madina Collection of Islamic Art, gift of Camilla Chandler Frost;  
M.2002.1.16.
Tile

Turkey (Iznik), ca. 1580—90. Underglaze-painted fritware, 28.6 × 30.5 cm (11 ¼ × 12 in.). Los Angeles County Museum of Art, Purchased with funds provided by Phil Berg; M.2000.31.
Pilgrim flask

Italy (Florence), 1580s. Soft-paste porcelain, H: 26.4 cm (10⅜ in.).
Los Angeles, J. Paul Getty Museum, 86.DE.630.
AABBASID A dynasty of rulers based in Baghdad that claimed descent from Abbas (d. 653), the uncle of the Prophet Muhammad, and ruled the Islamic empire from 750 to 1258.

AL-ANDALUS The Arabic name for Andalusia, the area of Spain that came under Muslim rule from 711 to 1492. In the eleventh century, when Christians began to reconquer the Iberian Peninsula, the term referred to the southernmost region that remained under Muslim rule. It may mean “country of the Vandals” since this Germanic people invaded Spain at the end of Roman rule in the fifth century.

ASSYRIA A kingdom of northern Mesopotamia that became one of the great empires of the ancient Middle East from the fourteenth to the seventh century B.C.

AVERROISM Teachings of the later Middle Ages and Renaissance that were inspired by the Muslim philosopher Averroës (1126–1198), whose commentaries on Aristotle's works emphasized the superiority of reason and philosophy over knowledge founded on faith.

AYYUBID A dynasty founded by Saladin (1137/38–1191)—a Muslim military hero of Kurdish origin—that ruled Egypt and Syria from 1169 to 1250, displacing the Fatimids.

BYZANTIUM The eastern portion of the Roman Empire that survived after the disintegration of the western portion and dates from the foundation of Constantinople in 330 until its capture by the Ottomans in 1453.

CALIPH A ruler of the Muslim community. The term derives from the Arabic khalifa, meaning “deputy or successor” to the Prophet Muhammad.

CRUSADES The military expeditions undertaken by the Western Christian authorities against Muslim powers in the eleventh, twelfth, and thirteenth centuries in order to take control of Jerusalem and other places associated with the earthly life of Jesus Christ.

FATIMIDS A dynasty that ruled in North Africa and then Egypt and Syria from 909 to 1171. The dynasty took its name from Fatimah (ca. 605–633), daughter of the Prophet Muhammad, from whom Fatimids claimed descent.

HADITH Source of religious and moral guidance, it is an account of the traditions or sayings of Muhammad and his companions.

ILKHANID A dynasty of Mongol origin that ruled large parts of western Asia, including Iran, Iraq, Khorasan, the Caucasus, and parts of Asia Minor from 1256 to 1335, bringing to an end the Abbasid caliphate. Genghis Khan’s grandson, Hülegü (ca. 1217–1265), led the conquest and assumed the title Il-Khan, meaning “lesser” Khan (or Mongol ruler), subordinate to the “great” Khan, who ruled in China.

ISLAM Arabic word meaning “submission” (to God); it is the name for the religion founded under the leadership of the Prophet Muhammad. The term also denotes the Muslim community.
Koran Also spelled Qur'an, it is the holy book of Islam and is regarded as the word of God as revealed to the Prophet Muhammad. Its name derives from Arabic for “recitation.”

Levant From the French lever, “to rise,” as in sunrise, it denotes the direction east and historically means the countries along the eastern shores of the Mediterranean, specifically Syria, Palestine, and the coastlands of Asia Minor.

Mamluk From the Arabic word for “slave”; a member of one of the armies of slaves that gained political control and ruled Egypt and Syria under the Ayyubid sultanate from 1250 to 1517.

Mesopotamia The area that lies literally “between the [Tigris and Euphrates] rivers” in southwest Asia, extending from the mountains of eastern Asia Minor to the Persian Gulf.

Mibrab Prayer niche in a mosque or other religious structure, indicating the direction of prayer, toward Mecca.

Mongol A tribe originating in the eastern part of modern-day Mongolia and sharing a language and nomadic tradition. In the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, under the leadership of Genghis Khan (1155/62–1207–1227) and his successors, Mongols controlled an area extending from northern China to Hungary.

Mosque Any place or open area for Muslim communal worship. Outside the mosque is an elevated place where a crier, or muezzin, calls the community to prayer five times a day.

Mughal A Mongol dynasty founded by a descendant of Timur (Tamerlane) (1336–1405) and Genghis Khan, which ruled most of northern India from 1526 to 1858.

Muslim Literally “one who submits,” the term refers to someone who adheres to the faith of Islam.

Nasrid Last of the Muslim dynasties in Spain, it ruled the Kingdom of Granada from 1238 to 1492.

Ottoman An empire created by Turkish tribes that ruled Anatolia and then much of eastern Europe and the Middle East from 1281 to 1984. It was a particularly powerful state during the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries.

Qajar A dynasty founded by a leader of the Turkmens Qajar tribe—a tribe that spoke a particular Turkic language and ruled Iran from 1794 to 1925.

Safavid A dynasty that ruled Iran from 1502 to 1736 and helped create the awareness of a unified nation.

Sasanians Pre-Islamic dynasty that ruled greater Iran from 224 to 651; it was named after Sasan (fl. first century a.d.), an ancestor of the first Sasanid leader.

Sultan Originally referring to a moral or spiritual authority, the term came to denote a political or governmental leader of an Islamic state.

Timurid Central Asian dynasty founded by the sons of Timur (Tamerlane) (1336–1405) that ruled Iran and parts of central Asia from the late fourteenth century to 1506.

Turk Originally, any of various Asian peoples speaking a Turkic language in a region extending from the Black Sea to western China.

Umayyad The first Islamic dynasty that ruled in the Middle East, from 661 to 750. With the overthrow of the Umayyads by the Abbasid dynasty in 750, a member of the Umayyad dynasty fled Damascus for Córdoba to establish a branch of Umayyad rule in Spain from 756 to 1031.
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Students and scholars of the Italian Renaissance easily fall under the spell of its achievements: its self-confident humanism, its groundbreaking scientific innovations, its ravishing artistic production. Yet many of these achievements were made possible only by Italy's proximity to the Islamic world. The Arts of Fire shows just how important Islamic influences were on one particular facet of the Italian Renaissance: the development of Italian luxury ceramics and glass.

Published to coincide with an exhibition at the J. Paul Getty Museum, The Arts of Fire demonstrates how many of the techniques of glass and ceramic production and ornamentation were first developed in the Islamic East between the eighth and twelfth centuries. Essays by volume editor Catherine Hess and by Linda Komaroff and George Saliba demonstrate early modern Europe's debt to the Islamic world and help us better understand the interrelationships of cultures over time.