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FOREWORD

Interest in the Nearer East has increased our desire to know as accurately as is possible the beginnings of the faith and of the various states that have played so important a part in its history. The Arabs themselves have had, from the earliest times, a keen sense for historical tradition and an equally keen desire to preserve that tradition in writing. There is, perhaps, no people of earlier times that has left us so large an amount of documentary evidence as to its beginnings as they have. The evidence, of course, needs sifting and sorting according to the canons of criticism we have learned to employ in all such cases. But, this work cannot be done by Oriental scholars alone, whose time is often taken up largely with the philological and literary examination of the texts that have come down to us. It is, therefore, eminently a part of their duty to render these texts accessible to students of history who are not masters of the Arabic language.

Dr. Hitti has undertaken this task in connection with the record of one of the earliest Arab historians whose work has been preserved. Since its publication in 1866 by Professor de Goeje, al-Balâdhuri's "Fatûh al-Buldân" has been recognized as one of our chief authorities for the period during which the Arab state was in process of formation. This task of translating has not been a simple one: proof is that the attempt has not been made before this. The style of al-Balâdhuri is often cryptic and unintelligible. This is perhaps due to the fact that the work, as it has reached us, is a shortened edition of a much larger one
which, though existent up to the seventeenth century, has not been found in any of the collections of manuscripts to which we have access. In its present form, the work mentions often men and matters that probably were treated of in the longer recension, but of which now we know nothing. Dr. Hitti’s translation is, therefore, in a certain sense also, a commentary and an exposition. As such, I trust that it will be found useful to Orientalists as well as to students of history. His fine sense for the niceties of Arabic expression has often enabled him to get through a thicket that is impenetrable to us Westerners.

Richard Gottheil.

Columbia University, January, 1916.
# CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOREWORD</td>
<td>........................................................................................................</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>Arabic Historiography with Special Reference to al-Balâdhuri.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PART I—ARABIA</td>
<td>........................................................................................................</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER I</td>
<td>Al-Madinah</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER II</td>
<td>The Possessions of the banu-an-Naḍîr</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER III</td>
<td>The Possessions of the banu-Ḵuraizah</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER IV</td>
<td>Khaibar</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER V</td>
<td>Fadak</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER VI</td>
<td>Wâdi-l-Ḵura and Taimâ’</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER VII</td>
<td>Makkah</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER VIII</td>
<td>The Wells of Makkah</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER IX</td>
<td>The Floods in Makkah</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER X</td>
<td>At-Ṭâ’if</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER XI</td>
<td>Tabâlah and Jurash</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER XII</td>
<td>Tabûk, Ailah, Adhruḥ, Maḵna and al-Jarbâ’</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER XIII</td>
<td>Dûmat al-Jandal</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIV</td>
<td>The Capitulation of Najrân</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XV</td>
<td>Al-Yaman</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVI</td>
<td>'Umân</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVII</td>
<td>Al-Bahrain</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVIII</td>
<td>Al-Yamâmah</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIX</td>
<td>The Apostasy of the Arabs in the Caliphate of Abu-Bakr āš-Siddîk</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XX</td>
<td>The Apostasy of the Banu-Walâ'ah and Al-Ash'ath Ibn-Kais Ibn-Ma'dikarib Ibn-Mu'āwiyyah-I-Kindi</td>
<td>153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXI</td>
<td>Al-Aswad al-'Ansi and those in Al-Yaman who Apostatized with him</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>PART II—SYRIA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>The Conquest of Syria</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>The Advance of Khâlid Ibn-Al-Walid on Syria and the Places he Reduced on his Way</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>The Conquest of Buṣra</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>The Battle of Ajnâdîn (or Ajnâdîn)</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>The Battle of Fîhl in the Province of the Jordan</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>The Province of the Jordan</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>The Battle of Marj āš-Šuṭţar</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>The Conquest of Damascus and its Province</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>Ḥimṣ</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONTENTS

CHAPTER X
The Battle of al-Yarmûk ........................................ 207

CHAPTER XI
Palestine ............................................................. 213

CHAPTER XII
The Province of Kinnasrin and the Cities called al-‘Awâšim... 223

CHAPTER XIII
Cyprus ................................................................. 235

CHAPTER XIV
The Samaritans ...................................................... 244

CHAPTER XV
Al-Jarâjimah .......................................................... 246

CHAPTER XVI
The Frontier Fortresses of Syria .................................. 253

PART III—MESOPOTAMIA

CHAPTER I
The Conquest of Mesopotamia [al-Jazirah] .................... 269

CHAPTER II
The Christians of the banu-Taghib ibn-Wâ’il ...................... 284

CHAPTER III
The Fortifications of the Mesopotamian Frontier ............... 287

CHAPTER IV
Arabic made the Language of the State Registers ............. 301

PART IV—ARMENIA

CHAPTER I
The Conquest of Armenia .......................................... 305

PART V—NORTHERN AFRICA

CHAPTER I
The Conquest of Egypt and al-Maghrib [Mauritania] ........... 335

CHAPTER II
The Conquest of Alexandria ....................................... 346

CHAPTER III
The Conquest of Barkah and Zawilah ............................ 352

CHAPTER IV
The Conquest of Tripoli ............................................ 355
# CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter V</th>
<th>The Conquest of Ifrikiyah</th>
<th>356</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chapter VI</td>
<td>The Conquest of Ṭanjah [Tangiers]</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART VI—ANDALUSIA**

| Chapter I | The Conquest of Andalusia | 365 |

**PART VII—ISLANDS IN THE SEA**

| Chapter I | The Conquest of Certain Islands in the Sea | 375 |

**PART VIII—NUBIA**

| Chapter I | Terms made with Nubia | 379 |
| Chapter II | The Ḫarāṭis | 383 |

**PART IX—AL-‘IRĀK AND PERSIA**

| Chapter I | The Conquest of as-Sawād | 387 |
| Chapter II | The Caliphate of abu-Bakr as-Ṣiddīq. |
| Chapter III | The Caliphate of ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb | 401 |
| Chapter IV | The Battle of Kuss an-Nāṭif, or the Battle of al-Jisr | 403 |
| Chapter V | The Battle of Mihrān or an-Nukhailah | 405 |
| Chapter V | The Battle of al-Ḵādisiyah | 409 |
| Chapter VI | The Conquest of al-Madāʾin | 417 |
| Chapter VII | The Battle of Jalūlāʾ | 420 |
| Chapter VIII | The Founding of al-Kūfah | 434 |
CONTENTS

CHAPTER IX
Wāsiṭ al-‘Irāk ......................................................... 449

CHAPTER X
Al-Baṭā‘iḥ ............................................................... 453

CHAPTER XI
Madinat as-Salām .................................................. 457

CHAPTER XII
Arabic made the Language of the Register .................... 465

PART X—MEDIA [AL-JIBĀL]

CHAPTER I
Ḥulwān ................................................................. 469

CHAPTER II
The Conquest of Nihāwand ......................................... 471

CHAPTER III
Ad-Dinawar, Māsabadhān and Mihrijānḵadhaf ................. 478

CHAPTER IV
The Conquest of Hamadhān ......................................... 481

CHAPTER V
Kūmm, Kāshān and Išbahān ...................................... 485

CHAPTER VI
The Death of Yazdajird ibn-Shahriyār ibn-Kisra ibn-Abarwīz ibn-Hurmuz ibn-Anūshirwān ......................... 490

INDEX .................................................................... 495

ERRATA .................................................................. 517
INTRODUCTION

ARABIC HISTORIOGRAPHY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO AL-BALÂDHURI

Although rudimentary elements of historiography can be traced back to the description of the "days", i.e., the battles between the tribes, and such stories as the "Ma'rib dam", "the owners of the elephant" and the digging of the "Zamzam well"—all of pre-Islamic antiquity—, yet Arabic historical writing, in the strict sense of the term, is a branch of Islamic literature. Interest in Muḥammad necessitated the compilation of traditions (Ar. hadîth) relating to the life and campaigns of the Prophet and his companions. The communistic theocracy of warriors under the early caliphs, and particularly 'Umar's system of assigning state pensions to Moslems according to their kinship to the Prophet, gave impetus to the study of genealogy in which even pagan Arabs, who attached special importance to descent, were interested. The elucidation of passages in poetry, one of the earliest and most fully-developed modes of expression among the Arabs, and the necessity of determining persons and places referred to in their religious literature made philologists apply themselves to historical research. The three sources of Arabian history therefore are: (1) pre-Islamic stories, (2) traditions relative to the life and campaigns of the Prophet and the companions, and (3) genealogical lists and poetical compositions. The earliest books of history are: biography (sîrah), books of campaigns (maghazi), and books of genealogy and classes (ansâb wa-ṭabaḵāt).
The domains of theology, law and history in their early rise overlap and are not sharply defined from one another.

No student of Arabic literature fails to be impressed with the fact that the bearers of the torch of learning among the Arabs were in most cases of foreign and particularly of Persian stock. This is to be explained by the fact that public opinion considered it contemptible for the Arab to busy himself with the pursuit of knowledge to the neglect of the noble art of warring. To this general tendency, however, studying anecdotes, transmitting traditions, and remembering stories—especially if they commemorated the deeds of heroes, orators and poets, formed a conspicuous example. We read in al-Mas'ūdi ¹ that Mu'āwiyah the founder of the Umayyad dynasty "devoted one-third of the night to the reading of the news and battles of the Arabs and non-Arabs." We also read in al-Bayān² that al-Mansūr the Abbasid caliph after long hesitation decided to put Abu-Musli al-Khurâsâni to death as a result of hearing an anecdote about Sapor the Persian king. One of the favorite sayings in early Islam was the following found in al-'Ik̄d al-Farîd³: "For kings the study of genealogy and histories, for warriors the study of battles and biography, and for merchants the study of writing and arithmetic."

The chief source from which history writing flowed was tradition (hadīth). It was a pious custom that when Moslems met, one should ask for news (hadīthi), and the other should relate a saying or anecdote of Muḥammad. Each event is related in words of eyewitnesses or contemporaries and transmitted to the final narrator through a chain of intermediate reporters. The authenticity of the reported fact

² al-Jāhiz, vol. ii, pp. 154-155, Cairo, 1313 A. H.
³ Vol. i, p. 198, Cairo, 1293 A. H.
depends on (1) the continuity of the chain and (2) the confidence in each reporter. Thus would al-Baladhuri start his narrative regarding the campaign of the Prophet against Najrân: ¹ "Bakr ibn-al-Haitham related to me, that 'Abdallâh ibn-Ṣâlih related to him, on the authority of al-Laith ibn-Sa'd, on the authority of Yûnus ibn-Ziyâd al-Aâli, on the authority of az-Zuhri, who said. . . ."

This form of historic composition is unique in the case of the Arabs and meets the most essential requirements of modern historiography, namely, "back to the source" and "trace the line of authorities." The system, however, has its drawbacks in that it crystallized the record of events and rendered deviation from the trodden path sacrilegious. Aside from the use of judgment in the choice of isnâd—the series of authorities—the Arabian authors exercised very little power of analysis, criticism, comparison or inference, their golden rule being "what has been once well said need not be told again." ⁵ At-Tabari, in the introduction to his great work, gives expression to that principle, where, conscious of the exception that many of his readers might take to some of his reports, he pleads, ⁶ "We only transmit to others what has been transmitted to us."

Another way of handling traditions is that in which the compiler combines different traditions into one continuous whole, prefixing a statement of his authorities or contenting himself by interrupting the narrative, wherever need may be, by citing the particular authority. While al-Baladhuri is an exponent of the former type and spares no pains in basing every fact, whenever possible, on an independent isnâd, yet he sometimes resorts to the other method as he himself acknowledges in the first lines of his Futûh (p. 15):

¹ Futûh al-Buldân, p. 98.
"I have been informed by certain men learned in tradition, biography and the conquest of the lands whose narratives I transmitted, abridged and pieced up together into one whole," etc. Where his store of authorities fails him, al-Balâdhuri introduces his narratives by "they said," or "he said," or "it was said."

On a geographical basis, Moslem tradition may be grouped into two categories: (1) that of al-Madinah as represented by Muhammad ibn-Ishâk and al-Wâkidî, and (2) that of al-‘Irâk. Notwithstanding the fact that al-Balâdhuri lived in Baghdâd, the tradition of al-Madinah, which for obvious reasons is more reliable than that of al-‘Irâk, forms the basis of his works.

History, whose domain in the time of the first four caliphs was not sharply defined, made its full appearance, and was recorded for the first time under the sway of the Umayyads. According to al-Fihrist,¹ Mu‘âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân ² summoned from al-Yaman one, ‘Abid ibn-Sharryah, and asked him about past events, histories of the Arabs and foreign kings and "ordered that the answers be recorded." This "book of the kings and past events," however, is lost.

The early favorite forms of writing history were biography, genealogy and description of campaigns. The oldest biography is Sîrat Rasûl Allâh written for al-Manṣûr by ibn-Ishâk (d. 151/767). This we do not possess in its original form but only in the recension of ibn-Hishâm (d. 213/834). Genealogy borders on biography and, calling for elucidation, both lead on to history. Genealogical books were first written in the Umayyad period. The genealogical list served as an army roll. The study of tradition

¹ p. 89, ed. Flügel. ² caliph 41-60/661-680.
necessitated the study of the life and character of the reporter on whom the authenticity of the report depends. Thus the reporters were classified into classes (ṭabaḵāt). The most famous writer of ṭabaḵāt was ibn-Saʿd (d. 230), the secretary of al-Wâkî and the compiler of Kitâb aṭ-Ṭabaḵāt al-Kabîr.

Campaigns playing an important rôle in the life of Muḥammad and the early caliphs soon began to assert their claim for special attention and were treated in special books. Besides, the necessity of recording and studying the campaigns arose from the fact that in levying a tax (kharâj) on the conquered land, those in authority were first confronted with the task of determining whether it was taken "by peace", "by capitulation", or "by force", and what the terms in each case were. This gave rise to many books on campaigns (maghâzi), one of the oldest of which is al-Wâkî’s (d. 207/822). Some books were issued treating of the conquest of one city, most of which books have been lost. Given a number of books on the conquest of different cities, the next step would be to compile them into one whole. That step was taken by al-Balâdhuri—the last great historian of Moslem campaigns.

Before the Abbâsid period no books on general history were attempted. In the golden age of the Abbâsid caliphate and under Persian influence, historiography flourished and developed a new form of composition. The translation of such books as the Pehlevi Khuday-Nama by ibn-al-Muḵaffa‘ into the Arabic Kitâb al-Mulûk, coupled with the fact that the Moslem commonwealth was now richly recruited by Persian converts, made the idea of chronological collocation of events, for which the school of al-Madinah had paved the way, develop to the plan of a complete series of annals. The first to undertake such a history was aṭ-Ṭabarî. Thus the historian who at the rise of Islam was a tradition-
istor or reporter becomes now a chronicler. The annalistic method of at-Ṭabarî was followed by ibn-al-Athîr and abu-
l-Fida.

Al-Mas‘ûdi inaugurated a new system of writing history. Instead of grouping events around years as center, he grouped them around kings, dynasties and races. His system was followed among others by ibn-Khaldûn, but did not win so much favor as that of at-Ṭabarî.

The first record we have regarding the life of al-Balâdhûrî is that of al-Fihrist. Other sources for his life are Yâkût, Mu‘jam al-Udabâ’, (pp. 127-132), and al-Kutubi, Fawât al-Wafâyât (Vol. I, pp. 8-9, Bûlâk, 1283). Ibn-Khallikân refers to him on more than one occasion but does not give his biography. From these sources we learn that Aḥmad ibn-Yahya ibn-Jâbir al-Balâdhûrî was a native of Baghdad descended from Persian stock. His grandfather, Jâbir, was secretary to al-Khaṣîb, minister of the finances of Egypt under the caliph ar-Rashid. Aḥmad was an intimate friend of the caliphs al-Mutawakkil and al-Musta‘în and tutored ‘Abdallâh, the brilliant son of al-Mu‘tazz. He distinguished himself in poetry—especially satires, tradition and genealogy. The year 279/892 saw his death, mentally de-
ranged as a result of drinking the juice of the anacardia (balâdhûr); hence his surname al-Balâdhûrî. Besides writing Futûh al-Buldân, which is a digest of a larger work that has been lost, he wrote Ansâb al-Ashrâf, of which only two volumes are preserved, one in the Schefer collection of the

1 p. 113, ed. Flügel.
2 Leiden, 1907, ed. Margoliouth.
3 See also de Goeje’s introduction to al-Balâdhûrî; and Hamaker, Specimen Catalogi, p. 7 seq.
Bibliothèque Nationale, and the other has been autographed by Ahlwardt. Al-Masʿūdi quotes al-Balâdhuri's *ar-Radd-ʿala ash-Shuʿūbiyah* (Refutation of ash-Shuʿūbiyah), which book is also lost.

Of the works of al-Balâdhuri the one that claims our special attention is *Futūḥ al-Buldān*. The book shares with other books of Arabic history the advantage of tracing the report back to the source. Being a synopsis of a larger work, its style is characterized by condensation whereby it gains in conciseness but loses in artistic effect and clearness. Certain passages are mutilated and ambiguous. It is free from exaggeration and the flaws of imagination. Throughout the work the sincere attempt of the author to get to the fact as it happened and to record it as it reached him is felt. The chapters on colonization, soldier's pay, land tax, coinage and the like make it especially valuable.

The book does not escape the weaknesses common to Arabian histories. The "*ipse dixit*" which was a source of strength was also a source of weakness. Once the words supposed to have been uttered by a contemporary or eye-witness are ascertained, the author feels his duty fulfilled, and his function as a historian degenerates into that of a reporter. The personal equation is not only reduced but the personality of the author is almost eliminated, appearing only as a recipient of a tradition. Scarcely an opinion or remark is made. The intellect is not brought to bear on the data.


A weak characteristic of Arabic historians is their utter disregard of the social side of national life. Political history to them is history *par excellence*. It should, however, be said, to the credit of al-Balâdhuri, that while from a modern standpoint he is defective in that respect, still he stands superior to other historians.

As one reads *Futûh al-Buldân* and is struck by the fact that a long chapter is devoted to the "digging of the wells of Makkah";¹ whereas the conquest of Tripoli, Africa, is dismissed with a few words,² he cannot help feeling his sense of proportion suffer. Most of the two chapters entitled "The Founding of al-Kûfah"³ and "of al-Baṣra"⁴ are devoted to the explanation of the names given to baths, canals and castles and only a small part relates to actual colonization.

One might also add that Arabic historians were not very sensitive on the question of indecency of language. In general the language of *Futûh* is clean, with the exception of the case of al-Mughîrah, the governor of al-Baṣra under 'Umar.⁵

According to Hâji Khalîfa,⁶ the first writers on biography and campaigns were, among others, 'Urwâh ibn-az-Zubair (d. 93) and Wahb ibn-Munabbih (d. 114); and we read⁷ that Muḥammad ibn-Musliṁ az-Zuhri wrote a book of campaigns. These works are all lost and the first biography we have is that of ibn-Hîshâm (d. 213) based on ibn-Ishâk (d. 151). Az-Zubair and az-Zuhri, as well as ibn-Ishâk, are among the sources of al-Balâdhuri.

That in most cases the same tradition that underlies the

¹ pp. 77-82. ² pp. 355.
⁷ In vol. v, pp. 154 and 647.
life of Muḥammad according to ibn-Hishâm is made use of by al-Balādhuri in the first chapters of his Futūḥ is made evident by a comparison of the chapters on the banu-an-Naḍîr, Khaibar and Tabûk.¹ Al-Balādhuri makes no mention of ibn-Hishâm but quotes ibn-Iṣḥâk eleven times. The isnād in Balādhuri being longer, it might be conjectured that he did not get his material at first hand from ibn-Iṣḥâk's work but through subsequent reporters. Al-Madâ’ini lived from 135-215 (753-830). He wrote a "history of the caliphs" and a book of "campaigns", both of which are lost and are known only by excerpts through al-Balâdhuri, at-Ṭabarî and Yâḵût. Of these, al-Balâdhuri alone has over forty citations from him.

Al-Wâḵidi (d. 207/823) wrote 28 books recorded in al-Fihrist,² only a few of which have come down to us. Having lived at Baghdâd his works were certainly accessible to al-Balâdhuri, who quotes him on 80 different occasions and more than any other source. Most of the quotations are made through ibn-Saʿd, the secretary of al-Wâḵidi, and one of al-Balâdhuri's teachers. A comparison between the campaigns against banu-an-Naḍîr ³ and banu-Ḵuraizah ⁴ in al-Balâdhuri, and the corresponding ones in al-Wâḵidi's Kitâb al-Maghâṣi,⁵ shows many points of contact but no absolute interdependence.

Ibn-Saʿd (d. 230) being the disciple of al-Wâḵidi and the professor of al-Balâdhuri acted as a connecting link between the two. In his Futūḥ, al-Balâdhuri has 48 citations from him, many of which were communicated by word of mouth and were recorded verbatim by al-Balâdhuri. In his book

¹ Cf. Hishâm, p. 652 and Balâdhuri, p. 34; Hishâm, p. 779 and Balâdhuri, p. 42.
² p. 99.
³ p. 34.
⁴ p. 40.
⁵ pp. 353 and 371, ed. von Kremer, Calcutta, 1856.
PART I

ARABIA
CHAPTER I
AL-MADĪNAH

IN THE NAME OF ALLAH, THE COMPASSIONATE, THE MERCIFUL, WHOSE HELP I SOLICIT!

The Prophet in al-Madinah. Says Aḥmad ibn-Yaḥya ibn-Jābir:—

I have been informed by certain men learned in tradition, biography, and the conquest of the lands, whose narratives I transmitted, abridged and pieced up together into one whole, that when the Messenger of Allah emigrated from Makkah to al-Madinah he was entertained as the guest of Kalthūm ibn-Hidm ibn-Amruʾi-l-Ḵāṣ ibn-al-Ḥarīth ibn-Zaid ibn-ʿUbaid ibn-Umayyah ibn-Zaīd ibn-Mâlik ibn-ʿAuf ibn-ʿAmr ibn-ʿAuf ibn-Mâlik ibn-al-Aus ¹ in Ḫubâʾ.² So much, however, of his discourse was carried on in the home of Saʿd ibn-Khaithamah ibn-al-Ḥārīth ibn-Mâlik of [the tribe of] banu-as-Sâlim ibn-Amruʾi-l-Ḵāṣ ibn-Mâlik ibn-al-Aus that some thought he was the guest of the latter.³

Ḫubâʾ Mosque. Of the Companions of the Prophet, the early Emigrants together with those of the Anṣār ⁴ who had joined him had already built a mosque at Ḫubâʾ to pray in, prayer at that time being directed towards Bait-al-Makdis [Jerusalem]. Now, when the Prophet arrived in

⁴ The Helpers—originally applied to the early converts of al-Madinah.
ością", he led them in prayer in it. That is why the people of остью say that it is the one meant by Allah when he says: "There is a mosque founded from its first day in piety. More worthy that thou enter therein."

Others report that the "mosque founded in piety" is that of the Prophet [in al-Madinah].

_Abū-'Āmir ar-Rāhib_. Ḥafṣ ibn-Musām as-Saffār from "Urwah Ḥafṣ ibn-Musām as-Saffār from "Urwah Ḥafṣ ibn-Musām as-Saffār from "Urwah Ḥafṣ ibn-Musām as-Saffār from "Urwah Ḥafṣ ibn-Musām as-Saffār from "Urwah who gave the following explanation to the text: "There are some who have built a mosque for mischief and for infidelity, and to disunite the 'Believers,' and in expectation of him who, in time past, warred against Allah and his Messenger":—The mosque of остью was built by Sa'd ibn-Khaithamah and its site was owned by Labbāh where she used to tie up her donkey. The dissenters therefore said: "Should we pray on a spot where Labbāh used to tie up her donkey? Never. Rather shall we select for ourselves some other place for prayer until abū-'Āmir comes and leads our service." Now, abū-'Āmir had fled from the face of Allah and his Prophet to Makkah and thence to Syria where he was converted to Christianity. Hence the text revealed by Allah: "There are some who have built a mosque for mischief and for infidelity and to disunite the 'Believers,' and in expectation of him who, in time past, warred against Allah and his Messenger”—referring to abū-'Āmir.

Rauḥ ibn-'Abd-al-Mu'min al-Makrī from Sa'id ibn-Jubair:—Banu-'Amr ibn-'Auf erected a mosque in which the

---

1 Koran, 9:109.

2 The series of authorities introducing a tradition have been cut short throughout the translation, only the first and last authorities being mentioned.


Prophet led them in prayer. This aroused the jealousy of their brothers banu-Ghanm ibn-‘Auf who said, “If we, too, could erect a mosque and invite the Prophet to pray in it as he prayed in our friends’! Abu-‘Āmir, too, may pass here on his way from Syria and lead us in prayer.” Accordingly, they erected a mosque and sent an invitation to the Prophet to come and pray in it. But no sooner had the Prophet got up to start, than the following text was revealed to him: “There are some who have built a mosque for mischief and for infidelity and to disunite the ‘Believers,’ and in expectation of him who, in time past, warred against Allah and his Messenger,” the one meant being abu-‘Āmir, “never set thou foot in it. There is a mosque founded from its first day in piety. More worthy it is that thou enter therein. Therein are men who aspire to purity and Allah loveth the purified. Which of the two is best? He who hath founded his building on the fear of Allah and the desire to please him,” etc., referring to the mosque of Ḥubâ’. 

Muḥammad ibn-Ḥātim ibn-Maimûn from al-Ḫasan:—When the text, “Therein are men who aspire to purity” was revealed, the Prophet communicated with those who prayed in the mosque of Ḥubâ’ asking about the meaning of the purity mentioned in connection with their name, and they replied, “We, Prophet of Allah, wash after voiding excrement and urine.”

“The mosque founded in piety.” Muḥammad ibn-Ḥātim from ‘Āmir:—Some of the people of Ḥubâ’ used to wash with water the place of exit of the excrement.¹ Hence the text, “They aspire to purity.”

‘Amr ibn-Muḥammad an-Nākīd and Aḥmad ibn-Hishâm from Sahl ibn-Sa‘d:—Two men in the time of the Prophet disagreed regarding the “mosque founded in piety,” the one

contending it was the Prophet’s mosque, the other, the Kubâ’ mosque. They finally came and asked the Prophet to which he replied, “It is this mosque of mine.”

‘Amr ibn-Muḥammad from ibn-‘Umar:—The “mosque founded in piety” is the mosque of the Prophet.

Muḥammad ibn-Ḥātim from Ubai ibn-Ka‘b:—In answer to a question directed to the Prophet regarding the “mosque founded in piety,” the Prophet replied: “It is this my mosque.”

Hudbah ibn-Khâlid from Sa‘īd ibn-al-Musaiyih who said regarding the “mosque founded in piety” that the great mosque of the Prophet is the one meant.

A tradition to the same effect is reported by ‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallāh al-Madīnī on the authority of Khārijah ibn-Zāid ibn-Thâbit and by ‘Affân on the authority of Sa‘īd ibn-al-Musaiyih, and by Muḥammad ibn-Ḥâtim ibn-Maimūn as-Sāmin on the authority of ‘Abd-ar-Rahmān ibn-abi-Sa‘īd al-Khudri’s father.

Kubâ’ mosque was later enlarged and added to. When ‘Abdallāh ibn-‘Umar entered it for prayer, he always turned his face to the “polished column”; and that was the place where the Prophet always prayed.

The Prophet arrives at al-Madinah. The Prophet spent in Kubâ’ Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday, riding away on Friday for al-Madinah. Friday prayer he performed in a mosque erected by banu-Sâlim ibn-‘Auf ibn-‘Amr ibn-‘Auf ibn-al-Khazraj, that being the first Friday on which he led public prayer. Then the Prophet passed by the houses of the Anṣâr one by one and each one of them offered to entertain him. He kept his way, however, until

1 Baidâwi, Anwâr al-Tanzîl, vol. i, p. 401.
2 Geschichte der Stadt Medina, p. 65.
he arrived at the site of his mosque in al-Madinah where his camel knelt.\(^1\) He dismounted. Then came Abu-Aiyūb Khâlid ibn-Zaid \(...\)\(^2\) ibn-al-Khazraj who took off the saddle of the Prophet's camel. The Prophet took up his abode at Abu-Aiyūb's.\(^8\) Certain Khazrajis invited the Prophet, but he retorted, “Man is where his camel’s saddle is.” He remained at Abu-Aiyūb's for seven months. He took up his residence there after [Friday-] prayer, one month since his departure [from Makkah]. The Ansâr presented to the Prophet all the unoccupied parts of their lands, saying, “O Prophet of Allah, take our own dwellings if thou wish.” But he said, “No!”

**The mosque of the Prophet.** Abu-Umâmah \(^4\) As’ad ibn-Zurârah ibn-‘Udas ibn-‘Ubaid ibn-Tha‘labah ibn-Ghanm ibn-Mâlik ibn-an-Najjâr, Naqîb-in-chief,\(^6\) used to conduct Friday prayers for his Moslem followers in a mosque of his own in which the Prophet, too, used to pray. The Prophet, thereafter, requested As’ad to sell him a piece of land contiguous to this mosque. The land was in the hands of As’ad but belonged to two orphans in his custody whose names were Sahl and Suhaib sons of Râfi‘ ibn-abî-‘Amr ibn-‘A’idh ibn-Tha‘labah ibn-Ghanm.\(^6\) As’ad proposed to offer it to the Prophet and to pay its price to the orphans himself. But the Prophet refused and paid for its price ten dinârs,\(^7\)

---

\(^1\) Ibn-Sa‘d, Kitâb at-Tabakât, vol. i, p. 160.

\(^2\) In this and in other cases to come, the genealogical table has been cut short in the translation.

\(^3\) Ad-Diyyârbakri, al-Khami‘, vol. i, p. 386.

\(^4\) *Geschichte der Stadt Medina*, p. 60.

\(^5\) Naqîb is the superintendent of a people who takes cognizance of their actions and is responsible for them; ibn-Ḥajar, vol. i, pp. 61-63.

\(^6\) Ibn-Hishâm, p. 503.

\(^7\) A gold coin worth about ten shillings.
which money he secured from Abu-Bakr as-Siddîq. By the Prophet's orders, bricks were prepared and used for building the mosque. Its foundations were laid with stones; its roof was covered with palm branches; and its columns were made of trunks of trees. When Abu-Bakr became caliph he introduced no changes in the mosque. When Umar was made caliph he enlarged it and asked al-‘Abbās ibn-‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib to sell his house that he might add it to the mosque. Al-‘Abbās offered the house as a gift to Allah and the Moslems; and Umar added it to the mosque.

In his caliphate, Uthmān ibn-‘Affān reconstructed the mosque with stone and gypsum, making its columns of stone, and its roof of teak-wood. Uthmān also added to the mosque and carried to it small pebbles from al-‘Aṣik. The first caliph to plant in it makṣūrah was Marwān ibn-al-Ḥakam ibn-abi-l-‘Āsi ibn-Umaiyyah who made his makṣūrah of carved stones. No change was thereafter introduced in the mosque until al-Walīd ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān succeeded his father. This al-Walīd wrote to his ‘āmil [lieutenant, governor] in al-Madinah, ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azīz, ordering him to destroy the mosque and reconstruct it. Meanwhile, he forwarded to him money, mosaic, marble, and eighty Greek and Coptic artisans from Syria and Egypt. Accordingly, the ‘āmil rebuilt it and added to it, entrusting the supervision of its work and the expenditure for it to Ṣâliḥ ibn-Kaisān, a freedman of Su‘da, a freedmaid of the family of Mu‘aḍṭīb ibn-abi-Fāṭimah ad-Dausi. This took place in the year 87, some say 88. After this, no caliph

1 Al-Hamadhāni, Kitāb al-Buldān, p. 24.
2 Hamadhāni, Kitāb al-Buldān, p. 25.
4 Geschichte der Stadt Medina, p. 73.
made changes in the mosque down to the time of al-Mahdi's caliphate.

According to al-Wâkîdî, al-Mahdi sent 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Shahîb al-Ghassâni and another\(^1\) descended from 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz to al-Madînah to reconstruct its mosque and increase it in size. The governor of al-Madinah was at that time Ja'far ibn-Sulaimân ibn-'Alî. It took these two one year to carry out the undertaking. One hundred cubits [Ar. \textit{dhirât}] were added to the rear, making its length 300 cubits and its width 200.

According to 'Ali ibn-Muhammad al-Madâ‘ini, al-Mahdi appointed Ja'far ibn-Sulaimân to the governorship of Makkah, al-Madinah and al-Yamâmah. Ja'far enlarged the mosques of Makkah and al-Madinah, the work in the latter being completed in the year 162. Al-Mahdi had visited Makkah before the pilgrimage season, in the year \([1\text{]60}, and ordered that the \textit{makṣūrah} be supplanted and that it be put on the same level with the mosque.

In the year 246, caliph Ja'far al-Mutawakkil ordered that the mosque of al-Madinah be repaired. Much mosaic was subsequently carried to it; and the year 247 marked the completion of the work.

'Amr ibn-Ḥammâd ibn-abi-Ḥanîfah from 'Ā'ishah:—The Prophet said: "All districts or cities were conquered by force, but al-Madinah was conquered by the Koran."

\textit{The inviolability of al-Madinah}. Shaibân ibn-abi-Shaibah-I-Ubullî from al-Ḥasan:—The Prophet said: "Every prophet can make a place inviolable, so I have made al-Madinah inviolable as Abraham had made Makkah. Between its two \textit{Ḥarrah}s,\(^2\) its herbage shall not be cut, its trees

---

\(^1\) 'Abdallâh ibn-'Āsim; De Goeje's edition of Balâdhuri, p. 7, note b.

\(^2\) The word means tracts of black stones, i. e., the volcanic region in the vicinity of al-Madinah.
shall not be felled,¹ nor should weapons be carried in it for fight. He, therefore, who does that or harbors in his home one who has done so, may be cursed of Allah and his angels and all men. From him no repentance or ransom shall be accepted.”

Rauḥ ibn-ʿAbd-al-Muʿmin al-Baṣrī-l-Maḵrī from abu-Hurairah:—The Prophet said: “My Lord, Abraham was thy servant and messenger, and so am I thy servant and messenger. And I have made inviolable all that lies between its two stony tracts as Abraham had made Makkah inviolable.” Abu-Hurairah used to say: “By him who holds my life in his hands, even if I should find the deer in Batḥān² I would not care for them.”

Shaibān ibn-abi-Shaibah from Muḥammad ibn-Ziyād’s grandfather (a freedman of ‘Uthmān ibn-Maẓ‘ūn and the holder of a piece of land belonging to the Maẓ‘ūn family in Ḥarrak) who said:—“ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb with his robe on his head would sometimes call on me at midnight, take a seat and converse with me. I would then bring him cucumbers and vegetables. But one day he said: ‘Go not: I have made thee superintendent of this place. Let no one beat a tree with a stick [that its leaves may fall] or cut off a tree (referring to the trees of al-Madinah); and if thou find anyone doing it, take away his rope and ax.’ When I asked him, ‘Shall I take his robe?’ he answered, ‘No’.”

Abu-Masʿūd ibn-al-Ḳattāt from Jaʿfar ibn-Muḥammad’s father:—The Prophet declared inviolable all trees growing between Uḥd and ‘Air, allowing [only] the driver of the water-carrying camel to cut al-ghada³ trees and use them for repairing his ploughs and carts.

² Also Baṭḥān or Buṭḥān; see al-Hamdānī, Ṣifat Jastārat al-ʿArab, p. 124, line 9.
³ “Of the genus Euphorbia with a woody stem, often 5 or 6 ft. in height, and innumerable round green twigs”—Palgrave’s Travels, vol. i, p. 38.
Hima ar-Rabadhah. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Zaid ibn-Aslam's father who said:—"I heard 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb say to one whom he placed in charge of Hima² ar-Rabadhah and whose name Bakr forgot, 'Stretch not thy wing' to any Moslem. Beware the cry of the oppressed, for it is answered. Admit [to the Hima] the owner of the small herd of camels and sheep but keep off the cattle of ibn-'Affân and ibn-'Auf; for if their cattle should perish they resort to sowing, whereas if the cattle of this poor man perish, he comes to me crying, "O, commander of the believers! O, commander of the believers!" To offer grass is easier for the Moslems than to offer money in gold and silver.⁴ By Allah, this is their land for which they fought in pre-Islamic time and which was included in their terms when they became Moslem. They would, therefore, certainly feel that I oppress them; and had it not been for the cattle [secured by declaring a place Hima] to be used in the cause of Allah, I would never make a part of a people's land Hima'."

Hima an-Nakî'. Al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm abu-'Ubaid from ibn-'Umar:—The Prophet declared an-Nakî' hima and reserved it for the Moslem cavalry.⁵ Abu-'Ubaid told me that it is an-Nakî' [and not al-Baki', as some have it] and that the handakûk plant [sweet trefoil] grows in it.

Mus'ab ibn-'Abdallah az-Zubairi from Sa'd ibn-abi-

¹ Whose name was Hunâi; Bukhâri, vol. ii, p. 263.
² Reservation, pasture land reserved for the public use of a community or tribe to the exclusion of everyone else. Rabadhah was a district and a village 5 miles from al-Madinah.
⁴ i. e., it is easier to let the owner of the little herd feed his flock on the Hima than to give him money for sustaining his children.
Wakkaš:—The latter once found a young servant felling trees in the *hima* [reserved land]. He beat the servant and took his ax. The servant’s mistress, or a woman of his kin, went to ‘Umar and accused Sa’d. ‘Umar ordered that the ax and the clothes be returned. But Sa’d refused saying, “I will not give up spoils given me by the Prophet whom I heard say, ‘Whomever ye find cutting trees in the *hima*, ye should beat and deprive of what he has.’” From the ax Sa’d made a shovel which he used in his property to the end of his life.

**Al-Ghabah.** Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Madā’ini from ibn-Ju‘dubah and Abu-Ma’ṣhar:—When the Prophet was at Żuraib (probably on his return from the expedition of dhu-Ḳard) banu-Ḥārithah of the *Anṣār* said to him referring to the site of al-Ghabah [forest], “This is the place for our camels to go loose, and for our sheep to graze, and for our women to go out.” The Prophet then ordered that he who had cut off a tree should replace it by planting a small shoot. Thus was al-Ghabah planted with trees.

**Wādī-Mahzûr.** ‘Abd-al-ʿA’la ibn-Ḥammâd an-Narsi from Abu-Mâlik ibn-Ṭa’labah’s father:—The Prophet decreed in the case of Wādī-Mahzûr¹ that the water be shut off on the surface until it rises to the two ankles, at which it should be conducted to the other place, thus preventing the owner of the higher property from holding the water from the owner of the lower one.

Ishâk ibn-abi-Isrâ’il from ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-al-Ḥârith:—The Prophet decreed in the case of the Mahzûr torrent that the owner of the higher property should hold the water until it rises to the two ankles, at which he must let it go to the holder of the lower land.

¹ One of the valleys of Madinah, see al-Bakri, *Kitâb Mu’jam Mašta’jam*, vol. ii, p. 562.
‘Amr ibn-Ḥammād ibn-abi-Ḥanifah from ‘Abbālāh ibn-abi-Bakr ibn-Muḥammad ibn-‘Amr ibn-Ḥazm al-Anṣāri’s father: — The Prophet decreed in the case of Mahzūr torrent and Mudhainib 1 that the water be shut in until it reaches the two ankles, then the upper supplies the lower. According to Mālik, the Prophet passed a similar judgment in the case of Baṭiḥān torrent.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad al-‘Ijli from abu-Mālik ibn-Tha‘labah ibn-abi-Mālik’s father: — The Prophet was called upon to decide in the case of Mahzūr, the valley of banu-Ḵuраizah, upon which he decreed that water rising above the two ankles cannot be shut in by the higher owner from the lower owner.

Al-Ḥusain from Ja‘far ibn-Muḥammad’s father: — The Prophet decreed in the case of Mahzūr torrent that the owners of palm trees have right to the ankle-high water, sowers have right to the water as high as the two straps of the sandal, after which the water is sent to the lower owners.

Ḥafs ibn-‘Umar ad-Dūrī from ‘Urwa: — The Prophet said: “‘Baṭiḥān is one of the channels of Paradise.””

‘Ali ibn-Muḥammad al-Madā‘ini abu-l-Ḥasan from Ju‘-dubah and others: — In the caliphate of ‘Uṯmān, al-Madinah was threatened with destruction by the Mahzūr torrent, which necessitated the erection of a dam by ‘Uṯmān. Abu-l-Ḥasan added that in the year 156 the torrent brought a terrifying volume of water. The governor at that time. ‘Abd-ās-Ṣamad ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abbālāh ibn-al-‘Abbās, sent ‘U바dallāh ibn-abi-Salimah-l-‘Umri who, with a big crowd, started after the afternoon prayer to see the torrent which had, by that time, covered the sadaḵah-lands 2 of the Prophet. An old woman from al-‘Aliyah-

1 “Mudhainib” in al-Bakri, pp. 518, 562.
2 Mawardi, al-Aḥkām as-Sulṭānīyah, p. 292. Sadaḵah is a portion which a man gives from his property to the poor by way of propitiation. It is primarily supererogatory, whereas sakāt is obligatory.
region\textsuperscript{1} pointed out to them a spot to which she had often heard people refer. There they dug and the water found exit through which it passed to \textit{Wādī-Baṭḥān}. From Mahzūr to Mudhainīb is a water-course which empties its water in it.

\textit{The Prophet calls al-Madinah Taybah.} Muḥammad ibn-Abān al-Wāṣīti from al-Ḥasan:\textemdash The Prophet invoked Allah’s blessing on al-Madinah and its inhabitants calling it \textit{Taybah}.\textsuperscript{2}

\textit{Abu-‘Umar Ḥafṣ ibn-‘Umar ad-Dūri from ‘A’ishah, the mother of the believers:}---When the Prophet emigrated to al-Madinah, a disease spread among the Moslems in it. Among those taken seriously ill were abu-Bakr, Bilāl and ‘Āmir ibn-Fuhairah. During his illness, abu-Bakr often repeated the following verse:\textsuperscript{3}

``One in the morning may lie amidst his family
and death may be nearer to him than his sandal’s strap.’’\textsuperscript{4}

Bilāl often repeated the following:

``O, would I that I spent a night
at Fakh where \textit{idhkhir} and \textit{jāfīl}\textsuperscript{5} plants surround me!
And would that I some day visit Majannah-water to drink it,
and see Shāmah and Ṭafīl [Mts.]!’’

\textit{‘Āmir ibn-Fuhairah} used to repeat the following:

``I have found death before I tasted it,
verily the death of the coward comes from above.\textsuperscript{6}
[Man struggles according to his own ability,]
like the bull that protects his skin with his horn.’’\textsuperscript{7}

\textsuperscript{1} Yākūt, \textit{s.v. ‘Aliyah}.

\textsuperscript{2} Al-Hamadhānī, \textit{Kitāb al-Buldān}, p. 23; \textit{Geschichte der Stadt Medina}, p. 10.

\textsuperscript{3} Hishām, p. 414; Azraḥī, \textit{Akkbār Makkah}, p. 383.

\textsuperscript{4} Cf. Freytag, \textit{Arabum Proverbia}, vol. i, p. 492, no. 63.

\textsuperscript{5} \textit{Idhkhir} a small plant of sweet smell used for roofing houses. \textit{Jāfīl} a weak plant with which the interstices of houses are stopped up.

\textsuperscript{6} Freytag, \textit{Proverbia}, vol. i, p. 7, no. 10.

\textsuperscript{7} Az-Zamakhshāri, \textit{al-Fā’ik}, vol. ii, pp. 5-6.
This was reported to the Prophet and he prayed: "Make al-Madinah, O Allah, wholesome for us as thou hast made Makkah for us, and bless for us its ṣân and mudd [grain measures]!"

The water-course of al-Ḥarrah. Al-Walid ibn-Ṣâliḥ from ʿUrwah:—One of the Anṣâr had a dispute with az-Zubair ibn-al-ʿAuwâm regarding the water-courses that run from al-Ḥarrah to the plain. The Prophet said, "Zubair, use the water, then turn it to thy neighbor." 2

Al-ʿAḵīk as fie. Ḥusain ibn-ʿAli ibn-al-Aswad al-ʿIjli from Hishâm ibn-ʿUrwah’s father:—As ʿUmar was parcelling al-ʿAḵīk into fiefs, he came to a part of it regarding which he remarked, "I never gave such a land in fief." To this Khauwât ibn-Jubair replied, "Give it out to me." And ʿUmar did.

Al-Ḥusain from Hishâm ibn-ʿUrwah’s father:—ʿUmar gave al-ʿAḵīk in fief from its upper to its lower end.

Al-Ḥusain from Hishâm ibn-ʿUrwah:—ʿUmar accompanied by az-Zubair set out to distribute fiefs, and as ʿUmar was giving them out, he passed by al-ʿAḵīk and said: "Where are the seekers of fiefs? I have not yet today passed by a more fertile land." Az-Zubair said: "Give it out to me." And ʿUmar did.

A similar tradition was communicated by al-Ḥusain from Hishâm ibn-ʿUrwah’s father.

Khalaf ibn-Hishâm al-Bazzâr from Hishâm ibn-ʿUrwah’s father who said:—"ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb gave out as fief to Khauwât ibn-Jubair al-Anṣâri a piece of dead land. This we bought from him."

A similar tradition was communicated to me by al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad on the authority of Hishâm’s father.

2 One tradition occurring here and defining certain terms in the previous tradition has been omitted in the translation. Evidently it is a gloss.
Other fiefs. Al-Ḥusain from ‘Urwaḥ.—Abu-Bakr gave out as fief to az-Zubair the land lying between al-Jurf and Ḳanāḥ.\(^2\) Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Madāʾini told me that Ṭā’if stretching from al-Arḥadīyah and Ḳarkarat al-Kudr and thence it comes to Sudd-Maʿūnah from which it runs by the end of al-Ḳadūm and ends at the head of Ḳubūr ash-Shuhadāʾ [martyrs’ tombs] at ʿUḥud.

Abu-ʿUbaid al-Ḳāsim ibn-Sallām from certain learned men.—The Prophet gave out as fief to Bilāl ibn-al-Ḥārith al-Muzani certain mines\(^3\) in the Furuʾ district.

‘Amr an-Nākid and ibn-Sahm al-Anṭāki from abu-‘Ikrimah the freedman of Bilāl ibn-al-Ḥārith al-Muzani:—The Prophet gave out as fief to Bilāl a piece of land having a mountain and mines. The sons of Bilāl sold a part of it to ‘Umar ibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAzīz in which one mineral (or he may have said two) appeared. The sons of Bilāl thereupon said: “What we sold thee is not the minerals but the tillable land.” Then they brought forth a statement written for them by the Prophet on a palm leaf which ‘Umar kissed and with which he rubbed his eye saying to his steward: “Find out what the income and the expenses are, retain what thou hast expended, and give them back the balance.”

Abu-ʿUbaid from Bilāl ibn-al-Ḥārith:—The Prophet gave out all al-ʿAqīq as fief to Bilāl.

The zakāt on the metals. Musʿab az-Zubairi from Mālik ibn-Anas:—The Prophet assigned as fief to Bilāl ibn-al-Ḥārith certain mines in the Furuʾ district. On this, all our learned men agree. Nor do I know of any disagree-

---

\(^1\) Called ‘Arṣat al-Baṣal in al-Waḳīḍi’s days, see Waḳīḍi, tr. Wellhausen, pp. 103-104.

\(^2\) A valley near Mount Thaib, one day’s journey from Madinah.

\(^3\) The mines of al-Ḳabaliyah, see al-Muṭarrizi, Kitāb al-Mughrib, vol. ii, p. 108.
ment among our followers regarding the fact that in the case of mines the *sakât* is one-fourth of the tithe. It is reported that az-Zuhri often repeated that in the case of mines *sakât* is binding. It is moreover reported that he said that the *sakât* is one-fifth. That is what the people of al-‘Irâk say who at present impose on the mines of al-Furû‘, Najrân, dhu-l-Warwah, Wâdi-l-Ḳura and others one-fifth in accordance with the view of Sufyân ath-Thauri, abu-Ḥanîfah, abu-Yûsuf and the school of al-‘Irâk.¹

*Ali’s* *jiefs.* Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Ja‘far ibn-Muḥammad:—The Prophet assigned to ‘Ali as *siefe* four pieces of land, i. e., the two Fuṣairs, Bi‘r-Ḳais, and ash-Shajarah.²

A similar tradition was communicated to me by al-Ḥusain on the authority of Ja‘far ibn-Muḥammad.

‘Amr ibn-Muḥammad an-Nâkid from Ja‘far ibn-Muḥammad’s father:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb assigned to ‘Ali as *siefe* Yanbu’,³ and another piece was added to it.

A similar tradition was communicated to me by al-Ḥusain on the authority of Ja‘far ibn-Muḥammad’s father.

The well of ‘Urwh, the reservoir of ‘Amr and the canal of Banât-Nâ’ilah, etc. The next tradition was communicated to me by one in whom I trust on the authority of Mus‘ab ibn‘Abdallâh az-Zubairi:—The well of ‘Urwh ibn-az-Zubair is named after ‘Urwh ibn-az-Zubair; the ‘Amr reservoir is named after ‘Amr ibn-az-Zubair; the canal of Banât-Nâ’ilah is named after children of Nâ’ilah, daughter of al-Farâfiṣah-l-Kalbiyah and wife of ‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân (‘Uthmân had taken possession of this canal and conveyed

³ Yâkût, vol. iv, pp. 1038-1039.
its water to a piece of land at al-‘Arṣah which he cultivated and worked); the land of Abu-Hurairah is ascribed to Abu-Hurairah ad-Dausi; and as-Sahwah in Mt. Juhainah is the ṣadakāh of ABDallah ibn-‘Abbâs.

Kasr-Nafis. It is said that the Nafis castle is ascribed to Nafis at-Tâjir [the merchant] ibn-Muhammad ibn-Zaid ibn-Ubaid ibn-al-Mu‘alla ibn-Laudîn ibn-Ḥârîthah ibn-Zaid of al-Khazraj, the allies of bu Sulaym ibn-‘Abd-Ḥârîthah of al-Khazraj. This castle stands in Ḥarrat-Wâkim at al-Madinah. ‘Ubaid ibn-al-Mu‘alla died as martyr in the battle of Uhud. Others say it is Nafis ibn-Muhammad ibn-Zaid ibn-Ubaid ibn-Murrâh, Mu‘alla’s freedman. This ‘Ubaid and his father were among the captives of ‘Ain at-Tamr. ‘Ubaid ibn-Murrâh died in the battles of al-Ḥarrah. His surname was Abu-‘Abdallâh.

‘A’ishah well. The ‘A’ishah well is ascribed to ‘A’ishah ibn-Numâir ibn-Wâkif, ‘A’ishah being a man’s name of al-Aus.


The Sûk in al-Madinah. Muhammad ibn-Sa’d from ‘Atâ ibn-Yasâr, the freedman of Maimûnah, daughter of al-Ḥârîth ibn-Ḥazn ibn-Bujair of al-Hilâl tribe:—When the Prophet wanted to found a market in al-Madinah he said: “This is your market and no kharâj will be assessed on it.”

The ‘Arîm dam. Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi from his grandfather and Sharkî ibn-al-Kuṭâmi-al-Kalbi.—When Nebuchadnezzar destroyed Jerusalem,2 expelled of the

1 See Yâkût, al-Mushtarak, p. 159.
Israelites those whom he expelled, and carried away those whom he carried into captivity, some Israelites fled away to al-Ḥijāz and settled in Wādi-l-Ḵura, Taima’, and Yathrib. At that time there lived in Yathrib a tribe of Jurhum and a remnant of al-ʿAmālik who lived on date-planting and wheat-growing. Among these, the Israelites settled and associated with them, and kept increasing in number, as Jurhum and al-ʿAmālik were decreasing, until the former drove the latter from Yathrib and established their authority over it, taking possession of their cultivated and pasture lands. This was their condition for a long time. Then it came to pass that those of the people of al-Yaman descended from Saba ibn-Yashjub ibn-Yaʿrub ibn-Ḵaḥṭān were filled with the spirit of oppression and tyranny and ignored the grace of their God in regards to the fertility and luxury he bestowed on them. Consequently, Allah created rats that began to bore the dam, which stood between two mountains and had pipes which the people could open when they wished and get as much water as they wanted. This is the ‘Arim dam.\(^1\) The rats went on working on the dam until it was broken through. Thus did Allah let their gardens sink and their trees disappear, changing them into khamt,\(^2\) tamarisk and some few jujube trees.\(^3\)

_The wanderings of al-Azd._ Seeing what happened, Muzaikīyah i. e. ‘Amr ibn-ʿĀmir . . . ibn-Amruʾi-l-Ḵais . . . ibn-Yaʿrub ibn-Ḵaḥṭān sold all the property and cattle he possessed, summoned the Azd and started together to the land of the tribe of ‘Akk. There they settled. ‘Amr remarked: “To seek herbage before knowledge is weakness.” The tribe of ‘Akk were distressed at the fall of their best

\(^1\) _Koran, 34:15._
\(^2\) A tree with bitter fruit.
\(^3\) _GGA, 1863, p. 1348._
lands into the hands of al-Azd and asked the latter to evacuate the land. Thereupon a one-eyed and deaf man of al-Azd, named Jidh', made an attack on a 'Akk party and destroyed them. This resulted in a war between al-Azd and 'Akk. The Azd, after being defeated, returned and charged, in reference to which Jidh' composed the following verse:

"We are the descendants of Mâzin—there is no doubt, the Ghassân of Ghassân versus the 'Akk of 'Akk, and they shall see whether we or they are the weaker."

(Previous to this al-Azd had settled near a spring called Ghassân. Hence their name, Ghassân.)\(^1\) Al-Azd now set off until they arrived in the land of Ḥakam ibn-Sa'd al-'Ashîrah . . . ibn Ya'rub ibn-Kalîtân. There they fought and won the victory over Ḥakam. But it occurred to them to move, and they did, leaving a small band behind. The next place they came to was Najrân. Here they met resistance from the inhabitants of the place but finally won the victory. After settling in Najrân they departed with the exception of a few who had special reasons to stay. Al-Azd then arrived in Makkah which was populated with the Jurhum tribe. They made their abode in Baṭn-Marr. Tha'labah the son of 'Amr Muzaïkiya demanded of Jurhum that the plain of Makkah be given to his people. This request having been refused, a battle ensued in which Tha'labah got control of the plain. Tha'labah and his people, however, realized after this that the place was unwholesome, and found it hard to make their living in it; so they dispersed, one band of them leaving for 'Umân, another for as-Sarât, another for al-Anbâr and al-Ḥîrah, another for Syria and one band chose Makkah for abode. This made Jidh' say: "Every time ye go to a place, ye al-Azd, some of you

\(^1\) Near Sudd-Ma'rib in al-Yaman; Hishâm, p. 6.
detach ¹ themselves from the rest. Ye are on the point of becoming the tail among the Arabs.” That is why those who settled in Makkah were called Khuzâ‘ah.² Then came Tha‘labah ibn-‘Amr Muzaiğiya with his son and followers to Yathrib whose people were Jews. They settled outside the city where they grew and increased in number and became so strong as to drive the Jews from Yathrib. Thus they came to live inside the city and the Jews outside of it.

Al-Aus and al-Khazraj. Al-Aus and al-Khazraj are the sons of Ḥârithah ibn-Tha‘labah² ibn-‘Amr Muzaiğiya ibn-‘Āmir, and their mother was Ḳailah, daughter of al-Arkām. Some say she was a Ghassanide of al-Azd tribe, others say she was of ‘Udhrakah tribe.

In pre-Islamic times, the Aus and the Khazraj saw many battles which made them trained in warfare. They became so used to fighting that their valor spread far, their courage became well known, their bravery was often cited and their name became a source of terror in the hearts of the Arabs, who feared them. Their possessions were well guarded against encroachment, and their neighbor was well protected; and all that was preparatory to the fact that Allah wanted to have them support his Prophet and to honor them by lending him aid.

It is reported that at the arrival of the Prophet in al-Madinah he wrote an agreement and made a covenant with the Jews of Yathrib.⁴ The Jews of Ḳainuḵâ‘, however, were the first to violate the covenant, and the Prophet expelled them from al-Madinah. The first land that the Prophet conquered was that of the banu-an-Naḍir.

¹ Ar. inkhasa‘a, see an-Nihâyah under khasa‘a.
² Azraḵi, p. 55.
³ Hishâm, p. 140; Geschichte der Stadt Medîna, p. 56.
⁴ One of the names of Madînah.
CHAPTER II

THE POSSESSIONS OF THE BANU-AN-NADIR

Banu-an-Nadîr besieged. The Prophet once accompanied by Abu-Bakr, 'Umar and Usaid ibn-Huđair came to the banu-an-Nadîr who were Jews and solicited their aid for raising the bloodwit of two men of the banu-Kilâb ibn-Rabi'ah who had made peace with him and who were killed by 'Amr ibn-Umaiyyah ad-Ḍamri.¹ The Jews intended to drop a stone on him but the Prophet left them and sent them word ordering them to evacuate his city [Yathrib] because of their perfidy and violation of covenant. The Jews refused to comply, and announced hostility.² Upon this the Prophet marched and besieged them for fifteen days, at the close of which they capitulated, agreeing to evacuate his town and to be entitled to whatever the camels could carry with the exception of coats of mail and armor, the Prophet taking their land, palm-trees, coats of mail and other arms. Thus did all the possessions of the banu-an-Nadîr become the property of the Prophet. The Prophet used to sow their land planted with palm-trees and thus provided for his family and wives for one year. With what could not be consumed, he bought horses and arms.

Fiefs assigned. Of the land of banu-an-Nadîr, the Prophet gave fiefs to Abu-Bakr, 'Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-'Auf, abu-

¹ Hishâm, p. 652; Ibn-Sa'd, vol. ii², p. 40; Al-Wâkidî, al-Maghâzi, p. 353.
² Al-Ya'kıubi, Ta'rîkh, vol. ii, p. 49.
Dujānah¹ Simāk ibn-Kharashah as-Sā‘īdi and others. This occurred in the year 4 of the Hegira.

*Mukhairyik.* According to al-Wâkidî, one of the banu-an-Naḍîr, Mukhairyik, was a learned rabbi and he believed in the Prophet and offered him all that he possessed, which was seven palm-gardens surrounded with walls. This the Prophet set apart as *ṣadākah*-land. The seven gardens are: al-Mithab, as-Ṣâfiyah, ad-Dalâl, Ḥusna,² Barḵah, al-A‘wâf, Mashrabaṭ umm-Ibrâhim,³ Ibrâhim being the son of the Prophet and his mother being Mâriyah, the Copt.

*Other versions of the conquest.* Al-Ḵâsim ibn-Sallâm from az-Zuhri:—The attack on the banu-an-Naḍîr, the Jews, took place six months after the battle of Uhud. The Prophet pressed the siege until they agreed to evacuate the city stipulating that they take with them whatever utensils their camels could carry with the exclusion of the coats of mail. Hence the text revealed by Allah: "All that is in the heavens and all that is on the earth praiseth Allah! And He is the mighty, the wise! He it is who caused the unbelievers among the people of the Book", etc.,⁴ to "put the wicked to shame."

The next tradition was communicated to us by al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad on the authority of Muḥammad ibn-Ishâk⁵ regarding the above text which Allah hath revealed to his Messenger:—Those referred to are banu-an-Naḍîr. By "Ye pressed not towards it with horse or camel. But Allah giveth his Messengers authority over whomsoever He will-eth ",⁶ Allah showed that it is wholly assigned to the

---

¹ Ya‘kûbi, vol. ii, p. 50.
² "Al-Ḥasna" in Geschichte der Stadt Medīna, p. 150.
³ Wâkidî, tr. Wellhausen, p. 166.
⁴ Koran, 59: 1.
⁵ Hishām, pp. 654 and 655.
Prophet and to no one else. The Prophet then parcelled out the land among the *Emigrants*. But when Sahl ibn-Ḥunaif and abu-Dujānah mentioned their poverty, he gave them a share. As for the text: “The spoil taken from the people of the villages and assigned by Allah to his Messenger, it belongeth to Allah and to the Messenger,” etc., to the end of the text, it means that Allah made another division among the Moslems.

According to a tradition I received from Muḥammad ibn-Ḥātim as-Samīn on the authority of ibn-ʿUmar, the Prophet burnt and cut down the palm-trees of the banu-an-Naḍîr in reference to which Ḥassān ibn-Thâbit says:

“The leading men of the banu-Luʿai would have regarded it easy, to bring about the great fire at Buwairah.”

According to ibn-Juraij, it was in this connection that Allah revealed the text: “Whatever palm-trees ye have cut down or left standing on their stems was by Allah’s permission and to put the wicked to shame.”

A similar tradition was communicated to us by abu-ʿUbaid on the authority of ibn-ʿUmar.

Abu-ʿAmr ash-Shaibānī, among other reporters, holds that the above-quoted verse was composed by abu-Sufyān ibn-al-Ḥārith ibn-ʿAbd-al-Muṭṭalib and that its wording is as follows:

“The leading men of the banu-Luʿai would have regarded it hard, to bring about the great conflagration of Buwairah.”

(According to other reports it is Buwailah [and not Buwairah]). Ḥassān ibn-Thâbit in answer to that wrote the following:

---

1 Al-Bakri, under Buwairah; Ibn-Hīšām, pp. 712-713.
"May Allah perpetuate the conflagration
and make the fire rage in its parts.
They were given the Book but they lost it.
Thus with respect to the Taurât they are blind and erring." ¹

The Prophet's special share. 'Amr ibn-Muḥammad an-Nākid from Mālik ibn-Aus ibn-al-Ḥadathân:—It was stated by 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb that the possessions of the banu-an-Naḍīr were assigned by Allah to the Prophet, the Moslems having not "pressed toward them with horse or camel." Thus they were wholly his property. The Prophet used to spend their annual income on his family and invest what was left in horses and arms to be used in the cause of Allah.

Hishām ibn-'Ammār ad-Dimashki from Mālik ibn-Aus ibn-al-Ḥadathân:—'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb told him [Mālik] that the Prophet had three special shares which he appropriated for himself; namely, the possessions of the banu-an-Naḍīr, Khaibar and Fadak. The possessions of the banu-an-Naḍīr he reserved for use in case of misfortunes that might befall him. Those of Fadak were reserved for wayfarers. Those of Khaibar he divided into three portions, two of which he divided among the Moslems and the third he reserved for his and his family's expenses, distributing what was left after the expenses to the needy among the Emigrants.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from az-Zuhri:—The possessions of the banu-an-Naḍīr were among the things that Allah assigned to his Prophet. The Moslems "pressed not towards them with horse or camel." They were therefore wholly the property of the Prophet; and he divided them among the Emigrants, giving nothing of them to the Anṣār with the exception of two persons who were needy, i. e., Simāk ibn-Kharashah abu-Dujānah, and Sahl ibn-Ḥunaif.

¹ Cf. Ḥassān ibn-Thābit, Diwān, p. 46.
Al-Ḥusain from al-Kalbi:—When the Prophet secured the possessions of the banu-an-Naḍir, who were the first he made to evacuate the land, Allah said: "He it is who caused the unbelievers among the 'People of the Book' to quit their homes and join those who had evacuated previously." Thus these possessions were among the spoils towards which the Moslems "pressed not with horse or camel." The Prophet then said to the Anṣār: "Your brethren, the Emigrants have no possessions. If ye therefore desire, I will divide these [newly acquired possessions] and what ye already possess among you and the Emigrants. But if ye desire, keep ye your possessions and I will divide these [newly acquired ones] among the Emigrants alone." To this the Anṣār replied: "Divide these among them and give them from our possessions whatever thou wishest." Because of this the text was revealed: "They prefer them before themselves, though poverty be their own lot." Thereupon abu-Bakr said: "May Allah give you the good recompense, ye Anṣārs your case and ours is like that referred to by al-Ghanawi where he said,

1 May Allah recompense in our behalf the Ja'far,
who when our feet slipped in al-Waṭ'atain and we fell,
took ungrudging care of us
although our mothers would have murmured if they were in their place.
The rich are many and every hungry man
goes to places kept warm and sheltered."

The fief of as-Zubair. Al-Ḥusain from Hishām ibn-
'Urwah's father:—The Prophet assigned as fief to as-Zubair
ibn-'Auwâm a piece of the banu-an-Naḍir's land planted
with palm-trees.

Al-Ḥusain from Hishām ibn-'Urwah's father:—The Pro-

1 Koran, 59:2. 2 Kor., 59:9.
phet gave out of the land of the banu-an-Naḍîr in fief and he gave a fief to az-Zubair.

Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd,¹ the secretary of al-Wâkīḍi, from Anas ibn-‘Iyâḍ, and ‘Abdallâh ibn-Numair from Hishâm ibn-‘Urwah’s father:—The Prophet assigned as fief to az-Ẓubair a piece of the banu-an-Naḍîr’s land planted with palm-trees. Abu-Bakr assigned to az-Zubair as fief al-Jurf. Anas in his tradition says the land was dead. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Numair says in his tradition that ‘Umar gave az-Zubair as fief all of al-‘Aḵîk.

¹ Ibn-Saʿd, vol. ii², p. 41.
CHAPTER III

THE POSSESSIONS OF THE BANU-KURAIZAH

The subjection of the banu-Kuraizah. The Prophet besieged banu-Kuraizah for a few days in dhu-l-Ka‘dah, and a few days in dhu-l-Ḥijjah, of the year 5, the whole time being fifteen days.¹ These banu-Kuraizah were among those who had assisted in the fight against the Prophet in the battle of al-Khandak [the moat] also called battle of al-Aḥzāb [the confederates]. Finally they surrendered and he installed Sa‘d ibn-Mu‘ādh al-Ausi as their ruler. The latter decreed that every adult ² be executed, that women and children be carried as captives and that all that they possessed be divided among the Moslems.³ The Prophet approved of the decree saying: “What thou hast decreed is in accordance with the decree of Allah and his Prophet.”

Gabriel appears to the Prophet. ‘Abd-al-Wâhid ibn-Ghiyâth from ‘Ā’ishah:—When the Prophet was done with the battle of al-Aḥzāb, he went into the wash-room in order to wash. There Gabriel appeared to him and said, “Muḥammad, thou hast laid down thy arms; but we have not yet. Hasten against the banu-Kuraizah.” ‘Ā’ishah upon this said to the Prophet: “O Prophet of Allah, I have seen him [Gabriel] through a hole in the door with the dust around his head!”⁴

² Literally “every one on whose beard the razor could be used.”
³ Wâkidî, Maghâzi, p. 373.
The adults executed. 'Abd-al-Wâhid ibn-Ghiyâth from Kathir ibn-as-Sâ’ib:—Banu-Ḳuraizah were presented to the Prophet with the result that those of them who had attained to puberty ¹ were executed and those who had not attained to puberty were spared.

Huyai ibn-Akhtab put to death with his son. Wahb ibn-Ba’kiyah from al-Ḥasan:—Huyai ibn-Akhtab made a covenant with the Prophet agreeing never to assist anyone against him and mentioned Allah as surety for the covenant. When he and his son were brought before the Prophet on the day of Ḳuraizah, the Prophet remarked: "The one mentioned as surety has done his part." By the order of the Prophet the heads of the man and his son were cut off.²

The division of the booty. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Ma’amär who said:—I once asked az-Zuhri whether the banu-Ḳuraizah had any lands, to which he replied directly, "The Prophet divided it among the Moslems into different shares."

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-‘Abbâs:—The Prophet divided the possessions of the banu-Ḳuraizah and Khaibar among the Moslems.³

The conquest according to az-Zuhri. Abu-‘Ubad al-Ḳāsim ibn-Sallâm from az-Zuhri:—The Prophet pressed the siege against banu-Ḳuraizah until they surrendered to Sa‘d ibn-Mu‘âdh who decreed that their men be executed, their children be taken as captives and their possessions be divided. Accordingly, a certain number of men were put to death on that day.

¹ Literally every one who "had the dreams and hair" that mark adolescence.
² Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 1494.
³ Wâṣîdî, Wellhausen, pp. 220-221.
CHAPTER IV

KHAIBAR

The capitulation of Khaibar. The Prophet invaded Khaibar in the year 7. Its people contended with him, delayed him and resisted the Moslems. So the Prophet besieged them for about one month. They then capitulated on the terms that their blood would not be shed, and their children be spared, provided that they evacuate the land, which he permitted the Moslems to take together with the gold and silver and arms—except what was on the person of the banu-Khaibar, and that they keep nothing secret from the Prophet. They then told the Prophet, “We have special experience in cultivation and planting palm-trees,” and asked to be allowed to remain in the land. The Prophet granted them their request and allowed them one-half of the fruits and grains produced saying: “I shall keep you settled so long as Allah keeps you.”

‘Umar expels the people of Khaibar. During the caliphate of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khattab, a pestilence spread among them and they mistreated the Moslems. ‘Umar, thereupon, made them evacuate the land, dividing what they had among those of the Moslems who already had a share in it.

The terms made. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Muḥammad ibn-Ishāk who said:—“I once asked ibn-Shihāb about Khaibar and he told me that he was informed that the

---

1 Yāḵūt, vol. ii, p. 503.
Prophet captured it by force after a fight, and that it was included among the spoils which Allah assigned to his Prophet. The Prophet took its fifth and divided the land among the Moslems. Those of its people who surrendered did so on condition that they leave the land; but the Prophet asked them to enter into a treaty, which they did.”

_Huyai hides a bag full of money._ ‘Abd-al-A’la ibn-Ḥammâd an-Narsi from ibn-‘Umar:—The Prophet came to the people of Khaibar and fought them until he drove them to their castle and captured their land and palm-trees. They then capitulated on the terms that their blood be not shed, that they evacuate the land and be entitled to all that their camels could carry, and that the Prophet be entitled to the gold and silver and arms. The Prophet made it a condition for them that they hold nothing secret or hidden from him, otherwise they are no more within his protection or covenant. They, however, hid a leather bag in which were kept money and jewels belonging to Huyai ibn-Akhtab. This bag Ḥuyai had brought to Khaibar on the occasion of the expulsion of the banu-an-Naḍîr. The Prophet asked Sa’yah ibn-‘Amr saying, “What has become of the bag which Ḥuyai brought from the banu-an-Naḍîr?” To this Sa’yah answered, “Wars and expenses have emptied it.” But the Prophet remarked, “It was a short time and a big sum of money. Moreover, Ḥuyai was killed before that.” The Prophet then turned Sa’yah over to az-Zubair and the latter put him to the torture. At last Sa’yah said: “I saw Ḥuyai roaming about in a deserted place yonder.” Search was made in the deserted place and the bag was found. The Prophet, thereupon, put the two sons of Abu-l-Ḫuṭaiḵ to death, one of whom was the husband of Saﬁyah, the daugh-

---

¹ Hishâm, p. 779.  
² Ibn-Sa’d, vol. ii², pp. 79-80.  
³ who became one of the wives of Muḥammad, see an-Nawâwi, _Tahdhib al-Asmâ_, pp. 846-847.
ter of Ḥuyai ibn-Akḥtab. Moreover, he captivated their children and women and divided their possessions because of their breach of faith.

‘Abdallâh ibn-Rawâḥah estimates the produce. The Prophet also wanted to expel the banu-Khaibar from the land but they said, “Let us stay in the land to repair it and manage it.” The Prophet and his companions having no slaves to manage it, and they having no time to do it themselves, he gave them Khaibar on condition that they have one-half of every palm-tree or plant...¹ as it occurred to the Prophet. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Rawâḥah used to come every year and estimate by conjecture the quantity of dates upon the palm-trees and rent them one-half. Banu-Khaibar accused him to the Prophet charging him with partiality in estimation and offered to bribe him. To this he [‘Abdallâh] replied saying, “Do ye enemies of Allah mean to give me unlawful money?”² By Allah, I have been sent to you by one whom of all men I love best. As for you, I hate you more than monkeys and pigs. My hatred to you and love to him, however, shall never stand in the way of my being just to you.” They then said, “Through this [justice] have heavens and earth been established!”

The green spot in the eye of Ṣafiyyah, the Prophet’s wife. Once the Prophet, noticing a green spot in the eye of Ṣafiyyah, daughter of Ḥuyai, asked her about it, and she said, “As my head lay in the lap of ibn-abi-l-Ḥukaik, I saw in my sleep as if a moon fell in my lap. When I told him of what I saw he gave me a blow saying, ‘Art thou wishing to have the king of Yathrib?’”³ Ṣafiyyah added, “Of all men the Prophet was the one I disliked most, for he had killed

¹ Text not clear.
² Kor., 5:67 and 68.
³ Ṣabari, vol. i, p. 1582.
my husband, father and brother. But he kept on saying, 'Thy father excited the Arabs to unite against me and he did this and that,' until all hatred was gone away from me."

The Prophet used to give annually each of his wives 80 camel-loads of dates and 80 loads of barley from Khaibar.

_Umar divides Khaibar._ It was stated by Nāfi' that during the caliphate of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, the people of Khaibar mistreated the Moslems and deceived them and broke the hands of the son of 'Umar by hurling him from the roof of a house. Consequently, 'Umar divided the land among those of the people of Ḥudaibiyah who had taken part in the battle of Khaibar.

_The forts of Khaibar._ Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from 'Abdallāh ibn-abi-Bakr ibn-Muḥammad ibn-'Amr ibn-Ḥazm:—The Prophet besieged the people of Khaibar in their two fortresses—al-Waṭīḥ and Sulālim. When they felt that their destruction was sure, they requested the Prophet to let them off and spare their lives. This he did. The Prophet had already taken possession of all their property including ash-Shikķ, an-Naṭāt and al-Katibah together with all their forts except what was in the above-mentioned two.

"_Speedy victory._" The following tradition regarding the text: "And rewarded them with a speedy victory" was transmitted by al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad on the authority of 'Abd-ar-Raḥmān ibn-abi-Laila:—Khaibar and another are meant who could not be subdued by the Persians and Greeks.

_The division of Khaibar._ 'Amr an-Nākid from Bushāir ibn-Yaṣār:—The Prophet divided Khaibar into thirty-six shares and each share into a hundred lots. One-half of the shares he reserved for himself to be used in case of

---

1 Hishâm, p. 780.
2 Six fortresses mentioned by Yaʾkübi, vol. ii, p. 56.
3 Kor., 48: 18.
accident or what might befall him, and the other half he distributed among the Moslems. According to this, the Prophet's share included ash-Shiకk with an-Na USART and whatever was included within them. Among the lands turned into wakf 1 were al-Katibah and Sulālim. When the Prophet laid his hands on these possessions, he found that he had not enough 'āmils 2 for the land. He therefore turned it over to the Jews on condition that they use the land and keep only one-half of its produce. This arrangement lasted throughout the life of the Prophet and abu-Bakr. But when 'Umar was made caliph, and as the money became abundant in the lands of the Moslems, and the Moslems became numerous enough to cultivate the land, 'Umar expelled the Jews to Syria and divided the property among the Moslems.

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from az-Zuhri:—When the Prophet conquered Khaibar the fifth share of it [reserved for himself] was al-Katibah; as for ash-Shiķk, an-Na USART, Sulālim and al-Waṭīḥ they were given to the Moslems. The Prophet left the land in the hands of the Jews on condition that they give him one-half of the produce. Thus the part of the produce assigned by Allah to the Moslems was divided among the Moslems until the time of 'Umar who divided the land itself among them according to their shares.

Abu-'Ubaid from Maimūn ibn-Mihrān:—The Prophet besieged the inhabitants of Khaibar between twenty and thirty days.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswād from Bushair ibn-Yasār:—The Prophet divided Khaibar into thirty-six shares—eighteen for the Prophet to meet the expenses of accidents, visitors,

1 Unalienable legacy to the Moslem general community.

2 Governors whose chief function it was to collect taxes and conquer more lands.
and delegates, and the remaining eighteen shares to be divided each among one hundred men.

Al-Ḥusain from Bushair ibn-Yasār:—Khaibar was divided into thirty-six shares, each one of which was subdivided into one hundred lots. Eighteen of these shares were divided among the Moslems including the Prophet, who had in addition eighteen shares to meet the expenses of visitors and delegates and accidents that might befall him.

‘Abdallāh ibn-Rawāhah estimates the produce. ‘Amr an-Nākid and al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-‘Umar:—The Prophet sent ibn-Rawāhah to Khaibar who made a conjectural estimation of the palm-trees and gave the people their choice to accept or refuse, to which they replied: "This is justice; and upon justice have heaven and earth been established."

The sons of abu-l-Ḥukaiḳ put to death. Ishāk ibn-abi-Isrā'il from an inhabitant of al-Madinah:—The Prophet made terms with the sons of abu-l-Ḥukaiḳ stipulating that they conceal no treasure. But they did conceal; and the Prophet considered it lawful to shed their blood.

Abu-‘Ubaid from Maimūn ibn-Mihrān:—The people of Khaibar were promised security on their lives and children on condition that the Prophet get all that was in the fort. In that fort were the members of a family strongly opposed to the Prophet. To them the Prophet said: "I am aware of your enmity to Allah and to his Prophet, but this is not to hold me from granting you what I granted your companions. Ye, however, have promised me that if ye conceal a thing your blood will become lawful to me. What has become of your utensils?" "They were all"—they replied, "used up during the fight." The Prophet then gave word to

---

his Companions to go to the place where the utensils were. The vessels were disinterred and the Prophet struck off their heads.

'Abdallâh ibn-Rawâhah. 'Amr an-Nâkid and Muḥammad ibn-as-Šabbâh from ibn-'Abbâs:—The Prophet turned Khaibar over with its soil and palm-trees to its inhabitants allowing them half of the produce.

Muḥammad ibn-as-Šabbâh from ash-Sha'bi:—The Prophet turned Khaibar over to its inhabitants for one-half of the produce and sent 'Abdallâh ibn-Rawâhah to estimate the dates (or perhaps he said the palm-trees). This he estimated and divided into two halves and asked them to choose whichever one they wanted. Upon this they said, "It is by this that heavens and earth have been established."

A certain friend of abu-Yûsuf from Anas:—'Abdallâh ibn-Rawâhah said to the people of Khaibar, "If ye wish, I will estimate and let you choose; otherwise, ye estimate and let me choose." Upon this they said, "It is by this that heavens and earth have been established."

The division of Khaibar. Al-Ḳâsim ibn-Sallâm from az-Zuhri:—The Prophet took Khaibar by force as a result of a fight; and after taking away one-fifth, he divided the remaining four-fifths among the Moslems.

The Jews of Khaibar expelled. 'Abd-al-A'la ibn-Ḥammâd an-Narsi from ibn-Shihâb:—The Prophet said: "There can be no two religions at the same time in the Arabian peninsula." ¹ 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb investigated until he found it certain and assured that the Prophet had said, "There can be no two religions at the same time in the Arabian peninsula." Accordingly, he expelled the Jews of Khaibar.

The Prophet gives his share. Al-Walid ibn-Ṣāliḥ from al-Wâkidi's sheikhs:—The Prophet assigned his share in Khaibar as a means of subsistence, bestowing on each one of his wives 80 camel-loads of dates and 20 loads of barley; on his uncle al-ʿAbbâs ibn-ʿAbd-al-Muṭṭalib 200 loads; and on abu-Bakr, ʿUmar, al-Ḥassân, al-Ḥusain and others including the banu-al-Muṭṭalib ibn-ʿAbd-Manâf a certain number of loads. To this end, he drew up for them a document.

Al-Walid from Aflaḥ ibn-Ḥumaid's father who said:—"I was made by ʿUmar ibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAzīz governor of al-Karibah; and we used to give the heirs of the recipients of the Prophet's bestowals their due, those heirs being numbered and recorded by us.

ʿUmar divides Khaibar. Muḥammad ibn-Ḥātim as-Samin from Nāfi':—The Prophet turned Khaibar over to the hands of its people on condition that they give him one-half of the produce. Thus they held it during the life of the Prophet, abu-Bakr and the early part of the caliphate of ʿUmar. Then ʿAbdallâḥ ibn-ʿUmar visited them for some purpose and they attacked him in the night. He [ʿUmar], therefore, turned them out of Khaibar and divided it among those of the Moslems who were present [in its battle] giving a share to the Prophet's wives. To the latter he said, "Whichever of you likes to have the fruit can have it, and whichever likes the estate can have it, and whatever ye choose will be yours and your heirs' after you."

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-ʿAbbâs:—Khaibar was divided into 1580 shares. The Moslems were 1580 men, of whom 1540 had taken part in the battle of al-Ḥudaibiyah and forty were with Ja'far ibn-abi-Ṭâlib in Abyssinia.

The fief of az-Zubair. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-ʿUrwah's father:—The Prophet gave as fief to az-Zubair 29 lands in Khaibar planted with palm- and other trees.
CHAPTER V

FADAK

The capitulation of Fadak. As the Prophet departed from Khaibar, he sent to the people of Fadak Muḥaiyisah ibn-Masʿūd al-Anṣârī inviting them to Islam. Their chief was one of their number named Yūshaʿ ibn-Nūn the Jew. They made terms with the Prophet, agreeing to give up one-half of the land with its soil. The Prophet accepted. Thus one-half was assigned wholly to the Prophet because the Moslems “pressed not against it with horse or camel.” The Prophet used to spend the income on the wayfarers.

ʿUmar expels the inhabitants. The inhabitants of Fadak remained in it until ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb became caliph and expelled the Jews of al-Ḥijāz. On that occasion he sent abu-l-Ḥaitham Mālik ibn-at-Taḥiyân (some say an-Naiyihân), Sahl ibn-abi-Ḥaithamah al-Anṣârī, and Zaid ibn-Thābit al-Anṣârī, who estimated justly the value of one-half of its soil. This value ʿUmar paid to the Jews and expelled them to Syria.

Saʿīd ibn-Sulaimān from Yaḥya ibn-Saʿīd:—The people of Fadak made terms with the Prophet agreeing to give one-half of the land and the palm-trees. When ʿUmar expelled them, he sent some one to estimate their share in land and palm-trees and he gave them their value.

1 Yākūt, vol. iii, pp. 856-857.
2 Not only the produce.
3 Athīr, vol. ii, p. 171; Masʿūdi, Kitāb at-Tanbih, p. 258.
Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from az-Zuhri:—'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb gave the people of Fadak the price of one-half of their land and palm-trees.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from az-Zuhri, 'Abdallāh ibn-abi-Bakr and certain sons of Muḥammad ibn-Maslamah:—Only a remnant of the Khaibar was spared. They betook themselves to the fortifications and asked the Prophet to save their lives and let them go off. The people of Fadak having heard of that surrendered on the same conditions.¹ Thus Fadak became the special share of the Prophet, for the Moslems "pressed not against it with horse and camel."

A similar tradition was transmitted to us by al-Ḥusain from 'Abdallāh ibn-abi-Bakr, with one addition, that among those who were intermediary between the two parties was Muḥaiyiṣah ibn-Mas‘ūd.

Al-Ḥusain from 'Umar:—The Prophet had three portions appropriated to himself exclusive of his men: the land of banu-an-Naḍīr which was unalienable and to meet the expenses of the accidents that might befall him, Khaibar which he divided into three parts, and Fadak the income of which was reserved for wayfarers.

The wives of the Prophet demand an inheritance. 'Abdallāh ibn-Ṣāliḥ al-Ṭlī from 'Urwah ibn-az-Zubair:—The wives of the Prophet delegated 'Uṯmān ibn-'Affān to ask abu-Bakr to give them their inheritance from the share of the Prophet in Khaibar and Fadak. But 'Ā'ishah said to them, "Do ye not fear Allah? and have ye not heard the Prophet say—'What we leave as ṣadakah cannot be inherited?' This property therefore is the property of the people of Muḥammad to meet the expenses of the accidents and guests, and when I die it goes to the one in authority after me." On hearing this, the other wives desisted from their request.

¹ Diyārbakri, vol. ii, pp. 57 and 64.
A similar tradition was communicated to us by Aḥmad ibn-Ibrāhīm ad-Daurākī on the authority of ‘Urwah.

_The banu-Umaiyyah confiscate Fadak._ Ibāhīm ibn-Muḥammad ibn-‘Ar‘arāh from al-Kalbi:—The banu-Umaiyyah confiscated Fadak and violated the law of the Prophet in regard to it. But when ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azīz became caliph, he reinstated the land in its old condition.

_Fāṭimah demands Fadak._ ‘Abdallāh ibn-Maimūn al-Mukattib from Mālik ibn-Ja‘wanah’s father:—Fāṭimah said to abu-Bakr, “The Prophet assigned to me Fadak; thou shouldst therefore give it to me.” ¹ ‘Ali ibn-Abi-Ṭālib acted as a witness in her favor. But abu-Bakr asked for another witness; and umm-Aiman testified in her favor. Abu-Bakr, thereupon, said “Thou, daughter of Allah’s Propheth, knowest that no evidence can be accepted unless it is rendered by two men or a man and two women.” Upon this she departed.

Rauḥ al-Karābisi from one supposed by Rauḥ to have been Ja‘far ibn-Muḥammad:—Fāṭimah said to abu-Bakr, “Give me Fadak, the Prophet has assigned it to me.” Abu-Bakr called for evidence and she presented umm-Aiman and Rabāḥ, the Prophet’s freedman, both of whom testified in her favor. But abu-Bakr said, “In such a case no evidence could be accepted unless it be rendered by a man and two women.”

Ibn-‘Ā‘ishah at-Tāimi from umm-Hānī:—Fāṭimah, the Prophet’s daughter, called on abu-Bakr and asked: “Who will inherit thee when thou art dead?” to which he replied, “My son and family.” “Why then,” asked she, “hast thou—and not we—inherited the Prophet’s possessions?” “Daughter of Allah’s Prophet,” answered abu-Bakr, “by Allah, I have inherited from thy father neither gold nor

¹ Buhārī, vol. iii, p. 131.
silver, neither this nor that." "But," said she, "thou hast inherited our share in Khaibar and our *sadakah* in Fadak."
To this Abu-Bakr replied, "Daughter of Allah's Prophet, I heard Allah's Prophet say, 'This is but something assigned by Allah as a means of subsistence to use during my life; on my death it should be turned over to the Moslems.'"

Uthman ibn-abi-Shaibah from Mughirah:—'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz once summoned the banu-Umaiyyah and addressed them saying: "Fadak belonged to the Prophet and by the income from it he met his own expenses, supplied the needy among the banu-Hashim and helped the unmarried among them to marry. Fatimah asked him to bestow it on her, but he refused. After the Prophet's death, Abu-Bakr used it in the same way. And so did 'Umar when he became caliph. And now I am going to put it back to its original use; and ye will be my witnesses."

*Kura 'Arabiyah*. The following tradition was transmitted to us by Suraij ibn-Yunus from az-Zuhri in explanation of the text, "Against which ye pressed not with horse or camel": 1—The places referred to are Kura 'Arabiyah 2 that belong to the Prophet, i.e., Fadak, and this and that.

*Umar expels the Jews of Fadak*. Abu-'Ubad from az-Zuhri or someone else:—'Umar expelled the Jews of Khaibar and they evacuated the place. As for the Jews of Fadak, they retained half the fruits [produced] and half the soil, in accordance with the conditions on which they made terms with the Prophet. 'Umar paid them the price of half the products and half the soil in gold, silver and pack-saddles, and then expelled them.

*The khutbah of 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz*. 'Amr an-Nakid from Abu-Burkan:—The following is taken from the

---

1 Kor., 59: 6.

speech of 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz on his installment in the caliphate: "Fadak was among the spoils that Allah assigned the Prophet and the 'Moslems pressed not against it with horse and camel.' When Fâtimah asked him to give her the land, he said, 'Thou hast nothing to demand from me, and I have nothing to give thee.' The Prophet used to spend the income from it on wayfarers. Then came Abu-Bakr, 'Umar,'Uthmân and 'Ali who put it to the same use as the Prophet. But when Mu'âwiyyah became caliph he gave it as fief to Marwân ibn-al-Ḥakam; and the latter bestowed it on my father and on 'Abd-al-Malîk. Thus it was handed down to al-Walîd, Sulaimân and myself. When al-Walîd became caliph, I asked him to give me his share, which he did. In like manner, I asked Sulaimân for his share and he gave it. Thus I brought it into one whole again. And nothing that I possess is dearer to me than it! Be ye therefore my witnesses, that I have restored it to what it was."

Al-Ma'mûn gives Fadak to the descendants of Fâtimah. 

In the year 210, the commander of the believers al-Ma'mûn 'Abdallâh ibn-Hârûn ar-Rashîd ordered that Fadak be delivered to the children of Fâtimah. To that effect he wrote to his 'âmil in al-Madinah, Kûtham ibn-Ja'far, saying, "Greetings!—The commander of the believers, in his position in the religion of Allah and as caliph [successor] of his Prophet and a near relative to him, has the first right to enforce the Prophet's regulations and carry out his orders and deliver to him, whom the Prophet granted something or gave it as ṣadakâh, the thing granted or given as such. In Allah alone does the success as well as the strength of the commander of the believers lie, and to do what makes him win His favor is his [the commander's] chief desire.

The Prophet had given Fâtimah, his daughter, Fadak and bestowed it as ṣadakâh on her. That was an evident and
well-known fact on which there was no disagreement among the relatives of the Prophet, who do not cease to lay claim on what was given to Fâṭimah as ṣadakāh and to which she is entitled. Consequently, the commander of the believers has deemed it right to return it to the heirs of Fâṭimah and deliver it to them, seeking thereby to win the favor of Allah by establishing his right and justice, and of Allah's Prophet by carrying out his command and his wish regarding his ṣadakāh. This the commander of the believers ordered recorded in his registers and sent in writing to his ʿāmils. And since, after the death of the Prophet, it has been customary on every mausim¹ to have any person claim a grant, or ṣadakāh or promise,² and to have his claim accepted, then Fâṭimah's claim on what the Prophet has bestowed on her should—above that of every one else—be accepted as true.

The commander of the believers has written to al-Mubârik at-Ṭabari, his freedman, ordering him to give Fadak back to the heirs of Fâṭimah, the Prophet's daughter, with all its boundaries and the rights attached to it, and including its slaves and products and other things, all to be delivered to Muḥammad ibn-Yahya ibn-al-Ḥusain ibn-Zaid ibn-'Ali ibn-al-Ḥusain ibn-‘Ali ibn-abi-Ṭālib and to Muḥammad ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-al-Ḥasan ibn-‘Ali ibn-al-Ḥusain ibn-'Ali ibn-abi-Ṭālib, both of whom the commander of the believers has put in charge of the land in behalf of its owners.

Know therefore that this is the opinion of the commander of the believers and what Allah has inspired him to do as His will, and what He has enabled him to do in the way of winning His favor and His Prophet's favor. Let those under thee know it; and treat Muḥammad ibn-Yahya

² Ar. ʿidat, see Bukhāri, vol. ii, p. 285; vol. iii, p. 168.
and Muḥammad ibn-ʻAbdallâh as thou hast treated al-Mubârik aḥ-Ṭabari; and help them in any way that makes for the fertility, interest and productivity of the land. May it be Allah’s will, and peace be unto thee.

Written on Wednesday, two days after the beginning of dhu-l-Ḵa‘dah, year 210.”

Al-Mutawakkil restores Fadak to its old condition. When al-Mutawakkil, however, became caliph, he ordered that the land be reinstated in the condition in which it had been before al-Maʾmûn.
CHAPTER VI

WÁDI-l-ḴURA AND TAIMÁ’

WÁDI-l-ḴURA taken by assault. When the Prophet departed from Khaibar, he came to WÁDI-l-ḴURA and invited its people to Islam. They refused and started hostilities. The Prophet reduced the place by force; and Allah gave him as booty the possessions of its inhabitants. To the lot of the Moslems fell pieces of furniture and other commodities of which the Prophet took away one-fifth. The Prophet left the land with its palm-trees in the hands of certain Jews on the same rent terms which he had made with the people of Khaibar. Some say that ‘Umar expelled its Jews and divided it among those who fought for its conquest. Others, however, say that ‘Umar did not expel them, for it is not included in al-Ḥijáz. Today it is annexed to the administrative district of al-Madīnah and is included among its suburbs.

Mid‘am condemned to fire. I was informed by certain scholars that the Prophet had a slave, named Mid‘am, whom Rifâ‘ah ibn-Zaid al-Judhâmi had presented to him. During the invasion of WÁDI-l-ḴURA, Mid‘am was shot by an arrow from an unknown quarter as he was putting down the saddle of the Prophet’s camel. When someone remarked, “Blessed, O prophet of Allah, is thy slave, for he was shot by an arrow and suffered martyrdom,” the Pro-

---

1 Yâḵût, vol. iv, p. 678.
2 Wâḵidî, tr. Wellhausen, p. 292.
prophet replied, "Nay, the mantle he took from the spoils on the day of Khaibar shall verily burn on him like fire." ¹

Shaibân ibn-Farrûkh from al-Ḥasan:—Someone remarked to the Prophet, "Thy lad, so and so, has suffered martyrdom," to which the Prophet replied, "Rather he is dragged to fire in a mantle he unlawfully took from the spoils."

‘Abd-al-Wâhid ibn-Ghiyâth from al-Ḥasan:—Some one remarked to the Prophet, "Happy art thou, for thy lad, so and so, has suffered martyrdom!" to which he replied, "Rather he is dragged to fire in a mantle he unlawfully took from the spoils." ²

Taimâ capitulates. When the people of Taimâ’ heard how the Prophet had subjugated the people of Wâdi-l-Ḳura, they made terms with him, agreeing to pay poll-tax, and they settled in their homes with their lands in their possession.³ The Prophet assigned ‘Amr ibn-Sa‘id ibn-al-‘Āsi ibn-Umaiyah as governor to Wâdi-l-Ḳura, and assigned Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân after its conquest, the latter having become Moslem on the day of the conquest of Taimâ’.


The fief of Ḥamzah ibn-an-Numân. Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi from his grandfather:—The Prophet gave as fief to Ḥamzah ibn-an-Nu‘mân ibn-Haudhah-l-‘Udhri his whip’s throw ⁴ in Wâdi-l-Ḳura. This Ḥamzah was the

¹ Hishâm, p. 765.
² Bukhârî, vol. iii, pp. 129-130.
⁴ Mawardi, p. 330.
chief of the banu-‘Udhrah and the first of the people of al-
Hijâz to offer the Prophet the ṣadaḵah of banu-‘Udhrah.

The fief of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân. ‘Ali ibn-Muḥam-
mad from al-‘Abbâs ibn-‘Āmir’s uncle:—‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-
Marwân called on Yazîd ibn-Mu‘âwiyyah and said, “O com-
mander of the believers, Mu‘âwiyyah in his caliphate bought
from certain Jews a piece of land in Wâdi-l-Ḳura and made
many improvements in it. Thou hast let that land fall
into negligence. It is therefore lost, and its income has de-
creased. Give it therefore as fief to me, and I shall take care
of it.” To this Yazîd replied: “We are not stingy in big
things, nor can a trifling escape our eye.” ‘Abd-al-Malik
then said, “Its income is so much . . .” “Thou canst
have it,” said Yazîd.¹ When ‘Abd-al-Malik departed Yazîd
remarked, “It is said that this is the man that will rule
after us. If that is right, we would have done him favor
and expect to receive something in repay; if it is false, we
have granted him a gift.”

CHAPTER VII

MAKKAH

The cause of its invasion. When the Prophet made arrangements with the Quraysh in the year of al-Hudaibiyah and wrote down the statement of the truce\(^1\) to the effect that he who desires to make a covenant with Muhammad can do so, and he who desires to make a covenant with Quraysh can do so; and that he of the Companions of the Prophet who comes to Quraysh should not be returned, and he of the banu-Quraysh or their allies who comes to the Prophet should be returned, then those of Kinanah who were present rose and said, “We will enter into a covenant with Quraysh, and accept their terms”; but Khuzayqah said, “We will enter into the covenant of Muhammad and his contract.” Since between ‘Abd-al-Muttalib and Khuzayqah an old alliance existed, ‘Amr ibn-Sailim ibn-Haširah-l-Khuzayqah composed the following verse:

“O Allah! I am seeking from Muhammad
the hereditary alliance of our father and his.”\(^2\)

One of the clan of Khuzayqah hearing one of the clan of Kinanah sing a poem satirizing the Prophet, attacked him and crushed his head. This incident provoked evil and fighting between the two parties. Quraysh reinforced banu-Kinanah and together they attacked Khuzayqah in the night time, thus violating the covenant and the arrangement.


\(^2\) Hishām, p. 806; Wāqidi, *Maghāzi*, p. 402; Fākihi, p. 42.
Thereupon, ‘Amr ibn-Sâlim ibn-Ḥaṣîrah-ı-Khuza‘î came to the Prophet and solicited his aid. This led the Prophet to invade Makkah.

The following is taken from a long tradition communicated to us by abu-‘Ubaid al-Ḵâsim ibn-Sallâm on the authority of ‘Urwa:—Kuraish made terms with the Prophet, stipulating that both parties promise each other security against treachery and stealth,¹ so that a man coming on pilgrimage to Makkah or to visit there, or passing on his way between al-Yaman and at-Ṭâ‘if is safe; and he of the “polytheists” who passes through al-Madinah on his way to Syria and the East is safe. In this covenant the Prophet included banu-Ka‘b; and Kuraish included in their covenant their allies of the banu-Kinânah.

Abu-Sufyân as an envoy. ‘Abd-al-Wâḥid ibn-Ghiyâth from ‘Ikrimah:—The banu-Bakr of Kinânah were included in the peace terms of Kuraish, and the Khuzâ‘ah were included in the peace terms of the Prophet. But a fight took place between the banu-Bakr and Khuzâ‘ah at ‘Arafah.² Kuraish provided banu-Bakr with arms, and gave them water to drink, and shelter. Some of the Kuraish objected saying, “Ye have violated the covenant,” yet the others replied, “We have not. By Allah, we did not fight. We only gave them provision, water, and shelter.”

They, thereupon, said to abu-Sufyân ibn-Ḥarb, “Go and renew the alliance and reconcile the parties” Abu-Sufyân proceeded to al-Madinah where he met abu-Bakr and said to him, “Abu-Bakr, renew the alliance and reconcile the parties.”

Abu-Bakr asked him to see ‘Umar. Accordingly he met ‘Umar and said, “Renew the alliance and reconcile the

² Hishâm, p. 803; Fâḵihi, pp. 49 and 144-145; Yâḵût, vol. iii, p. 646.
parties," to which 'Umar replied, "May Allah cut off the alliance what is still connected and wear out what is still new." Abu-Sufyân then said, "By Allah I never saw a worse head of a tribe than thou!" Thence he went to Fâţîmah who asked him to meet 'Ali. This he did and made the same request. 'Ali replied, "Thou art the sheikh of Kuraish and its chief. Renew therefore the alliance and reconcile the parties." Abu-Sufyân then clapped his right hand against the left saying, "I have renewed the alliance and reconciled the parties."

He then left and came to Makkah. The Prophet had said, "Abu-Sufyân is coming. He returns satisfied without having effected any result." When he returned to the people of Makkah he told them what had happened and they said, "By Allah we know none more foolish than thou. Thou dost bring us neither war that we may be warned, nor peace that we may feel safe."

Khuzâ‘ah then came to the Prophet and complained of what had befallen them. The Prophet said, "I was ordered to secure one of the two towns Makkah or at-Tâ‘if." Thereupon, the Prophet ordered that the march be commenced. Thus he set out with the Companions saying, "O Allah, strike upon their ears [with deafness] that they may not hear,\(^1\) so that we may take them by surprise!" The Prophet pressed the march until he camped at Marr az-Zahrân. Kuraish had asked abu-Sufyân to return. When he [abu-Sufyân] got to Marr az-Zahrân and saw the fires and the tents he said, "What is the matter with the people? They seem like the people celebrating the night of 'Arafah." Saying this, he was surrounded by the Prophet's horsemen, who took him prisoner; and he was brought before the Prophet. 'Umar came and wanted to execute him, but al-

\(^1\) Cf. Kor., 18:10.
'Abbâs prevented him and he [abu-Sufyân] embraced Islâm and presented himself before the Prophet. When the time for morning prayer came, the Moslems bestirred themselves for ablution before prayer. "What is the matter?" said abu-Sufyân to al-Abbâs ibn-Abd-al-Muţţalib, "Do they mean to kill me?" "No," answered al-Abbâs, "they have risen for prayer." As they began to pray, abu-Sufyân noticed that when the Prophet knelt they knelt; when he prostrated himself, they prostrated themselves; upon which he remarked, "By Allah I never saw, as I did to-day, the submissiveness of a people coming from here and there—not even in the case of the noble Persians, or the Greeks who have long fore-locks." ¹

Al-Abbâs asked the Prophet saying, "Send me to the people of Makkah that I may invite them to Islâm." No sooner had the Prophet sent him than he called him back saying, "Bring my uncle back to me, that the 'polytheists' may not kill him." Al-Abbâs, however, refused to return until he came to Makkah and made the following statement: "O ye people, embrace Islâm and ye shall be safe. Ye have been surrounded on all sides. Ye are confronted by a hard case that is beyond your power." ² Here is Khâlid in the lower part of Makkah, there is az-Zubair in the upper part of it, and there is the Prophet of Allah at the head of the Emigrants, Anşâr, and Khuzâ‘ah." To this Ċuraish replied, "And what are Khuzâ‘ah with their mutilated noses!"

The entrance into Makkah. 'Abd-al-Wâhid ibn-Ghiyâth from abu-Hurairah:—The spokesman of Khuzâ‘ah repeated the following verse before the Prophet:

¹Fâkìhi, p. 155; Wâkıḍi, Maghâsi, p. 405.
²Fâkìhi, p. 150; Fâ‘îk, vol. i, p. 338.
"O Lord, I am seeking from Muḥammad
the hereditary alliance between our father and his.
Reinforce therefore, with Allah's guidance, a mighty victory,
and summon the worshippers of Allah, and they will come for help." ¹

Ḥammād states on the authority of ʿIkrimah that
Khuzâ‘ah called the Prophet as he was washing himself, and
the Prophet replied, "Here I am!"

According to al-Wâṣidī among others, a band of ʿQuraish
took up arms on the day of the conquest [of Makkah]
saying, "Never shall Muḥammad enter the city except by
force." Accordingly, Khâlid ibn-al-Walid led the fight
against them and was the first to receive the order of the
Prophet to enter.² So he killed twenty-four men from [the
tribe of] ʿQuraish and four from [the tribe of] Ḥudhail.
Others state that twenty-three men from ʿQuraish were
killed on that day and the rest took to flight seeking refuge
in the mountain heights which they climbed. Of the Com-
panions of the Prophet, Kurz ibn-Jâbir al-Fihri, and
Khâlid al-Ash‘ar al-Ka‘bi suffered martyrdom on that day.
According to Hishâm ibn-al-Kalbi, however, the latter of
the martyrs was Ḥubaish al-Ash‘ar ibn-Khâlid al-Ka‘bi ³ of
the tribe of Khuzâ‘ah.

Abū-Hurairah describes the conquest. Shaibān ibn-abi-
Shaibah-ibn-Ubullah from ʿAbdallâh ibn-Rabâḥ:—A number of
deputations came to call on Mu‘âwiyah. It was in Ramaḍān,
and we used to prepare food for one another. Abū-
Hurairah was one of those who often invited us to his dwel-
ling-place. I [ibn-Rabâḥ] therefore prepared a meal and
invited them. Then abu-Hurairah asked, "Shall I, O
Anṣār, amuse you with a narrative concerning you?" and

¹ Ṭabari, vol. i, pp. 1621-1622.
² Fâkihi, p. 153, seq.
³ "Khunais ibn-Khâlid" in Hishâm, p. 817.
he went on to describe the conquest of Makkah as follows: "The Prophet advanced until he came to Makkah. At the head of one of the two wings of the army, he sent az-Zubair, at the head of the other, Khālid ibn-al-Walid, and of the infantry abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrāḥ. The way they took was through the bottom of the valley. The Prophet was at the head of his cavalry detachment. On seeing me the Prophet called, 'Abu-Hurairah,' and I replied, 'Here I am, Prophet of Allah.' 'Summon the Anṣār,' said he, 'and let no one come but my Anṣār.' I summoned them and they came around. In the meantime, Ḫuraish had gathered their mob and followers saying, 'Let us send these ahead. If they win, we will join them; and if defeated, we shall give whatever is demanded.' 'Do ye see' said the Prophet, 'the mob of Ḫuraish?' 'We do,' answered the Anṣār. He then made a sign with one hand over the other as if to say, 'kill them.' To this the Prophet added, 'Meet me at ʿaṣ-Ṣafā.' Accordingly we set out, each man killing whomsoever he wanted to kill, until abu-Sufyān came to the Prophet saying, 'O Prophet of Allah, the majority of Ḫuraish is annihilated. There is no more Ḫuraish after this day.' ¹ The Prophet thereupon announced, 'He who enters the house of abu-Sufyān is safe, he who closes his own door is safe, and he who lays down his arms is safe.' On this the Anṣār remarked one to the other, 'The man is moved by love to his relatives and compassion on his clan.' The Prophet at this received the inspiration which we never failed to observe whenever it came. He therefore said: 'O ye Anṣār, ye have said so and so . . . ' 'We have, Prophet of Allah,' replied the Anṣār. 'Nay,' said the Prophet, 'I am the slave of Allah and his Prophet. I have immigrated to Allah and to you. 'My life is your life; my death is your death!''

¹ Fākihi, p. 154.
Hearing this, the Anṣār began to weep saying, 'By Allah, we said what we said only in our anxiety to spare the Prophet of Allah.' The people then crowded to the house of abu-Sufyân and closed its doors laying down their arms. The Prophet proceeded to the 'stone' and laid hold of it. He then made the circuit of the 'House' and came, with a bow in his hand held at its curved part, to an idol at the side of the Ka'bah. He began to stab the eye of the idol saying, 'Truth has come and falsehood has vanished, it is the property of vanity to vanish.' When the circuit was done, he came to aṣ-Ṣafā, climbed it until he could see the 'House,' and he raised his hand praising Allah and praying."

The Prophet's orders. Muḥammad ibn-aṣ-Ṣabbâḥ from ‘Ubaidallâh ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Utbah:—On the occasion of the conquest of Makkah, the Prophet made the following statement, "Slay no wounded person, pursue no fugitive, execute no prisoner; and whosoever closes his door is safe."

Ibn-Khaṭāl proscribed. Al-Wâkidî states that the invasion in which the conquest was effected was carried on in the month of Ramaḍân in the year 8. On that occasion the Prophet remained in Makkah to the time of the festival at the end of Ramaḍân, after which he proceeded to invade Ḥunain. To the governorship of Makkah he assigned ‘Attâb ibn-Asîd ibn-abi-l-‘Iṣ ibn-Umaiyah, and ordered the demolishing of the idols and the effacement of the pictures that stood in the Ka'bah. He also said, "Put ibn-Khaṭāl to death, even if ye find him holding the curtains of the Ka'bah." Accordingly, abu-Barzâh²-1-Aslami put him to death. According to abu-al-Yakzân, however, the name of ibn-Khaṭāl was Kais, and the one who put him to death was abu-Shiryâb al-Anṣâri. This ibn-Khaṭâl had two female slave-

¹ Kor., 17:83.
singers who always sang poems satirizing the Prophet. One of them was killed, and the other lived to the time of 'Uthmân when a rib of hers was broken and caused her death.

_Mikyas ibn-Subâbah proscribed._ Numailâh ibn-'Abdal-lâh al-Kinâni killed Mikyas ibn-Subâbah-l-Kinâni, the Prophet having announced that whosoever finds him may kill him. The Prophet did this for the following reason: Mikyas had a brother, Hâshim ibn-Subâbah ibn-Ḥazn, who embraced Islâm and witnessed with the Prophet the invasion made on al-Murâisi'. Hâshim was mistaken by one of the _Ansâr_ for a "polytheist" and killed. Mikyas thereupon came to the Prophet and the Prophet decreed that the relatives of the slayer responsible for the bloodwit should pay it. Mikyas received the bloodwit and became Moslem. Later he attacked his brother's slayer, slew him and took to flight, after which he apostatised from Islam and said:

"My soul has been healed by having him lie,
    deep in the blood flowing from his veins his clothes soaked,
I took revenge on him by force leaving it,
    for the leaders of banu-an-Najjâr, the high in rank, to pay his bloodwit,
thereby I attained my ambition, and satisfied my vengeance,
    and I was the first to forsake Islâm." ¹

_Al-Huwairith proscribed._ 'Ali ibn-abi-Ṭâlib killed al-Huwairith ibn-Nuqâidh ibn-Bujair ² ibn-‘Abd ibn-Ḳuṣâî, the Prophet having declared that whosoever finds him may kill him.

_Ibn-Khaṭâl’s slave-singers._ Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from al-Kalbi:—A female slave-singer owned by Hilâl ibn-'Abdal-lâh, i. e., ibn-Khaṭâl al-Adrami of the banu-Taim, came to the Prophet in disguise. She embraced Islâm and acknowl-

¹ Mawardi, pp. 229-230.
² Hishâm, p. 819.
edged the Prophet as chief. Not knowing who she was, the Prophet did not molest her. The other singer of Hilâl was killed. Both singers, however, used to sing satires against the Prophet.

Ibn-as-Ziba'ra embraces Islam. Ibn-as-Ziba'ra as-Sahmi embraced Islam before the Moslems had chance to kill him, and sang poems in praise of the Prophet. On the day of the conquest of Makkah the Prophet declared his blood lawful, but he was not molested.

The Prophet's khutbah. Muhammad ibn-as-Šabbâh al-Bazzâz from al-Ḳâsim ibn-Rabi'ah:—On the day of the battle of Makkah the Prophet delivered the following khutbah [speech]: “Praise be to Allah who made his promise true, and gave his army victory¹ and all alone defeated the ‘confederates.’ Verily every privilege of pre-Islamic time and every blood and every claim lie under my feet with the exception of the custody of the ‘House’ ² and the providing of the Pilgrims with beverage.”

Khalaf al-Bazzâr from ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Abd-ar-Rahmân’s sheikhs:—On the day of the conquest of Makkah the Prophet asked Kuraish, “What think ye?”³ to which they replied, “What we think is good, and what we say is good. A noble brother thou art, and the son of a noble brother. Thou hast succeeded.” The Prophet then said, “My answer is that given by my brother Joseph,⁴ ‘No blame be on you this day. Allah will forgive you; for he is the most merciful of the merciful.’ Verily every debt, possession, and privilege of pre-Islam lie under my feet with the exception of the custody of the ‘House’ and providing the pilgrims with beverage.”

¹ Hishâm, p. 82r.
² The sanctuary at Makkah; Azrâkî, p. 17 seq.
³ Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 1642.
⁴ Kor., 12:92.
Shaibân from ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Ubad ibn-‘Umar:—The Prophet said in his khutbah, "Yea, all Makkah is inviolable. What is between its two rugged mountains was not lawful for any one before me, nor will it be made for any after me. To me it was made lawful for only one hour on one day. Its fresh herbage shall not be cut, its thorny trees shall not be felled, its game shall not be chased, what is found in it shall not be kept unless previous announcement has been made of the find." Al-‘Abbâs said, "From this should be excluded the idkhâhir plant to be used by our jewelers, blacksmiths and as a means of cleansing our houses." The Prophet then added, "The idkhâhir is excluded."

Yûsuf ibn-Mûsa-1-Ḳaṭṭân from ibn-ʿAbbâs:—The Prophet said, "The fresh herbage of Makkah shall not be cut, its trees shall not be felled." "With the exception of the idkhâhir plant," remarked al-ʿAbbâs, "which is for the blacksmiths and for the cleansing of the houses." This the Prophet allowed.

‘Umar advised not to confiscate the treasure. Shaibân from al-Ḥasan:—‘Umar wanted to seize the treasure of the Ka‘bah to use it in the cause of Allah. But Ubai ibn-Ka‘b al-Anṣâri turned to him and said: "Before thee, ‘Commander of the Believers’ came thy two companions; who would have surely done so, if it were an act of virtue."

Makkah inviolable. ‘Amr an-Nâḍîd from Mujâhid:—

1 Abu-İşhâk ash-Shirâzi, at-Tanbih, p. 156.
2 A sweet rush resembling papyrus used for roofing houses.
3 Ar. ṭuhûr, according to other readings zuhûr "and for the roofs." See Wâkidî, tr. Wellhausen, pp. 338-339.
4 Ar. ḫuyûn; Azrâki, p. 85, has ḫubûr " Graves".
5 Muḥammad and abu-Bakr.
7 ibn-Jabr; see an-Nawâwi, p. 540.
"Makkah is inviolable," said the Prophet, "It is not legal either to sell its dwellings or to rent its houses."

The dwelling places of Makkah not to be rented. Muhammad ibn-Hâtim al-Marwazi from 'A'ishah who said, "Once I said to the Prophet, 'Build for thee, Prophet of Allah, a house in Makkah that will protect thee against the sun,' to which he replied, 'Makkah is the dwelling place only of those who are already in it.'"

Khalaf ibn-Hishâm al-Bazzâr from ibn-Juraij who said, "I have read a letter written by 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz in which the renting of houses in Makkah is prohibited."

Abu-'Ubaid from ibn-'Umar:—The latter said: "The whole of al-Haram is a place of worship." ¹

'Amr an-Nâkid from 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-abi-Sulaimân:—A message written by 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz to the chief of Makkah reads: "Let not the inhabitants of Makkah receive rent for their houses because it is not legal for them."

The following tradition regarding the text, "Alike for those who abide therein and for the stranger" ² was communicated to us by 'Uthmân ibn-abi-Shaibah from 'Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-Sâbit:—By the stranger is meant the pilgrims and visitors who go there and who have equal right in the buildings, being entitled to live wherever they want, provided none of the natives of Makkah goes out of his home.

The following tradition regarding the same text was communicated to us by 'Uthmân on the authority of Mujâhid:—The inhabitants of Makkah and other people are alike so far as the dwellings are concerned.

'Uthmân and 'Amr from Mujâhid:—'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb once said to the people of Makkah, "Make no doors for your houses that the stranger may live wherever he wants."

¹ Azraķi, p. 5 seq.
² Kor., 22: 25.
'Uthmân ibn-abi-Shaibah and Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from abu-Hasin.—The latter said, "I once told Sa‘id ibn-Jubair in Makkah that I wanted to 'abide therein' to which he replied, 'Thou art already abiding therein' and he read, 'Alike for those who abide therein and for the stranger.'"

The following tradition in explanation of the same text was communicated to us by 'Uthmân on the authority of Sa‘id ibn-Jubair:—All people in it are alike whether they are the inhabitants of Makkah or of some other place.

Muhammad ibn-Sa‘d from al-Wâkidî:—Many cases were brought before abu-Bakr ibn-Muhammad ibn-'Amr ibn-Hazm regarding the rents of the houses of Makkah, and abu-Bakr in each case judged against the tenant. This too is the view of Mâlik and ibn-abi-Dhi‘b. But according to Rabî‘ah and abu-az-Zinâd, there is no harm in taking money for renting houses or for selling dwellings in Makkah.¹

Al-Wâkidî said, "I saw ibn-abi-Dhi‘b receiving the rent of his house in Makkah between aś-Šafa and al-Marwah."

It was said by al-Laith ibn-Sa‘d, "Whatever has the form of a house its rent is legal for its proprietor. As for the halls, the roads, the courts, and the abodes that are in a state of ruins, he who comes to them first can have them first without rent."

A tradition to the same effect was transmitted to me by abu-‘Abd-ar-Rahmân al-Awdi on the authority of ash-Shâﬁ‘i.

Said Sufyân ibn-Sa‘id ath-Thauri: "To rent a house in Makkah is illegal"; and he insisted on that.

According to al-Auzâ‘i, ibn-abi-Laila and abu-Ḥanifah, if the rent is made during the nights of the Pilgrimage it is void, but if it is in other nights, whether the one who hires is a neighbor or not, it is all right.

¹ Cf. Kuṭb-ad-Din, al-I‘lām, p. 17.
According to certain followers of abu-Yûsuf, its rent is absolutely legal. The one "abiding therein" and the "stranger" are alike only as regards making the circuit of the "House."

**The plants of the Haram.** Al-Ḥusain ibn-ʿAli ibn-al-Aswad from ʿAbd-ar-Rahmân ibn-al-Aswad:—The latter found no harm in gathering vegetables, cutting, eating or making any other use of anything else planted by man in Makkah be it palm-trees or otherwise. He only disapproved of this being done with trees and plants that grow of their own accord without the agency of man. From this category al-idhkhir was excluded. According to al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣâliḥ, ʿAbd-ar-Rahmân allowed it in the case of rotten trees that have decayed and fallen to pieces.

According to the view of Mâlik and ibn-abî-Dhiʾb, as stated by Muḥammad ibn-ʿUmar al-Wâkidi, regarding the legality or illegality of felling a tree of the Haram, it is wrong at all events; but if the man who does it is ignorant he should be taught and receive no penalty; if he knows but is impious, he should be punished without paying the value of the trees. He who cuts it may have it for his use. According to abu-Sufyân ath-Thauri and abu-Yûsuf, he should pay the value of the tree he cuts and cannot have the wood for his use. The same view is held by abu-Ḥanîfah.

According to Mâlik ibn-Anas and ibn-abî-Dhiʾb, there is no harm in cutting the branches of the *thumâm* plant and the ends of the senna plant from the Haram to be used as medicine or tooth-picks.

According to Sufyân ibn-Saʿîd, abu-Ḥanîfah, and abu-Yûsuf, whatever in the Haram is grown by man or was grown by him can be cut with impunity; whatever is grown without the agency of man, its cutter should be responsible for its value.
“I once,” said al-Wâkidî,” asked ath-Thauri and abu-Yûsuf regarding the case of one who plants in the Haram something that is not ordinarily grown and which he tends until it grows high, would it be right for him to cut it. They answered in the affirmative. Then I asked about the case of a tree that may grow of its own accord in his garden and that does not belong to the category of trees planted by man, and they said, ‘He can do with it whatever he likes.’”

Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from al-Wâkidî:—The latter said, “It has been reported to us that ibn-‘Umar used to eat in Makkah vegetables grown in the Haram.”

Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from Mu‘ādh ibn-Muḥammad:—The latter said, “I have seen on the table of az-Zuhri vegetables grown in the Haram.”

“No pilgrim or visitor of the Haram,” said abu-Ḥanîfah, “shall have his camel graze in the Haram, nor shall he cut grass for it.” The same view is held by Zufar. But Mâlik, ibn-abi-Dhi‘b, Sufyân, abu-Yûsuf and ibn-abi-Sabrah are of the opinion that there is no harm in having the animals graze, but the man should not cut the grass for them. Ibn-abi-Laila, however, holds that there is no harm in having someone cut the grass.

‘Affân and al‘Abbâs ibn-al-Walid an-Narsi from Laith:—‘Aṭâ’ found no harm in using the vegetables of the Haram as well as what is planted therein including the branches and the tooth-picks, but Mujâhid disapproved of it.

The history of the Haram-mosque. The Haram-mosque at the time of the Prophet and abu-Bakr had no wall to surround it. When ‘Umar, however, became caliph and the number of the Moslems increased, he enlarged the mosque and bought certain houses which he demolished to increase its size. Certain neighbors of the mosque refused to sell their houses and ‘Umar had to demolish their houses, the
prices of which he deposited in the treasury of al-Ka‘bah until they took them later. Moreover he raised around the mosque a low wall not higher than a man’s stature. On this wall the lamps were put. When ‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân became caliph, he purchased certain dwellings and thereby enlarged the mosque. Certain people whose dwellings he seized after depositing their prices, met him near the “House” with loud protests, upon which ‘Uthmân addressed them as follows: “It is only my compassion on you and my leniency in dealing with you that made you venture to do this against me. ‘Umar did exactly what I am doing but ye kept silent and were satisfied.” He then ordered them to jail where they remained until ‘Abdallâh ibn-Khâlid ibn-Asîd Ibn-abi-l-‘Ĭs spoke to him on their behalf and they were released.

It is reported that ‘Uthmân was the first to erect the porches of the mosque, which he did on the occasion of enlarging it.

In the days of Abraham, Jurhum and the ‘Amâiliki, the bottom of the door of the Ka‘bah was level with the ground until it was built by Kûraish, at which time abu-Ḥudhaifah ibn-al-Mughirah said, “Raise, people, the door of the Ka‘bah, so that no one may enter without a ladder. Then would no man whom ye do not want to enter be able to do so. In case some one ye hate should come, ye may throw him down, and he will fall injuring those behind.” The suggestion was followed by Kûraish.

When ‘Abdallâh ibn-az-Zubair ibn-al-‘Auwâm fortified himself in the Haram-mosque, taking refuge in it against al-Ḥuṣain ibn-Numair as-Sakûni who was fighting with a Syrian army, one of ‘Abdallâh’s followers carried one day

1 Azrâki, p. 307.
2 or Usaid; see Azrâki, p. 307.
burning fibres of a palm-tree on the top of a lance. The wind being violent, a spark flew and attached itself to the curtains of the Ka‘bah and burnt them. As a result, the walls were cracked, and turned black. This took place in the year 64. After the death of Yazid ibn-Mu‘awiyyah and the departure of al-Ḥuṣain ibn-Numair to Syria, ibn-az-Zubair ordered that the stones that had been thrown into it be removed, and they were removed. He then demolished the Ka‘bah, and rebuilt it on its old foundation, using stones in the building. He opened two doors on the ground, one to the east, and the other to the west; one for entrance and the other for exit. In building it he found that the foundation was laid on al-Ḥijr. His object was to give it the shape it had in the days of Abraham, as it had been described to him by ‘Ā’ishah, the mother of the believers, on the authority of the Prophet. The doors of the Ka‘bah, ibn-az-Zubair plated with gold, and its keys he made of gold. When al-Ḥajjâj ibn-Yûsuf fought on behalf of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân and killed ibn-az-Zubair, ‘Abd-al-Malik wrote to al-Ḥajjâj ordering him to rebuild the Ka‘bah and the Haram-mosque, the stones hurled at it having made cracks in the walls. Accordingly, al-Ḥajjâj pulled the Ka‘bah down and rebuilt it according to the shape given it by Kuraish, removing all stones thereof. After this ‘Abd-al-Malik often repeated, “I wish I had made ibn-az-Zubair do with the Ka‘bah and its structure what he voluntarily undertook to do!”

**The cover of the Ka‘bah.** In pre-Islamic times the cover

---

1 Cf. Ḳuṭb-ad-Din, p. 81.
2 The space comprised by the curved wall al-Ḥatîm, which encompasses the Ka‘bah on the north-west side.
3 Ḳuṭb-ad-Din, p. 81.
of the Ka'bah consisted of pieces of leather and *ma'âhir* cloth. The Prophet covered it with Yamanite cloths, 'Umar and 'Uthmân clothed it in Coptic cloths, and Yazîd ibn-Mu'âwiyah clothed it in Khusruwâni silk. After Yazîd, ibn-az-Zubair and al-Ḥajjâj clothed it in silk. The Umayyads during a certain part of their rule, clothed it in robes offered as tribute by the people of Najrân. The Umayyads used to strip the Ka'bah of its old covers when the cloths of silk were put on. At last came al-Walîd ibn-'Abd-al-Malik who amplified the Ḥaram-mosque and conveyed to it columns of stone and marble, and mosaic. According to al-Wâkidî, al-Manşûr added to the mosque during his caliphate and rebuilt it. This took place in the year 139.

**The reconstruction of the two mosques.** It has been stated by 'Ali ibn-Muḥammad ibn-'Abdallâh al-Madâ'ini, that Ja'far ibn-Sulaimân ibn-'Ali ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-al-'Abbâs was made by al-Mahdi governor over Makkah, al-Madinah and al-Yamâmah. Ja'far enlarged the two mosques of Makkah and al-Madinah and rebuilt them.

Al-Mutawakkil—Ja'far ibn-abi-Ishâk al-Mu'taṣim-Billâh ibn-ar-Rashid Harûn ibn-al-Mahdi—renewed the marble of the Ka'bah, made a belt of silver around it, plated its walls and ceiling with gold—which act was unprecedented—, and clothed its pillars with silk.\(^4\)

---

\(^1\) A tribe in al-Yaman. See *Nihâyah*, vol. iii, p. 109; and cf. Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 282.

\(^2\) Cf. Azraî, p. 176; Ḳûtâb-ad-Dîn, p. 68.

\(^3\) Azraî, p. 180.

\(^4\) Ḳûtâb-ad-Dîn, p. 54.
CHAPTER VIII

THE WELLS OF MAKKAH

Before ḫuṣai brought ḫuraish together, and before they entered Makkah, they used for drinking purposes reservoirs, rain-water tanks on mountain tops, a well called al-Yusairah dug by Luʿai ibn-Ghâlib outside the Ḥaram and another well called ar-Rawa dug by Murrah ibn-Kaʿīb and which lay just beyond ṣArafah. Later, Kilâb ibn-Murrah dug outside of Makkah three wells Khumm, Rumm and Jafir; and ḫuṣai ibn-Kilâb dug another which he called al-ʿAjūl and prepared a drinking place in connection with it. After the death of ḫuṣai a certain man of the banu-Naṣr ibn-Muʿāwiyyah fell into al-ʿAjūl well and it was no more used.

Badhdhar was a well dug by Hâshim ibn-ʿAbd-Manâf. It lies close to Khandamah at the mouth of abu-Ṭâlib’s water-course. This Hâshim also dug Sajlah which Asad ibn-Hâshim gave to ‘Adi ibn-Naufal ibn-ʿAbd-Manâf abu-l-Muṭṭîm. It is asserted by some, however, that he sold it to him, and by others that it was ‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib who gave it to him when he dug Zamzam and the water became abundant in Makkah. This Sajlah was later included in the Mosque.

ʿAbd-Shams ibn-ʿAbd-Manâf dug out at-Ṭawi which lay in the upper part of Makkah. He dug out another for his

---

1 Azraḳi, pp. 436, 439, 496; Hîshâm, p. 95.
2 A few verses composed in regard to this and other wells have been omitted from the translation.
3 Bakri, p. 766; Fâkihi, p. 120.
special use called al-Jafr. Māmun ibn-al-Ḥāfīram, an ally of the banu-‘Abd-Shams ibn-‘Abd-Manāf, dug his own well which was the last to be dug in Makkah during the pre-Islamic period. Near by this well, lies the tomb of al-Manṣūr the “Commander of the Believers.” The first name of al-Ḥaḍrami was ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Imâd. Besides, ‘Abd-Shams dug two wells which he called Khumm and Rumm after Kilâb ibn-Murrah’s wells. Khumm lay near the dam, and Rumm near Khadijah’s house.

Banu-Asad ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Uzza ibn-Κuṣai dug a well called Shufiyah, the well of the banu-Asad.\(^{3}\)

Umm-Ahrâd was one dug by the banu-‘Abd-ad-Dâr ibn-Κuṣai.

Banu-Jumâh dug as-Sunbulah well which is the same as the well of Khalaf ibn-Wahb al-Jumaḥi.

Banu-Sahm dug the well called al-Ghamr which is the well of al-‘Āsī ibn-Wâ’il.

Banu-‘Adi dug al-Ḥafir.

Banu-Makhzûm dug as-Suḵya, the well of Hishâm ibn-al-Mughirah ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Umar ibn-Makhzûm.

Banu-Taim dug ath-Thuraiya which is the well of ‘Abdallâh ibn-Judân ibn-‘Amr ibn-Ka‘b ibn-Sa‘d ibn-Taim. The banu-‘Amir ibn-Lu’ai dug an-Nâk‘.

Juba’ir ibn-Mu’tim had a well—the banu-Naufal well, which has lately been included in Dâr al-Kawârir erected by Ḥammâd al-Barbârî in the caliphate of Ḥarûn ar-Rashîd.\(^{4}\)

In the pre-Islamic period, ‘Aqîl ibn-abi-Ṭâlib had dug a well which is now included in the house of ibn-Yûsuf.\(^{5}\)

Al-Aswad ibn-abi-l-Bakhtari ibn-Ḥâshim ibn-al-Ḥârîth ibn-Asad ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Uzza had at al-Aswad gate near by al-

1 Nawâwi, p. 432.
3 Azraḳî, p. 438.
4 Azraḳî, p. 437.
5 Azraḳî, p. 44I.
Hannâṭîn [embalmers'] a well that was later added into the Mosque.

'Ikrimah well was named after 'Ikrimah ibn-Khâlid ibn-al-‘Aṣî ibn-Hâshim ibn-al-Mughirah; 'Amr well, as well as 'Amr water-course, after 'Amr ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-Ṣafwân ibn-Umaiyah ibn-Khalaf al-Jumâhi. Aṭ-Ṭalûb, which lay in the lower part of Makkah, was the property of 'Abdallâh ibn-Ṣafwân. Ḥuwaitîb well was named after Ḥuwaitîb ibn-'Abd-al-'Uzza ibn-abi-Kâis of banu-‘Āmir ibn-Lu'âi, and it lay in the court of his house at the bottom of the valley. Abu-Mûsa well belonged to abu-Mûsa-l-Ash‘ari and lay at al-Ma‘lât. Shaudhab well was named after Shaudhab, Mu‘awiyah's freedman, and was later added to the Mosque. Some say that this Shaudhab was the freedman of Ṭâriḳ ibn-'Alḵamah ibn-'Uraij ibn-Jadhîmah-l-Kinâni, others that he was the freedman of Nâfi' ibn-'Alḵamah ibn-Ṣafwân ibn-Umaiyah ... ibn-Shiḵḳ al-Kinâni, a maternal uncle of Marwân ibn-al-Ḫakam ibn-abi-l-'Aṣî ibn-Umaiyah. Bakkâr well was named after a man from al-‘Irâḳ who lived in Makkah, and it lay in dhu-Ṭuwa; Wardân well after Wardân, a freedman of as-Sâ'îb¹ ibn-abi-Wâdâ’ah ibn-Ḍubairah as-Sahmi. Sirâj drinking place lay in Fakh and belonged to Sirâj, a freedman of the banu-Hâshim. Al-Aswad well was named after al-Aswad ibn-Sufyân ... ibn-Makhzûm and lay near the well of Khâlisâ, a freedmaid of al-Mahdi the "Commander of the Believers." Al-Barûd which lay in Fakh belonged to Mukhtarish² al-Ka‘bi of [the tribe of] Khuzâ‘ah.

Certain houses and gardens in Makkah. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, the owner of ibn-'Alḵamah house in Makkah was Ṭâriḳ ibn-'Alḵamah ibn-'Uraij ibn-Jadhîmah-l-Kinâni.

² Azraḳî, p. 442, gives Khirâsh.
According to abu-'Ubaidah Ma'mar ibn-al-Muthanna, 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Ḳuraib al-ᾲṣma'i and others, ibn-'Āmir garden was the property of 'Umar ibn-'Ubaidallâh . . . ibn-Lu'ai and was by mistake called ibn-'Āmir or the banu-'Āmir garden. In reality, it is ibn-Ma'mar's garden. Others say that it was so called after ibn-'Āmir al-Ḥaḍrami; still others, after ibn-'Āmir ibn-Kuraiz, and all that is mere guessing.

I was told by Mus'ab ibn-'Abdallâh az-Zubairi that Makkah in pre-Islamic times was called Ṣalâḥ.

Ibn-Sibâ' jail. The following was told to me by al-'Abbâs ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi:—A certain Kindi inquired in writing from my father about the one after whom ibn-Sibâ' jail of al-Madinah was named, about the story of Dâr an-Nadwah, Dâr al-'Ajalah, and Dâr al-Ḳawârîr in Makkah. My father wrote back the following answer: “As for ibn-Sibâ’ jail, it was a house for 'Abdallâh ibn-Sibâ’ ibn-'Abd-al-'Uzza ibn-Nâḍlah ibn-'Amr ibn-Ghubshân al-Khuzâ’i. Sibâ’ was surnamed abu-Niyâr and his mother was a midwife in Makkah. In the battle of Uḥud, he was challenged by Ḥamzah ibn-'Abd-al-Muṭṭalib who cried, ‘Come, thou son of the female circumciser!’ ¹ and killed him. As Ḥamzah stooped on his victim to take his armor, he was thrust with a spear by Waḥshi. The mother of the poet Ṭuraiḥ ibn-Iṣmâ’il ath-Thâḳafi was the daughter of 'Abdallâh ibn-Sibâ’, an ally of the banu-Zuhrâh.

Dâr an-Nadwah. As for an-Nadwah [council-chamber], it was built by Ḳuṣai ibn-Kilâb, and people used to meet in it and have the cases decided.² Later, Ḳuraish used to assemble in it to consult about war and general affairs, to assign the standard-bearers and to contract marriages. This was the first house established in Makkah by Ḳuraish.

¹ “An expression of contumely used by the Arabs whether the mother is really a female circumciser or not.” (Ṭâj al-'Arâs.)
² Azra'î, pp. 65, 66; Diyâr Bakri, vol. i, p. 175; Ṭabarî, vol. i, p. 1098; Iṣṭakhri, p. 16.
Dâr al-‘Ajalah. Then comes Dâr al-‘Ajalah which belonged to Sa‘îd ibn-Sa‘îd ibn-Sahm. The banu-Sahm claim that it was built before an-Nadwah; but this is a false claim. An-Nadwah remained in the hands of the banu-‘Abd-ad-Dâr ibn-Kuṣai until it was sold by ‘Ikrimah ibn-Hâshim ibn-‘Abd-Manâf ibn-‘Abd-ad-Dâr ibn-Kuṣai to Mu‘awiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân, and the latter converted it into a governor’s house.

Dâr al-Ḵawârîr. Dâr al-Ḵawârîr belonged to ‘Utbah ibn-Rabi‘ah ibn-‘Abd-Shams ibn-‘Abd-Manâf, then to al-‘Abbas ibn-‘Utbah ibn-‘Abd-Shams ibn-‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib, and later to Ja‘far’s mother, Zubaidah, daughter of Abu-l-Faḍl ibn-al-Manṣūr the “Commander of the Believers.” Because earthen jars were partly used in making its pavement and walls, the hall was called al-Ḵawârîr [the jar building]. It was built by Ḥammâd al-Barbari in the caliphate of ar-Rashid.

Ḵu‘aiḳi‘ān and Ajyâd. It was related by Hishâm ibn-Muḥammad al-Kalbi that ‘Amr ibn-Muḍâd al-Jurhumî fought with another Jurhum man named as-Sumaida‘. ‘Amr appeared carrying arms that were rattling. Hence Ku‘aiḳi‘ān [rattling] the name of the place from which he appeared. As-Sumaida‘ appeared with bells covering his horses’ necks. Hence Ajyâd [necks] the name of the place whence he appeared. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, it was said that he appeared with horses that were marked, hence the name Ajyâd [steeds]. The common people of Makkah, however, call it “Jiyâd aṣ-Saghîr” and “Jiyâd al-Kabîr.”

Al-Walîd ibn-Ṣâliḥ from Kathîr ibn-‘Abdallâh’s grandfather, who said:—“We accompanied ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb on his visit in the year 17, and on the way were met by the owners of the wells, who asked ‘Umar for permission to build dwelling places between Makkah and al-Madinah where, up to that time, no houses stood. ‘Umar granted them permission, but imposed the condition that the wayfarer should have the first claim on the water and shade.”
CHAPTER IX

THE FLOODS IN MAKKAH

_Umm-Nahshal flood._ Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from ibn-Kharrabûdîh al-Makki and others:—Makkah was visited by four floods. One was umm-Nahshal flood which took place in the days of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb.¹ This flood rose so high that it penetrated into the Mosque from the highest part of Makkah. ‘Umar therefore made two dams, the higher of which extended between the house of Babbah (so called by its occupants, the house being that of ‘Abdallâh ibn-al-Ḥârith ibn-‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib ibn-‘Abd-Manâf who ruled al-‘Baṣrah at the time of the insurrection of ibn-az-Zubair) and the house of Abân ibn-‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân. The lower dam lay at al-Ḥammârîn; and it is the one known as Al-Āṣid dam. Thus was the flood kept back from the Haram mosque. According to the same tradition umm-Nahshal, the daughter of ‘Ubaidah² ibn-Sa‘id ibn-al-‘Āṣî ibn-Umaiyyah, was carried away by the flood from the higher part of Makkah and therefore was the flood named after her.

_Al-Juḥâf w-al-Jurâf._ Another flood was that of al-Juḥâf w-al-Jurâf which took place in the year 80 in the time of ‘Abd-al-Malîk ibn-Marwân. It overtook the pilgrims on a Monday morning and carried them away together with their baggage, and surrounded the Ka‘bah. About this the poet said:

³ Azrâki, pp. 394-398.
² Azrâki, pp. 394-395: “‘Ubaid’.
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“Ghassân never saw a day like Monday, when so many were saddened and so many eyes wept; and when the flood carried away the people of al-Misrān and made the secluded women run astray climbing the mountains.”

On this occasion, ‘Abd-al-Malik wrote to his ‘âmil in Makkah, ‘Abdallâh ibn-Sufyân al-Makhzûmi — others say that the poet al-Ḥârith ibn-Ḵâlid al-Makhzûmi was his ‘âmil—ordering him to build walls without clay around the houses that bordered on the valley, and around the Mosque, and to erect dams at the openings of the roads, so that the houses should be secure. To this effect, he sent a Christian who made the walls and set up the dam known as the banu-Ḵurâd’s or banu-Jumâh’s. Other dams were constructed in lower Makkah. A poet says:

“One drop of tears I shall keep, the other I shall pour forth, if I pass the dam of the banu-Ḵurâd.”

_al-Mukhabbil_. Another flood was the one called al-Mukhabbil. When it came, many were afflicted with a disease in their body and palsy in their tongues. Hence the name al-Mukhabbil [rendering some limb crippled].

_Abu-Shâkir_. Still another flood came later in the caliphate of Hishâm ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik in the year 120. It is known as abu-Shâkir flood after Maslamah ibn-Hishâm, who in that year had charge of the fair [of the pilgrims].

_Wâdi-Makkah_. The flood of Wâdi-Makkah comes from a place known as Sidrat ‘Attâb ibn-Asîd ibn-abi-l-Īṣ.

_The flood in the caliphate of ar-Rashîd_. It was reported by ‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm that a great flood took place in the caliphate of al-Ma’mûm ‘Abdallâh ibn-ar-Rashîd; and its water rose almost as high as the “stone.”

1 Al-Ḥaṣrâḥ and al-Kûfah.
2 Cf. Azrâḳî, p. 396.
3 The “black stone” of al-Ka’bah; Azrâḳî, p. 397.
The limits of al-Ḥaram. Al-‘Abbâs from ‘Ikrimah:—Apart of the limits set to al-Ḥaram having been obliterated in the days of Muʿâwiyyah ibn-abi-Sufyân, he wrote to Marwân ibn-al-Ḥakam, his ‘āmil in al-Madînah, ordering him to ask Kurz ibn-‘Alḵamah-l-Khuzâ‘i, if he were still alive, to establish the limits of al-Ḥaram, since he was familiar with them. Kurz was still alive; and he established the limits which are today the marks of al-Ḥaram. According to al-Kalbi, this was Kurz ibn-‘Alḵamah ibn-Hilâl ibn-Juraibah ibn-‘Abd-Nuhm ibn-Ḥulail ibn-Ḥubshiyah-l-Khuzâ‘i, the one who followed the steps of the Prophet to the cave in which the Prophet, accompanied by abu-Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddîk, had disappeared, when he wanted to take the Hegira to al-Madînah. Kurz saw on the cave a spider web, and below it, the Prophet’s foot-print which he recognized saying, “This is the Prophet’s foot, but here the track is lost.”
CHAPTER X

AṬ-ṬÂ’IF

The Prophet lays siege to aṭ-Ṭâʾif. When the Hawâzin were defeated in the battle of Ḥunain, and Duraid ibn-aṣ-Ṣimmah was slain, the surviving remnant came to Awtâs. The Prophet sent them abu-ʿĀmir al-Ashʿari who was put to death. Then abu-Mûsa ʿAbdallâh ibn-Κais al-Ashʿari took the command and the Moslems advanced on Awtâs. Seeing that, the chief of the Hawâzin at that time, Mâlik ibn-ʿAuf ibn-Saʿd of banu-Duhmân ibn-Nâṣr ibn-Muʿawiyah ibn-Bakr ibn-Hawâzin, fled to aṭ-Ṭâʾif, whose people he found ready for the siege with their fortress repaired and the provisions gathered therein. Here he settled. The Prophet led the Moslems until they got to aṭ-Ṭâʾif. Thaḳif hurled stones and arrows on the Moslems, and the Prophet set a ballista on the fortress. The Moslems had a mantelet¹ made of cows’ skins on which Thaḳif threw hot iron bars and burnt it, killing the Moslems underneath. The siege of aṭ-Ṭâʾif by the Prophet lasted for fifteen days,² the invasion having begun in Shauwâl, in the year 8.

Certain slaves surrender. Certain slaves from aṭ-Ṭâʾif presented themselves before the Prophet. Among them were abu-Bakrah ibn-Masrûḥ,—[later] the Prophet’s freed-

¹ Ar. dabbâbah—a machine made of skins and wool, men enter into it and it is propelled to the lower part of a fortress where the men, protected from what is thrown upon them, try to make a breach. See Zaidân, Taʿrikh at-Tamaddun al-Islâmi, vol. i, p. 143.
² Cf. Hishâm, p. 872.
man, and whose [first] name was Nufai‘—, and al-Azraḵ—
after whom the Azāriḵah were named, who was a Greek
blacksmith and slave, and whose [full] name was abu-Nâfi‘
ibn-al-Azraḵ al-Khâriji. For doing so, these slaves were
set free.¹ It is claimed by others, however, that Nâfi‘ ibn-
Azraḵ al-Khâriji was of the banu-Ḥanîfah and that the al-
Azraḵ who came from at-Tâ’if was another man.

The terms of capitulation. Then the Prophet left for al-
Jiʿrānah to divide the captives and the booty of Ḥunain.²
Thakif, fearing lest he should return, sent a deputation with
whom he made terms stipulating that they become Moslem,
and keep what they possess in the form of money or buried
treasures.³ The Prophet imposed a condition on them that
they would neither practise usury nor drink wine. They
were addicted to usury. To this end, he wrote them a
statement.

The old name of at-Tâ’if was Wajj. When it was for-
tified and surrounded by a wall it was called at-Tâ’if.

The Jews in at-Tâ’if. Al-Madāʿini from certain sheikhs
from at-Tâ’if:—In the district of at-Tâ’if lived some Jews
driven from al-Yaman and Yathrib, who had settled
there for trade. On them poll-tax was imposed. It was
from some of them that Muʿawiyah bought his possessions
in at-Tâ’if.

The land of at-Tâʿif is included in the district of Makkah.
Al-ʿAbbâs ibn-ʿAbd-al-Muṭṭalib had a piece of land in
at-Tâʿif from which grapes were taken and made into the
beverage used for the Pilgrims. The men of Kuraish
had possessions in at-Tâʿif to which they came from

¹ Cf. Hishâm, p. 874.
² Tabari, vol. i, p. 1670; abu-l-Fida, al-Mukhtaṣar, vol. i, p. 147
(Cāiro, 1325).
³ Ar. ar-rikāz, treasures buried in pre-Islamic days; Bukhāri, vol. i, p.
381; Mawardi, p. 207.
Makkah to repair. The conquest of Makkah and the conversion of its people to Islam made Thaḥīf covet and lay hold on these possessions, but with the conquest of at-Ṭâ‘īf, they were again put in the hands of the Makkans, and in fact all the land of at-Ṭâ‘īf became one of the districts of Makkah.

*Abu-Sufyān loses his eye.* It was in the battle of at-Ṭâ‘īf that Abu-Sufyān ibn-Ḥarb lost his eye.\(^1\)

**The zakāt from Thaḥīf on grapes and dates.** Al-Walīd ibn-Ṣāliḥ from ‘Attāb ibn-Asid:—The Prophet ordered that the vine-trees of Thaḥīf be estimated as in the case of dates and that the zakāt [legal alms] be taken in the form of raisins, as in the case of dates.

According to al-Wāḳīdī, Abu-Ḥanīfah says: “The vine-trees are not estimated, but when the produce, whether large or small, is gathered the zakāt is taken.”

According to Ya‘qūb: “If the produce is gathered and the weight of it is five wasîqs [loads] then its zakāt is one-tenth or half of one-tenth.” The same view is held by Sufyān ibn-Sa‘īd ath-Thauri. The wasîq is equal to 60 ṣâ‘īs.\(^2\)

Mālik ibn-Anas and ibn-abi-Dhi‘b state that according to the commended practice [Ar. *sunnah*] the zakāt on grape is taken by estimation as in the case of dates.\(^3\)

**The zakāt on honey.** Shaibān ibn-abi-Shaibah from ‘Amr ibn-Shu‘aib:—A ʿāmil of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb in at-Ṭâ‘īf wrote to ‘Umar, “Those who own honey fail to contribute to us what they used to contribute to the Prophet, i. e., one vase out of each ten.” ‘Umar wrote back to him, “If they would contribute, thou shouldst protect their valleys, otherwise do not.”

---

2. Yaḥyā ibn-Adam, Kitāb al-Kharâj, p. 100.
'Amr ibn-Muḥammad an-Nākīd from ⸁Abd-ar-Raḥmān ibn-Ishāq's grandfather: ⸁Umar assessed one-tenth in the case of honey.

Dā'ūd ibn-‘Abd-al-Ḥamīd the kāṭīr of ar-Rakkah from Khaṣṣīf: ⸁Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azīz wrote to his ʿāmīls in Makkah and at-Tāīf, "There is sadakāh on the bee-hives. Therefore, take it thereof." According to al-Wākīdī, it has been reported that ibn-‘Umar said, "There is no sadakāh on hives." According to Mālik and ath-Thaurī, no sakāt is taken on honey though it may be in great quantities. 1 The same is the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī. 2 According to abu-Ḥanīfah, if the honey is raised in a tithe-land the tithe is taken whether the honey is much or little; but if it is raised in the kharāj-land, nothing is to be taken, because both sakāt and kharāj cannot be taken from one and the same man.

Al-Wākīdī states that he was told by al-Kāsim ibn-Ma‘n and Ya‘kūb that abu-Ḥanīfah said: "If honey is raised in the land of a dhimmī there is no tithe on it, but there is kharāj on the land. And if it is produced in the land of a Taghlabī 3 one-fifth is taken thereof." The same view is held by Zufar. According to abu-Yūsuf, 4 if the honey is produced in the kharāj-land, it is exempt of everything; but if in the tithe land, one raṭī 5 is taken out of ten.

According to Muḥammad ibn-al-Ḥasan, no sadakāh whatever is taken on what is less than five faraḵs. 6 The same view is held by ibn-abi-Dhi‘b.

1 Muwatṭa, p. 121.
2 Umm, vol. ii, p. 33.
3 Banu-Taghlab were Christian Arabs on whom ʿUmar-ibn al-Khaṭṭāb doubled the tax. See abu-Yūsuf, Kitāb al-Kharāj, p. 68.
4 Yūsuf, p. 40.
5 A raṭī is about 5 pounds.
6 A faraḵ is 16 raṭīs. Nihāyah, vol. iii, p. 196.
It was reported by Khâlid ibn-‘Abdallâh at-Ṭahhân that ibn-abi-Laila said, “Whether it is produced in the tithe- or kharaj-land, one ra‘l is due on every ten. The same view is held by al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣâliḥ ibn-Ḥai.

A tradition reported to me by abu-‘Ubaid on the authority of az-Zuhri states that the latter held that one vase [Ar. zilke] ¹ is due on every ten.

The tithe on fruits and grains. Yahya ibn-Ādam from Bishr ibn-‘Āsim and ʿUthmân ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-Aus:—Sufyan ibn-‘Abdallâh ath-Thakafi wrote to ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, whose ʿāmil he was in at-Ṭâ‘if, stating that before him was the case of a garden in which vine-trees grow, as well as plum and pomegranate trees and other things that are many folds more productive than vines, and soliciting ʿUmar’s orders regarding the taking of its tithe. But ʿUmar wrote back, “No tithes on it.”

It was stated by Yahya ibn-Ādam that he heard Sufyân ibn-Saʿīd (whose view is the following) say:—“There is no sadakah except on four of the products of the soil, i. e., wheat, barley, dates and raisins, provided the product measures five wasaks.” ² But abu-Ḥanîfah’s view is that whatever the tithe-land produces is subject to the tithe, though it be a bundle of vegetables. The same view is held by Zufar. But according to the view of Mâlik, ibn-abi-Dhiʾb and Yaʾkūb, vegetables and the like are not subject to sadakah. Nor is there sadakah on what is less than five wasaks of wheat, barley, maize, husked barley, tare, dates, raisins, rice, sesame, peas and the grains that can be measured and stored, including lentils, beans, Indian peas and millet. If any of these measure five wasaks, then it is subject to sadakah. The same view, according to al-Wâṣiḍi, is held by Rabîʿah ibn-

¹ A receptacle of skin for holding wine and the like.
abi-ʻAbd-ar-Raḥmān. According to az-Zuhri all spices and pulse\(^1\) is subject to zakāt. Mālik holds that no ṣadaḳah is due on pears, plums, pomegranates or the rest of the fresh fruits. The same view is held by ibn-abi-Laila. According to abu-Yūsuf, there is no ṣadaḳah except on what can be measured by al-ḵafīz.\(^2\) Abu-az-Zinād ibn-abi-Dhi’b and ibn-abi-Sabra hold that no ṣadaḳah is taken on vegetables and fruits, but there is ṣadaḳah on their prices the moment they are sold.

A tradition was communicated to me by ‘Abbās ibn-Hishām on the authority of his grandfather to the effect that the Prophet assigned ʻUthmān ibn-abi-l-ʻĀṣi ath-Thaḳafi as his ‘āmil in at-Ṭā’if.

\(^1\) Seed of a leguminous plant that is cooked.

\(^2\) Adam, p. 101.
CHAPTER XI

TABÂLABH AND JURASH

Bâkr ibn-al-Haitham from az-Zuhri:—The people of Tabâlah and Jurash¹ accepted Islam without resistance.² The Prophet left them on the terms agreed upon when they became Moslems, imposing on every adult of the “People of the book”³ among them one dînâr, and making it a condition on them to provide the Moslem wayfarers with board and lodging. Abu-Sufyân ibn-Ḥarb was assigned by the Prophet as the governor of Jurash.

¹ Cities in al-Yaman; Bakri, pp. 191 and 238; Hamdâni, Jazîrat al-‘Arab, p. 127, line 19; Yâḳût, vol. i, p. 817 and vol. ii, p. 60.
² Ṭabarî, vol. i, p. 1730.
³ Jews and Christians.
CHAPTER XII

TABUK, AILAH, ADHRUH, MAKNNA AND AL-JARBA'

Tabuk makes terms. When in the year 9 the Prophet marched to Tabuk in Syria for the invasion of those of the Greeks, ‘Amilah, Lakhm, Judham and others whom he learnt had assembled against him, he met no resistance.¹ So he spent a few days in Tabuk, whose inhabitants made terms with him agreeing to pay poll-tax.

Ailah makes terms. During his stay at Tabuk, there came to him Yuhananna ibn-Ru’bah, the chief of Ailah, and made terms, agreeing to pay on every adult in his land one dinar per annum making it 300 dinars in all. The Prophet made it a condition on them that they provide with board and lodging whomsoever of the Moslems may pass by them. To this effect he wrote them a statement ² that they may be kept safe and protected.

Muhammad ibn-Saad from Talhah-I-Ali:—‘Umar ibn-Abd-al-Aziz never raised the tax of the people of Ailah above 300 dinars.³

Adhruh makes terms. The Prophet made terms with the people of Adhruh ⁴ stipulating that they pay 100 dinars in Rajab of every year.

Al-Jarbā' makes terms. The people of al-Jarbā’ ⁵ made

² Hishām, p. 902.
³ Wellhausen, Das Arabische Reich, p. 173.
⁴ Yakuti, vol. i, p. 174; Ištakhri, p. 58; Muḥaddasi, p. 54.
⁵ Yakuti, vol. ii, p. 46.
terms and agreed to pay poll-tax. To this effect the Prophet wrote them a statement.

*Makna makes terms.* The people of Makna made terms with the Prophet, agreeing to offer one-fourth of what they fish and spin, one-fourth of their horses and coats of mail, and one-fourth of their fruits. The inhabitants of Makna were Jews.¹ An Egyptian told me that he saw with his own eye the statement that the Prophet wrote them on a red parchment, the writing on which was partly effaced, and which he copied and dictated to me as follows:

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. From Muḥammad, the Messenger of Allah, to the banu-Ḥabibah and the inhabitants of Makna: peace be with you. It has been revealed unto me from above that ye are to return to your village. From the time this my letter reaches you, ye shall be safe; and ye have the assurance of security from Allah and from his Messenger. Verily, the Messenger of Allah has forgiven you your sins and all blood for which ye have been pursued. In your village, ye shall have no partner but the Messenger of Allah or the Messenger's messenger. There shall be no oppression on you nor hostility against you. Against whatever the Prophet of Allah protects himself, he will protect you. Only to the Prophet of Allah shall belong your cloth-stuff, slaves, horses and coats of mail, save what the Prophet or the Prophet's messenger shall exempt. Besides that, ye shall give one-fourth of what your palm-trees produce, one-fourth of the product of your nets, and one-fourth of what is spun by your women; but all else shall be your own; and God's Prophet has exempted you from all further poll-tax or forced labor. Now, if ye

¹ Wâkidi, tr. Wellhausen, p. 405.
hear and obey, it will be for the Prophet to do honor to the honorable among you and pardon those among you who do the wrong. Whosoever of the banu-Ḥabībah and the inhabitants of Makna bethinks himself to do well to the Moslems, it shall be well for him; and whosoever means mischief to them, mischief shall befall him. Ye are to have no ruler save of your number of the family of the Prophet. Written by ‘Ali-ibn-abu-Ṭâlib¹ in the year 9.”

¹ *Sic!* Being genitive, it should be “abi”. See note in De Goeje’s edition, p. 60.
CHAPTER XIII

DÛMAT AL-JANDAL

_Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd captures Ukaidir_. The Prophet sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd ibn-al-Mughîrah-I-Makhzûmî to Ukaidir ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik al-Kindî, later as-Sakûnî, at Dûmat al-Jandal. Khâlid took him captive, killed his brother, robbed him of a silk cloak interwoven with gold, and brought Ukaidir before the Prophet. Ukaidir accepted Islam, upon which the Prophet wrote for him and the people of Dûmat the following statement:

“This is a statement from Muḥammad, the Prophet of Allah, to Ukaidir as he accepted Islâm and forsook the objects of worship and idols, and to the people of Dûmat:—To us shall belong the water-places outside the city, the untilled lands, the deserts and waste lands, as well as the defensive and offensive weapons, the horses, and the fortress; and to you shall belong the palm-trees within the city, and the running water. Your cattle which are pasturing shall not, for the purpose of taking the _ṣadaqah_, be brought together [but shall be numbered on the pasture-land], and what is above the fixed number of animals from which a _ṣadaqah_ is required shall not be taken into consideration.

Your herds shall graze wherever ye want, and ye shall ob-

---

2 Ṭabari, vol. i, pp. 1702-1703.
4 Cf. Sprenger, _Das Leben und die Lehre des Mohammad_, vol. iii, p. 419.
serve prayer in its time, and pay the zakāt as it is due. To this effect, I give you the covenant of Allah and his promise, and ye are entitled to our sincerity as regards the fulfillment of the terms. Witnessed by Allah and those of the Moslems who are present."

_Ukaidir violates the covenant._ Al-‘Abbās ibn-Hishâm 62 al-Kalbi from his grandfather:—The Prophet sent Khālid ibn-al-Walid to Ukaidir. Ukaidir was brought by Khālid before the Prophet; he became a Moslem, and the Prophet wrote him a statement. But no sooner had the Prophet been dead, than Ukaidir stopped the payment of the sadakah, violated the covenant and left Dūmat al-Jandal for al-Ḥirah, where he erected a building and called it Dūmat after Dūmat al-Jandal. His brother, however, Ḥuraith 1 ibn-‘Abbās al-Malik embraced Islam and thereby entered into possession of the property held by his brother. 2

_Ḥuraith’s daughter marries._ Yazid ibn-Mu‘āwiyah married the daughter of Ḥuraith, Ukaidir’s brother.

_Abu-Bakr sends Khālid against Ukaidir._ Al-‘Abbās from ‘Awānah ibn-al-Ḥakam:—Abu-Bakr wrote to Khālid ibn-al-Walid, when the latter was at Ain at-Tamr, ordering him to go against Ukaidir, which he did, killing Ukaidir and capturing Dūmat. After the death of the Prophet, Ukaidir left Dūmat and then returned to it. Having killed him, Khālid went to Syria.

_Laila daughter of al-Jūdi a captive._ According to al-Wâkidî, on Khālid’s way from al-‘Trâk to Syria, he passed through Dūmat al-Jandal, which he captured, carrying away many captives, among whom were Laila, the daughter of al-Jūdi-al-Ḥassâni. Others say Laila was carried away by Khālid’s horsemen from a Ghassân settlement stationed by

---

1 Ibn-Ḥajar, vol. i, p. 773, by mistake gives "Ḥuraib".
2 One verse omitted.
a watering-place [ḥādir]. It was this daughter of al-Jûdi whom ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-abi-Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddîk had fallen in love with, and the one whom he meant when he said:

“I thought of Laila with as-Samâwah¹ intervening between; and what has the daughter of al-Jûdi to do with me?”

Thus did he win her hand and marry her. But such a hold had she on him that he gave up all his other wives. At last, however, she was affected with such a severe disease that her looks were changed and he no more liked her. He was advised to give her what is usually given at divorce² and send her to her own people, which he did.

_Al-Wâkidî’s version of the conquest._ According to al-Wâkidî, the Prophet led the invasion against Dûmat al-Jandal in the year 5 and met no resistance. In Shauwâl, year 9, he sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd to Ukaidir, twenty months after the former had embraced Islam.

_The reconstruction of Dûmat al-Jandal._ I heard it said by someone from al-Ḥîrah that Ukaidir and his brothers used to go to Dûmat al-Ḥîrah and visit their uncles of the Kalb tribe and spend some time with them. One day as they were together on a hunting trip, there arose before their view a city in ruins with only few walls standing. The city was built of stones [Ar. jandal]. This city they rebuilt, planted in it olive- and other trees, and called it Dûmat al-Jandal in distinction from Dûmat al-Ḥîrah.³

_Az-Zuhri’s version of the conquest._ ‘Amr ibn-Muhammad an-Nâkid from az-Zuhri:—The Prophet sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd ibn-al-Mughîrah to the people of Dûmat al-Jandal who were some of the Christians of al-Kûfah. Khâlid captured Ukaidir, their chief, and arranged to receive poll-tax from him.

¹ A desert from Dûmat to ‘Ain at-Tamr; Iṣṭakhri, p. 23.
² Ar. mut‘ah. _Muwâṭta_, p. 208.
³ Caetani, vol. ii, p. 263.
CHAPTER XIV

THE CAPITULATION OF NAJRÂN

The terms agreed upon. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from az-Zuhri:—There came to the Prophet the military chief and the civil chief,¹ delegated by the people of Najrân in al-Yaman, and asked for terms which they made on behalf of the people of Najrân, agreeing to offer two thousand robes—one thousand in Safar and one thousand in Rajab—each one of which should have the value of one ounce [auxiyah], the ounce weighing 40 dirhams. In case the price of the robe delivered should be more than one ounce, the surplus would be taken into consideration; and if it were less, the deficiency should be made up. And whatever weapons, horses, camels or goods they offered, should be accepted instead of the robes, if they are the same value. Another condition was made that they provide board and lodging for the Prophet's messengers for a month or less, and not detain them for more than a month. Still another condition was that in case of war in al-Yaman, they are bound to offer as loan thirty coats of mail, thirty mares and thirty camels, and whatever of these animals perish, the messengers [of the Prophet] guarantee to make up for them. To this effect, the Prophet gave them Allah's covenant and his promise. Another condition was that they be not allured to change their religion or the rank they hold in it, nor should they be called upon for military service or made to pay the tithe.²

¹ Hishâm, p. 401.
Prophet made it a condition on them that they neither take nor give usury.

The two monks of Najrân and the Prophet. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from al-Ḥasan:—There came to the Prophet two monks from Najrân.¹ The Prophet proposed Islâm to them, and they replied, “We embraced Islâm before thou didst.” To this the Prophet replied, “Ye have told a lie. Three things keep you from Islâm: pork eating, cross-worship and the claim that Allah has a son.” “Well then,” said they, “who is ‘Isa’s father?” Al-Ḥasan adds that the Prophet was never too quick but always waited for Allah’s command. Hence the text revealed by his Lord:² “These signs and this wise warning do we rehearse to thee. Verily, Jesus is as Adam in the sight of Allah. He created him of dust: He then said to him, ‘Be’—and he was,” etc. to “on those who lie.”

This the Prophet repeated to them and then asked them to join with him in imprecating the curse of Allah upon whichever of them was wrong,³ taking hold of the hands of Fāṭimah, al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusain. At this, one of the two monks said to the other, “Climb the mountain and do not join with him in imprecating the curse, for if thou shouldst, thou wouldst return with the curse on thee.” “What shall we do then?” asked the other. “I believe,” said the former, “we had better give him the kharâj rather than join with him in imprecating the curse.”⁴

A statement of the treaty. Al-Ḥusain from Yahya ibn-Adam who said:—“I copied the statement of the Prophet to the people of Najrân from that of a man who took it from al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣâliḥ. These are the words:

¹ Yākūt, vol. iv, pp. 751-757.
² Kor., 3: 51.
³ Cf. Kor., 3: 54.
'In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. The following is what the Messenger of Allah, Muḥammad, wrote to Najrân, at whose disposal ¹ were all their fruits, their gold, silver and domestic utensils, and their slaves, but which he benevolently left for them, assessing on them two thousand robes each having the value of one auķiyah, one thousand to be delivered in Rajab of every year, and one thousand in Ṣafar of every year. Each robe shall be one auķiyah; and whatever robes cost more or less than one auķiyah, their overcost or deficiency shall be taken into consideration; and whatever coats of mail, horses, camels or goods they substitute for the robes shall be taken into consideration. It is binding on Najrân to provide board and lodging for my messengers ² for one month or less, and never to detain them for more than a month. It is also binding on them to offer as loan thirty coats of mail, thirty mares and thirty camels, in case of war in al-Yaman due to their rebelling. Whatever perishes of the horses or camels, lent to my messengers, is guaranteed by my messengers and is returned by them. Najrân and their followers ³ are entitled to the protection of Allah and to the security of Muhammad the Prophet, the Messenger of Allah, which security shall involve their persons, religion, lands and possessions, including those of them who are absent as well as those who are present, their camels, messengers and images.⁴ The state they previously held shall not be changed, nor shall any of their religious services or images be changed. No attempt shall be made to turn a bishop from his office as a bishop, a monk from his office as a monk, nor the sexton

¹ The text here is probably corrupt; cf. Wellhausen, Skissen und Vorarbeiten, vol. iv, pp. 25 and 132; Yūsuf, p. 41.
² Sent to bring the kharâj.
⁴ amthīlah = crosses and pictures used in churches.
of a church from his office, whether what is under the control of each is great or little. They shall not be held responsible for any wrong deed or blood shed in pre-Islamic time. They shall neither be called to military service nor compelled to pay the tithe. No army shall tread on their land. If some one demands of them some right, then the case is decided with equity without giving the people of Najrân the advantage over the other party, or giving the other party the advantage over them. But whosoever of them has up till now received usury, I am clear of the responsibility of his protection. None of them, however, shall be held responsible for the guilt of the other. And as a guarantee to what is recorded in this document, they are entitled to the right of protection from Allah, and to the security of Muḥammad the Prophet, until Allah’s order is issued, and so long as they give the right counsel [to Moslems] and render whatever dues are bound on them, provided they are not asked to do anything unjust. Witnessed by ‛Abû-Sufyân ibn-Ḥarb, Ghailân ibn-‘Amr, Mālik ibn-‘Auf of banu-Naṣr, al-Akra‘ ibn-Ḥābis al-Ḥanẓali and al-Mughirah. Written by——"  

Yaḥa ibn-Adam adds, "I have seen in the hands of the people of Najrân another statement whose reading is similar to that of this copy, but at the close of it the following words occur: Written by Ḥaib b. Idrīs. Concerning this I am at a loss to know what to say."  

'Umar expels them. When abu-Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddîk became caliph he enforced the terms agreed upon and issued another statement similar to that given by the Prophet. When

---

1 Ya'qûbi, vol. ii, p. 62, has "after this year".
3 'Ahdallâh ibn-abi-Bakr; abu-Yûsuf, p. 4; see H. Lammens' comment on this protocol, Mêlanges de la Faculté Orientale, vol. v, p. 346.
4 And not "abi" as required by the rules of the Arabic grammar.
Umar ibn-al-Khattab became caliph, they began to practise usury, and became so numerous as to be considered by him a menace to Islam. He therefore expelled them and wrote to them the following statement:

"Greetings! Whomsoever of the people of Syria and al-'Irak they happen to come across, let him clear for them tillable land; and whatever land they work, becomes theirs in place of their land in al-Yaman." Thus the people of Najran were dispersed, some settling in Syria and others in an-Najraniyah in the district of al-Kufah, after whom it was so named. The Jews of Najran were included with the Christians in the terms and went with them as their followers.

The Najranites under 'Uthman. When 'Uthman ibn-'Affan became caliph, he wrote to his 'amil in al-Kufah, al-Walid ibn-'Ukbah ibn-abi-Mu'ais, as follows:

"Greetings! The civil ruler, the bishop and the nobles of Najran have presented to me the written statement of the Prophet and showed me the recommendation of Umar. Having made inquiry regarding their case from 'Uthman ibn-Hunaif, I learned that he had investigated their state and found it injurious to the great landlords whom they prevented from possessing their land. I have, therefore, reduced their taxation by 200 robes—for the sake of Allah and in place of their old lands. I recommend them to thee as they are included among the people entitled to our protection."

Another source for 'Umar's statement. I heard it said by one of the learned that 'Umar wrote them the following statement:—"Greetings! Whomsoever of the people of Syria or al-'Irak they pass by, let him clear for them tillable land". Another I heard say, "waste land".

2 dihkân; Adam, pp. 42-43.
One reason for their expulsion. ‘Abd-al-A‘la ibn-Ḥam-mād an-Narsi from ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azīz: — The Prophet said during his illness, “There shall not remain two religions in the land of Arabia.” Consequently, when ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb became caliph, he expelled the people of Najrān to an-Najrāniyyah and bought their properties and possessions.


‘Umar and ‘Ali refuse to reinstate them in the land. Al-Ḥusayn ibn-al-Aswad from Sālim ibn-abi-l-Ja‘d: — The people of Najrān having increased in number to 40,000, became jealous of one another and came to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb saying, “Transplant us from the land.” ‘Umar had considered them a menace to the Moslems, so he took this opportunity and expelled them from the land. Later, however, they repented, and returning to ‘Umar said, “Reinstate us in the land,” but ‘Umar refused. When ‘Ali ibn-abi-Ṭālib became caliph, they came to him and said, “We plead with thee by thy right-hand writing and thy intermediacy on our behalf with thy Prophet that thou mayst reinstate us in the land.” To this ‘Ali replied: “‘Umar was a man of sound judgment, and I hate to act differently.”

The number of robes received by Mu‘āwiyah. Abu-Mas‘ūd al-Kūfī from al-Kalbi: — The chief of an-Najrāniyyah at al-Kūfah used to send his messengers to all the people of Najrān who were in Syria and other districts and to gather money assessed evenly on them for raising the required robes. When Mu‘āwiyah (or Yazid ibn-Mu‘āwiyah) came to power, they complained to him because of their dispersion, the death of some of them, and the conversion to Islām of

1 Adam, p. 9.
others. They also presented the statement issued by 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân for the reduction of the number of robes. To this they added, “And now we have still more decreased, and become weaker.” He then reduced the number by another 200 robes, thus reducing the original number by four hundred.

_AL-HAJJÂJ RESTORES THE NUMBER._ When al-Ḥajjâj ibn-Yûsuf was made governor of al-‘Irâk and ibn-al-Ash‘ath revolted against him, the former charged the non-Arab landlords and the people of Najrân with siding with the latter, and, therefore, he raised the number to 1,800 robes, and ordered that the robes be of the kind adorned with figures.

_‘UMAR IBN-‘ABD-AL-‘AZîZ REDUCES THE NUMBER._ When ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz came to power, they complained to him that they were in danger of extinction, that they were decreasing in number, that the continuous raids of the Arabs overburdened them with heavy taxes for revictualling them, and that they suffered from the unjust treatment of al-Ḥajjâj. By ‘Umar’s orders their census was taken, and it was found that they were reduced to one-tenth of their original number, upon which ‘Umar said, “I consider that the terms of this capitulation impose a tax on their heads and not on their lands. The poll-tax of the dead and the Moslems, however, is annulled.” He therefore held them responsible for 200 robes of the value of 8,000 dirhams.

_YûSUF IBN-‘UMAR RESTORES THE ORIGINAL TAX._ In the time of al-Walid ibn-Yazid, when Yûsuf ibn-‘Umar was made governor of al-‘Irâk, he [Yûsuf], moved with partisanship to al-Ḥajjâj, charged them the original tax.

_ABU-L-‘ABBÂS REDUCES THE NUMBER OF ROBES._ When abu-l-‘Abbâs was proclaimed caliph, they met him on the way as he appeared in al-Kûfah and strewed myrtle branches on the road and threw some on him as he was going home from
the mosque. With this the caliph was greatly pleased. Later they brought their case before him and told him of their paucity in number and of their treatment by `Umar ibn-`Abd-al-`Aziz and Yûsuf ibn-`Umar. To this they added, "We are somehow related to thy uncles (on the mother's side), the banu-l-`Amrîth ibn-Ka'b." `Abdallâh ibn-ar-Rabi' al-`Amrîthi spoke in their favor; and al-`Amrîj ibn-Arîj confirmed what they claimed. Therefore, abu-l-`Abbâs held them responsible only for the 200 robes previously given by them, having a value of 8,000 dirhams.

*Ar-Râshîd writes them a favorable statement.* Abu-Mas'ûd said, "When ar-Râshîd Hârûn became caliph and started for al-Kûfah on his way to the Pilgrimage, they brought their case before him and complained of the harsh treatment of the 'âmils. By the caliph's orders there was written to them a statement fixing the number at 200 robes. The statement I myself saw. Moreover the caliph ordered that they be freed from dealing with the 'âmils, and that they pay the dues directly to the treasury."

'Amran-Nâkid from ibn-Shihâb az-Zuhri:—The following text was revealed against the unbelievers among the Kûraish and the Arabs,1 "Fight therefore against them until there is no more civil discord, and the only worship be that of Allah," and the following against the "People of the Book:"2 "Make war upon such of those to whom the Book has been given as believe not in Allah, or in the last day, and who forbid not that which Allah and his Messenger have forbidden, and who profess not the profession of the truth," etc. to "humbled." Thus the first among the "People of the Book" to pay poll-tax, so far as we know, were the people of Najrân who were Christian. Then, the people of Allâh, Adhrûh and Adhri`ât paid it in the battle of Ta'bûk.

1 Kor., 2: 189.  
2 Kor., 9: 29.
CHAPTER XV

AL-YAMAN

The people of al-Yaman embrace Islâm. When the news of the rise of the Prophet and the success of his righteous cause reached the people of al-Yaman, they sent their envoys, and the Prophet gave them a written statement confirming them in the possession of whatever property, lands, and buried treasures were included in their terms when they became Moslems. Thus they accepted Islâm; and the Prophet sent them his messengers and 'âmilṣ to acquaint them with the laws of Islâm and its institutes and to receive their sadakah and the poll-tax of those among them who still held to Christianity, Judaism or Magianism.

The Prophet's letter. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from al-Ḥasan:—The Prophet wrote to the people of al-Yaman, “Whosoever repeats our prayer, turns his face to the kiblah as we do,¹ and eat what we slaughter, such a one is a Moslem and has the security of Allah and the security of his Prophet. But whosoever refuses to do so, tax is binding upon him.”

A similar tradition was communicated to me by Hudbah on the authority of al-Ḥasan.

The governor of Ṣan‘â’. It is reported by al-Wâḳîdî that the Prophet sent Khâlid ibn-Sa‘id ibn-al-‘Aṣî as a commander over Ṣan‘â’ and its land. Al-Wâḳîdî adds that some say that the Prophet assigned al-Muhâjir ibn-abi-Umaiyah ibn-al-Mughîrah-l-Makhzûmi to be governor of Ṣan‘â, in which position he died. Still others say, according

¹ Turning the face towards Makkah during prayer.
to al-Wâlî, that the one who made al-Muhâjir governor over Ṣan‘â’ was abu-Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddîk, who also assigned Khâlid ibn-Sa‘id over the provinces of upper al-Yaman.

Al-Muhâjir as governor of Kindah and aṣ-Ṣadîf. According to Hishâm ibn-al-Kalbi and Haitham ibn-‘Adî the Prophet assigned al-Muhâjir over Kindah and aṣ-Ṣadîf. On the death of the Prophet, abu-Bakr wrote to Ziyâd ibn-Labîd al-Bayâḍî-l-Ansâri assigning to him the governorship of Kindah, aṣ-Ṣadîf and other places in addition to what he already ruled over in Ḥâdramaut. Al-Muhâjir he assigned over Ṣan‘â’ and later asked him in writing to reinforce Ziyâd ibn-Labîd, without dismissing him from the governorship of Ṣan‘â’.

Ziyâd, governor of Ḥâdramaut. It is agreed by all that the Prophet assigned Ziyâd ibn-Labîd to Ḥâdramaut.


The letter of the Prophet to Zur‘ah sent with Mu‘âdh. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ṣâlih al-Mukri’ from ‘Urwah ibn-az-Zubair: —The Prophet wrote to Zur‘ah ibn-dhi-Yazan as follows:

“Greetings! On the arrival of my messenger Mu‘âdh ibn-Jabal and his companions, gather all your sadâkah and poll-tax and deliver them to him. Mu‘âdh is the chief of my messengers, and one of the righteous among my immediate companions. I have been informed by Mâlik ibn-Murârah ¹ ar-Rahâwi that thou wert the first to desert

¹ Hishâm, p. 956, gives “Murrah”; and Nawâwi, p. 539, “Marârah”.
Himyar and embrace Islâm. Therefore, good times lie before thee. And I order you, all Himyar,\(^1\) not to exhibit perfidy or deviation, for verily is the Prophet of Allah the lord of both the rich and the poor among you. As for the \(\textit{sadaqah}\), it is not legal for Muḥammad or any of his relatives to take; it is rather \(\textit{zakât}\) through which ye are purified, and which goes to the poor among the Moslems and the Believers. It was Mālik that conveyed the information and kept the secret. As for Muʿâdh, he is one of the righteous among my immediate companions and one of their coreligionists. I, therefore, order you to treat him well, for he is highly esteemed. And peace be unto you!” \(^2\)

The Prophet orders Muʿâdh to take the tithe. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Mūsa ibn-Ṭalḥah:—The Prophet sent Muʿâdh ibn-Jabal \(^3\) to collect the \(\textit{sadaqah}\) of al-Yaman ordering him to take on dates, wheat, barley and grapes (perhaps he said raisins) one-tenth and one-half of a tenth.

Instructions to ‘Amr ibn-Ḥazm. Al-Ḥusain from Muḥammad ibn-İshāk:—The Prophet wrote the following to ‘Amr ibn-Ḥazm when he sent him to al-Yaman:

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a declaration from Allah and his Prophet. All ye that have believed! be faithful to your compacts:\(^4\) this is an ordinance from the Prophet Muḥammad, the Messenger of Allah, to ‘Amr ibn-Ḥazm when he delegated him to al-Yaman. He ordered him to fear Allah in whatever he performs, and to take from the spoils the fifth that belongs to Allah as well as what is prescribed as \(\textit{sadaqah}\) on the property of the Believers which is one-tenth in case it is

\(^1\) Hishâm, p. 957.
\(^2\) Cf. Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 1719.
\(^3\) Bukhâri, vol. iii, p. 156; Diyarbakri, vol. ii, p. 158.
\(^4\) Kor., 5:1.
watered by flowing water or rain, and one-half of a tenth if it is watered by means of the bucket.”

_The Prophet's letter to the kings of Himyar._ Al-Ḥusain from Muhammad ibn-İshāk:—The following is what the Prophet wrote to the kings of Ḥimyār:

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. From the Prophet Muḥammad, the Messenger of Allah, to al-Ḥārith ibn-‘Abd-Kulāl, Nuʿaim ibn-‘Abd-Kulāl, and Sharḥ ibn-‘Abd-Kulāl, to an-Nuʿmān .GetDirectoryName dhi-Ruʾain, Maʿāfīr and Ḥamdān. Greetings! Allah will guide you by his own guidance, if ye act well, obey Allah and his Prophet, observe the prayer, pay the zakāt, give out of the spoils the fifth that belongs to Allah, the share of his Prophet, and the portion which belongs to him as chief exclusive of his companions, and deliver what is prescribed by Allah to the Believers in the form of ṣadaqāt on the property, which is one-tenth, in case the land is watered by spring, or rain water, and half of the tenth if watered by means of the bucket.”

According to Hishām ibn-Muḥammad al-Kalbi the letter of the Prophet was addressed to ‘Arib and al-Ḥārith, the sons of ‘Abd-Kulāl ibn-‘Arib ibn-Liyashraḥ.³

_The Prophet's letter to Muʿādh._ Yūsuf ibn-Mūsa-I-
-Ḳaṭṭān from al-Ḥakam:—The Prophet wrote to Muʿādh ibn-Jabal, when the latter was in al-Yaman, stating that one-tenth is to be assessed on what is watered by rain or flowing water, and half of a tenth on what is watered by means of the bucket and water-wheel; that on every adult one dinār or its equivalent in clothes is to be assessed; and that no Jew is to be enticed to leave Judaism.⁴

¹ Cf. Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 1727.
² Cf. Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 1718.
⁴ Here is omitted the explanation of certain words in the tradition.
The instructions given to Mu‘ādh. Abu‘Ubaid from Masrûk:—The Prophet delegated Mu‘ādh to al-Yaman giving him orders to take a one-year-old cow out of every thirty cows; one full-grown cow, of every forty; and one dinâr, or its equivalent in clothes, from every adult.

The Magians taxed. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from al-Ḥasan:—The Prophet collected poll-tax from the Magians of Hajar and the Magians of al-Yaman, and assessed one dinâr or its equivalent in clothes on every adult or female from the Magians of al-Yaman.

The people of al-Yaman taxed. ‘Amr an-Nâkid from ‘Amr ibn-Shu‘aib’s grandfather:—The Prophet assessed one dinâr as tax on every adult among the people of al-Yaman.

Shaibân ibn-abi-Shaibah-l-Ubulli from Yaḥya ibn-Ṣaifi or from ibn-‘Abbâs:—When the Prophet delegated Mu‘ādh ibn-Jabal to al-Yaman he said, “When thou comest to any of the ‘People of the Book,’ tell them, ‘Allah made it obligatory on you to pray five times per day and night’. If they obey, tell them, ‘Allah made it obligatory on you to fast during the month of Ramadân of every year’. If they obey, tell them, ‘Allah made it obligatory on him of you who can afford it to undertake a pilgrimage to Makkah’. If they obey, tell them, ‘Allah has made it obligatory on you to offer ṣadaqah on your possessions to be taken from the rich among you and turned over to the poor among you’. If they obey, then avoid their choice possessions and beware of the imprecation of the oppressed, for between his imprecation and Allah there is no veil or screen.”

Products subject to ṣadaqah. Shaibân from al-Mughirah ibn-‘Abdallâh:—Al-Ḥajjâj said, “Give ṣadaqah on every leguminous plant.” Regarding this Abu-Burdah ibn-abi-

1 Bukhâri, vol. iii, p. 157.
Mūsa said, "He is right", which made Mūsa ibn-Ṭalḥah say to abu-Burdah, "This man [al-Ḥajjāj?] now claims that his father was among the Prophet's Companions. The Prophet sent Mu‘ādh ibn-Jabal to al-Yaman and gave him instructions to collect ṣadaqah on dates, wheat, barley and raisins."

‘Amr an-Nākid from Mūsa ibn-Ṭalḥah ibn-‘Ubaidallāh who said:—"I have read the letter of Mu‘ādh ibn-Jabal when the Prophet sent him to al-Yaman, and there occurred in it the following statement, 'Take ṣadaqah on wheat, barley, dates and corn.'"

Why more tax on the Syrians. ‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallāh al-Madini from ibn-abi-Najiḥ who said, "I once asked Mujāhid, 'Why did 'Umar levy on the people of Syria a heavier poll-tax than on the people of al-Yaman?' and he replied, 'Because they were people of means.'"

Nothing on al-awkāṣ. Al-Ḥusain ibn-‘Ali ibn-al-Aswād from Tâ‘ús:—When Mu‘ādh arrived in al-Yaman, there was brought before him a medial number of cows and a medial amount ¹ of honey, on which he said, "I have no instructions to take anything on this."

The salt of Ma‘rib. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswād from Abyaḍ ibn-Ḥammāl:—The latter asked the Prophet to give him as fief the salt in Ma‘rib; but hearing someone say, "It is like perennial water," ² the Prophet refused to assign it.

A tradition to the same effect was communicated to me by al-Ḵāsim ibn-Sallām and others on the authority of Abyaḍ ibn-Ḥammāl.

The Prophet gives a fief in Ḥadramaut. According to a

¹ Ar. awkāṣ—what is between one farīdah and the next; as, for instance when camels amount in number to five, one sheep or goat is to be given for them; and nothing is to be given for such as exceed that number until they amount to ten; thus what is between the five and ten is termed wakṣ, pl. awkāṣ.

² Having an unfailing and continuous output.
tradition communicated to me by Aḥmad ibn-Ibrāhīm ad-Daurākī on the authority of ‘Alḵamah ibn-Wā’il al-Ḥaḍramī’s father, the Prophet gave out as fief to the latter [‘Alḵamah’s father] a piece of land in Ḥaḍramaut.

Muḥammad ibn-Yūṣuf severe on al-Yaman. ‘Ali ibn-Muḥammad ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-abi-Saīf, a freedman of Čuraish, from Maslamah ibn-Muḥārib:—When Muḥammad ibn-Yūṣuf, the brother of al-Ḥajjāj ibn-Yūṣuf, was the governor of al-Yaman, he misbehaved, oppressed the people and took pieces of land from certain men without paying their prices. Among the lands he thus wrested was al-Ḥarajah. Moreover he levied on the people of al-Yaman a kharāj which he gave the form of an assessed rate of land-tax. When ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azīz came to power, he wrote to his āmil instructing him to abolish that assessed land-tax and take nothing more than the tithe saying, “Though I may not get from al-Yaman more than a handful of katam,¹ I would rather have that than the passing of such a tax.” However, when Yazīd ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik came to power he reinstated it.

Sadakāh on plants, grains and vegetables. Al-Ḥusain ibn-Muḥammad az-Za’farānī from abu-‘Abd-ar-Rahmān Hīshām ibn-Yūṣuf, the kādi of Ṣan‘ā’:—The people of Khufāsh presented a statement from abu-Bakr ās-Ṣiddīk on a parchment ordering them to pay sadakāh on a piece of land planted with wars.²

According to Mālik, ibn-abi-Dhi’b, all the canonists of al-Ḥijāz, Sufyān ath-Thaurī and abu-Yūṣuf there is no zakāt on wars, wasmah,³ kirt,⁴ katam, ḥinna⁵ and roses.

¹ A plant product used for dyeing the hair black.
² A certain plant like sesame existing in al-Yaman only, used for dyeing.
³ A plant with the leaves of which one tinges or dyes.
⁴ A kind of leek.
⁵ A plant used for dyeing the hands and feet.
Abu-Ḥanifah, however, holds that there is zakāt on these, whether in large or small quantities. Mālik holds that the zakāt on saffron is five dirhams, if its price amounts to 200 dirhams and if it is sold. The same is the view of Abu-az-Zinād who is reported by others to have said, "Nothing on saffron." According to Abu-Ḥanifah and Zufar there is zakāt on it whether it is in large or small quantities. Abu-Yūṣuf and Muḥammad ibn-al-Ḥasan claim, "If its price amounts to the lowest price for which five wasḳs of dates, wheat, barley, corn or any other kind of grains sell, then there is ṣadakah on it." According to ibn-abi-Laila, there is nothing on vegetables. The same view is held by ash-Sha‘bī.¹

According to ‘Aṭā‘ and Ibrāhīm an-Nakha‘i, whatever the tithe-land produces, be it in great or small quantities, is subject to the tithe or half the tithe.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-abi-Rajā‘ al-‘Uṭāridi who said:—"In al-BAṣra, ibn-al-‘Abbās used to collect our ṣadakahs even from the bundles of leek."

Al-Ḥusain from Tā‘ūs and ‘Ikrimah:—The latter asserted that there is no zakāt on wars and cotton.

The tax on the dhimmis. The following is the view of Abu-Ḥanifah and Bishr:—In case of the dhimmis who are in possession of lands included in the tithe-land, like for instance al-Yaman whose people accepted Islām and made terms on their lands, al-BAṣra which was cultivated by the Moslems, and other lands given out as fiefs by the caliphs to which no Moslem or "man of the covenant" has claim, it is binding on these people to pay tax on their person and kharāj on their lands according to what their lands can bear. Whatever is received from them follows the course of the money received as kharāj. If, however, any one of them becomes Moslem, he is exempt from the poll-tax but

¹ Yahya ibn-Adam, p. 107.
remains always subject to the kharâj on his land, as it is the case in as-Sawâd. The same view is held by ibn-abi-Laila. According to ibn-Shubrumah and abu-Yûsuf, tax is levied on their heads, and they should pay double what the Moslems pay on their lands, which would be a fifth or a tenth. This they said on the analogy of the case of the Christian banu-Taghlib. Abu-Yûsuf added that whatever is taken from them should follow the course of the money received as kharâj. In case a dhimmi becomes Moslem or his land goes to a Moslem, then it becomes tithe-land. The same view is reported to have been held by ‘Aṭâ’ and al-Ḥasan.

According to ibn-abi-Dhi’b, ibn-abi-Sabrah, Sharik ibn-‘Abdallâh an-Nakha‘i, and ash-Shâfi‘i, there is tax on their heads, but no kharâj or tithe on their land, because they are not included in those on whom zakât is binding, nor is their land a kharâj-land. The same opinion is held by al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣâliḥ ibn-Ḥai-l-Mamdâni.

According to Suyfân ath-Thauri and Muḥammad ibn-al-Ḥasan, there is tithe on them but not in a doubled form, because that which counts is the land, and the possessor is not to be taken into consideration. According to al-Auzâ‘i and Sharik ibn-‘Abdallâh, if they are dhimmis like the Jews of al-Yaman, whose people became Moslem while they were still in the land, then nothing is taken but the poll-tax, and you should not let the dhimmi buy the tithe-land or possess it.

The case of a Jew who holds tithe-land. Al-Wâkidî said, "I once asked Mâlik about the case of a Jew from al-Ḥijâz who buys land in al-Jurf and plants it. Mâlik said, 'The tithe is taken from him.' I then replied, 'Didst thou not claim that there is no tithe on the land of a dhimmi if he acquires it from the tithe-land?' ‘That’, said Mâlik, 'holds
true, if he stays in his own country; but in case he leaves his country, then that becomes a question of trade.’’  

A man of the banu-Taghlib who uses a tithe-land. Abu-
az-Zinad, Mâlik ibn-Anas, ibn-abi-Dhi’b, ath-Thauri, abu-
Ḥanîfah and Ya‘ṣûb said regarding the case of one of the banu-Taghlib who plants a piece of the tithe-land that he should pay a double-tithe. If he rents a tithe-farm then—according to Mâlik, ath-Thauri, ibn-abi-Dhi’b and Ya‘ṣûb—the one who plants the farms should pay the tithe. Abu-
Ḥanîfah, however, maintains that the owner of the land should pay it; and Zufar shares the same view.

The case of one who is behind in payment of the tithe.
According to abu-Ḥanîfah, in case a man fails to pay the tithe for two years, then the authorities [Ar. sultân] take only one tithe as he begins again to pay. The same is true of the kharâj-land. But abu-Shimr holds that the authorities take the arrears, because it is justly due to them.

1 Cf. abu-Yûsuf, p. 69.
CHAPTER XVI

'UMĀN

The Prophet sends abu-Zaid al-Anşâri to 'Umân. The al-Azd were in ascendency in 'Umân, 1 although it had in its deserts 2 many other peoples. In the early part of the year 8, the Prophet delegated to them abu-Zaid al-Anşâri of al-Khazraj, who was one of those who compiled the Koran in the time of the Prophet. His [full] name, according to al-Kalbi, was Kāis ibn-Sakan ibn-Zaid 3 ibn-Ḥarâm; according to some Baṣrah philologists, his name was 'Amr ibn-Akhtab, the grandfather of 'Urwah ibn-Thâbit ibn-'Amr ibn-Akhtab; and according to Saʿīd ibn-Aus al-Anşâri, it was Thâbit ibn-Zaid. The Prophet also sent 'Amr ibn-al-'Āṣi as-Sahmi with a letter to 'Abd 4 and Jaifar, the two sons of al-Julanda, calling them to Islām. 5 The Prophet said, "If these people accept the witness of truth and pledge obedience to Allah and his Prophet, 'Amr will be the commander and abu-Zaid will officiate in prayer, propagate Islām, and teach the Koran and the institutes of the Prophet."

On the arrival of abu-Zaid and 'Amr at 'Umân, they found that 'Abd and Jaifar were at Suhār on the sea-coast. They carried the letter of the Prophet to them, and they

1 Yāḫūt, vol. iii, p. 717.
2 Ar. bādiyah; see MFO, vol. iv, p. 98.
3 Hīshām, p. 504, gives "Kāis ibn-Zaʿūra" for Zaid.
both accepted Islâm and invited the Arabs to it. The Arabs then responded and showed special interest in it. ‘Amr and abu-Zaid stayed in ‘Umân until the death of the Prophet. It is said by some, however, that abu-Zaid returned to al-Madinah before that.

Al-Azd and other tribes apostatize. Consequent upon the death of the Prophet, al-Azd apostatized from Islâm under the leadership of Lakîţ ibn-Mâlik dhu-at-Tâj and left for Dabba (some say for Damma in Dabba); Abu-Bakr, thereupon, dispatched against them Ḥudhaifah ibn-Miḥṣan al-Makhzûmi, who in a battle with Lakîţ and his companions killed him and took from the people of Dabba many captives whom they sent to Abu-Bakr. At this, al-Azd returned to Islâm. Other clans from ‘Umân, however, apostatized and went as far as ash-Shihr. These ‘Ikrimah followed and overpowered, carrying away a large booty and killing many of their number. Then some of the tribe of Mahrah ibn-Ḥaidân ibn-‘Amr ibn al-Ḥâfi ibn-Κuḍâ’ah massed a body of men, against whom ‘Ikrimah came; but they offered no resistance and paid ṣadākah.

Hudhaifah made governor. Abu-Bakr assigned Ḥudhaifah ibn-Miḥṣan as governor over ‘Umân. When Abu-Bakr died, Ḥudhaifah was still over it; but he was later dismissed and sent to al-Yaman.

Īsa ibn-Ja’far abuses the people. The state of ‘Umân continued in a fair way, its people paying ṣadākah on their property, and poll-tax being taken from those among them who were dhimmis until the caliphate of ar-Rashîd who made ‘Īsa ibn-Ja’far ibn-Sulaimân ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-al-‘Abbâs its ruler. The latter left for ‘Umân with some troops from al-Baṣrah, who began to violate women, and rob


the people, and make public use of musical instruments. The people of ‘Uman, who were mostly Shurát, having learned that, fought against him and held him back from entering the city. Finally, they succeeded in killing and crucifying him. Then they broke with the caliph and refused to do him homage, making one of their own their ruler.

Some assert that the Prophet sent abu-Zaid carrying his letter to ‘Abd and Jaifar, the two sons of al-Julanda of al-Azd, in the year 6, and sent ‘Amr in the year 8, a short time after his conversion to Islam, which took place, together with the conversion of Khâlid ibn-al-Walid and ‘Uthmân ibn-‘Alî to the Prophet. The Prophet said to abu-Zaid, “From the Moslems, take sadaqah; but from the Magians, take poll-tax.”

The letter of ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz to ‘Adî. Abu-l-Hasan al-Madâ’ini from al-Mubârâk ibn-Fu’dâlah: — The following is what ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz wrote to ‘Adî ibn-Arûtât al-Fazârî, his ‘âmil in al-BAshrah:

“Greetings! I have previously written to ‘Amr ibn-‘Abdallâh asking him to distribute whatever he received in ‘Uman as date or grain tithes among the poor of its inhabitants, the nomadic people who may descend on it and those whom need, poverty, or obstruction of the way may compel to stay in it. Regarding this, he wrote to me that having asked thy representative who came before him to ‘Uman about those articles of food and dates, he was told

---

1 Cf. Salîl ibn-Râzik, History of ‘Imâms and Seyyids of Oman, tr. Badger, p. II.
2 Schismatics, commonly known as Khawârij. They say that they owe their name to Koran, 2: 203.
3 The word used is sulîn.
4 Hishâm, pp. 716-717.
that thy representative had sold them and delivered the price
to thee. Return to ‘Amr, therefore, what thy representative
in ‘Uman had carried to thee as the price of dates and
grains, that ‘Amr may invest it where I instructed him, and
spend it as I told him. May this be the will of Allah,
and peace be unto thee!”
CHAPTER XVII

AL-BĀ'HRAIN

_Al-Mundhir ibn-Sâwa, governor of al-Bā'hraín._ The land of al-Bā'hraín formed a part of the Persian kingdom. In its desert lived a great many Arabs from the tribes of 'Abd-al-Ḳais, Bakr ibn-Wâ'il and Tamîm. At the time of the Prophet, the one who ruled the Arabs in it in the name of the Persians was al-Mundhir ibn-Sâwa ¹ one of the sons of 'Abdallâh ibn-Zaid ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-Dârim ibn-Mâlik ibn-Ḥanzâlah. This 'Abdallâh ibn-Zaid was surnamed al-Asbadhi after a village in Ḥajar called al-Asbadh. Others claim that he was named after the al-Asbadhi people, who were worshippers of horses in al-Bā'hraín.

_Al-'Alâ’ delegated by the Prophet._ At the beginning of the year 8, the Prophet delegated al-'Alâ' ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-'Imâd al-Ḥaḍrami, an ally of the banu-‘Abd-Shams, to al-Bā'hraín, giving its people the choice between following Islâm or paying tax. With him, the Prophet sent a letter to al-Mundhir ibn-Sâwa and Sibukht the satrap ² of Ḥajar,³ giving them the choice between following Islâm or paying tax. They both were converted and, together with them, all the Arabs living there and a few Persians. The rest of the population, however, including Magians, Jews and

¹ Ḥajar, vol. iii, p. 943.
³ Another name for Bā'hraín, hence the Greek: Gerrha; Caetani, vol. ii, p. 194.
Christians made terms with al-'Alâ’ and this is a copy of the statement written between the two parties:

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. These are the terms agreed upon between al-'Alâ’ ibn-al-Ḫaḍrami and the people of al-Baḥrān. It is agreed that they will save us [the Moslems] the trouble of work, and divide with us the dates; and whosoever of them fails to keep this may the curse of Allah, the angels, and the world altogether be upon him." As for the poll-tax, al-'Alâ’ assessed one dinâr on every adult.

The letter of the Prophet. 'Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from ibn-'Abbâs:—This is what the Prophet wrote to the people of al-Baḥrān:

"Greetings! If ye observe prayer, give sakât, remain loyal to Allah and his Prophet, pay the tithe of the dates and half the tithe of the grains, and do not bring up your children as Magians, then ye will be treated according to the terms agreed upon when ye became Moslem, with the exception of the fire-temple that is to be delivered to Allah and his Prophet. If, however, ye refuse, then tax will be incumbent on you."

The Magians and Jews prefer tax. The Magians and Jews, however, refused Islâm and preferred the payment of poll-tax. Upon this, the hypocrites among the Arabs remarked, "The Prophet pretended that he would accept poll-tax from none outside the 'People of the Book', but, here he is accepting it from the Magians of Hajar who are not 'People of the Book.'" On this occasion the text was revealed—"O ye that have believed! take heed to yourselves. He who errreth shall not hurt you when ye have the guidance." 1 According to certain reports, the Prophet sent al-'Alâ’ at the time he sent his envoys to the kings in the year 6. 2

1 Kor., 5: 104. 2 Ya'ḳūbi, vol. ii, p. 84.
Al-'Alâ’ as a wall between them. Muḥammad ibn-Muṣaffa al-Ḥimṣi from al-'Alâ’ ibn-al-Haḍrami who said: "The Prophet sent me to al-Baḥrān (or perhaps he said 'Hajar') and I used to come as a wall between brothers [i.e. try to create discord] some of whom have been converted. From the Moslem among them, I would take the tithe, and from the 'polytheist,' kharâj." ¹

The Prophet's letter. Al-Ḵasim ibn-Sallâm from ‘Urwah ibn-az-Zubair:—The Prophet wrote to the people of Hajar as follows:—²

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. From Muḥammad the Prophet to the people of Hajar: ye are in peace. I praise Allah on your behalf, beside whom there is no god. Then I admonish you by Allah and by yourselves that ye do not go astray after having been guided, nor be misled after having the right pointed out to you. What ye have done has reached me, and now the offense of the guilty shall not be charged to him among you who behaves himself. When my commanders come to you obey them, reinforce them and help them in carrying out Allah's plan and his cause, for whosoever among you does the good deed, his deed shall not be lost before Allah or before me. Your delegation has come to me, and I did nothing for them but what was pleasing to them; although if I were to enforce all my right on you, I would expel you from Hajar. Thus did I accept intercession for the absent among you, and bestow favor on the present. Remember the grace of Allah upon you."

The tax imposed on al-Baḥrān. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Katâdah:—In the time of the Prophet, no fight took place in al-Baḥrān, for some of the people accepted Islâm,

and others made terms with al-‘Alâ’, agreeing to give half the grains and dates.

Al-Ḥusain from az-Zuhri:—The Prophet took poll-tax from the Magians of Ḥajar.

What the Prophet wrote to the Magians. Al-Ḥusain from al-Ḥasan ibn-Muhammad:—The Prophet wrote to the Magians of Ḥajar, inviting them to Islâm and providing that if they are converted, they will have the rights we have, and be under the obligations we are under; but those who refuse Islâm will have to pay the tax, and we will not eat what they slaughter nor marry their women.

Al-Ḥusain from Saʿīd ibn-al-Musaiyib:—The Prophet exacted tax from the Magians of Ḥajar, ‘Umar exacted it from those of Persia, and Uṭhmân from the Berbers.

A similar tradition was communicated by al-Ḥusain on the authority of az-Zuhri.

‘Amr an-Nâkid from Mūsa ibn-‘Ukbah:—The Prophet wrote to Mundhir ibn-Sâwa as follows:—

“From Muḥammad the Prophet to Mundhir ibn-Sâwa:—thou art at peace. I praise Allah in thy behalf, beside whom there is no god. Thy letter I received, and its contents I heard. Whosoever repeats our prayer, faces the kiblah as we do [in prayer] and eats what we slaughter, such one is a Moslem; but whosoever refuses will have to pay tax.”

‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi from ibn-‘Abbâs:—The Prophet having written to al-Mundhir ibn-Sâwa, the latter accepted Islâm and called the people of Ḥajar to it, some of whom accepted and others did not. As for the Arabs, they became Moslems, but the Magians and Jews accepted the tax and it was exacted from them.

Al-‘Alâ’ sends 80,000 dirhams. Shaibân ibn-Farrûkh from Ḥumaid ibn-Hilâl:—Al-‘Alâ’ ibn-al-Ḥadrâmi sent

1 Duraid, p. 62: “Musaiyab”.
from al-Bahrain to the Prophet a sum of money amounting to 80,000 [dirhams], more than which sum the Prophet never received either before or after. The Prophet gave a part of it to his uncle al-'Abbâs.

Hishâm ibn-'Ammâr from 'Abd-al-'Aziz ibn-'Ubaidallâh: —The Prophet communicated with those in Hajar whom Kisra had settled there as hostages [wadâ'i'], but they refused Islâm and tax was laid on them, one dinâr on every man.

_Abân ibn-Sa'îd made governor and succeeded by abu-Hurairah._ The Prophet dismissed al-'Alâ' and assigned to al-Bahrain Abân ibn-Sa'îd ibn-al-'Âsi ibn-Umaiyyah. According to other reports, al-'Alâ' was assigned to one district of al-Bahrain, a part of which was al-''Aţîf, and Abân to another in which lay al-''Ahtâ'. The former report, however, is the more authentic. On the death of the Prophet, Abân left al-Bahrain and came to al-Madinah. The people of al-Bahrain, thereupon, asked abu-Bakr to send al-'Alâ' back to them. This he did. Thus, according to this report, al-'Alâ' held the governorship of al-Bahrain until he died in the year 20. Then 'Umar assigned to his place abu-Hurairah ad-Dausi. Others say that 'Umar assigned abu-Hurairah before the death of al-'Alâ', who, thereupon, left for Tauwaj in Persia, intending to settle in it. Later, however, he returned to al-Bahrain where he died. Abu-Hurairah often repeated, "After we buried al-'Alâ', we wanted to lift a brick from the tomb. On lifting it we found al-'Alâ' missing from the coffin."

_‘Uthmân ibn-abi-l-'Âsi made governor._ Abu-Mikhnaf asserted that 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb wrote to al-'Alâ' ibn-al-''Adrâmi, his 'âmil in al-Bahrain, calling him back, and assigned 'Uthmân ibn-abi-l-'Âsi ath-Thâkâfi to al-Bahrain and ‘Umân. On the arrival of al-'Alâ' in al-Madinah, he was assigned by 'Umar to the governorship of al-Baṣrâh, in
the place of ‘Utbah ibn-Ghazwân. No sooner had he arrived there, than he died. This took place in the year 14, or the beginning of 15. Then ‘Umar assigned Kudâmah ibn-Maż’un al-Jumaĥi for the collection of taxes from al-Baĥrain, and gave abu-Hurairah authority over the military guard and charge of the conduct of prayer. Later he dismissed Kudâmah, inflicted on him the legal punishment for drinking wine,¹ and gave abu-Hurairah authority over the military guard and charged him with the conduct of prayer. At last, he dismissed abu-Hurairah and confiscated a part of his wealth. Then he assigned Uthmân ibn-abi-l-‘Aṣi to al-Baĥrain and ‘Umân.

Abu-Hurairah made governor after Kudâmah. Al-‘Umar ² from al-Haitham:—Kudâmah ibn-Maż’un had charge of tax-collecting and the military guard, and abu-Hurairah acted as leader of prayer and kâdi. The latter gave witness against Kudâmah, and ‘Umar assigned him to al-Baĥrain after Kudâmah. Later ‘Umar dismissed him, confiscated a part of what he possessed and ordered him to return. This he refused to do. ‘Umar, thereupon, assigned Uthmân ibn-abi-l-‘Aṣi as governor, who still held the office at the death of ‘Umar. When Uthmân was in Persia, his substitute over ‘Umân and al-Baĥrain was his brother, Mughirah ibn-abi-l-‘Aṣi, others say Ḥafṣ ibn-abi-l-‘Aṣi.

‘Umar confiscates abu-Hurairah’s wealth. Shaibân ibn-Farrûkh from abu-Hurairah who said:—‘‘Umar made me his ‘âmil over al-Baĥrain. There I gathered 12,000 [dirhams]. On my return to ‘Umar, he addressed me saying: ‘O thou the enemy of Allah and of the Moslems (he may have said ‘and of his Book’), thou hast stolen the money of Allah!’ To this I replied, ‘Neither am I the enemy of

¹ Flogging with 80 stripes; see Muwaţ̄ta, p. 357.
² i.e., abu-‘Umar Ḥafṣ ibn-‘Umar ad-Dūri.
Allah, nor of the Moslems, (he may have said 'nor of his Book'); rather am I the enemy of him who has enmity against them. The money, I have got from horses that multiplied in number and from different shares that mounted up.' 'Umar then took from me 12,000. In my morning prayer I repeated, 'Lord forgive 'Umar.' After this, 'Umar used to take from the people of al-Bahrain and give them back more than what he would take. At last 'Umar asked me, 'Wouldst thou not act as 'âmil, abu-Hurairah?' and I replied 'No,' to which he answered, 'And why not? Better men than thou were made 'âmîls, for instance Joseph, who said, 'Set me over the granaries of the land.''' To this I replied, 'Joseph was a prophet and the son of a prophet, whereas I am abu-Hurairah, son of Umaimah, and I am afraid of three things and of two things that thou mayest bring upon me.' 'And why,' said 'Umar, 'didst thou not say five?' 'I fear that thou dost whip my back, defame my honor, and take my money; and I hate to speak without meekness and to rule without knowledge.'"

Al-Ḳasim ibn-Sallâm and Rauḥ ibn-'Abd-al-Mu'imin from abu-Hurairah:— When abu-Hurairah returned from al-Bahrain, 'Umar said to him, "O thou enemy of Allah and enemy of his Book; hast thou stolen the money of Allah?"

"Neither am I," replied abu-Hurairah, "the enemy of Allah, nor of his Book; rather am I the enemy of him who has enmity against them. I did not steal the money of Allah." "How then," said 'Umar, "did 10,000 dirhams come to thee?" "Through horses" said abu-Hurairah, "that reproduced and stipends that came in successions and shares that mounted up." 'Umar took the money from him. The rest of the tradition is similar to what is reported by abu-Hilâl.²

¹ Kor., 12:55.
² One of the intermediate authorities of the preceding tradition whose final authority is abu-Hurairah himself.
The apostasy of al-Ḥuṭam. On the death of al-Mundhir ibn-Sâwa, a little after the death of the Prophet, those in al-BahRAIN descended from Kais ibn-Tha‘labah ibn-‘Ukâbah apostatized under al-Ḥuṭam from Islâm. This al-Ḥuṭam was Shuraiḥ ibn-Ḍubai‘ah ibn-‘Amr ibn-Marthad, one of the sons of Kais ibn-Tha‘labah. He was nicknamed Ḥuṭam for saying,

"The night found her in the company of a strong driver who does not drive gently [Ar. ḡuṭam]." ¹

Together with these there apostatized from Islâm in al-BahRAIN all the Rabi‘ah tribe with the exception of al-Jārûd, i. e. Bishr ibn-‘Amr al-‘Abdi ² and those of his people who followed him. For a leader, they chose a son of an-Nu‘mân ibn-al-Mundhir, named al-Mundhir. Al-Ḥuṭam followed the Rabi‘ah and joined them with his men. Having received this information, al-‘Alâ’ ibn-al-Ḥadrâmi marched at the head of the Moslems until he came to Juwâtha, which was the fortification of al-BahRAIN. As Rabi‘ah advanced towards him, he set out towards them with his Arabs and non-Arabs and led a heavy fight against them. Then the Moslems took refuge in the fortification where they were besieged by the enemy. It was in reference to this occasion that ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ḥadhâf al-Kilâbi said:

"Wilt thou carry this message to abu-Bakr and all the youths of al-Madinah? Hasten to the aid of some young men of your number, who are invested as captives in Juwâtha." ³

At last al-‘Alâ’ made a sally with the Moslems and fell upon the Rabi‘ah during the night. A fierce battle ensued in which al-Ḥuṭam was killed.

¹ Ṭabrizi, Ḥamâsah, vol. i, p. 173.
² Hishâm, p. 944; Duraïd, pp. 186 and 197.
According to other authorities, al-Ḥuṭam came to the Rabi‘ah as they were in Juwâtha, whose inhabitants had all forsaken Islâm, and had chosen for leader al-Mundhir ibn-an-Nu‘mân. Al-Ḥuṭam took up his abode with them. Al-‘Alâ’ pressed the siege until he reduced Juwâtha and dispersed the crowd, killing al-Ḥuṭam. Of the two reports, however, the former is more authentic. Describing the death of al-Ḥuṭam, Mâlik ibn-Tha‘labah-i-‘Abdi says:

“We left Shuraih with the blood covering him
like the fringe of a spotted Yamanite garment.
It was we that deprived unum-Ghaḍbân of her son,
and broke our lance in Ḥabtar’s eye.
It was we that left Misma’ prostrate on the ground,
at the mercy of hyenas and eagles that will attack him.”

Al-Mundhir ibn-an-Nu‘mân. It is reported that al-Mundhir ibn-an-Nu‘mân was nicknamed al-Gharûr, but when the Moslems won the victory he said, “I am not al-Gharûr ¹ [the deceiful] but al-Maghrûr [the deceived].” This al-Mundhir went with the remnant of Rabi‘ah as far as al-Khaṭṭ, which al-‘Alâ’ moved against and conquered, killing al-Mundhir and those in his company. According to others, al-Mundhir escaped, entered al-Mushaḳḳar and let in the water around him, making it impossible to be reached. Finally he made terms, agreeing to leave the city, which he did. He then joined Musailimah with whom he was killed. Some claim that al-Mundhir was killed in the battle of Juwâtha; others that he surrendered and then fled away but was pursued and put to death. Al-‘Alâ’ having written to abu-Bakr for reinforcement, the latter wrote to Khâlid ibn-al-Walid ordering him to hasten from al-Yamâmah to the reinforcement of al-‘Alâ’. Al-Ḥuṭam,

however, was killed before the arrival of Khālid. So Khālid with al-‘Alâ laid siege to al-Khaṭṭ. Later, Khālid received a letter from abu-Bakr ordering him to leave for al-‘Irâk, to which he started from al-Bahrain, in the year 12.

Al-Wâkîdi says, “According to our companions, Khâlid came first to al-Madinah, whence he started for al-‘Irâk.”

‘Abdallâh ibn-Suhail suffers martyrdom. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Suhail ibn-‘Amr of the banu-‘Āmir ibn-Lu’ai, whose surname was abu-Suhail and whose mother was Fâkhitah daughter of ‘Āmir ibn-Naufal ibn-‘Abd-Manâf, suffered martyrdom at Juwâtha. This ‘Abdallâh was one of those who came with the “infidels” to the battle of Badr, but then he joined the Moslem side and embraced Islâm. He took part with the Prophet in the battle of Badr. On the receipt of the news of his death, his father, Suhail ibn-‘Amr, said, “I expect Allah’s renumeration for his loss.” On a pilgrimage to Makkah Suhail was met by abu-Bakr who consoled him, and Suhail replied, “I am informed that the Prophet said, ‘A martyr can intercede for seventy of his relatives,’ and it is my hope that my son will begin with no one before me.” When ‘Abdallâh suffered martyrdom, he was 38 years of age.

‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Abdallâh suffers martyrdom. Another martyr of the battle of Juwâtha was ‘Abdallâh ibn-Abdallâh ibn-Ubai. According to others than al-Wâkîdi, his martyrdom took place during the battle of al-Yamâmah.

Al-‘Alâ reduces az-Zârah, as-Sâbûn and Dârin. Al-Muka’bar al-Fârisi, who was the friend of Kisra and was once sent by him to annihilate the banu-Tamîm for interfering with his camels (and whose full name was Fairûz ibn-Jushaish), fortified himself in az-Zârah. There, many

---

Magians who had assembled in al-Ḳaṭīf ¹ and had refused to pay tax joined him. Al-ʿAlâʾ invested az-Zârah but failed to reduce it in the caliphate of abu-Bakr. In the early part of the caliphate of ʿUmar, however, he reduced it. In the course of the caliphate of ʿUmar, al-ʿAlâʾ conquered by force as-Sâbûn ² and Dârîn where there is [today] a spot known as Khandâḵ al-ʿAlâʾ [the trench of al-ʿAlâʾ].

According to Maʿmar ibn-al-Muthanna, al-ʿAlâʾ with ʿAbd-al-Ḳais invaded, in the caliphate of ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, certain villages in as-Sâbûn and reduced them. He then invaded the city of al-Ghâbah and killed those in it who were Persians. Thence he moved to az-Zârah in which al-Mukaʿbar stayed, and besieged him. The satrap of az-Zârah challenged him to a duel, and Barâʾ ibn-Mâlik accepted the challenge and killed him, taking spoils from him which amounted to 40,000 [dirhams]. Under safe conduct, one of the people of az-Zârah came forth to point out the drinking water, and showed al-ʿAlâʾ the spring that issues from az-Zârah. This spring al-ʿAlâʾ filled up. The people seeing that, came to terms, agreeing to offer him one-third of the city and one-third of the gold and silver in it, together with one-half of what they owned outside the city. Then came al-Akhnas al-ʿĂmiri to al-ʿAlâʾ and said, “They have not made terms regarding their children who are now in Dârîn.” Karrâz an-Nukri pointed out to al-ʿAlâʾ the ford by which he could cross over to them. Thus did al-ʿAlâʾ with a band of Moslems plunge into the sea; and the first thing the people of Dârîn knew of was the exclamation, “Allah is great!” The people of Dârîn sallied forth and attacked them from three sides, but the Moslems killed their fighters and gained possession of the children

¹ Yāḵūt, vol. iv, p. 143.
² Yāḵūt gives “as-Sâbûr”.
and captives. Seeing that, al-Muka'bar became Moslem. On this occasion Karrâz said:

"Al-'Alâ' feared the basin of the sea as he plunged into it, but I have of old crossed it over to the 'unbelievers' of Dârin."

Khalaf al-Bazzâr and ‘Affân from Muḥammad ibn-Sîrin:—In the duel between Barâ’ ibn-Mâlik and the satrap of az-Zârah, the former stabbed the latter above his spine, and he fell dead. Then Barâ’ went down and cut off his hands and took his bracelets, a furred coat he had on, and a belt. This booty, being so large, 'Umar took one-fifth of it. It was the first booty in Islâm of which the fifth was taken.
CHAPTER XVIII

AL-YAMÂMAH

The origin of the name. Al-Yamâmah was first called Jau but was later named after a woman, al-Yamâmah, daughter of Murr [from the tribe] of Jadî, who was crucified at its gate. Allah knows whether this is true.

The envoys to the Prophet. When the Prophet wrote to the kings of the world in the year 7 (or 6 as it is said), he wrote to Haudhah ibn-‘Ali-l-Ḥanafi and the people of al-Yamâmah summoning them to Islâm. His letter to this effect he forwarded with Salîṭ ibn-Ḳais ibn-‘Amr al-Anṣâri ¹ (later al-Khazraji). The people of al-Yamâmah, thereupon, sent to the Prophet their delegation, one of whom was Mujjâ‘ah ibn-Murârah. To Mujjâ‘ah and in accordance with his request, the Prophet gave out as fief a piece of unutilized land. Another delegate was ar-Rajjâl ² ibn-‘Unfuwah who became Moslem and read the “Sûrah of the Cow” and other Sûrahs of the Koran. He, however, apostatized from Islâm after a time. Among the delegates was one, Musailimah, the false Prophet, ³ Thumâmah ibn-Kabîr ibn-Ḥabib, ⁴ who said to the Prophet, “If it be thy will, we will leave all authority in thy hand and swear allegiance to thee, with the understanding that after thee, all will return to us [Musaili-

¹ Cf. Hishâm, p. 971.
² Raḥḥal, see ibn-Sa‘d in Skizzen, vol. iv, p. 46.
⁴ Ibn-Duraid, p. 209; Nawâwi, p. 554; Hishâm, p. 945.
meh].” “No,” said the Prophet, “by no means, and may Allah smite thee!” Previous to this, Haudhah ibn-‘Ali-l-Ḥanafi had written to the Prophet asking that after the Prophet, the authority might be delegated to himself, and promising to become Moslem and come to the reinforcement of the Prophet. “No; nor anything else,” answered the Prophet, “and may Allah let me get rid of him!” Before long Haudhah was dead.

Musailimah, the false Prophet. When the delegation of the banu-Ḥanifah returned to al-Yamāmah, Musailimah, the false Prophet,¹ asserted his claim as a prophet, and ar-Rajjāl ibn-ʿUnfuwah testified that the Prophet gave him [Musailimah] a share in the authority with him.² Banu-Ḥanifah and others in al-Yamāmah followed him. He then wrote the following message to the Prophet and forwarded it through ‘Ubādah ibn-al-Ḥarith of the banu-ʿĀmir ibn-Ḥanifah, whose surname was ibn-an-Nauwâhah,³ and who was [later] killed in al-Kūfah by ‘Abdallâh ibn-Mas‘ûd who heard that he and his companions believed in the false claims of Musailimah:

“From Musailimah, the Messenger of Allah, to Muḥammad, the Messenger of Allah. Greetings! To us half the land belongs, and to Kūraish the other half, but Kūraish do not act equitably; and peace be unto thee. Written by ʿAmr ibn-al-Jārûd al-Ḥanafi.”

To this the Prophet replied:

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. From Muḥammad, the Prophet, to Musailimah, the false Prophet. Greetings! ‘For the earth is Allah’s: to such of his servants as he pleaseth doth he give it as a heritage’⁴;

¹ Ar. al-Kadhkhâb, the impostor.
² Diyârbakri, vol. ii, p. 175.
³ Nawāwi, p. 374. ⁴ Kor., 7: 125.
and peace be to those who follow the true guidance! Written by Ubai ibn-Ka'b."  

Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd goes against Musailimah. When, at the death of the Prophet, abu-Bakr was proclaimed caliph and, in a few months, destroyed those of the people of Najd and its environs who apostatized from Islâm, abu-Bakr sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walid ibn-al-Mughîrah-l-Makhzûmi to al-Yamâmah giving him orders to fight against Musailimah, the false Prophet. As Khâlid came within sight of al-Yamâmah, he met a group of the banu-Ḥanîfah among whom was Mujjâ‘ah ibn-Murârah ibn-Sulmi. He killed them and spared Mujjâ‘ah whom he carried off in chains. Khâlid put up his camp one mile from al-Yamâmah. Banu-Ḥanîfah came out to him, and among them were ar-Rajjâl and Muḥakkîm ibn-at-Ṭufail ibn-Subai‘, nicknamed the Muḥakkîm al-Yamâmah. Khâlid, seeing something glittering among them, turned to his men and said, "Know ye Moslems that Allah has spared you the trouble of your enemy. Do ye not see how they have drawn the swords one against the other? I suppose there is discord among them, and their force will be used on themselves." Mujjâ‘ah, fettered in his chains, shouted, "No, these are Indian swords which they, for fear of being broken, hold up to the sun in order to render the blades flexible." They then met. The first to meet the Moslems was ar-Rajjâl ibn-‘Unfuwah, who was immediately killed by Allah's help. Many of the distinguished men and "Koran-readers" among the Moslems fell martyrs. The Moslems then returned and went back, but Allah favored them with a victory and made the people of al-Yamâmah take to flight. The Moslems pursued them,

2 Cf. Ibn-Durai‘id, p. 23.
inflicting horrible death on them. Muḥākkim was hit by an arrow shot by 'Abd-ar-Raḥmān ibn-abi-Bakr as-Ṣiddīk, the brother of 'Ā'ishah through her father, and he fell dead. The "infidels" took refuge in al-Ḥadiḳah which was since that day called "Ḥadiḳat al-Maut." ¹ In al-Ḥadiḳah, Musailimah was killed by Allah's help. Banu-'Amr ibn-Lu'ai ibn-Ghālib said that he was killed by Khidāsh ibn-Bashīr ibn-al-ᾲṣamm of the banu-Ma‘īṣ ibn-‘Āmir ibn-Lu'ai; but certain Anṣār say that he was killed by 'Abdallāh ibn-Zaid ibn-Tha‘labah of the banu-l-Ḥārith ibn-al-Khazraj, who was shown a vision of the call for prayer.² Still others assert that he was killed by abu-Dujānah Simāk ibn-Kharashah who later fell as martyr; and others, by 'Abdallah ibn-Zaid ibn-‘Āṣim, a brother of Ḥabīb ibn-Zaid of the banu-Mabdḥūl of the banu-an-Najjār. This Ḥabīb had his hands and feet once cut off by Musailimah. Waḥshi ibn-Ḥarb al-Ḥabashi, the murderer of Ḥamzah, claimed that he was the one who killed Musailimah, and used to say, "I killed the best of all people and the worst of all people." Some believe that all those mentioned above took part in killing Musailimah. Among those who claimed having killed Musailimah, was Mu‘āwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyān and the banu-Umaiyah credited him for it.

Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashḵī quotes from one who was present when 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān asked a man of the banu-Ḥanifah who witnessed the battle of al-Yamāmah as to who was the one who killed Musailimah, to which the latter replied, "He was killed by one whose description is as follows:" "By Allah", exclaimed 'Abd-al-Malik, "thou hast decided the question of his killing in favor of Mu‘āwiyah."

According to a report, when the false Prophet was seized

¹ "The park of death."
² Hishām, p. 308; ibn-Duraid, pp. 268-269.
by the throat, he shouted, “O banu-Ḥanifah, fight for your relatives!” which he repeated until Allah brought about his death.

‘Abd-al-Wâhid ibn-Ghiyâth from Hishâm ibn-‘Urwah’s father:—The Arabs forsook the true faith, and abu-Bakr sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walid who met them and said, “By Allah, I shall never cease until I come face to face with Musailimah!” The Ansâr objected saying, “This idea is of your own and was not given out by abu-Bakr; take us back to al-Madinah that we may give rest to our horses.” “I shall, by Allah, never cease,” repeated Khâlid,” until I come face to face with Musailimah!” Consequently, the Ansâr left him. They then said to themselves, “What is this that we have done? If our friends win the victory, we will be reviled; and if they are defeated, we would be the cause of their defeat.” Thus they returned and joined Khâlid. The Moslems and the “polytheists” met, and the former took to flight until they got to their place of abode where as-Sâ’ib ibn-al-‘Auwâm stood up and addressed them saying, “Ye have reached, O people, your place of abode; and after his own place of abode, man has no place to flee to!” ¹ Finally, Allah caused the defeat of the “polytheists,” and Musailimah was killed. Their watchword on that occasion was “O people of the ‘Sūrah of the Cow’!”

I was told by one of the inhabitants of al-Yamâmah that some one, who was under the protection of the banu-Ḥanifah, repeated the following verse when Muḥakkim was killed:

“If I escape from it, I escape from that which is a calamity; otherwise out of the same vessel I shall drink.”

*Mujjâ‘ah makes terms.* By this time, the Moslems were

¹ An Arabic proverb.
worn out by war and entirely exhausted. But Mujjā’ah said to Khâlid, “Most of the people of al-Yamâmah did not go out to fight you, and what ye have killed is only the small minority. In spite of that they have exhausted your utmost effort as I see. Nevertheless I am ready to make terms with you on their behalf.” Accordingly, he made terms with Khâlid, agreeing to give one-half of the captives, gold, silver, coats of mail and horses. Having trusted him, Khâlid sent him back to his men. As soon as he entered al-Yamâmah he ordered the boys, women and aged men of al-Yamâmah to put on their arms and hold the forts. As Khâlid and the Moslems looked toward them, they entertained no doubt that they were fighters, and they said, “Mujjā’ah has told us the truth.” Then Mujjâ‘ah came out to the Moslems’ camp and said, “The people refused the terms which I made with you, and there are the fortifications of al-‘Irād manned to their fullest capacity. But I kept urging them until they agreed to make terms on one-fourth of the captives and one-half of the gold, silver, coats of mail and horses.” Both parties agreed on these terms, and Khâlid accepted them and signed his name. Mujjâ‘ah then came with Khâlid to al-Yamâmah. Seeing those left in it, Khâlid turned to Mujjā‘ah and said, “Thou hast cheated me, Mujjâ‘ah.” The people of al-Yamâmah at last accepted Islâm, and the ṣadakah was taken from them.

Khâlid reinforces al-‘Alâ‘. Khâlid received the message of abu-Bakr directing him to reinforce al-‘Alâ‘ ibn-al-Ḥādrami. Accordingly, he started for al-Baḥrain and left in his place over al-Yamâmah Samurah ibn-‘Amr al-‘Anbari. The conquest of al-Yamâmah was effected in the year 12.

A description of Musailimah. I was told by abu-Rabâh al-Yamâmi, on the authority of certain sheikhs from al-Yamâmah, that Musailimah, the false Prophet, was short, exceedingly pale, with a camois and flat nose. He was
nicknamed abu-Thumâmah, and according to others, abu-Thumâlah. His muezzin\(^1\) was one, Ḥujair, who in calling to prayer used to chant, "I testify that Musailimah claims to be the Prophet of Allah." Remarking on this, some one said, "Ḥujair has expressed it eloquently," which phrase has since become a proverb.

*Those who fell martyrs in al-Yamâmah.* Among those who suffered martyrdom in al-Yamâmah were abu-Ḥudhaifah ibn-ʿUtbah ibn-Rabīʿah ibn-ʿAbd-Shams, whose first name was Hushaim, and some say Mihsham;\(^2\) Sâlim, a freedman of abu-Ḥudhaifah surnamed abu-Abdallâh, and who was a freedman\(^3\) of Thubaitah daughter of Yaʿār\(^4\) of the Anṣâr (and others say Nubaithah who was a woman); Khâlid ibn-Asîd ibn-ābi-l-ʾĪṣ ibn-Umâiyah; ʿAbdallâh, i. e., Al-Ḥakam ibn-Saʿîd ibn-al-ʾĀṣi ibn-Umâiyah, who, according to others, was killed in the battle of Muʿtah; Shujâʿ ibn-Wahb al-Asadi, an ally of the banu-Umâiyah, whose surname was abu-Wahb; ʿat-Ṭufail ibn-ʿAmr ad-Dausi of al-Azd; Yazîd ibn-Rukaish al-Asadi, an ally of the banu-Umâiyah; Makhramah ibn-Shuraiḥ al-Ḥadrâmi, an ally of the banu-Umâiyah, as-Sâʾîb ibn-al-ʿAuwâm, a brother of az-Zubair ibn-al-ʿAuwâm; al-Walîd ibn-ʿAbd-Shams ibn-al-Mughirah-l-Makhzûmî; as-Sâʾîb ibn-ʿUthmân ibn-Maṣʿûn al-Jumâḥî; and Zaid ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb ibn-Nufail, a brother of ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, who, according to some, was killed by abu-Maryam al-Ḥanâfî whose proper name was Šubaiḥ ibn-Muḥarrîsh. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, Zaid was killed by Labid ibn-Burghuth al-ʾIjli, who later came to ʿUmar and ʿUmar said to him, "Thou art the sacks" (his name, Labid,

---

\(^1\) The chanter who calls to prayer from the minaret.

\(^2\) Hishâm, p. 165.

\(^3\) Hishâm, pp. 422 and 486.

\(^4\) Ibid., p. 322.
meaning sacks). The surname of Zaid was abu-‘Abd-ar-Raḥmân, and he was the senior of ‘Umar. According to some, the proper name of abu-Maryam was Iyâs ibn-Ṣubaiḥ, and he was the first in the time of ‘Umar to hold the position of ḳâḍî in al- Başrah. He died in Sanbil which lies in al-Ahwâz. Other martyrs were abu-Ḳais ibn-al-Ḥârîth ibn-‘Adi ibn-Sahm; ‘Abdallâh ibn-al-Ḥârîth ibn-Ḳais; Saliṭ ibn-‘Amr, a brother of Suhail ibn-‘Amr of the banu-‘Amr ibn-Lu’ai; and Iyâs ibn-al-Bukair al-Kinâni. Among the Anṣâr, the following suffered martyrdom: ‘Abbâd ibn-al-Ḥârîth ibn-‘Adi of the banu-Jahjaba of al-Aus; ‘Abbâd ibn-Bishr ibn-Wâṣkh al-Asshali of al-Aus, surnamed abu-ar-Rabi’, and according to others, abu-Bishr; Mâlik ibn-Aus ibn-‘Atîk al-Asshali; abu-‘Aṣîl ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-Ṭha’labah ibn-Baiḥân al-Balawi, an ally of the banu-Jahjaba, and whose proper name was ‘Abd-al-‘Uzza, but who was called by the Prophet “‘Abd-ar-Raḥmân the enemy of the idols”; 92 Surâkârah ibn-Ka‘b ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Uzza an-Najjâri of al-Khazraj; ‘Umârah ibn-Ḥazm ibn-Zaid ibn-Laudhân an-Najjâri (who is supposed by others to have died in the time of Mu‘awiyah); Ḥâbib ibn-‘Amr ibn-Mihşan an-Najjâri; Ma’n ibn-‘Adi ibn-al-Jadd ibn-al-‘Ajlân al-Balawi of the Ḵuḍâ‘ah, and an ally of the Anṣâr; Thâbit ibn-Ḳais ibn-Shammâs ibn-abî-Zuhair the ḥâṭîb of the Prophet and who was of the banu-l-Ḥârîth ibn-al-Khazraj (whose surname was abu-Muḥammad, and who at that time was the commander of the Anṣâr); abu-Ḥannah ibn-Ghuzaïyah ibn-‘Amr one of the banu-Mâzin ibn-an-Najjâr; al-‘Âṣî ibn-Ṭha’labah al-Dausi of al-Azd, an ally of the Anṣâr; abu-Dujânah Simâk ibn-Aus ibn-Kharashah ibn-Laudhân as-Sâ’idi of al-Khazraj; abu-Usaid Mâlik ibn-Rabi‘ah as-Sâ’idi (others say he died in al-Madinah, year 60); ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-Ubai ibn-Mâlik (whose first name was al-Ḥubâb but who was given by the Prophet his father’s name. His
father played the hypocrite in religion. He is the one called ibn-Ubai ibn-Salûl, Salûl being the mother of Ubai and of [the clan of] Khuzâ‘ah, and he bears her name. His father was Mâlik ibn-al-Ḥârith of the banu-l-Khazraj (others say he suffered martyrdom in the battle of Juwâtha at al-Baḥrain); ‘Ukbab ibn-‘Amir ibn-Nâbi’ of the banu-Salimah of al-Khazraj; and al-Ḥârith ibn-Ka‘b ibn-‘Amr of the banu-an-Najjâr. The Prophet had sent Ḥabîb ibn-Zaid ibn-Āsim of the banu-Mabdhûl ibn-‘Amr ibn-Ghanm ibn-Mâzin ibn-an-Najjâr, together with ‘Abdallâh ibn-Wahb al-Aslami to Musailimah. Musailimah did not molest ‘Abdallâh, but cut off the hands and feet of Ḥabîb. The mother of Ḥabîb was Nusaibah, daughter of Ka‘b. According to al-Wâkidî, the two men [sent by the Prophet] came from ‘Umân in the company of ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Âṣî. Musailimah drove them back. ‘Amr and all those in his company escaped, with the exception of these two who were captured. In the battle of al-Yamâmah, Nusaibah took part in the fight and returned with a number of wounds inflicted on her. She is the mother of Ḥabîb and ‘Abdallâh, the sons of Zaid. She also took part in the battle of Uhud, and was one of the two women who “swore allegiance” in the day of al-‘Akbâbah.¹ Other martyrs of the battle of al-Yamâmah were ‘A‘idh ibn-Mâ‘îs az-Zurâkî of al-Khazraj and Yazid ibn-Thâbit al-Khazrajî, a brother of Zaid ibn-Thâbit, the authority on “The Laws of Heritage.”²

Regarding the number of those that fell as martyrs in al-Yamâmah, there is no agreement. The minimum estimate mentioned is 700, the maximum 1,700, while others assert that they were 1,200.

¹ Hishâm, pp. 312 seq.
Mujjā'ah is assigned al-Ghūrah and other fiefs. Al-Ḵāsim ibn-Sallām from Hishām ibn-Ismā'īl:—There came to the Prophet Mujjā’ah-l-Yamāmi to whom the Prophet gave a fief and wrote the following statement: "In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This statement is written by Muḥammad, the Messenger of Allah, to Mujjā’ah ibn-Murārah ibn-Sulmi. I give thee as fief al-Ghūrah, Ghurābah,¹ and al-Ḥubal. If any one objects, refer him to me." (Al-Ghūrah is the chief village of al-Ghurābāt and is close to Kārāt.) After the death of the Prophet, Mujjā’ah came to abu-Bakr, who assigned him as fief al-Khīdrimah. Later he came to ‘Umar, who assigned to him ar-ṽaiya. After that he came to ‘Uthmān, who assigned to him another fief "the name of which," says al-Ḥārith,² "I do not remember."


The "park of death." Muḥammad ibn-Thumāl al-Yamāmi from certain sheikhs:—The Ḥadikah was called Ḥadikat al-Maut [the park of death] because of the great number of people that were slain in it. In the time of al-Ma’mūn, Ishāḵ ibn-abi-Khamisah, a freedman of Ḳais, built in it a cathedral mosque. The Ḥadikah before that time was known as Ubād.

According to Muḥammad ibn-Thumāl, the Kaṣr al-Ward ³ was named after al-Ward ibn-as-Samin ibn-‘Ubaid al-Ḥanafi. According to another, the fortification was called Muʿtik [i. e., emancipator] because of its strength, indicat-

¹ Bakri, vol. ii, p. 703: "‘Awānah".

² Ibn-Murrah-l-Ḥanafi, one of the intermediary reporters of this tradition.

³ Yāḵūt, Marāṣid, under Ward.
ing thereby that he who takes refuge in it is safe from his enemy.

Ar-Raiya spring. Ar-Raiya was a spring from which the aš-Ša‘fūkāh, as well as al-Khuyaibah and al-Khidrimah, got their drinking water, aš-Ša‘fūkāh ¹ being a crown-land thus called after one of the agents over it, whose name was Sa‘fūk.

¹ Bakri, p. 607.
CHAPTER XIX

THE APOSTASY OF THE ARABS IN THE CALIPHATE OF ABU-BAKR AS-ṢIDDIQ

Abu-Bakr threatens those who withhold ṣadaqah. When abu-Bakr was proclaimed caliph, certain Arab tribes apostatized from Islâm and withheld the ṣadaqah. Some of them, however, said, “We shall observe prayer but not pay zakât.” In reference to that abu-Bakr said, “If they refuse me a one-year ṣadaqah, ¹ I shall surely fight against them.” According to other reports he said, “If they refuse me a two-year ṣadaqah.”

‘Abdallâh ibn-Ṣâlih al-‘Ijli from ash-Sha‘bi:—‘Abdallâh ibn-Mas‘ûd said, “After the death of the Prophet we found ourselves in a state in which we would have perished had not Allah favored us with abu-Bakr. By the consensus of opinion, we agreed not to fight on a female camel that had entered on its second year or a male camel that had entered on its third year, but appropriate for ourselves the income of Ƙura ‘Arabiyah ² and worship Allah until the right course is revealed unto us.” Allah gave orders to abu-Bakr to fight them. Then, by Allah, abu-Bakr was not satisfied by anything but one of two:—a humiliating plan or an evacuating war. As for the humiliating plan, it was that they acknowledge that those of their number who were killed went to hell, and that our property that fell into their hands should be returned to us; and the evacuating war was that they leave their homes.

¹ ‘Ar. ‘iḥâl, see an-Nasâ‘i, Sunan, vol. i, p. 335.
² Yahya ibn-Adam, p. 122; Bakri, p. 657.
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The delegation of Busâ’khah. Ibrâhîm ibn-Muhammad from Târik ibn-Shihâb:—A delegation from Buzâ’khah came to abu-Bakr and he gave them their choice between “the evacuating war” or “the humiliating peace”. To this they replied, “‘The evacuating war’ we have known what it is, what is then ‘the humiliating peace?’” “It is,” said abu-Bakr, “that we deprive you of the coats of mail and horses, and keep the booty we took from you; and that ye return the booty ye took from us, pay bloodwit for those of us who were slain and consider those of you who were slain to be in hell-fire.”

Shujâ’ ibn-Mukhallad al-Fallâs from ‘A’ishah the “mother of the Believers”:—The latter said, “After the death of the Prophet, what befell my father would have softened the firm mountains if it had befallen them. Hypocrisy in al-Madinah exalted itself, and the Arabs apostatized from their faith. By Allah, not a point they disagreed upon, which my father did not cause to disappear as something without which Islam could do [?].”

Abu-Bakr dispatches an army. Abu-Bakr set out to al-Kaṣṣah in the land which belongs to Muḥârib in order to direct the armies marching against the apostates. He was accompanied by the Moslems. Those who went against the Moslems were Khârijah ibn-Ḥisn ibn-Ḥudhaifah ibn-Badr al-Fazârî and Manṣûr ibn-Zabbân ibn-Saiyâr al-Fazârî of the banu-l-‘Usharâ’, who were joined with the tribe of Ghaṭafân. The fight raged fiercely but the “polytheists” were put to flight, and abu-Bakr sent Ṭalḥah ibn-‘Ubaidallâh at-Tâimi in their pursuit. Ṭalḥah fell upon them at the lower part of Thanâya ‘Ausajah where he killed

1 Abu-Bakr.
3 Ibn-Sa’d, vol. iii1, p. 37.
only one of them, all the rest having fled away, and he could not catch up with them. This made Khârijah ibn-Ḥiṣn repeat, "Woe to the Arabs because of ibn-abi-Ḳuhâfah [i.e. abu-Bakr]."

While abu-Bakr was at al-Ḳaṣṣah, he set Khâlid ibn-al-Walid ibn-al-Mughirah al-Makhzûmi in command over the people, and sent over the Anṣâr Thâbit ibn-Ḳais ibn-Shammâs al-Anṣâri, who was one of those that [later] suffered martyrdom in the battle of al-Yamâmah. Thâbit, however, was subordinate to Khâlid. Abu-Bakr ordered Khâlid to direct his course towards Ṭulaiḥah ibn-Khuwailid al-Asadi, who had claimed to be a prophet and was then at Buzâkhah. This Buzâkhah is a spring belonging to the banu-Asad ibn-Khuza'imah. Khâlid set out against him and sent before him ‘Ukkâshah ibn-Mihâsan al-Asadi, an ally of the banu-‘Abd-Shams, together with Thâbit ibn-Akrâm al-Balâwi, an ally of the Anṣâr. Hibâl ibn-Khuwailid met them and was slain by them. Having heard the news, Ṭulaiḥah with his brother, Salamah, set out and, meeting ‘Ukkâshah and Thâbit, slew them both. Regarding this event Ṭulaiḥah sang:

"As I saw their faces I thought of my brother Hibâl and was sure that I was going to avenge his death. It was on the evening of that day that I left ibn-Akrâm in his grave, together with ‘Ukkâshah al-Ghanmî, by the battlefield."

The Moslems and their enemy at last met and a fierce battle was fought. With Ṭulaiḥah in the fight was ‘Uyainah ibn-Ḥiṣn ibn-Ḥudhâifah ibn-Badr at the head of 700 men of the banu-Fazârah. When ‘Uyainah saw the swords of the

2 He imitated Muḥammad by composing saj or rhyming prose, see Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 1738; and Goldziher, Muhammedanische Studien, vol. ii, p. 4001.
3 Hishâm, p. 453.
Moslems butchering the "polytheists," he came to Ṭulaiḥah asking, "Canst thou not see what the army of abu-l-Faṣīl \(^1\) is doing, and did not Gabriel bring thee any message?" "Yes" said Ṭulaiḥah, "Gabriel came to me and said 'Thou wilt have a grinding stone as he has, and a day that thou wilt never forget!'" \(^2\) "By Allah," cried 'Uyainah, "I believe that thou wilt have a day which thou wilt never forget. O banu-Fazârah, this is a false prophet." Saying this, he left Ṭulaiḥah's army which was soon after defeated. The Moslems were victorious. 'Uyainah ibn-Ḥiṣn was taken captive and brought to al-Madinah. Abu-Bakr spared his life and set him free. Ṭulaiḥah ibn-Khwâlid took to flight and entered a tent of his, where he took a bath and went out. Then he rode on his horse, intending to visit the sacred places, and came to Makkah, then to al-Madīnah professing Islâm. According to others, he came to Syria, was taken hold of by those of the Moslems who were on a campaign, and sent to abu-Bakr in al-Madīnah, where he became Moslem. Later, he distinguished himself in the conquest of al-‘Irâk and Nihâwand. One day, 'Umar said to him, "Didst thou kill the faithful servant 'Ukkâshah ibn-Miḥṣan?" And he replied, "I have been the means of bringing about the welfare of 'Ukkâshah ibn-Miḥṣan; and he has been the means of bringing about \(^3\) my misery. I beg Allah's pardon upon me."

Dâ'ūd ibn-Ḥibâl al-Asadi told me on the authority of certain sheikhs among his people that 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb said to Ṭulaiḥah, "Thou didst lie before Allah when thou didst claim that he revealed to thee the text, 'Allah has nothing to do with the dust on your faces and

---

\(^1\) Khâlid's surname was abu-Sulaimân, see Nawâwi, p. 224; and ibn-Kutaibah, Kitâb al-Mâ'ârif, p. 90.


\(^3\) Cf. Tabari, vol. i, p. 1898.
the ugliness of your hinder parts. When ye therefore mention Allah, be abstemious and stand upright, for, verily, froth is on the surface of what is pure.’”¹ “‘Commander of the Believers’” replied Ṭulaiḥah, “this is one of the corruptions of unbelief which has altogether been destroyed by Islâm. I am not, therefore, to be scolded for holding a part of it.” ‘Umar remained silent.

Khâlid in Rammân and Abânain. Khâlid ibn-al-Walîid came to Rammân² and Abânain³ where the remnant of the army of Buzâkhah stood. They refrained from fighting against him and swore allegiance before him to Abu-Bakr.

Banu-‘Āmir ibn-Ṣa‘ṣâ‘ah embrace Islâm. Khâlid ibn-al-Walîid sent Hishâm ibn-al-‘Āṣi ibn-Wâ’il as-Sahmi, a brother of ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi, one of the early Moslems and one of the Emigrants to Abyssinia, to the banu-‘Āmir ibn Ṣa‘ṣa‘ah. Banu-‘Āmir did not resist him and professed Islâm and practised the call to prayer. So he left them.

Kurrah’s life spared. Kurrah ibn-Hubairah-Il-Kushairi, having refused to pay sadâkah and reinforced Ṭulaiḥah, was taken by Hishâm ibn-al-‘Āṣi to Khâlid. The latter carried him to Abu-Bakr to whom Kurrah said, “By Allah I never forsook my faith since I became a believer. As ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi on his way back from ‘Umân passed by me, I treated him hospitably and was loyal to him.” ‘Amr was questioned by Abu-Bakr regarding that, and he corroborated the statement. Consequently, Abu-Bakr spared Kurrah’s life.

Others assert that Khâlid advanced to the land of the banu-‘Āmir, took Kurrah captive and sent him to Abu-Bakr.

The battle of al-Ghamr. Then Khâlid ibn-al-Walîid ad-

¹ Freytag, Prov., vol. i, p. 174, no. 80, and p. 731, no. 63.
³ or Abânân; Yâkût, vol. i, p. 75; Bakrî, p. 63.
vanced to al-Ghamr where a band of the banu-Asad, Ghaṭafân and others had gathered under the leadership of Khârijah ibn-Ḥiṣn ibn-Ḥudhaifah. According to others, they had on different days different leaders, and each party had its own leader drawn from its own ranks. They fought against Khâlid and the Moslems, with the result that some of them were killed and the others took to flight. With reference to the battle of al-Ghamr says al-Ḥuṭai’ah-ı-‘Absi:

"Yea, may all short and humble lances be sacrificed, in favor of the horsemen's lances at al-Ghamr!"

Khâlid meets abu-Shajarah. Thence Khâlid moved to Jau Қurâkîr. Others say he moved to an-Nuṣrah. There a crowd was gathered by the banu-Sulaim and put under the leadership of abu-Shajarah 'Amr ibn-'Abd-al-'Uzza as-Sulami whose mother was al-Khansâ. They fought against Khâlid, and one of the Moslems fell a martyr. By Allah’s help at last, the “polytheists”’ troops were dispersed, and Khâlid had on that day the apostates burned. When abu-Bakr was told about it, he said, “I shall not sheathe a sword that Allah had unsheathed against the ‘unbelievers.’” Abu-Shajarah accepted İslâm, and coming to ‘Umar found him distributing alms among the poor, so he begged for some. ‘Umar asked him, “Art thou not the one who said:

‘I quenched my lance’s thirst on Khâlid’s troops, and I hope after this that my life will be prolonged’?”

Saying this, he lashed him with the whip. “İslâm, O ‘Commander of the Believers,’ ” replied abu-Shajarah, “has blotted all this out.”

1 Skizzen, vol. vi, p. 11, note 1.
4 Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 1907.
Al-Fujā'ah put to death by fire. There came to Abu-Bakr one, Al-Fujā'ah, whose proper name was Bujair ibn-Iyās ibn-'Abdallāh as-Sulami, and said to him, “Give me horse and arms that I may fight against the apostates.” Abu-Bakr gave him horse and arms. Al-Fujā'ah began to molest the people, killing both Moslems and apostates. He, moreover, gathered a large body of men. Abu-Bakr wrote to Ṭuraifah ibn-Ḥājizah, a brother of Ma‘n ibn-Ḥājizah, ordering him to go against him. This, ibn-Ḥājizah did and captured him. He then sent him to Abu-Bakr, who ordered him burned in the neighborhood of al-Muṣalla [place of prayer]. Others say that Abu-Bakr wrote to Ma‘n concerning Al-Fujā'ah, and Ma‘n directed against him his brother, Ṭuraifah, who captured him.

Khālid in al-Butāḥ and al-Ba‘ūḍah. Later, Khālid set out against those of the banu-Tamim who were in al-Butāḥ and al-Ba‘ūḍah. They fought against him, but he dispersed them killing Mālik ibn-Nuwairah, a brother of Mutammam ibn-Nuwairah. This Mālik was the Prophet's 'āmil for the šadākahs of the banu-Ḥanẓalah. When the Prophet died Mālik held whatever was in his keeping and said to banu-Ḥanẓalah, “Keep your own money.”

Mālik beheaded. According to other reports, Khālid met nobody in either al-Butāḥ or al-Ba‘ūḍah, but he sent detachments among the banu-Tamim, one of which was under Dirār ibn-al-Azwar al-Asadi. Dirār met Mālik and, as a result of the conflict which ensued, Dirār took Mālik and some others captive, and brought them before Khālid. In accordance with Khālid’s orders, their heads were cut off, Ḍirār with his own hand cutting off that of Mālik. Ac-

1 Yāḥūt, vol. i, p. 661.
2 Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 1924.
cording to certain reports, Mâlik said to Khâlid, "By Allah, I did not apostatize!" And Abu-Katâdah-al-Anṣâri
gave witness that the banu-Ḥanẓalah had laid down their
arms and made the public call to prayer. Hearing this, 'Umar
ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb said to Abu-Bakr, "Thou hast sent a man
who kills Moslems and tortures by fire!"

It is reported that Mutammam ibn-Nuwairah once came
to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb who asked him, "How far did thy
sorrow over they brother, Mâlik, carry thee?" "I wept
over him for one year," said Mutammam, "until my sound
eye envied the one that had gone; and never did I see fire
without feeling as if my grief was strong enough to kill
me, because he always left his fire burning till the morning,
lest a guest should come and fail to locate his place."
'Umar then asked for a description of him, and Mutammam
said, "He used to ride a restive steed and lead a slow-paced
camel, while he would be between two water bags exuding
water in the chilly night, wrapped up in a loose garment,
and armed with a long lance. Thus would he go through
the night until the morn. His face was a fragment of a
moon." ¹ "Sing me," said 'Umar, "some of what thou
hast composed regarding him." And Mutammam repeated
the elegy in which he said:

"For a long time we were boon companions like the two fellow-drink-
ers of Jadhimah,
that people said, 'They will never be separated!'" ²

"If I could write good poetry" remarked 'Umar, "I would
have written an elegy on my brother, Zaid." "It is not a
parallel case, 'Commander of the Believers'"', answered
Mutammam, "had my brother met the same death that thy
brother has met, I would not have mourned over him."

"Nobody did ever console me," said ‘Umar, "as well as thou didst." 1

Sajäh the Prophetess. Umm-Ṣâdir Sajâh, daughter of Aus ibn-Ḥîkk ibn-Usâmah ibn-al-Ghanîz ibn-Yarbû’ ibn-Ḥâzalâh ibn-Mâlik ibn-Zâid Ma’nât ibn-Tamîm (others say she was Sajâh, daughter of al-Ḥârîth ibn-‘Ukfân ibn-Suwâid ibn-Khâlid ibn-Usâmah), claimed to be a prophetess and a soothsayer. 2 She was followed by some of the Banu-Tamîm and some of her uncles on her mother’s side of the Banu-Taghlîb. One day she composed the following rhyming sentences: "The Lord of heavens orders you to carry out against ar-Ribâb 3 invasions." She invaded them but was defeated by them, they being the only ones who fought against her. 4 She then came to Musailîmah-î-Kadhhdhâb [the false Prophet] at Ḥajjar and married him, 5 making her religion one with his. When he was killed, she returned to her brethren and there she died. According to Ibn-al-Kalbi, however, Sajâh accepted Islâm and emigrated to al-Baṣrah and remained a good Moslem. ‘Abd-al-A‘la ibn-Ḥâmmâd an-Narsi heard it said by certain sheikhs of al-Baṣrah that Samûrah ibn-Jundab al-Fâzâri led her funeral service as he was the governor of al-Baṣrah under Mu‘âwiyah before the arrival of ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ziyyâd from Khurâsân to assume the office of governor of al-Baṣrah. Ibn-al-Kalbi added that the muezzin of Sajâh was al-Janabah 6 ibn-Ṭâriḵ ibn-‘Amr ibn-Ḥauṭ ar-Riyyâhî, and others say 7 it was Shabath ibn-Rib‘î ar-Riyyāhî.

3 The confederate tribes of Tai, ‘Adi and ‘Ukl.
6 Dhahabi, Mushtabih, p. 141.
7 Duraïd, p. 137.
The insurrection of Khaulân. Khaulân in al-Yaman having apostatized, ‘Umar sent against them Ya‘la ibn-Munyah (Munyah, his mother, was of the banu-Mâzin ibn-Manṣûr ibn-‘Ikrimah ibn-Khaṣafah ibn-Ḵais ibn-‘Ailân ibn-Muḍar, and his father was Umaiyyah ibn-abi-ʿUbaidah, one of the sons of Mâlik ibn-Ḥaẓalâh ibn-Mâlik, an ally of the banu-Naufal ibn-‘Abd-Manâf) who won a great victory over them and carried away booty and captives. According to others, however, he met no resistance, and all of them returned to Islâm.
CHAPTER XX

The Apostasy of the Banu-Wali‘ah and Al-Ash‘ath ibn-Ma‘dikarib ibn-Mu‘awiya-l-Kindi

The cause of the insurrection of Kindah. The Prophet sent Ziyâd ibn-Labîd al-Bayâdî of the Anṣâr as governor to Ḥaḍramaut; later extending his power over the Kindah. According to others, it was abu-Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddîk who extended his power over the Kindah. This Ziyâd ibn-Labîd was a resolute and sturdy man, and took young she-camels as sadakah from a certain man of the banu-Kindah. The Kindah man asked him to return them and take something else, but having marked them with the sadakah brand, Labîd refused his request. Labid was approached by al-Ash‘ath ibn-Ḳais, but still he refused saying, “Never will I return a thing that has been branded with the mark.” This caused an uprising of all Kindah against him with the exception of as-Sakûn who still adhered to his side. Hence the verse of their poet:

“It was we that came to the rescue of the faith, when our people miserably went astray and we supported ibn-ummi-Ziyâd.

From the right claim of al-Bayâdî we sought not to deviate, and the piety of Allah was our best provision.”

Banu-‘Amr gathered against Labîd. Against Labîd were assembled the banu-‘Amr ibn-Mu‘awiya ibn-al-Ḥârith al-Kindi. Labid, at the head of the Moslems, attacked them during the night time and killed many, among whom were Mikhwas, Mishrah, Jamad and Abda‘ah the sons of Ma‘di-karib ibn-Wali‘ah ibn-Shu‘aḥbîl ibn-Mu‘awiya ibn-Ḥujr
al-Karid (Karid in their dialect means horse) ibn-al-Hārith al-Wallādah ibn-‘Amr ibn-Mu‘āwiyah ibn-al-Hārith. These four brothers were in possession of so many valleys that they were called the “four kings.” Previous to this, they had presented themselves before the Prophet, but later on they apostatized. Their sister, al-‘Amarradah, was killed by one who mistook her for a man.

Ziyād fights against al-Ash’ath. As Ziyād returned with captives and booty, he passed by al-Ash’ath ibn-Ḳais and his people. Seeing him, the women and children began to cry\(^1\) which made al-Ash’ath burn with indignation, and set out with a band of his men.\(^2\) He fell upon Ziyād and his companions, and many Moslems were lost. The Moslems were then defeated, and all the great men of Kindah rallied to the support of al-Ash’ath ibn-Ḳais. Seeing this, Ziyād wrote to abu-Bakr asking for reinforcement. Abu-Bakr wrote to al-Muhājir ibn-abi-Umaiyyah, ordering him to reinforce Ziyād. Ziyād and al-Muhājir, at the head of the Moslems, met al-Ash’ath and dispelled his men, and attacking his companions, made a fearful slaughter among them. Thence al-Ash’ath’s men took refuge in a fortification of theirs, an-Nujair, where the Moslems besieged them. The siege was pressed until they were exhausted and al-Ash’ath sought safety for a certain number of his men. He did not include himself in that number because al-Jifshish\(^3\) al-Kindi, whose name was Ma’dān ibn-al-Aswad ibn-Ma‘dikarib, holding him by the waist, said, “Include me in that number.”\(^4\) Thus al-Ash’ath excluded himself in favor of al-Jifshish. Al-Ash’ath presented himself before Ziyād ibn-


\(^2\) Ya’kūbi, vol. ii, p. 149.


Labid and al-Muhâjir who sent him to Abu-Bakr. The latter favored him by giving to him in marriage his sister umm-Farwah, daughter of Abu-Kuḥâfah, who later gave birth to Muḥammad, Ishâk, Kuraibah, Ḥubâbah and Ja’dah. According to others, Abu-Bakr gave him in marriage his sister Kuraibah; and when he married her, he came to the market, and every slaughtered camel he saw, he cut its two heel-tendons, paid its price and gave it to the people to eat. After living in al-Madinah, he set out on a razzia to Syria and al-‘Irâk. His death took place at al-Kûfah where his funeral service was conducted by al-Ḥasan ibn-‘Ali ibn-abi-Tâlib, after the latter had been reconciled with Mu‘awiyyah. This al-Ash‘ath was surnamed Abu-Muḥammad and nicknamed "‘Urf an-Nâr" [the fire-crest].

The insurrection of the banu-Wali‘ah and al-Ash‘ath. According to other reports, the banu-Wali‘ah apostatized before the Prophet’s death. When Ziyâd ibn-Labid heard of his death, he called the people to swear allegiance to Abu-Bakr, which they all did with the exception of the banu-Wali‘ah. Ziyâd fell upon them in the night time and killed them. Al-Ash‘ath apostatized and fortified himself in an-Nujair where he was besieged by Ziyâd ibn-Labid and al-Muhâjir who joined hands against him. Abu-Bakr sent ‘Ikrimah ibn-abi-Jahl, after his departure from ‘Umân, to reinforce them; but on his arrival, an-Nujair was already reduced. Abu-Bakr requested the Moslems to share the booty with him, which they did.

Ath-Thabjâ’ and Hind severely punished. It is reported that certain women at an-Nujair having rejoiced at the death of the Prophet, Abu-Bakr wrote ordering that their hands and feet be cut off. Among these women were ath-Thabjâ’ al-Ḥaḍramiyah, and Hind, daughter of Yamin, the Jewess.

The Prophet assigns governors to Ṣanʿā', Kindah, Ḥadrāmaut and aṣ-Ṣadif. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from certain sheikhs of al-Yaman:—The Prophet made Khālid ibn-Saʿīd ibn-al-ʿĀṣi governor of Ṣanʿā’, but he was driven out of it by al-ʿAnsi, the false Prophet. Over the Kindah, he assigned al-Muhājir ibn-abi-Umaiyah; over Ḥadrāmaut and aṣ-Ṣadif, Ziyād ibn-Labid al-Anṣāri. Aṣ-Ṣadif were the descendants of Mālik ibn-Muratti ibn-Muʿāwiyah ibn-Kindah. They were called Ṣadīf because Muratti married a woman from Ḥadrāmaut and made it a condition that she would take up her abode with him, and in case she bore a child he would not force her to remain away from her people's home. She did bear a child, Mālik, and the judge decided that Murratti should send her back to her people. When Mālik left him with her, Murratti said, "Mālik turned away [Ar.-ṣadafa] from me." Hence the name aṣ-Ṣadīf.

The insurrection of the banu-ʿAmr. ‘Abd-ar-Razzāk said that he was told by certain sheikhs from al-Yaman that abu-Bakr wrote to Ziyād ibn-Labid and to al-Muhājir ibn-abi-Umaiyah-I-Makhzūmi who was then over Kindah, ordering them to come together and work hand in hand and with one accord in order to secure for him the caliphate and fight against him who refrains from paying ṣadakah, and that they should get the help of the Believers against the Unbelievers and of the obedient against the disobedient and transgressors. Once they took as ṣadakah from a Kindah man a youthful she-camel. He asked them to change it for another. Al-Muhājir allowed it, but Ziyād insisted on keeping the camel saying, "Never will I return it after being stamped with the ṣadakah brand." Therefore, the banu-ʿAmr ibn-Muʿāwiyah gathered a large body of men.

1 Khallikān, vol. iv, pp. 595-596.
Then said Ziyâd ibn-Labîd to al-Muhâjir, "Thou dost see this crowd. It is not wise to have us all leave our position. Separate, therefore, thyself with a band of men from the main army, and that will keep our plans concealed. Then I will attack these 'unbelievers' in their homes at night." Ziyâd was resolute and sturdy. He went against the banu-'Amr and, under the cover of the night, fell upon them and some of them began to kill the others. At last Ziyâd and al-Muhâjir met accompanied by the captives and prisoners. They were intercepted by al-Ash'ath ibn-Ḳais and the leading men of Kindah, who fought a fierce battle against them. At last the Kindis fortified themselves in an-Nujair, where the siege was pressed against them until they were exhausted and greatly damaged and al-Ash'ath surrendered. Some say that the Ḥaḍramaut had come to reinforce the Kindah but were met by Ziyâd and al-Muhâjir who defeated them.

The apostasy of Khaulân. Now Khaulân apostatized, and abu-Bakr directed against them Ya'la ibn-Munyah who fought against them until they yielded and agreed to give šadākah. Then al-Muhâjir received abu-Bakr's letter conferring on him the governorship of Sanʿâ' and its adjoining districts, making his province border on what Ziyâd already held. Thus was al-Yaman divided among three: al-Muhâjir, Ziyâd and Ya'la. The land between the extreme limit of al-Ḥijâz and the extreme limit of Najrân was assigned to abu-Sufyân ibn-Ḥarb.

The story of al-Ash'ath. Abu-Nasr at-Tammâr from Ibrâhîm an-Nakha'i:—Al-Ash'ath ibn-Ḳais al-Kindi, together with some of the Kindah tribe, apostatized and were besieged. Al-Ash'ath secured safety for 70 of his men but did not include himself among them. He was therefore brought before abu-Bakr who said to him, "We shall cer-

tainly kill thee, as thou art under no safe conduct, having excluded thyself from that group.” “Nay,” answered al-Ash‘ath, “Thou, successor of the Messenger of Allah, wilt rather favor me with a wife.” This abu-Bakr did, giving him his own sister in marriage.

Three things abu-Bakr wished he had done. Al-Ḳâsim ibn-Sallâm abu-‘Ubaid 1 from abu-Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddîk:—The latter said, “I wish I had done three things that I did not do:—I wish I had cut off the head of al-Ash‘ath ibn-Ḳais when he was brought before me, because it seemed to me there was no sort of evil to be done which he would not attempt to do or help to bring about; I wish I had killed rather than burnt al-Fujâ‘ah when he was brought before me; and I wish I had directed ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb to al-‘Irâk as I had directed Khâlid to Syria, and thus would have extended both my right and left arms in the cause of Allah.”

The captives of an-Nujair ransomed. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ṣâliḥ al-Tjili from ash-Sha‘bi:—Abu-Bakr returned the captives of an-Nujair by ransom receiving 400 dirhams for each head. In order to pay for them, al-Ash‘ath ibn-Ḳais had to borrow from the merchants of al-Madinah. After paying the ransom of the captives, he returned the loan. Al-Ash‘ath ibn-Ḳais wrote the following elegy for Bashîr ibn-al-Audaḥ, who was one of the delegates to the Prophet and who later apostatized, Yazid ibn-Amânât and those slain in the battle of an-Nujair:—

“By my life—and life is not an insignificant thing to me—
I had the greatest right to hold tenaciously to those who fell dead.
There is no wonder except when they divide their captives;
and the world after them is not safe for me.
I am like the camel that lost her young and her milk flows,
when she longs for them and comes to the bag, stuffed with straw.
Let the tears of my eyes, therefore, flow
for the loss of the noble ibn-Amânât and the generous Bashîr.”

1 Bakri, p. 747, line 14.
CHAPTER XXI

AL-ASWAD AL-‘ANSI AND THOSE IN AL-YAMAN WHO APOSTATIZED WITH HIM

Al-Aswad al-‘ Ansi claims to be a prophet. Al-Aswad ibn-Ka‘b ibn-‘Auf al-‘ Ansī played the soothsayer [Ar. kāhin] and claimed to be a prophet. He was followed by the ‘Ans tribe which was named after Zaid ibn-Mâlik ibn-Udad ibn-Yashjub ibn-‘Arib⁴ ibn-Zaid ibn-Kahlân ibn-Saba, who was the brother of Murâd ibn-Mâlik, Khâlid ibn-Mâlik and Sa‘d al-‘ Ashirah ibn-Mâlik, together with others outside the ‘Ans tribe. Al-Aswad took for himself the name of “Raḥmân [the merciful of] al-Yaman,” as Musailimah had taken the name of “Raḥmân al-Yamâmah.”² He had a trained donkey that would bow on hearing his injunction, “Bow before thy Lord,” and that would kneel on hearing “Kneel”. Therefore, al-Aswad was called “dhu-l-Ḥimâr³ [he of the donkey]. Others say he was called “dhu-l-Khimâr” [the veiled one] because he always appeared with a veil and turban.⁴ I was told by others from al-Yaman that he was called al-Aswad because the color of his face was black, his proper name being ‘Aihalâh.

The Prophet invites him to Islâm. In the year in which the Prophet died, he sent Jarîr ibn-‘ Abdallâh al-Bajali, who

¹ Wüstenfeld, Register, p. 86.
² Hishâm, p. 200, line 3.
³ Mas‘ûdi, at-Tanbih, pp. 276-277.
had in that same year accepted Islâm, against al-Aswad, inviting him to Islâm. But al-Aswad refused. Other reports deny that the Prophet sent Jarir to al-Yaman.

_Al-Aswad as governor of Ṣan‘â’._ Al-Aswad moved against Ṣan‘â’ and reduced it, driving Khâlid ibn-Sa‘id ibn-al-‘Aṣi from it. Others say he rather drove al-Muhâjir ibn-abî-Umaiyyah, and took quarters with Ziyâd ibn-Labid al-Bayaḍî, with whom he remained until he received a message from abu-Bakr ordering him to go to the aid of Ziyâd. When the work of Ziyâd and al-Aswad was done, abu-Bakr conferred on the latter the governorship of Ṣan‘â’ and its provinces. Al-Aswad, however, was haughty and he oppressed al-Abnâ’, i.e., the descendants of the Persians who were originally sent to al-Yaman by Kisra in the company of ibn-dhi-Yazan and under the leadership of Wahriz. Al-Aswad made them serve him and compelled them to do things against their will. Moreover, he married al-Marzu-bânah, the wife of Bâdhâm their king, who was their governor under Abarwîz.¹ This made the Prophet direct against him Kâis ibn-Hubairah-l-Makshûḥ al-Murâdi (called al-Makshûḥ because he was cauterized on his side on account of a disease) instructing him to win over to his side al-Abnâ’. With al-Makshûḥ, the Prophet sent Farwah ibn-Musaik al-Murâdi. No sooner had they arrived at al-Yaman, than the news of the death of the Prophet reached them. Kâis left on al-Aswad the impression that he concurred with his opinion, and so he got his consent to enter Ṣan‘â’. Accordingly, Kâis entered Ṣan‘â’ with a group of men including among others men of [the clan of] Madhîḥîj and some from Hamdân. He then won over to his side one of al-Abnâ’, Fairûz ibn-ad-Dailami, who had accepted Islâm. Kâis and Fairûz then brought the chief of al-Abnâ’ (whose

¹ "Barwîz" in Caetani, vol. iv, p. 490.
name according to some was Bâdhâm, and according to others, Bâdhâm was dead by this time and his successor was one Dâdhawaih.¹ The latter view is more authentic). Dâdhawaih accepted Islâm.

Al-Aswad slain. Ḷais met Thât ibn-dhi-l-Ḥirrah ²-l-Ḥimyari and won him over to his side. Many missionaries were sent by Dâdhawaih among al-Abnâ’ who accepted Islâm and conspired to take al-Aswad unawares and slay him. They plotted with his wife who hated him, and she pointed out a gutter leading to his place. Through this they entered before daybreak. Some say they dug a hole through the wall of his house, through a crack,³ and found him sleeping under the influence of drink. Ḷais slew him and he began to bellow like a bull, so much so that his guard scared by the noise asked, “What is the matter with Raḥmân al-Yaman?’” “The inspiration,” answered his wife, “is upon him.” Thus they were quieted. Ḷais severed his head, and, early in the morning, climbed the city wall and shouted, “Allah is great! Allah is great! I testify that there is no god but Allah and that Muḥammad is the Prophet of Allah, and that al-Aswad, the false Prophet, is the enemy of Allah!” As the followers of al-Aswad gathered, Ḷais cast the head to them and they dispersed with the exception of a few. At this the men of Ḷais opened the door and put the rest of the followers of al-‘Ansi to the sword, and none escaped except those who accepted Islâm.

According to some reports, however, it was Fairûz ibn-ad-Dailami who killed al-Aswad, Ḷais only giving the last stroke and severing his head. Certain scholars assert that

¹ “Dâdhûwaih” in Nawâwi, p. 232.
² Ḥajar, vol. i, p. 345: “Bâb ibn-dhi-l-Ḥirrah”.
the death of Kais took place five days before the expiration of the Prophet, who on his death-bed said: "Allah has brought about the death of al-Aswad al-'Ansi through the righteous man Fairuz ibn-ad-Dailami," and that the news of the conquest came to abu-Bakr ten days after he had been proclaimed caliph.

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from an-Nu'man ibn-Burzuj, one of al-Abnâ':—The Prophet's 'âmil, whom al-Aswad drove out of Ṣanʿâ', was Abân ibn-Sa'îd ibn-al-'Âsi; and the one who killed al-Aswad was Fairûz ibn-ad-Dailami.¹ When both Kais and Fairûz at al-Madinah claimed having killed him, 'Umar pointed to Fairûz saying, "It was this lion who killed him!"

Kais suspected of the murder of Dâdhawaih. Kais was charged with having killed Dâdhawaih, and abu-Bakr received the information that he was intent on expelling al-Abnâ' from Ṣanʿâ'. Abu-Bakr's anger was thereby aroused, and he wrote to al-Muhâjir ibn-abi-Umâiyah at his entry to Ṣanʿâ' as abu-Bakr's 'âmil, instructing him to bring Kais before him. When Kais was brought before abu-Bakr, he was requested by him to swear fifty oaths near the Prophet's pulpit that he did not kill Dâdhawaih. This he did, and was consequently set free by abu-Bakr, who directed him to Syria with those of the Moslems summoned for the invasion of the Greeks.²

² Ar. ar-Rûm = the East Romans, the Byzantines.
PART II
SYRIA
CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF SYRIA

The "tying of the three banners." When Abu-Bakr was done with the case of those who apostatized, he saw fit to direct his troops against Syria. To this effect he wrote to the people of Makkah, at-Tā’if, al-Yaman, and all the Arabs in Najd and al-Ḥijāz calling them for a "holy war" and arousing their desire in it and in the obtainable booty from the Greeks. Accordingly, people, including those actuated by greed as well as those actuated by the hope of divine remuneration, hastened to Abu-Bakr from all quarters, and flocked to al-Madīnah. Abu-Bakr gave three banners to three men [appointed them commanders] namely: Khālid ibn-Sa‘īd ibn-al-‘Āṣi ibn-Umaidah, Shuraḫbīl ibn-Ḥasanah, an ally of the banu-Jumaḥ and ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi ibn-Wâ’il as-Sahmi. (Shuraḫbīl, according to al-Wâkidī, was the son of ‘Abdallāh ibn-al-Muatā’ al-Kindi, Ḥasanah being his mother and a freedmaid of Ma‘mar ibn-Ḥabib ibn-Wahb ibn-Ḥudhāfah ibn-Jumaḥ. But according to al-Kalbi, Shuraḫbīl was the son of Rabī‘ah ibn-al-Muatā‘ descended from Ṣūfah, i.e., al-Ghauth ibn-Murr ibn-Udd ibn-Ṭābhikhah.) The tying of these banners took place on Thursday the first of Safar, year 13, after the troops had camped at al-Jurf throughout the month of Muḥarram with Abu-‘Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrāḥ leading their prayers. Abu-

3 Ṭabarī, vol. i, p. 2079.
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Bakr wanted to give a banner to Abu-'Ubaidah; but the latter begged to be relieved. Others claim that he did give one to him, but that report is not confirmed. The fact is that when 'Umar became caliph, he conferred on him the governorship of all Syria.

**Abu-'Ubaidah commander-in-chief.** Abu-Mikhnaief states that 'Umar said to the commanders, "If ye altogether are to lead a fight, your commander will be Abu-'Ubaidah 'Amir ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-al-Jarrâḥ al-Fihri, otherwise Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân."¹ Others assert that 'Amr ibn-al-'Āşi acted only as a reinforcement for the Moslems and commanded only those who joined him.

**Abu-Bakr replaces Khâlid by Arwa.** The assignment of Khâlid ibn-Sa'îd by Abu-Bakr to the leadership displeased 'Umar who approached Abu-Bakr with a view to dismissing him, charging him with being "a vain-seeking man who tries to make his way through dispute and bigotry."² Accordingly Abu-Bakr dismissed Khâlid and directed Abu-Arwa ad-Dausi to take the banner from his hand. Abu-Arwa met him at dhu-l-Marwah where he received the banner from him and carried it back to Abu-Bakr. Abu-Bakr handed it to Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân³ who left, with his brother Mu‘awiyah carrying the banner before him. Others say that the banner was delivered to Yazid at dhu-l-Marwah whence he started at the head of Khâlid’s army. Khâlid went with the army of Shuraibil for the divine remuneration.⁴

**Abu-Bakr gives instructions to the commanders.** Abu-Bakr instructed 'Amr ibn-al-'Āşi to follow the way of Ailah

¹ Abu-Ismâ’il al-Bâṣri, Futûh ash-Shâm, p. 5; Ḥajjar, vol. iii, pp. 1352-1353.
⁴ As a volunteer.
with Palestine for objective. Yazid he instructed to follow the way of Tabûk. To Shuraḥbil, he wrote to follow the way of Tabûk, too. At the outset each one of the commanders had three thousand men under his leadership, but Abu-Bakr kept on sending reinforcements until each one had 7,500. Later the total was increased to 24,000.

It is reported on the authority of al-Wâḳidi that Abu-Bakr assigned 'Amr to Palestine, Shuraḥbil to the Jordan, and Yazid to Damascus saying, "When ye all fight together, your commander is the one in whose province ye are fighting." It is also reported that to 'Amr he gave oral instructions to lead the prayers in case the armies are united, and to have each commander lead the prayer of his own army when the armies are separate. Abu-Bakr ordered the commanders to see that each tribe flies a banner of its own.

Abu-Bakr directs Khâlid ibn-al-Walid to Syria. On his arrival in the first district of Palestine, 'Amr ibn-al-'Âşî sent a message to Abu-Bakr informing him of the great number of the enemy, their great armament, the wide extent of their land and the enthusiasm of their troops. Abu-Bakr, thereupon, wrote to Khâlid ibn-al-Walid ibn-al-Mughirah-l-Makhzûmi—who was at that time in al-'Irâḳ—directing him to go to Syria. According to some, he thereby made him a commander over the commanders in the war. According to others, Khâlid only commanded his men who accompanied him; but whenever the Moslems met for a battle, the commanders would choose him as their chief for his valor and strategy and the auspiciousness of his counsel.

The battle of Dâthin. The first conflict between the Moslems and the enemy took place in Dâthin, one of the

1 Ar. Philastîn. For a description of these provinces see al-Ya'kûbi Kitâb al-Buldân, p. 325 seq.; Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 913.

villages of Ghazzah, which lay on the way between the Moslems and the residence of the patrician \(^1\) of Ghazzah. Here the battle raged furiously, but at last Allah gave victory to his friends and defeat to his enemies whom he dispersed. All this took place before the arrival of Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd in Syria.

_The battle of al-'Arabah._ Thence Yazîd ibn-abi-Sufyân went in quest of the partrician, but hearing that a large host of Greeks were gathered in al-'Arabah, which lay in Palestine, he directed against them abu-Umâmah aṣ-Ṣudai ibn-'Ajlân al-Bâhili, who, falling upon them, put most of them to the sword and went his way. Regarding this battle of al-'Arabah, abu-Mîkhnaf reports that six of the Greek leaders at the head of 3,000 men camped at al-'Arabah when abu-Umâmah with a body of Moslems advanced against them and defeated them, killing one of their leaders. Thence he pursued them to ad-Dubbiyâh (i. e. ad-Dâbiyâh) \(^2\) where he inflicted another defeat on them, and the Moslems carried off a large booty.

According to a tradition communicated by abu-Ḥafṣ ash-Shâmi on the authority of certain sheikhs from Syria, the first conflict of the Moslems was the Battle of al-'Arabah before which no fighting at all took place since they left al-Ḥijâz. In no place between al-Ḥijâz and al-'Arabah did they pass without establishing their authority and taking possession of it without resistance.

\(^1\) A leader of an army, from the Latin "_patricius_".

\(^2\) De Goeje, _Mémoire sur la Conquête de la Syrie_, p. 31.
CHAPTER II

THE ADVANCE OF KHÂLID IBN-AL-WALîD ON SYRIA AND 110 THE PLACES HE REDUCED ON HIS WAY

Khâlid takes 'Ain at-Tamr and Șandaudå' by force. When Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd received abu-Bakr's letter at al-Ḥîrah, he left in his place al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥârîthah ash-Shaibâni over the district of al-Kûfah, and set out at the head of 800 men in Rabi' II, year 13. (Some give 600 and others 500 as the number of men.) On his way, he passed through 'Ain at-Tamr and reduced it by force. (According to others, he received abu-Bakr's message in 'Ain at-Tamr after having subdued it.) From 'Ain at-Tamr Khâlid made his way to Șandaudå' 1 in which lived some of the Kindah and Iyãd tribes and non-Arabs. 2 These people fought against him; but Khâlid won the victory and left in the city Sa'd ibn-'Amr ibn-Ḥarâm al-Anšâri whose descendants still live in it. Khâlid, having learnt that a body of the banu-Taghlib ibn-Wâ'il at al-Muḍaiyâh and al-Ḥuṣâid had apostatized and were led by Rabî'ah ibn-Bujair, made his way to them. They fought against him; but he put them to flight and took captives and booty. The captives he sent to abu-Bakr, and among them was umm-Ḥabib aṣ-Ṣahbâ', daughter of Ḥabib ibn-Bujair, and [later] the mother of 'Umar ibn-'Ali ibn-abi-Ṭâlib.

Khâlid crosses the desert to Suwa'. Then Khâlid made an incursion on Kurâkîr which was a spring belonging to the

2 Ar. 'Ajâm; see Muh. Stud., p. 101 seq.
Kalb tribe, and thence crossed the desert to Suwa\textsuperscript{1} which was also a spring held conjointly by the Kalb and some men of the Bahrā\textquoteleft. Here Khâlîd killed Ḥurḳūş ibn-an-Nu\textquotesinglemān al-Bahrānī of the Ḳuḍā\textquoteleftah tribe and swept off all their possessions. When Khâlîd wanted to cross the desert, he gave the camels all the water they could drink and then thrust into the camels’ lips spears, which he left for them to drag,\textsuperscript{2} lest they should ruminate and get thirsty again. The quantity of water he carried along, though big, was exhausted on the way. So Khâlîd had to slay the camels one after the other and drink with his men the water from their bellies. Khâlîd had a guide named Râfi\textquoteleft ibn-\textacute{U}mair aṭ-Ṭâ\textacute{i} whom the poet meant when he said:

"How wonderful has Râfi\textquoteleft been, who succeeded in finding the way from Ḳurākīr to Suwa, to the water from which the coward who attempts to reach it returns before attaining it. No human being before thee ever did that!"

When the Moslems arrived in Suwa they found Ḥurḳūş and a band of men drinking and singing. Ḥurḳūş himself was saying:

"Again give me to drink before abu-Bakr’s army is on, our death may be at hand while we are unaware."

As the Moslems killed him, his blood flowed into the basin from which he had been drinking; and some report that his head, too, fell therein. It is claimed by others,\textsuperscript{4} however, that the one who sang this verse was one of those of the banu-Taghlib whom Khâlîd had attacked with Rabī\textacute{a}h ibn-Bujair.

\textsuperscript{1} Başri, p. 63: "Shuwa".
\textsuperscript{4} Başri, p. 62 \textit{seq}.
Khālid in Ḥarkisiya. According to al-Wākīdi, Khālid started from Suwa to al-Kawāthin thence to Ḥarkisiya whose chief met him with a large host. Khālid left him alone, turned to the mainland and went his way.

Arakah makes terms. Another place to which Khālid came was Arakah (i.e. Arak) whose people he attacked and besieged. The city surrendered and made terms, offering a certain sum for the Moslems.

Dūmat al-Jandal, Kuṣam, Tadmur and al-Ḥaryatāin taken. Dūmat al-Jandal he then reached and conquered. Then he came to Kuṣam in which the banu-Mashja‘ah ibn-at-Taim ibn-an-Nāmir ibn-Wabarāh ibn-Taghlib ibn-Ḥulwān ibn-‘Imrān ibn-al-Ḥāfi ibn-Kuḍā‘ah came to terms with him. Khālid wrote them a promise of security and advanced to Tadmur [Palmyra]. Tadmur’s inhabitants held out against him and took to their fortifications. At last they sought to surrender and he wrote them a statement guaranteeing their safety on condition that they be considered dhīmmah people, that they entertain Moslems and that they submit to them. Khālid then pushed to al-Ḥaryatāin, whose people resisted him but were defeated, losing a large booty.

Ḥuwārīn reduced. Khālid proceeded to Ḥuwārīn in Sanīr and made a raid on its cattle. Its inhabitants, having been reinforced by the inhabitants of Ba‘labakk and of Buṣra (the capital of Ḥaurān) stood out against him. The victory was won by Khālid who took some as captives and killed others.

2 Balādhuri, part I, chap. XIII.
3 Guy Le Strange, Palestine under the Moslems, pp. 540-542.
4 Christians, Jews and Sabians with whom a covenant has been made, who pay a poll tax and for whose security Moslems are responsible.
5 Baṣrī, p. 68.
Ghassân attacked. Thence he came to Marj Râhiṭ and led an incursion against Ghassân on their Easter day—they being Christians. He took some captive and killed others.

Thanîyat al-'Ukâb. Khâlid then directed Busr ibn-abi-Artâṭ al-'Amiri of the Kûraish and Ḥâbîb ibn-Maslamah-1-Fîhri to the Ghûṭah of Damascus where they attacked many villages. Khâlid arrived at Thaniyat in Damascus, the Thaniyat al-'Ukâb of to-day, and stood there for one hour, spreading his banner. This banner was the one the Prophet used, and was black in color; and because the Arabs call a banner "'ukâb," the Thaniyat was known since as Thaniyat al-'Ukâb. Others say that it was thus called because a vulture [Ar. 'ukâb] happened to descend on it at that time. But the first explanation is more reliable. I heard it said by some that at that place stood a stone image of a vulture. But there is no truth in that statement.

Khâlid meets abu-'Ubaidah. Khâlid camped at the East [Sharkî] gate of Damascus; and according to others, at the Jâbiyah gate. The bishop of Damascus offered him gifts and homage and said to Khâlid, "Keep this covenant for me." Khâlid promised to do so. Then Khâlid went until he met the Moslems who were at Kanât Buṣra. According to others, however, he came to the Jâbiyah where abu-'Ubaidah was with a band of Moslems. Here they met and went together to Buṣra.

1 A place in Damascus noted for its orchards; ibn-Jubair, Rihlah, p. 261; Le Strange, p. 33.

2 What covenant is meant is not clear. This tradition may have been confused with one that comes later and speaks of the agreement between Khâlid and the bishop. Cf. Caetani, vol. ii, pp. 1204-1205.
CHAPTER III

THE CONQUEST OF BUṢRA

Busra comes to terms. When Khâlid ibn-al-Walid at the head of the Moslems arrived in Buṣra,¹ all the Moslems gathered against it and put Khâlid in chief command. They drew close to it and fought its patrician until he was driven with his armed men inside the town. Others assert that since Buṣra lay within the district of Damascus and, consequently under the rule and commandership of Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân, it was he who held the chief command. At last its people came to terms stipulating that their lives, property and children be safe, and agreeing to pay the poll-tax. According to some reporters, the inhabitants of Buṣra made terms agreeing to pay for each adult one dinâr and one jarîb ² of wheat.

Thus the Moslems conquered all the region of Ḥaurân [Auranitis] and subdued it.

Maʿâb surrenders. Abu-ʿUbaidah ibn-al-Jarrâḥ, at the head of a heavy detachment composed of the commanders’ troops that had joined him, led the way to Maʿâb [Moab] in the district of al-Balkâ’ where the enemy was massed. Maʿâb surrendered and made terms similar to those made by Buṣra. According to others, however, the conquest of Maʿâb was effected before that of Buṣra. Still others assert that abu-ʿUbaidah conquered Maʿâb when he was the commander of all the Moslem forces in Syria in the days of ʿUmar.

¹ Eski-Shâm or Old Damascus; Baedeker, Palestine and Syria, p. 201 (ed. 1894).
² Mawardi, p. 265, says that al-jarîb is a measure of land 10 x 10 rods. It is also a measure of wheat that varies in different localities.
CHAPTER IV

THE BATTLE OF AJNÂDÎN (OR AJNÂDAÎN)

The enemy routed. The battle of Ajnâdîn 1 ensued. In this battle about 100,000 Greeks took part, the majority of whom were massed one band after the other by Heraclius [Hīraḵl], the rest having come from the neighboring districts. On that day, Heraclius was in Ḥimṣ [Emesa]. Against this army, the Moslems fought a violent battle, and Khâlid ibn-al-Walid particularly distinguished himself. At last, by Allah’s help, the enemies of Allah were routed and shattered into pieces, a great many being slaughtered.

The martyrs. Those who suffered martyrdom on that day were ‘Abdallâh ibn-az-Zubair ibn-‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib ibn-Hâshim, ‘Amr ibn-Sa‘îd ibn-al-‘Âṣî ibn-Umaiyah, his brother Abân ibn-Sa‘îd (according to the most authentic report. Others, however, claim that Abân died in the year 29), Ṭulaib ibn-‘Umair ibn-Wahb ibn-‘Abd ibn-Ḵuṣâi (who fought a duel with an “unbeliever” who gave him a blow that severed his right hand making his sword fall down with the palm. In this condition he was surrounded and killed by the Greeks. His mother Arwa, daughter of ‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib, was the Prophet’s aunt. His surname was abu-‘Adî), and Salamah ibn-Hîshâm ibn-al-Mughirah. According to others, Salamah was killed at Marj aṣ-Ṣuffar. Other martyrs were: ‘Ikrimah ibn-abi-Jahl ibn-Hîshâm al-Makhzûmî, Habbâr ibn-Sufyân ibn-‘Abd-al-Asad al-Makhzûmî (who, according to others, was killed in the battle of

1 Mémoire, p. 50 seq.; Skizzen, vol. vi, p. 54.
Mu'tah), Nu'aim ibn-'Abdallâh an-Nâḥâm al-'Adawi (who, according to others, was killed in the battle of al-Yarmûk), Hishâm ibn-al-'Âsi ibn-Wâ'il as-Sahmi (who is also supposed by others to have been slain in the battle of al-Yarmûk), Jundub ibn-'Amr ad-Dausi, Sa‘id ibn-al-Ḥârith, al-Ḥârith ibn-al-Ḥârith, and al-Ḥajjâj ibn-al-Ḥârith ibn-Kais ibn-‘Adi as-Sahmi. According to Hishâm ibn-Muḥammad al-Kalbi, an-Nâḥâm was killed in the battle of Mu’tah.

Sa‘id ibn-al-Ḥârith ibn-Kais was slain in the battle of al-Yarmûk; Tamîm ibn-al-Ḥârith, in the battle of Ajnâdin; his brother, ‘Ubaidallâh ibn ‘Abd-al-Asad, in al-Yarmûk; and al-Ḥârith ibn-Hishâm ibn-al-Mughirah, in Ajnâdin.

**Heraclius flees to Antioch.** When the news of this battle came to Heraclius, his heart was filled with cowardice and he was confounded. Consequently, he took to flight to Antioch [Anṭâkiyâh] from Ḥimṣ [Emesa]. It was mentioned by someone that his flight from Ḥimṣ to Antioch coincided with the advance of the Moslems to Syria. This battle of Ajnâdin took place on Monday twelve days before the end of Jumâda I, year 13. Some, however, say two days after the beginning of Jumâda II, and others two days before its end.

After that, the Greeks massed an army at Yâkûṣah which was a valley with al-Fauwârah at its mouth. There the Moslems met them, dispelled them and put them to flight with a great slaughter. Their remnants fled to the cities of Syria. The death of abu-Bakr took place in Jumâda II, year 13, and the Moslems received the news in al-Yâkûṣah.¹

¹ Mémoire, p. 64.
CHAPTER V

THE BATTLE OF FIHIL IN THE PROVINCE OF THE JORDAN

Abu-'Ubaidah commander-in-chief. The battle of Fihil in the province of the Jordan was fought two days before the end of dhu-l-Ka'dah and five months after the proclamation of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb as caliph. The commander-in-chief was Abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrāh, to whom 'Umar had sent a letter with 'Āmir ibn-abi-Wakķās, a brother of Sa'd ibn-abi-Wakķās, conferring on him the governorship of Syria and the chief command.²

Some say that the appointment of Abu-'Ubaidah to the governorship of Syria was received when Damascus was under siege. Khalid being the chief commander in time of war, Abu-'Ubaidah concealed the appointment from him for many days.³ When asked by Khalid for the reason, Abu-'Ubaidah said, "I hated to dishearten thee and weaken thy position as thou stoodst facing an enemy."

Terms made after the victory. The way this battle came about was that when Heraclius came to Antioch he summoned the Greeks and the inhabitants of Mesopotamia to go forth to war, putting them under the command of one of his men in whom he trusted. These met the Moslems at Fihil in the province of the Jordan and a most fierce and bloody battle ensued, which ended, by Allah's help, in the victory of the Moslems. The Greek patrician with about

¹ Fahl or Fihl, ancient Pella; Mémoire, p. 73.
² Ibid., p. 106.
³ Ṭabari, vol. i, pp. 2146 and 2147.
10,000 men was slaughtered, and the rest of the army distributed themselves in the cities of Syria, some of them joining Heraclius. The inhabitants of Fihl took to the fortifications where they were besieged by the Moslems until they sought to surrender, agreeing to pay tax on their heads and kharâj on their lands. The Moslems promised them the security of life and property, agreeing not to demolish their walls. The contract was made by abu-‘Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâh, but according to others, by Shuraḥbîl ibn-Ḥasanah.
CHAPTER VI

THE PROVINCE OF THE JORDAN

Tiberias makes terms. Ḥaṣṣ ibn-‘Umar al-‘Umari from al-Haitham ibn-‘Adi:—Shuraḥbil conquered all the province of the Jordan [al-Urdunn] by force, with the exception of Tiberias, whose inhabitants came to terms, agreeing to give up one-half of their homes and churches.¹

‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi and then abu-‘Ubaidah in chief command. Abu-Ḥaṣṣ ad-Dimashkī from abu-Bishr—the muezzin of the mosque at Damascus—and others:—When the Moslems arrived in Damascus, each commander used to direct his forces to a special region which he would make the object of his incursions. Thus ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi used to go against Palestine, Shuraḥbil against the Jordan province and Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyān against the province of Damascus. In case the enemy was massed in one group, they would all combine against him, each [commander] hastening to the support and the reinforcement of the other. In the early days of abu-Bakr, when they would join forces, the commander-in-chief would be ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi. This was the case until the arrival of Khālid ibn-al-Walid, who became the commander of the Moslems in every battle. Abu-‘Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrāḥ later assumed the chief command in the whole of Syria, and the commanders acknowledged him as their chief for war and peace in behalf of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb. This was brought about when ‘Umar was

¹ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2159.
proclaimed caliph and wrote to Khâlid dismissing him and assigning abu-'Ubaidah.

Shuraḥbîl and then 'Amr seizes Tiberias. Shuraḥbîl ibn-Hasanah took Tiberias [Tabaraiyâh] by capitulation after a siege of some days. He guaranteed for the inhabitants the safety of their lives, possessions, children, churches and houses with the exception of what they should evacuate and desert, setting aside a special spot for a Moslem mosque. Later, in the caliphate of 'Umar, the people of Tiberias violated the covenant and were joined by many Greeks and others. Abu-'Ubaidah ordered 'Amr ibn-al-'Aṣî to attack them, so he marched against them at the head of 4,000 men. 'Amr took the city by capitulation, the terms being similar to those of Shuraḥbîl. According to others, however, it was Shuraḥbîl also who conquered it the second time.

Shuraḥbîl subdues all the Jordan province. In addition to that, Shuraḥbîl took easy possession of all the cities of the Jordan with their fortifications, which, with no resistance, capitulated on terms similar to those of Tiberias. Thus did he take possession of Baisân, [Bethshean, Scythopolis] Sûsiyâh, Afîk, Jarash, Bait-Râs, Қadas, and al-Jaulân, and subdue the district of the Jordan and all its land.

According to abu-Ḥafṣ on the authority of al-Wâdîn ibn-'Aṭâ', Shuraḥbîl conquered Acre, Tyre and Șaffûriyâh.

The sea-coasts reduced. It is stated by abu-Bishr, the muezzin, that abu-'Ubaidah directed 'Amr ibn-al-'Aṣî to the sea-coasts of the province of the Jordan. There the Greeks became too numerous for him being recruited by men from the district under Heraclius who was then at Constantinople. 'Amr, therefore, wrote to abu-'Ubaidah asking for reinforcements. The latter sent Yazîd ibn-abi-Sufyân who went forth, having his brother, Muʿâwiyyah, in the van of the army. The littoral of the Jordan was conquered by Yazîd and 'Amr to whom abu-'Ubaidah wrote regarding its con-
quest. In that campaign Mu‘āwiyah distinguished himself and left a great impression.

Mu‘āwiyah transplants people. Abu-Alyasa‘ al-Anṭâki from certain sheikhs from Antioch and the Jordan:—A body of Persians were transplanted in the year 42 by Mu‘āwiyah from Ba‘labakk, Ḥimṣ and Antioch to the sea-coasts of the Jordan, i. e., Tyre, Acre and other places; and he transplanted in the same year, or one year before or after, certain Asâwirah ¹ from al-Baṣrah and al-Kūfah and certain Persians from Ba‘labakk and Ḥimṣ to Antioch. One of the Persian leaders was Muslim ibn-‘Abdallâh, grandfather of ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ḥabîb ibn-an-Nu‘mân ibn-Musîlîm al-Anṭâki.

Mu‘āwiyah makes repairs in Acre and Tyre. According to a tradition communicated to me by Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d on the authority of al-Wâkîdî, and by Hîshâm ibn-al-Laith aṣ-Ṣûrî on the authority of certain sheikhs from Syria, when Mu‘āwiyah came to sail from Acre to Cyprus he made repairs in Acre ['Akka] and in Tyre [Ṣûr]. Later both cities were rebuilt by ‘Abd-al-Malîk ibn-Marwân, after having fallen into ruins.

Hîshâm ibn-al-Laith from our sheikhs who said, “When we took up our abode in Tyre and the littoral, there were Arab troops and many Greeks already there. Later, people from other regions came and settled with us, and that was the case with all the sea-coast of Syria.”

Artisans settled along the sea-coast. Muḥammad ibn-Sâhîm al-Anṭâki from contemporaneous sheikhs:—In the year 49 the Greeks left for the sea-coast. Industry at that time was confined to Egypt. Consequently, and in accordance with Mu‘âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân’s orders, certain artisans and carpenters were gathered and settled along the coast. As for the industry of the Jordan province it was all confined to Acre.

¹ Persian armed cavalry.
Hishâm moves the industry to Tyre. Abu-l-Khaṭṭâb al-Azdi mentioned the case of a descendant of abu-Muʿaṭ who lived in Acre and ran mills and workshops. Hishâm ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik wanted him to sell them to him; but the man refused. Hishâm therefore moved the industry ¹ to Tyre where he ran an inn and a workshop.

Tyre a naval base. According to al-Wâkīdi, the ships used to be in Acre until the time of the banu-Marwân who moved them to Tyre, where they are until to-day.² In the year 247, al-Mutawakkil gave orders that the ships be stationed in Acre and all along the coast, and he manned them with fighters.

¹ Ar. ṣināʿah; Yaʿkūbi, p. 327: “dâr aṣ-ṣināʿah” which means arsenal. The reference may be to the industry of making ships. Cf. Le Strange, p. 342 seq.
² Ibn-Jubair, p. 305.
CHAPTER VII

THE BATTLE OF MARJ AŠ-ŠUFFAR

The "unbelievers" put to flight. The Greeks met in great numbers and were reinforced by Heraclius. The Moslems encountered them at Marj aš-Šuffar on their way to Damascus on the first of Muḥarram, year 14. The battle that ensued was so violent that blood flowed along with water and turned the wheels of the mill. Of the Moslems about 4,000 were wounded. At last the "unbelievers" took to flight and were dispersed, disregarding everything until they came to Damascus and Jerusalem. On that day, Khâlid ibn-Saʿid ibn-al-ʿÂsi ibn-Umaiyyah (surnamed abu-Saʿid) fell a martyr. In the evening previous to the day in the morning of which the battle was fought, he was married to umm-Ḥakim, the daughter of al-Ḥârith ibn-Hishâm al-Makhzûmi, and the wife of ʿIkrimah ibn-abi-Jahl. Hearing the news of his death, umm-Ḥakim pulled out the post of the tent and fought with it. On that day, according to some report, she killed seven and had her face still covered with the ointment perfumed with saffron [with which women anointed themselves on the first night of matrimony].

According to the report of abu-Mikhnaf, this battle of Marj took place twenty days after the battle of Ajnâdin;

1 Mémoire, pp. 79-80.
3 Ṭabarî, vol. i, p. 3169; Aghâni, vol. vi, pp. 6-7; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 322.
the conquest of Damascus followed it, and after the conquest of Damascus the battle of Fihl took place. The report of al-Wâkidi, however, is more authentic.

It was regarding the battle of Marj that Khâlid ibn-Sa’id ibn-al-‘Aṣi said:

"Isn’t there a horseman who, tired of stabbing, would lend me his lance for the battle of Marj aṣ-Ṣuffar?"

Referring to this battle, ‘Abdallâh ibn-Kâmîl ibn-Ḥabîb ibn-‘Amîrah ibn-Khuţâf ibn-Amru’i-l-Ḵâis ibn-Buhthah ibn-Sulaim said:

"The tribes of Mâlik took part, but ‘Amîrah disappeared from my sight in the battle of Marj aṣ-Ṣuffar,"

meaning Mâlik ibn-Khuţâf.

_The story of the Samṣâmah sword._ According to Hîshâm ibn-Muḥammad al-Kalbi, in the battle of Marj, Khâlid ibn-Sa’id suffered martyrdom with his sword aṣ-Ṣamṣâmah hanging down from his neck. The Prophet had sent him as ‘āmil to al-Yaman, and on his way he passed by the kindred of ‘Amr ibn-Ma’dikarib az-Zubâïdi of Madhîj and attacked them, taking as captives the wife of ‘Amr and other kinsmen. ‘Amr proposed that Khâlid grants them their liberty and they would accept Islâm. And so it was. ‘Amr offered Khâlid his own sword, aṣ-Ṣamṣâmah,¹ saying:

"A friend whom I offered as present not because of any hatred but because presents are for those of noble birth. A friend whom I did not betray and who did not betray me, and so my qualities and fellow-drinkers did not. I bestowed it on a nobleman of Ḥuraish who was pleased with it and by which he was protected against the evil men."

This sword Mu‘âwiyah took from the neck of Khâlid when

¹ _Aghâni_, vol. xiv, pp. 27, 31, 32.
he fell martyr in the battle of Marj. Mu‘āwiyah kept it, but its possession was later disputed by Sa‘id ibn-al-‘Āsi ibn-Sa‘id ibn-al-‘Āsi ibn-Umaiyah. ‘Uthmān decided the case in favor of the latter, who kept it until the battle of ad-Dâr in which Marwân was struck on the nape of the neck and Sa‘id fell unconscious by a blow. A Juhainah man took the Şamşâmah. The Juhainah man kept it, and one day he gave it to a polisher to polish it. The polisher could not believe that one of the Juhainah could possess such a sword, so he took it to Marwân ibn-al-Ḥakam, the governor of al-Madînah, who asked the Juhainah man for an explanation, and he told its story. "By Allah," exclaimed Marwân, "in the battle of ad-Dâr, my sword was stolen from me, and so was that of Sa‘id ibn-al-‘Āsi." Then came Sa‘id and recognizing his sword took it, carved his name on it, and sent it to ‘Amr ibn-Sa‘id al-Ashdâk, the governor of Makkah. Sa‘id perished, and the sword was left with ‘Amr ibn-Sa‘id. When ‘Amr ibn-Sa‘id was killed at Damascus and his belongings were stolen, his brother on the father’s side, Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘id, took the sword, which later passed to Yahya ibn-Sa‘id. At the death of Yahya, it passed to ‘Anbasah ibn-Sa‘id ibn-al-‘Āsi and then to Sa‘id ibn-‘Amr ibn-Sa‘id. When the last perished, the sword went to Muḥammad ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-Sa‘id whose descendants live now in Bârik. Then it went to Abân ibn-Yahya ibn-Sa‘id who decked it with an ornament of gold and kept it with the mother of a child [concubine] of his. At last Aiyûb ibn-abi-Aiyûb ibn-Sa‘id sold it to al-Mahdi the "Commander of the Believers" for over 80,000 [dirhams]. Al-Mahdi put the ornament of gold back on it. When it came finally into the possession of Mûsa-l-Ḥâdi, the "Commander of the Believers," he admired it and ordered the poet abu-l-Haul to describe it, upon which the latter said:
"He who acquired the Ṣamṣâmah of ‘Amr az-Zubaidi
is the best of all men—Mûsa-l-Amin.
It is the sword of ‘Amr which as we know
is the best that a scabbard ever sheathed.
Green in color between the edges of which is a garment
of poison in which death is clad.
If one unsheathes it, its brilliancy dazzles
that of the sun, so that the sun would scarcely be seen.
When the one to be smitten is at hand,
it does not matter whether the left or the right hand applies it.
What a good sword it is for him, who wants to defend his honor,
to smite with in the battle, and what a good companion!"  

Later on, al-Wâthik-Billâh, the "Commander of the Be-
lievers," called a polisher and ordered him to temper it. On
doing so, the sword was changed.

CHAPTER VIII

THE CONQUEST OF DAMASCUS AND ITS PROVINCE

The positions taken by the different generals. When the Moslems were done with the fight against those who were gathered at al-Marj, they stayed there for fifteen days at the end of which they returned [sic] to Damascus [Dimashk]. This took place fourteen days before the end of Muḥarram, year 14. Al-Ghūṭah and its churches the Moslems took by force. The inhabitants of Damascus be-took themselves to the fortifications and closed the gate of the city. Khālid ibn-al-Walīd at the head of some 5,000 men whom abu-'Ubaidah had put under his command, 

camped at al-Bâb ash-Sharki [the east gate]. Some assert that Khālid was the chief commander but was dismissed when Damascus was under siege. The convent by which Khālid camped was called Dair Khālid.¹ ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi camped at the Tūma gate; Shuraḥbil, at the Faradis gate, abu-‘Ubaidah at the Jābiyah gate, and Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân from the Šaghîr gate to the one known as Kaisân gate.² Abu-ad-Dardâ’ appointed ‘Uwaimir ibn-‘Āmir al-Khazrajî commander of a frontier garrison settled in the fortification³ at Barzah.⁴

The statement written by Khālid. The bishop ⁵ who had

² H. Lammens, MFO, vol. iii¹, p. 256; Kremer, Topographie von Damaskus, the chart next to page 36.
³ Mémoire, p. 90.
⁵ Caetani, vol. iii, p. 364, note 2.
provided Khâlid with food at the beginning of the siege was wont to stand on the wall. Once Khâlid called him, and when he came, Khâlid greeted him and talked with him. The bishop one day said to him, "Abu-Sulaimân, thy case is prospering and thou hast a promise to fulfil for me; let us make terms for this city." Thereupon, Khâlid called for an inkhorn and parchment and wrote:—

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is what Khâlid would grant to the inhabitants of Damascus, if he enters therein: he promises to give them security for their lives, property and churches. Their city-wall shall not be demolished; neither shall any Moslem be quartered in their houses. Thereunto we give to them the pact of Allah and the protection of his Prophet, the caliphs and the 'Believers'. So long as they pay the poll-tax, nothing but good shall befall them."

_The Moslems enter the city._ One night, a friend of the bishop came to Khâlid and informed him of the fact that it was the night of a feast for the inhabitants of the city, that they were all busy and that they had blocked the Sharkî gate with stones and left it unguarded. He then suggested that Khâlid should procure a ladder. Certain occupants of the convent, by which Khâlid’s army camped, brought him two ladders on which some Moslems climbed to the highest part of the wall, and descended to the gate which was guarded only by one or two men. The Moslems cooperated and opened the door. This took place at sunrise.

In the meantime, abu-‘Ubaidah had managed to open the Jâbiyah gate and sent certain Moslems over its wall. This made the Greek fighters pour to his side and lead a violent fight against the Moslems. At last, however, the Greeks took to flight. Then abu-‘Ubaidah at the head of

---

¹ Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 2152.
the Moslems opened the Jâbiyah gate by force and made their entrance through it. Abu-‘Ubaidah and Khâlid ibn-al-Walid met at al-Maksalât which was the quarter of the coppersmiths in Damascus. The same spot is mentioned in a poem by Ḥassân ibn-Thâbit under the name of al-Bariš:

“He who calls at al-Bariš for a drink,  
[is given the water of Barada mixed with dainty wine].”

According to other reports, one night the Greeks carried out through the Jâbiyah gate a corpse. A number of their brave and armed men accompanied the funeral. The rest of them stood at the gate to prevent the Moslems from opening it and entering until their Greek comrades should have returned from the burial of the dead man, thus taking advantage of the Moslems’ state of unmindfulness. But the Moslems knew of them and fought with them at the gate a most fierce and bloody conflict which ended in the opening of the gate by the Moslems at sunrise. Seeing that Abu-‘Ubaidah was on the point of entering the city, the bishop hurried to Khâlid and capitulated. He then opened the Sharki gate and entered with Khâlid, with the statement which Khâlid had written him unfolded in his hand. Regarding that, certain Moslems remarked, “By Allah, Khâlid is not the commander. How could his terms then be binding?” To this, Abu-‘Ubaidah replied, “Even the lowest of the Moslems can make binding terms on their behalf.” And sanctioning the capitulation made by Khâlid, he signed it, not taking into account the fact that a part of the city was taken by force. Thus all Damascus was considered as having capitulated. Abu-‘Ubaidah wrote to ‘Umar regarding that and forwarded the message. Then

1 Ḥassân, Diwân, p. 17.
the gates of the city were opened and all the Moslems met within.

According to the report of abu-Mikhnaf and others, Khâlid entered the city by assault, whereas abu-'Ubaidah entered it by capitulation, and they both met at the Zaiyâtín [market of oil-dealers]. The former report however, is more authentic.

Al-Haitham ibn-'Adi claimed that the people of Damascus capitulated agreeing to give up one-half of their homes and churches. Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd reported that abu-'Abdallâh al-Wâkidi said, "I have read the statement issued by Khâlid ibn-al-Walid to the people of Damascus and found no mention in it of 'half the homes and churches'. I do not know where the one who reported it got his information. The fact is that when Damascus was taken possession of, a great number of its inhabitants fled to Heraclius who was then at Antioch, leaving many vacant dwellings behind that were later occupied by the Moslems."

Some one reported that it was abu-'Ubaidah who had his quarters at the Sharkî gate, and Khâlid at the Jâbiyah gate; but this view is erroneous.

The date of the conquest. According to al-Wâkidi, the conquest of Damascus was effected in Rajab, year 14,¹ but the date which Khâlid's statement of capitulation bears was Rabi' II, year 15. The explanation is that Khâlid wrote the statement with no date, but when the Moslems were preparing to set out against those gathered for their fight in al-Yarmûk, the bishop came to Khâlid asking him to renew the statement and add as witnesses abu-'Ubaidah and the Moslems. Khâlid granted the request and inserted the names of abu-'Ubaidah, Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân, Shurahbil ibn-Ḥasanah and others as witnesses. The date he put was the one in which the statement was renewed.

¹ Ya'kûbi, vol. ii, p. 159.
The city considered as having capitulated. Al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from Sa‘îd ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz at-Tanûkhi: — Yazid entered Damascus by capitulation through the Sharki gate. At al-Mâksalât the two Moslem commanders met, and the whole city was considered as having capitulated.

The siege conducted for four months. Al-Kâsim from abu-l-Ash‘ath aṣ-Ṣan‘âni or abu-‘Uthmân aṣ-Ṣan‘âni: — Abu-‘Ubaidah spent at the Jâbiyah gate four months conduct the siege.

The case of a church. Abu-‘Ubaid from Rajâ’ ibn-abi-Salamah: — Hassân ibn-Mâlik presented to ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz the case of a church that one of the commanders had bestowed on him as fief, and the possession of which was contested by the non-Arabs of Damascus. Regarding that, ‘Umar said, “If it is included in the fifteen churches mentioned in their covenant, thou hast no claim on it.”

The following was stated by Damascus on the authority of ‘Ali ibn-abi-Ḥamalah, “The non-Arabs of Damascus disputed with us the right to a church at Damascus that was assigned by someone as fief to the banu-Nâṣr, and the case was presented to ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz who took the church from us and returned it to the Christians. When Yazid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik, however, came to power he gave it back to the banu-Nâṣr.”

The poll-tax. Abu-‘Ubaid from al-Auzâ‘i who said: — “At the outset, the poll-tax in Syria consisted of one jarîb and one dînâr per head. ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb made it four dînârs on those who had gold and forty dirhams on those who had silver, arranging them in ranks according to the wealth of the rich, the poverty of the poor and the medium possessions of the middle class.”

Hishâm heard it said by our sheikhs that the Jews were

Ya’kûbî, Buldân, p. 325: “one year”.
for the Christians as *dhimmis* paying *kharâj* to them, and were, therefore, included in the capitulation.

According to certain reports, one of the terms imposed by Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd on the inhabitants of Damascus, when they capitulated, was that every man should give as poll-tax one *dînâr* and one *jarîb* of wheat, together with vinegar and oil for feeding the Moslems.

'Amr an-Nâkid from Aslam, the freedman of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb:—'Umar wrote to the commanders of the provinces of Syria [Ar. *ajnâd*] instructing them to levy a tax on every adult, making it forty *dirhams* on those who possessed silver, and four *dînârs* on those who possessed gold. Moreover, he ordered that in the way of providing the Moslems with wheat and oil, they have to give every Moslem in Syria and Mesopotamia [Ar. al-Jazîrah] two *modii*¹ of wheat and three *kîsts*¹ of oil per month. He also assessed on them grease and honey, the quantity of which I do not know; and for every Moslem in Egypt per month one *irdabb*¹ [of wheat], clothing, and the right of being entertained as guest for three days.

'Amr ibn-Ḥammâd ibn-abi-Ḥanîfah from Aslam:—'Umar assessed as poll-tax four *dînârs* on those who possessed gold, and forty *dirhams* on those who possessed silver, in addition to offering the Moslems a subsistence tribute and providing them with three-days' entertainment.

A similar tradition was communicated to me by Muṣ'ab on the authority of Aslam.

*The cathedral of St. John.* It is reported that when Mu'āwiyyah ibn-abi-Sufyân came to power, he desired to add the church of St. John to the mosque² in Damascus; but the

¹ Ar. *mudi*, Latin *modius*, is 17 *sâ's*; a *kîst* is half a *sâ*; an *irdabb* is 24 *sâ's*.

Christians refused. So he refrained. Later, when ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân was in power, he made the same request for the enlargement of the mosque offering them money in exchange; but they refused to deliver the church to him. In his turn, al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik called the Christians and offered them large sums for the church, and when they refused, he threatened them saying, “If ye do not agree, I will surely tear it down.” To this someone replied, “He, ‘Commander of the Believers’, who tears down a church will lose his wits and be affected with some blight.” Al-Walid, being angered at what was said, ordered that a spade be brought and began demolishing the walls with his own hand, while he had a robe of yellow silk on him. He then called workmen and house-razers and they pulled the church down. Thus it was included in the mosque. When ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz became caliph, the Christians complained of what al-Walid had done for their church. ‘Umar wrote to his ‘âmil ordering him to return to the Christians that part which he had added to the mosque from their church. The people of Damascus disliked the idea saying, “Shall we destroy our mosque after we have called to prayer and held service in it? And can a Christian church be returned [to its former owners]?” Among the Moslems were at that time Sulaimân ibn-Ḥabib al-Muḥâribi and other canonists. They then came to the Christians and proposed to turn over to them all the churches of al-Ghûţah that had been taken by force and were in the hands of the Moslems, provided they give up the church of St. John and cease to assert their claim on it. The Christians rather seemed to favor the proposition and consented to it. ‘Umar’s ‘âmil communicated the news to ‘Umar who was pleased and signed the agreement. Next to the tower of the Mosque of Damascus at the southern porch stands an inscription on marble near the roof which was
part of that which was built by the order of al-Walid the "Commander of the Believers" in the year 86.

The wall of Damascus. I myself heard Hishâm ibn-‘Ammâr say, "The wall around the city of Damascus remained standing until it was demolished by ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Alî ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-al-‘Abbâs after the question between Marwân and the banu-Umaiyyah had been settled."

Buṣra, Adhri‘ât, al-Bathaniyyah and other places reduced. Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashki from the muezzin of the Damascus Mosque and other men.—At the arrival of Khâlid, the Moslems gathered their forces against Buṣra, and it capitulated. They then were dispersed throughout all Ḥaurân which they subdued. The chief of Adhri‘ât came to them offering to capitulate on the same terms on which the people of Buṣra had capitulated and agreeing to make all the land of al-Bathaniyyah ¹ a kharâj land. The request was granted, and Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân entered the city and made a covenant with its people. Thus the two districts of Ḥaurân and al-Bathaniyyah came under the full control of the Moslems. Thence they came to Palestine and the Jordan, invading what had not yet been reduced. Yazid marched against ‘Ammân and made an easy conquest of it, making terms of capitulation similar to those of Buṣra. Besides, he effected the complete conquest of the province of al-Balkâ’. When abu-‘Ubaidah came to power, all that was already conquered. At the conquest of Damascus, abu-‘Ubaidah was the commander-in-chief; but the terms of capitulation were made by Khâlid, abu-‘Ubaidah concurring.

‘Arandal, ash-Sharât and the sea-coast reduced. During the governorship of abu-‘Ubaidah, Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân went and took possession of ‘Arandal ² by capitulation. He

¹ Modern Nuṭrah in Ḥaurân.
² The correct form is Gharandal; Yaʿkūbi, Buldân, p. 326; Baedeker, p. 150.
also subdued the province of ash-Sharāt with its mountains. It is stated by Saʿīd ibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAzīz on the authority of al-Waḍīn that after the [second] conquest of Damascus Yazīd came to Sidon, ʿIrkhā, 1 Jubail, and Bierūt (which lie on the sea-coast) 2 with his brother, Muʾāwiyah, leading the van of the army. These cities he conquered with great facility, expelling many of their inhabitants. The conquest of ʿIrkhā was effected by Muʾāwiyah himself when Yazīd was governor. Toward the close of the caliphate of ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb or the beginning of the caliphate of ʿUthmān ibn-ʿAffān, the Greeks restored some of these coast-towns, and Muʾāwiyah again marched against those towns and conquered them. He then made repairs in them and stationed garrisons in them among whom he distributed the fiefs. 127

**Tripoli captured.** When ʿUthmān was made caliph and Muʾāwiyah became governor of Syria, the latter directed Suḥyān ibn-Mujīb al-Azdī to Tripoli [Aṭrābulus] which was a combination of three cities. 3 Suḥyān erected on a plain a few miles from the city a fort which was called Ḥiṣn Suḥyān [Suḥyān fort], intercepted the recruits from the sea as well as from the land and laid siege to the city. When the siege was pressed hard against them, the inhabitants of Tripoli met in one of the three fortifications and wrote to the king of the Greeks asking for relief through reinforcement or ships on which they might escape and flee to him. Accordingly, the king sent them many ships which they boarded in the night time and fled away. When Suḥyān arose in the morning—he having been accustomed to sleep

---

1 "ʿArkāh" in Hamadhān, *Buldān*, p. 105; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 801; "Correggi: 'Arqaq".

2 *Journal Asiatique*, 1859, vol. i, p. 120, note 1.

3 As its Greek name designates.
every night in his fort, and fortify the Moslems in it, and to rise up in the morning against the enemy—he discovered that the fortification in which the people of Tripoli were vacant. Immediately he entered it and sent the news of the conquest to Mu‘âwiyah. Mu‘âwiyah made it a dwelling-place for a large body of Jews. It is this fortification in which the harbor of the city is to-day. Later ‘Abd-al-Malik built it and made it stronger.

Mu‘âwiyah used to send every year to Tripoli a large body of troops to guard the city and used to assign it to a different ‘âmil; but in case the sea was closed, the ‘âmil with a small band would stay and the rest would return. This state of affairs lasted until ‘Abd-al-Malik began to rule. In the days of the latter, one of the Greek patricians with a large body of men came to the city and asked for a promise of safety, agreeing to settle therein and pay kharâj. His request was granted. He had not been there two years or two years and a few months when he took advantage of the absence of the troops from the city, shut its gate and killed the ‘âmil, taking his soldiers and many Jews as captives. He then made his way together with his followers to the land of the Greeks [Asia Minor]. Later the Moslems caught him on the sea going to a Moslem coast-town with a large number of ships, and killed him. Others say they took him captive and sent him to ‘Abd-al-Malik who killed and crucified him. I heard someone say that ‘Abd-al-Malik sent someone who besieged him in Tripoli until he surrendered and was carried before ‘Abd-al-Malik who killed and crucified him. Some of his followers took to flight and got as far as the land of the Greeks.

‘Ali ibn-Muhammad al-Madâ‘ini related on the authority of ‘Attâb ibn-Ibrâhim that Tripoli was conquered by Sufyân ibn-Mujib, that its inhabitants violated the covenant in the days of ‘Abd-al-Malik and that it was reduced by al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik in his reign.
The Mediterranean littoral reduced. Abu-Ḥāfṣ ash-Shāmī from al-Waḍīn:—At first Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyān directed Muʿāwiyyah against the littoral of the province of Damascus excluding Tripoli whose possession he did not covet. Muʿāwiyyah sometimes spent on the reduction of the fort a few days—two or more—in the course of which he was resisted either slightly or strongly before he could take it.

When the Moslems conquered a city, whether so situated as to overlook a wide territory or on the coast, they would station in it whatever number of Moslems was necessary; and if the enemy in it should start a revolt the Moslems would flock to it for reinforcement. But when ʿUthmān ibn-ʿAffān became caliph he wrote to Muʿāwiyyah instructing him to fortify the coast-cities and man them, and to give fiefs to those whom he settled in them. Muʿāwiyyah did accordingly.

Abu-Ḥāfṣ from Saʿīd ibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAzīz who said:—"I heard it said by some that after the death of his brother Yazid, Muʿāwiyyah wrote to ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb describing the condition of the coast-towns. ʿUmar wrote back ordering that their fortifications be repaired, that garrisons be stationed in them, that watchmen be posted on their towers and that means be taken for lighting the fire on the towers to announce the approach of the enemy. ʿUmar gave Muʿāwiyyah no permission to carry out a naval campaign. But Muʿāwiyyah insisted so much that ʿUthmān allowed him to carry out a sea expedition and instructed him to keep ready in the coast-cities troops in addition to those already in them, whether he wanted to set out on the campaign in person or send some one else on it. He also instructed him to give the garrison lands and distribute among them whatever houses had been evacuated, and to establish new mosques and enlarge those that had been established before his caliphate."
According to al-Waḍīn, after that, men from all quarters moved to the coast cities.

‘Alkamah nominated governor of Haurān. Al-‘Abbās ibn-Hishām al-Kalbi from Ja’far ibn-Kilab al-Kilābi:— ‘Alkamah ibn-‘Ulāthah ibn-‘Auf ibn-al-Aḥwaṣ ibn-Ja’far ibn-Kilāb was assigned by ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb to the governorship of Haurān and he was made responsible to Mu‘āwiyah. This position he held until his death. Before his death he heard that al-Ḥuṭai’ah-1-‘Absi was coming to visit him; so ‘Alkamah bequeathed to him in his will a share equal to one of his sons’ shares. Hence the poem of al-Ḥuṭai’ah: 1

“Between me and becoming rich—had I only reached thee, when thou wert still living—there would have been an interval of only a few nights.”

Kubbash farm. I was told by certain learned men among whom was a neighbor of Hishām ibn-‘Ammār that abu-Sufyān ibn-Ḥarb possessed in the pre-Islamic period, in which he carried on trade with Syria, a village in al-Balkā’ called Kubbash. This village passed into the possession of Mu‘āwiyah and his son, and at the beginning of the [Abbasid] dynasty, it was confiscated and possessed by certain sons of al-Mahdi, the “Commander of the Believers.” Then it passed into the hands of certain oil-sellers of al-Kūfah known as the banu-Nu‘aim.

The Prophet gives fief to Tamīm and Nu‘aim. ‘Abbās ibn-Hishām from his grandfather:—Once came Tamīm ibn-Aus of the banu-ad-Dār ibn-Hānī’ ibn-Ḥabīb of [the tribe of] Lakhm, surnamed abu-Rukāiyah, with his brother Nu‘aim ibn-Aus, to the Prophet who gave them as fief Ḥibra, Bait-‘Ainūn 2 and Masjid Ibrāhim, and to that end he wrote

2 Ibn-Duraid, p. 226.
a statement. When Syria was subdued, all that was restored to them. When Sulaimân ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik used to pass near this land he would not stop in it saying, “I am afraid the curse of the Prophet will follow me.”

‘Umar gives stipends to diseased Christians. Hishâm ibn-‘Ammâr told me he heard it said by certain sheikhs that on his way to al-Jâbiyah in the province of Damascus, ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb passed by certain Christians smitten with elephentiasis and he ordered that they be given something out of the sadakâhs and that food stipends be assigned to them.

Dair Khâlid. Hishâm reported that he heard it said by al-Wâlid ibn-Muslim that Khâlid ibn-al-Wâlid made a condition in favor of the convent known as Dair Khâlid, when its occupants offered him a ladder to climb to the city wall, to the effect that their kharâj be reduced. The condition was enforced by Abu-‘Ubaidah.

The terms with Ba‘labakk. When Abu-‘Ubaidah was done with Damascus, he advanced to Hims. On his way, he passed through Ba‘labakk whose inhabitants sought to secure safety and capitulate. Abu-‘Ubaidah made terms guaranteeing the safety of their lives, possessions and churches. To that end he wrote the following statement:

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a statement of security to so and so, son of so and so, and to the inhabitants of Ba‘labakk—Greeks, Persians and Arabs—for their lives, possessions, churches and houses, inside and outside the city and also for their mills. The Greeks are entitled to give pasture to their cattle within a space of 15 miles, yet are not to abide in any inhabited town. After Rabi‘ and Jumâda I shall have passed, they are at

---

1 Ar. mujadhdhâmin, see Kâmûs, Tâj al-‘Arûs and Nihâyah; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 933, translates: “mutilati”.
liberty to go where they will. Whosoever of them adopts Islâm, shall have the same rights as we and be bound by the same obligations; and their merchants are entitled to go whither they will in the countries that have become ours through capitulation. Those of them who do not adopt Islâm\(^1\) are bound to pay poll-tax and kharâj. Allah is witness and his witness is sufficient.”

\(^1\) Cf. Zaidân, vol. iv, p. 122, Margoliouth’s translation.
CHAPTER IX

Himṣ

The inhabitants capitulate. 'Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from abu-Mikhnaf:—When abu-'Ubaidah was through with Damascus, he sent ahead of him Khâlid ibn-al-Walid and Milhân ibn-Zaiyâr at-Ṭâ'i and then he followed them. When they met in Himṣ [Emesa],¹ the people of the city resisted them, but finally sought refuge in the city and asked for safety and capitulation. They capitulated to abu-'Ubaidah agreeing to pay 170,000 dinârs.²

As-Simt captures Himṣ. According to al-Wâkîdî and others, as the Moslems stood at the gates of Damascus there appeared a dense band of the enemy's horsemen. The troops of the Moslems set out and met them between Bait-Lihya and ath-Thaniyâh. The enemy was defeated and took to flight in the direction of Himṣ via Ḳâra. The Moslems pursued them to Himṣ but found that they had turned away from it. The people of Himṣ saw the Moslems and, being scared because Heraclius had run away from them and because of what they heard regarding the Moslems' power, valor and victory, they submitted and hastened to seek the promise of security. The Moslems guaranteed their safety and refrained from killing them. The people of Himṣ offered them food for their animals and for themselves and the Moslems camped on the Orontes [al-Urunt, or al-Urund] (the river which empties its water in the sea near

Antioch). The commander of the Moslems at that time was as-Simṭ ʿibn-al-Aswad al-Kindī.

When abu-ʿUbaidah was through with Damascus, he left over it in his place Yazīd ʿibn-abi-Sufyān, came to Ḥimṣ via Baʿlabakk, and encamped at the Rastan gate. The people of Ḥimṣ capitulated, and he guaranteed the safety of their lives, possessions, city-wall, churches, and wells excluding one-fourth of St. John’s Church which was to be turned into a mosque. He made it a condition on those of them who would not embrace Islām to pay kharāj.¹

According to certain reports, it was as-Simṭ ʿibn-al-Aswad al-Kindī who made the terms with the people of Ḥimṣ. When abu-ʿUbaidah arrived, he caused the terms to take effect. As-Simṭ divided the city into lots, each marked for one Moslem to build his house. He also made them settle in every place whose occupants had evacuated it and in every yard that was deserted.

The terms with Ḥamāh, Shaizar, Fāmiyāh and other places. Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashḵī from Saʿīd ʿibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAzīz:—When abu-ʿUbaidah ʿibn-al-Jarrāḥ effected the conquest of Damascus, he left over it as his lieutenant Yazīd ʿibn-abi-Sufyān; over the province of Palestine, ‘Amr ʿibn-al-ʿĀṣi; and over the province of the Jordan, Shuraḥbil. He then advanced to Ḥimṣ whose people capitulated on the same terms as those of Baʿlabakk. Leaving over Ḥimṣ ‘Ubādah ʿibn-aš-Ṣāmit al-Anṣārī, he pushed towards Ḥamāh [Epiphania] whose people met him offering their submission. He made terms with them, stipulating that they pay tax on their heads and kharāj on their land. Thence he proceeded towards Shaizar. The people of Shaizar [Larissa] went out to meet him bowing² before him and

² Ar. kaffara; see GGA, 1863, p. 1348; Kashshāf, vol. i, p. 22.
accompanied by players on the tambourines and singers. They agreed to terms similar to those made with the people of Ḥamāh. Abu-ʿUbaidah’s horsemen reached as far as az-Zarrāʿah and al-Ḵaṣṭal. He then passed through Maʿarrat Ḥims [Maʿarrat an-Nuʿmān] which was named after an-Nuʿmān ibn-Bashir.1 Its people came out playing on tambourines and singing before him. Thence he came to Fāmiyāh whose people met him in the same way and consented to pay poll-tax and kharāj. Thus was the question of Ḥims brought to an end, and Ḥims and Ḥinnasrin became parts of one whole.2

The “Junds” and “ʿAwāṣim.” There is a disagreement regarding the name “Jund”3 [as applied to the military districts of Syria]. According to some, Palestine was called “Jund” by the Moslems because it was a collection of many provinces, and so was each of Damascus, Jordan, Ḥims and Ḥinnasrin. According to others, each district which had an army that received its monthly allowance in it was called “Jund.” Thus Mesopotamia belonged to Ḥinnasrin; but ʿAbd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān made it a separate “Jund,” that is, made its army take its allowance from its kharāj. ʿAbd-al-Malik was asked to do so by Muḥammad ibn-Marwān. Down to the time of Yazid ibn-Muʿāwiyyah, Ḥinnasrin and its districts were included in the province of Ḥims; but Yazid constituted Ḥinnasrin, Antioch, Manbij and their districts as one “Jund.” When ar-Rashid Ḥārūn ibn-al-Mahdi was made caliph, he set Ḥinnasrin apart and made of it and its districts one “Jund.” He also separated Manbij, Dulūk, Raʿbān, Ḥūrus, Antioch and Tizin and called them “al-ʿAwāṣim”4 because these were

---

1 Yaḵtūt, al-Mushtarak, p. 401.
3 The same word is commonly used for “troops”.
4 Zaidān, vol. i, p. 153; the word means “those that give protection.”
the cities to which the Moslems resorted after making an invasion and leaving the frontier cities, and where they were safe and protected. The chief city of “al-‘Awâšîm” he made Manbij [Hierapolis]. In this city ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Ṣâliḥ ibn-‘Ali lived in the year 173 and erected many buildings.

*Al-Lâdhiqiyah entered.* Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashḵī from Saʿid ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz, and Mūsa ibn-Ibrâhîm at-Tanûkha from certain sheikhs of Ḥimṣ:—Abu-ʿUbaidah appointed in his place over Ḥimṣ ‘Ubâdah ibn-aṣ-Ṣāmit al-Anṣâri who left for al-Lâdhiqiyah.¹ Its people resisted him and the city had a massive gate that could be opened only by a number of men. Seeing how difficult it was to reduce the city, ‘Ubâdah encamped at a distance from it and ordered that trenches like canals be dug, each one large enough to conceal a man with his horse. The Moslems made special effort and got the work done. They then pretended to be returning to Ḥimṣ; but no sooner had the night fallen with its darkness, than they returned to their camp and trenches, while the people of al-Lâdhiqiyah were negligent of them being under the impression that the Moslems had left them. Early in the morning, they opened their gate and drove forth their cattle; but how terrified they were to meet the Moslems and see them enter through the gate! Thus was the city taken by force. ‘Ubâdah entered the fort and then climbed its wall and called “Allah is great” etc. Certain Christians of al-Lâdhiqiyah fled to al-Yusaiyid, and later sought to surrender, agreeing to return to their lands. They were assigned to lands, and a fixed *kharâj*² was assessed to be paid by them every year whether they should increase or decrease in number. Their church was left for

¹ Laodicea; Yâḵūt, vol. iv, p. 338.

² *Kharâj muḥāta‘ah.* See Berchem, *La Propriété Territoriale*, p. 45.
them. The Moslems, following the order of 'Ubâdah, erected in al-Lâdhiḳiyah a cathedral mosque that was later enlarged.

Al-Lâdhiḳiyah destroyed and rebuilt. In the year 100, when 'Awb-al-'Aziz was caliph, the Greeks made a descent by sea on the coast of al-Lâdhiḳiyah. They destroyed the city and took its inhabitants prisoners. 'Umar ordered that it be rebuilt and fortified and asked the [Greek] "tyrant" ¹ to accept ransom for the Moslem prisoners. But this was not carried out till after his death in the year 101. The city was completed and garrisoned by the order of Yazid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik.

According to a tradition communicated by one from al-Lâdhiḳiyah, 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz fortified the city and finished its work before he died. All what Yazid ibn- 'Abd-al-Malik did was to repair the city and increase its garrison.

Baldah taken by assault. Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashḳi from Sa'id ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz and Sa'id ibn-Sulaimān al-Ḥimṣi:— 'Ubâdah with the Moslems appeared at the coast and took by assault a city called Baldah lying two parasangs from Jabalah. The city was later destroyed and its inhabitants evacuated it. Jabalah, which was a fortification for the Greeks and was deserted by them when the Moslems conquered Ḥimṣ, was established by Mu'āwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyān and guarded by a garrison.

The fort of Jabalah. Sufyān ibn-Muḥammad al-Bahrānī from certain sheikhs:—Mu'āwiyah erected for Jabalah ² a fort outside the older Greek fort which was now inhabited by monks and others devoted to religious exercises.

¹ Ar. ṭoghriyah, an appellation of the Byzantine emperor used by the Arabian writers.
² Gabala, Gibellus Major, or Zibel; Le Strange, pp. 459-460.
Antartús reduced. Sufyân ibn-Muḥammad from his father and sheikhs:—‘Ubâdah with the Moslems conquered Antartús [Tortosa] which was a fortified town and which was evacuated by its holders. Muʿāwiyah built Antartús and fortified it ¹ giving the fiefs to the holders of the fort. The same thing he did with Marâkiyyah and Bulunyâs.

Guards stationed in the littoral towns. Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashkî from his sheikhs:—Abu-ʿUbaidah effected the conquest of al-Lâdhiḵiyah, Jabalah and Antartús through ‘Ubâdah ibn-āṣ-Ṣâmit and used to put them in charge of a guard until the time in which the sea was closed.² When Muʿāwiyah stationed garrisons in the coast cities and fortified them, he put garrisons in, and fortified these cities, too, and treated them as the other littoral towns.

Salamyah. It was reported to me by a sheikh from Ḥimṣ that close to Salamyah [Salaminias] lay a city called Muʿtakifah which one day was completely destroyed by an earthquake and only one hundred of its inhabitants survived. The survivors erected one hundred houses and lived in them. This new settlement was called Silm Miʾah ³ which name was corrupted into Salamyah. Later there came to this place Šâliḥ ibn-ʿAli ibn-ʿAbdallâh ibn-ʿAbbâs, fortified it and lived in it with his sons. Many of his descendants still have their abode in it. According to ibn-Sahm al-Anṭâki, however, Salamyah is an ancient Greek name.

Marwân destroys the wall of Ḥimṣ. I was told by Muḥammad ibn-Muṣaffa-l-Ḥimṣî that the wall of Ḥimṣ was destroyed by Marwân ibn-Muḥammad, because in his retreat before the people of Khurâsân, he passed by the

¹ Yâkût, vol. i, p. 388.
² The guard was posted in them so long as the sea was open for navigation, i. e., until winter time.
³ “The safety of one hundred.”
people of Ḫimṣ, who had broken off from their allegiance, and they carried away some of his baggage, property and armories.

_Al-Faḍl ibn-Kârin and Mûsa ibn-Bugha as governors of Ḫimṣ._ The city of Ḫimṣ had stones for pavement. In the days of Aḥmad ibn-Muḥammad ibn-abi-Iṣḥâk al-Muʿtaṣim-Billâh, the people rose against his ‘āmil over them, al-Faḍl ibn-Kârin aṭ-Ṭabari, a brother of Mayazdiyâr ibn-Kârin,¹ and in accordance with his orders the pavement was removed. They rebelled again, repaved the city and fought against al-Faḍl ibn-Kârin until they worsted him. After robbing him of his money and wives, they put him to death and crucified him. Al-Muʿtaṣim directed against them Mûsa ibn-Bugha-l-Kabîr [the Elder] his freedman, and the inhabitants including a large number of Christians and Jews, fought against him. After a fearful slaughter, Mûsa put the survivors to flight, pursued them to the city and entered it by force. This took place in the year 250.

Ḫimṣ is the seat of a large granary that receives wheat and oil from the cities of the coast and other places that were given out as fiefs for their holders and recorded for them as such in special record books.

THE BATTLE OF AL-YARMÛK

A description of the battle. Heraclius gathered large bodies of Greeks, Syrians, Mesopotamians and Armenians numbering about 200,000.¹ This army he put under the command of one of his choice men² and sent as a vanguard Jabalah ibn-al-Aiham al-Ghassâni at the head of the "naturalized" Arabs [musta‘ribah] of Syria of the tribes of Lakhm, Judhâm and others, resolving to fight the Moslems so that he might either win or withdraw to the land of the Greeks³ and live in Constantinople. The Moslems gathered together and the Greek army marched against them. The battle they fought at al-Yarmûk was of the fiercest and bloodiest kind.⁴ Al-Yarmûk [Hieromax] is a river. In this battle 24,000 Moslems took part. The Greeks and their followers in this battle tied themselves to each other by chains, so that no one might set his hope on flight. By Allah's help, some 70,000 of them were put to death, and their remnants took to flight, reaching as far as Palestine, Antioch, Aleppo, Mesopotamia and Armenia. In the battle of al-Yarmûk certain Moslem women took part and fought violently. Among them was Hind, daughter of ‘Utbah and

¹ De Goeje, Mémoire sur la Conquête de la Syrie, p. 107.
² Ṭabarî, vol. i, p. 2347.
³ i.e., Asia Minor; Arabic—Bilâd ar-Rûm.
mother of Mu‘awiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân, who repeatedly exclaimed, “Cut the arms of these ‘uncircumcised’ with your swords!” Her husband abu-Sufyân had come to Syria as a volunteer desiring to see his sons, and so he brought his wife with him. He then returned to al-Madinah where he died. year 31, at the age of 88. Others say he died in Syria. When the news of his death was carried to his daughter, umm-Ḥabibah, she waited until the third day on which she ordered some yellow paint and covered with it her arms and face saying, “I would not have done that, had I not heard the Prophet say, ‘A woman should not be in mourning for more than three days over anyone except her husband.’” It is stated that she did likewise when she received the news of her brother Yazīd’s death. But Allah knows best.

_Those who lost an eye or suffered martyrdom._ Abu-Sufyân ibn-Ḥarb was one-eyed. He had lost his eye in the battle of at-Ṭâ’if. In the battle of al-Yarmûk, however, al-Ash‘ath ibn-Ḳais, Ḥāshim ibn-‘Utbah ibn-abi-Waḥṣaṣ az-Zuhri (i. e. al-Mirkâl) and Ḳais ibn-Makshûh, each lost an eye. In this battle ʻĀmir ibn-abi-Waḥṣaz-Zuhri fell a martyr. It is this ʻĀmir who once carried the letter of ʻUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb assigning abu-ʻUbaidah to the governorship of Syria. Others say he was a victim of the plague; still others report that he suffered martyrdom in the battle of Ajnâdin; but all that is not true.

_Habīb ibn-Maslamah pursues the fugitives._ Abū-ʻUbaidah put Ḥabīb ibn-Maslamah-l-Fihri at the head of a cavalry detachment charged with pursuing the fugitive enemy,¹ and Ḥabīb set out killing every man whom he could reach.

_The story of Jabalah._ Jabalah ibn-al-Aīham sided with the _Anṣâr_ saying, “Ye are our brethren and the sons of our

¹ Athir, vol. i, p. 179.
fathers,” and professed Islâm. After the arrival of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb in Syria, year 17, Jabalah had a dispute with one of the Muzainah and knocked out his eye. ‘Umar ordered that he be punished, upon which Jabalah said, “Is his eye like mine? Never, by Allah, shall I abide in a town where I am under authority.” He then apostatized and went to the land of the Greeks. This Jabalah was the king of Ghassân ¹ and the successor of al-Ḥârith ibn-abi-Shimr.

According to another report, when Jabalah came to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, he was still a Christian. ‘Umar asked him to accept Islam and pay șadākah; but he refused saying, “I shall keep my faith and pay șadākah.” ‘Umar’s answer was, “If thou keepest thy faith, thou hast to pay poll-tax.” The man refused, and ‘Umar added, “We have only three alternatives for thee: Islâm, tax or going whither thou wilt-est.” Accordingly, Jabalah left with 30,000 men to the land of the Greeks [Asia Minor]. ‘Ubâdah ibn-aṣ-Ṣâmit gently reproved ‘Umar saying, “If thou hadst accepted șadākah from him and treated him in a friendly way, he would have become Moslem.”

In the year 21, ‘Umar directed ‘Umair ibn-Sa‘d al-Anṣârī at the head of a great army against the land of the Greeks, and put him in command of the summer expedition² which was the first of its kind. ‘Umar instructed him to treat Jabalah ibn-al-Aiham very kindly and to try and appeal to him through the blood relationship between them, so that he should come back to the land of the Moslems with the understanding that he would keep his own faith and pay the amount of șadākah he had agreed to pay. ‘Umair marched until he came to the land of the Greeks and proposed to

Jabalah what he was ordered by ‘Umar to propose; but Jabalah refused the offer and insisted on staying in the land of the Greeks. ‘Umair then came into a place called al-Ḥimār—a valley—which he destroyed putting its inhabitants to the sword. Hence the proverb, “In a more ruined state than the hollow of Ḥimār.”

_Heraclius’ adieu to Syria._ When Heraclius received the news about the troops in al-Yarmūk and the destruction of his army by the Moslems, he fled from Antioch to Constantinople, and as he passed ad-Darb he turned and said, “Peace unto thee, O Syria, and what an excellent country this is for the enemy!”—referring to the numerous pastures in Syria.

The battle of al-Yarmūk took place in Rajab, year 15.

_Ḥubāsh loses his leg._ According to Hishām ibn-al-Kalbi, among those who witnessed the battle of al-Yarmūk was Ḥubāsh ibn-Ḳais al-Ḳushairi, who killed many of the ‘uncircumcised’ and lost his leg without feeling it. At last he began to look for it. Hence the verse of Sauwār ibn-Aufa:

> “Among us were ibn-‘Attāb and the one who went seeking his leg; and among us was one who offered protection to the quarter,”

—referring to dhu-l-Ruḵaibah.

_Christians and Jews prefer Moslem rule._ Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashḵī from Saʿid ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz:—When Heraclius massed his troops against the Moslems and the Mos-

---

2 The pass of Taurus.
3 Ṭabari, vol. i, pp. 2395 and 2396.
4 The date of the Yarmūk is confused by some Arabian historians with that of Ajuādīn, Jumāda ii, year 13; see Athīr, vol. ii, p. 315.
5 _Kāmūs_: “his name was Mālik”.
lems heard that they were coming to meet them at al-Yarmûk, the Moslems refunded to the inhabitants of Ḫimṣ the kharâj ¹ they had taken from them saying, "We are too busy to support and protect you. Take care of yourselves." But the people of Ḫimṣ replied, "We like your rule and justice far better than the state of oppression and tyranny ² in which we were. The army of Heraclius we shall indeed, with your 'âmil's help, repulse from the city." The Jews rose and said, "We swear by the Thorah, no governor of Heraclius shall enter the city of Ḫimṣ unless we are first vanquished and exhausted!" Saying this, they closed the gates of the city and guarded them. The inhabitants of the other cities—Christian and Jew—that had capitulated to the Moslems, did the same, saying, "If Heraclius and his followers win over the Moslems we would return to our previous condition, otherwise we shall retain our present state so long as numbers are with the Moslems." When by Allah's help the "unbelievers" were defeated and the Moslems won, they opened the gates of their cities, went out with the singers and music players who began to play, and paid the kharâj.

Abu-'Ubaidah reduces Ḫinnasrin and Antioch. Abu-'Ubaidah marched against the province of Ḫinnasrin and Antioch and reduced it.

Shurahbil transferred to Ḫimṣ. Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi from his grandfather:—As-Simṭ ibn-al-Aswad al-Kindi distinguished himself as a fighter in the battle of al-Yarmûk and particularly in Syria and Ḫimṣ. It was he who divided the houses of Ḫimṣ among its people. His son Shurahbil was in al-Kūfah disputing the leadership over the Kindah tribe with al-Ash‘ath ibn-Ḳais al-Kindi. Now,

¹ Yūsuf, p. 81.
as-Simṭ appeared before 'Umar saying, "'Commander of the Believers', I see thou dost not separate even captives from one another, yet thou hast separated me from my son. Change his position, if thou pleasest, to Syria, or mine to al-Kūfah." "Well," said 'Umar, "I shall change his position to Syria." Accordingly, Shuraḥbīl took up his abode in Ḥimṣ with his father.
CHAPTER XI

PALESTINE

Places conquered by ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āsi. Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashkī from learned sheikhs:—The first conflict between Moslems and Greeks took place in the caliphate of abu-Bakr in the province of Palestine, the one in chief command over the Moslems being ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āsi. Later on in the caliphate of abu-Bakr, ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āsi effected the conquest of Ghazzah, then Sabastiyah ¹ and Nābulus [Neapolis] with the stipulation that he guaranteed to the inhabitants the safety of their lives, their possessions and their houses on condition that they pay poll-tax, and kharâj on their land. He then conquered Ludd [Lydda] and its district, and then Yubna [Jabneh or Jabneel], ‘Amawâs [Emmaus] and Bait-Jabrîn ² [Eleutheropolis] where he took for himself an estate ³ which he named ‘Ajlân after a freedman of his. He then conquered Yâfa [Jaffa] which according to others was conquered by Mu‘âwiyah. ‘Amr also conquered Rafaḥ and made similar terms with it.

The conquest of Jerusalem. As ‘Amr was besieging ḫiliyâ’, i. e., Jerusalem in the year 16, abu-‘Ubaidah after reducing Ḫinnasrîn and its environs, came to him, and according to a report, sent him from Jerusalem to Antioch whose people had violated the covenant. ‘Amr reduced the

¹ i. e., Samaria; abu-l-Fida, vol. i, p. 160.
³ Yâkût, vol. i, p. 19, line 12.
city and returned [to Jerusalem]. Only two or three days after his return, the inhabitants of Jerusalem asked to capitulate to Abu-'Ubaidah on the same terms as those of the cities of Syria as regards tax and kharâj, and to have the same treatment as their equals elsewhere, provided the one to make the contract be 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb in person, Abu-'Ubaidah communicated this in writing to 'Umar who came first to al-Jâbiyah in Damascus and then to Jerusalem. He made the terms of capitulation with the people of Jerusalem to take effect and gave them a written statement. The conquest of Jerusalem took place in the year 17.

A different account has been reported regarding the conquest of Jerusalem.

Al-Ḳâsim ibn-Sallâm from Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabib:—Khâlid ibn-Thâbit al-Fahmi was sent by 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, who was at that time in al-Jâbiyah, at the head of an army to Jerusalem. After fighting with the inhabitants, they agreed to pay something on what was within their fortified city and to deliver to the Moslems all what was outside. 'Umar came and concurred, after which he returned to al-Madinah.¹

Hishâm ibn-'Ammâr from al-Auzâ‘i:—Abu-'Ubaidah reduced Ḳinnasrîn and its districts in the year 16; after which he came to Palestine and camped in Jerusalem, whose people asked him to make terms with them, which he did in the year 17, with the stipulation that 'Umar would come in person, put the terms into effect and write a statement of them to the people.

'Umar welcomed by the people of Adhri‘āt. Hishâm ibn-'Ammâr from 'Abdallâh ibn-Ḵāis:—The latter said, "I was one of those who went with Abu-'Ubaidah to meet 'Umar as he was coming to Syria. As 'Umar was passing,

Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 2360.
he was met by the singers and tambourine players of the inhabitants of Adhri‘át with swords and myrtle. Seeing that, ‘Umar shouted ‘Keep still! Stop them!’ But abu-‘Ubadah replied, ‘This is their custom (or some other word like it), “Commander of the Believers,” and if thou shouldst stop them from doing it, they would take as indicating thy intention to violate their covenant.’ ‘Well, then, said ‘Umar, ‘let them go on.’”

*The plague of ‘Amawâs.* The plague of ‘Amawâs [Emmaus] occurred in the year 18. To it a great many Moslems fell victim, among whom was abu-‘Ubadah ibn-al-Jarrâḥ (who was 58 years old and a commander in the army) and Mu‘âdh ibn-Jabal of the banu-Salimah of al-Khazraj who was surnamed abu-‘Abd-ar-Rahmân and who died in the district of al-Ukhwânah in the province of the Jordan, aged 38. This Mu‘âdh, abu-‘Ubadah on his deathbed had appointed as his successor. According to others he appointed ‘Iyâḍ ibn-Ghanm al-Fihri. Some others say he appointed ‘Amr ibn-al-Âṣî who appointed his own son as successor and departed for Egypt. Al-Faḍl ibn-al-‘Abbâs ibn-‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib, surnamed abu-Muḥammad, fell, according to some, as martyr in Ajnâdîn; but the fact is that he was a victim to the plague at ‘Amawâs. Other victims were Shu‘aḥbîl ibn-Ḥasanah, surnamed abu-‘Abdallâḥ (who died 69 years old); Suhail ibn-‘Amr of the banu-‘Amir ibn-Lu‘ai, surnamed abu-Yazid; and al-Ḥârîth ibn-Hishâm ibn-al-Mughîrâl-Makhzûmî (who, according to others, fell a martyr in the battle of Ajnâdîn).

*Yazîd ibn-abi-Sufyân governor of Syria.* When ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb received the news of the death of abu-‘Ubadah, he wrote to Yazîd ibn-abi-Sufyân appointing him in his place as governor of Syria, and ordering him to

---

1 Edrei of Numbers xxi: 33.
invade Ḫaisâriyyah [Caesarea]. According to others, however, Yazîd was appointed by Ṭūmār as governor of the Jordan and Palestine; abu-ad-Dardâ’, of Damascus; and Ṭubâdah ibn-aṣ-Ṣâmit, of Ḥimṣ.

The conquest of Ḫaisâriyyah. Muḥammad ibn-Sa’d from al-Wâqîdî:—There is difference of opinion regarding the conquest of Ḫaisâriyyah [Caesarea]. Some say Muʿāwiyah subdued it; others, Ḫiyâd ibn-Ghanm, after the death of abu-Ṭubâdah whose successor he was; and still others ‘Amr ibn-al-Ṭâṣi. According to some, ‘Amr ibn-al-Ṭâṣi left for Egypt and appointed his son Ṭabdallâḥ to succeed him. The truth in all that, on which scholars agree, is that the first to lay siege to the city was ‘Amr ibn-al-Ṭâṣi who made his descent on it in Jumâda I, year 13. ‘Amr would camp around it as long as he could, and whenever the Moslem forces wanted to combine against their enemy, he would go to them. Thus he witnessed the battles of Ajnâdîn, Fih, al-Marj, Damascus and al-Yarmûk. He then returned to Palestine and after taking Jerusalem laid siege to Ḫaisâriyyah. From Ḫaisâriyyah he left for Egypt. After abu-Ṭubâdah, Yazîd ibn-abi-Sufyân became governor of Syria, and he appointed his brother to press the siege. Smitten by the plague, Yazîd returned to Damascus where he died.

Other than al-Wâqîdî state that ‘Ṭūmâr appointed Yazîd ibn-abi-Sufyân to the governorship of Palestine together with the other provinces of Syria and ordered him to invade Ḫaisâriyyah which had already been besieged. Yazîd went against it with 17,000 men. Its people resisted; and he laid the siege. In the last part of the year 18, he fell ill and departed for Damascus leaving his brother Muʿāwiyah in his place at Ḫaisâriyyah. Muʿawiyah reduced the city ¹

¹ Yaḥûbi, vol. ii, p. 172.
and wrote to Yazid to that effect, and the latter communicated the news to ‘Umar.

*Mu‘āwiyyah nominated governor of Syria.* At the death of Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân, ‘Umar wrote to Mu‘āwiyyah making him governor in his [Yazid’s] place, upon which abu-Sufyân thanked ‘Umar saying, “May the tie of relationship be made stronger by thy kind behavior!”

Hishâm ibn-‘Ammâr from Tamîm ibn-‘Aṭiyah:—‘Umar made Mu‘āwiyyah ibn-abi-Sufyân governor of Syria after Yazid, and appointed with him two men of the Prophet’s Companions for conducting prayer and performing the duties of kâdi: abu-ad-Dardâ’ to act as kâdi and to conduct prayer at Damascus and the Jordan, and ‘Ubâdah to act as kâdi and conduct prayer at Hîms and Kinnasrin.

*Mu‘āwiyyah besieges Kaisâriyah.* Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from al-Wâkidi:—When ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb made Mu‘āwiyyah governor of Syria, the latter besieged Kaisâriyah until he reduced it, the city having been under siege for seven years. Its conquest took place in Shauwâl, year 19. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Amîr:—Mu‘āwiyyah besieged Kaisâriyah until he lost all hope of reducing it. Previous to this, the city had been besieged by ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Âsi and his son. When Mu‘āwiyyah at last took it by storm, he found in it 700,000 [sic!] soldiers with fixed stipends, 30,000 Samaritans and 20,000 Jews. He found in the city 300 markets, all in good shape. It was guarded every night by 100,000 men stationed on its wall. The city was reduced in the following way:—A Jew named Yûsuf came to the Moslems at night and pointed out to them a road through a tunnel the water in which would reach a man’s waist; in consideration for which information, safety was guaranteed him and his relatives. Mu‘āwiyyah sanctioned the conditions [made to Yûsuf] and the Moslems entered the city by night, calling “Allah is great!”
The Greeks seeking to flee through the tunnel found it occupied by Moslems. The Moslems opened the city gate and Mu'āwiyyah with his men went in. Many Arabs were in the city [as prisoners?]. One of them was a woman, Sha'krā', whom Ḥassān ibn-Thābit referred to when he said:

"Sha'krā says, 'If thou shouldst relinquish wine, thou wouldst become rich in number.'"¹

Others say her name was Sha'thā'.

The captives from Kāṣārīyah. Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from al-Wâkīdī:—The prisoners from Kāṣārīyah [Caesarea] amounted to 4,000. When Mu'āwiyyah sent them to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb the latter gave orders that they be settled in al-Jurf. They were then distributed among the orphans of the Anṣār, and some were used as clerks and manual laborers for the Moslems. The daughters of abu-Umāmah As'ad ibn-Zurārah, having been given by abu-Bakr two servants from the prisoners of 'Ain at-Tarm who were now dead, 'Umar assigned to the daughters two of the captives of Kāṣārīyah to take the place of the two dead servants.

Mu'āwiyyah forwarded two men of the Judhām to carry the news of the conquest to 'Umar. Fearing that they might not hasten enough, he forwarded a man of the Khath'am who exerted all effort in walking by day and by night repeating:

"The two brothers of Judhām have brought insomnia on me, the brother of Ḥishm and the brother of Ḥarām. How can I sleep so long as they are ahead of me? They are going along and the midday heat is becoming vehement."²

At last he got ahead of them and presented himself before

² Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 2397.
‘Umar who, hearing the news of the conquest, exclaimed "Allah is great!"

Hishâm ibn-‘Ammâr from one whose name I do not remember:—Kaisâriyah was taken by storm in the year 19. Hearing the news of its capture, ‘Umar exclaimed, "Kaisâriyah is taken by storm. Allah is great!" and so did the rest of the Moslems. The city was besieged for seven years and was finally reduced by Mu‘âwiyyah.

The death of Yazîd ibn-abi-Sufyân took place at the end of the year 18, in Damascus.

The date of the conquest of Kaisâriyah. Those who claim that Mu‘âwiyyah reduced Kaisâriyah in the days of his brother believe that it was not reduced before the end of the year 18; but those who claim that it was reduced while he was governor of Syria believe that it was reduced in the year 19. Of the two views, the latter is the tenable one. According to still other reports, the city was reduced in the early part of the year 20.

‘Askalân reduced. ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb wrote to Mu‘âwiyyah instructing him to follow up the conquest of what was left in Palestine. Accordingly, Mu‘âwiyyah conquered ‘Askalân [Ascalon] which capitulated after some resistance. According to others, however, it was ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Aṣi who first conquered the city. Later, its inhabitants violated the covenant and were reinforced by the Greeks. It was then that Mu‘âwiyyah reduced it, settled garrisons of cavalry in it and put it in charge of a guard.


Muḥammad ibn-Muṣaffa from abu-Sulaimân ar-Ramli’s
father:—In the days of ibn-az-Zubair the Greeks went out against Kaisâriyah and devastated it and razed its mosque to the ground. When ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân was settled in his rule, he made repairs in Kaisâriyah, restored its mosque and left a garrison in it. Moreover, he built Tyre and outer Acre which had shared the same fate as Kaisâriyah.

Sulaimân ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik builds ar-Ramlah. The following tradition was communicated to me by certain men well versed in the conditions of Syria:—Al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik made Sulaimân ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik governor of the province of Palestine. Sulaimân took up his abode in Ludd and then founded the city of ar-Ramlah and fortified it. The first thing he built in it was his palace and the house known as Dâr aš-Šabbâghin [the house of the dyers] in the middle of which he made a cistern. He then planned the mosque and began its construction, but he became caliph before its completion. After becoming caliph, he continued its construction which was completed by ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz who reduced the original plan, saying, ‘The inhabitants of ar-Ramlah should be satisfied with the size thereof to which I have reduced it.”

After having erected a house for himself, Sulaimân permitted the people to build their houses, which they did. He dug for the inhabitants of ar-Ramlah their canal which is called Baradah, and he dug also wells. The one he appointed to oversee the expenses of his palace in ar-Ramlah and of the cathedral mosque was one of his clerks, a certain Christian of Ludd named al-Baṭriḳ ibn-an-Naka.\(^1\)

---

\(^1\) Ar. maṣṣara—“to make a city a boundary line between two things;” see an-Nihâyah; Le Strange, p. 303, translates: “made it his capital.”

\(^2\) Mukaddasi, p. 164.

\(^3\) “Ibn-Baka” in Hamadhâni, Buldân, p. 102.
Before Sulaimân there was no such city as ar-Ramlah, and its site was sand [Ar. raml].

The Dâr aṣ-Ṣabbâghîn passed to the hands of the heirs of Šâlih ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-al-Abbâs, because it was confiscated with the possessions of the banu-Umaiyyah.

The expenses of the wells and canal of ar-Ramlah, after the time of Sulaimân ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik, were met by the banu-Umaiyyah. But when the banu-l-Abbâs assumed the caliphate, they paid the expenses. The order for these expenses was issued yearly by every caliph; but when al-Mu‘taṣîm became caliph, he gave a permanent decree for these expenses, thereby doing away with the necessity of issuing an order every time by the caliph. It became thereafter a current expense which the ‘āmîls paid and kept an account of.

"Reduction" and "restoration" in the kharâj. There are in Palestine special places containing documents from the caliphs, set aside from the records of the kharâj of the common people and containing a statement of the "reduction" and "restoration", the explanation of which is the following:—Certain estates having been abandoned in the caliphate of ar-Rashid and deserted by their occupants, ar-Rashid sent Harthamah ibn-A‘yan to cultivate them. Harthamah asked some of their old tenants and farmers to go back to them with the understanding that he would reduce their kharâj and would deal with them more leniently. Those who went back are those to whom the "reductions" were made. Others came after that and their old lands were restored to them. These are the ones to whom the "restorations" were made.

Fiefs in ‘Askalân. The following tradition was related to me by Bakr ibn-al-Haitham:—"I met a man of the Arabs in ‘Askalân who said that his grandfather was one of those settled in ‘Askalân by ‘Abd-al-Malik and was given
a fief in it as one of the garrison of cavalry to whom fiefs were assigned. He also showed me a piece of land, saying, 'This is one of the fiefs given by 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân'. I heard Muḥammad ibn-Yûsuf al-Fâryâbi¹ say:—'Here in 'Askalân are fiefs which were given out by the orders of 'Umar and 'Uthmân, and it matters not who takes possession of them.'"

¹ "Firyâbi" in Ṭabari, vol. iii, p. 2557.
CHAPTER XII

THE PROVINCE OF KINNASRĪN AND THE CITIES CALLED AL-'AWAREM

KINNASRĪN capitulates. Abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrāḥ, after being through with al-Yarmûk, went to the province of Ḥimṣ and passed from one place to the other examining it. Then he went to Kinnasrin [Chalcis] with Khālid ibn-al-Walid commanding the van of his army. The inhabitants of the city of Kinnasrin resisted at first, then they sought refuge in their stronghold and asked to capitulate. Abu-'Ubaidah made terms with them similar to those of Ḥimṣ. Thus the Moslems effected the conquest of the land of Kinnasrin with its villages. The Ḥādir Kinnasrin had been settled by the Tanûkh tribe since they came to Syria and pitched their tents in it. They later built their houses in it. These, Abu-'Ubaidah summoned to Islâm. Some of them accepted it, but the banu-Salih ibn-Hulwān ibn-'Imrān ibn-al-Ḥāfi ibn-Kuḍā'ah remained Christian.

Certain sons of Yazīd ibn-Ḥunain at-Tâ'i-l-Anṭāki from their sheikhs:—A group of men from this Ḥādir Kinnasrin embraced Islâm in the caliphate of al-Mahdi who inscribed on their hands in green color the word “Kinnasrin.”

1 Ar. istaḵrāha, Caetani, vol. iii, p. 790, translates: “rinovo con gli abitanti il primitive trattato.”
2 Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 2393.
Kinnasrin violates the covenant. Thence abu-'Ubaidah departed bent upon Aleppo [Ḫalab], but hearing that the people of Kinnasrin had violated the covenant and proved perfidious, he directed against them as-Simṭ ibn-al-Aswad al-Kindi who reduced the city after besieging them.

Hishām ibn-'Ammār ad-Dimashḳi from 'Abd-ar-Rahmān ibn-Ghanm:—"We kept our post against Kinnasrin with as-Simṭ (or, perhaps he said Shuraḥbil ibn-as-Simṭ) as our leader. When he reduced the city, he carried off cows and sheep as booty. One part of the booty he distributed among us and the remaining part was treated according to the laws governing the spoils [Ar. maḥnun]."

The Ḫādir Ṭaiyi'. The Ḫādir Ṭaiyi' was of old origin. It dates back to the disastrous war termed Ḥarb al-Fasâd which tore up the tribe of Ṭaiyi' some of whom then came and established themselves on the two mountains [al-Jabalain, i.e., Aja and Salma]. Under these circumstances a large body of the Ṭaiyi' were dispersed over the country and some came and settled [near Kinnasrin]. When abu-'Ubaidah came to them, some became Moslems and many made terms agreeing to pay poll-tax, a little after which they all accepted Islâm with the exception of a few.

The Ḫādir of Aleppo. Close by the city of Aleppo stood a settlement called the Ḫādir Ḥalab in which different Arab tribes including Tanūkh lived. Abu-'Ubaidah made terms with them in which they agreed to pay poll-tax. Later they embraced Islâm and lived with their descendants in the same place until a little after the death of ar-Rashid. The inhabitants of this Ḫādir once fought against the people of

1 Mawardi, p. 240 seq.
2 Mushtarik, p. 118.

3 In which many atrocities were committed by both parties. See "Annotations on al-Ḳâmūs," by Muḥammad ibn-Ṭaiyib al-Fâsi.
the city of Aleppo and tried to drive them out of their city. The Ḥāshim tribe of the people of Aleppo wrote to all the Arab tribes of the vicinity asking for help. The first to come to their support and aid was al-‘Abbâs ibn-Zufar ibn-‘Āṣim al-Ḥilâli (according to his maternal pedigree, because umm-‘Abdallâh ibn-al-‘Abbâs was Lubâbah, daughter of al-Ḥârith ibn-Ḥazn ibn-Bujair ibn-al-Huzam of the Ḥilâl tribe). The people of that Ḥâdir could not resist this al-‘Abbâs and his men. They were therefore expelled from their Ḥâdir, and that at the time of the insurrection of Muḥammad ibn-ar-Rashîd; and their Ḥâdir was destroyed. They moved to Ḫinnasrin whose people met them with food and clothing. No sooner had they entered the city, than they attempted to subjugate it and were therefore driven out. Thus they were dispersed over the land, some settling in Takrit (whom I myself have seen) and others in Armenia and various other regions.

Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Zufar in Aleppo. I was told by al-Mutawakkil that he heard a sheikh of the banu-Ṣâliḥ ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Abbâs say to al-Mu‘tasim, in the year in which the latter invaded ‘Ammûriyah’,¹ that when al-‘Abbâs ibn-Zufar al-Ḥilâli arrived in Aleppo for the support of the Hashimites, some of their women called him saying, “Our hope, uncle, is in Allah and in thee!” To this al-‘Abbâs answered, “There is no danger, if it be the will of Allah; may Allah disappoint me, if I should disappoint you!”

Ḥiyâr bani-l-Ḳa‘kâ. Ḥiyâr bani-l-Ḳa‘kâ was a well-known town in pre-Islamic time. In it was the stopping place of al-Mundhir ibn-Mâ‘ as-Samâ‘ al-Lakhmi, the king of al-Ḥirah. It was also settled by the banu-l-Ḳa‘kâ ibn-Khulaid . . . ibn-Baghid, who chose it for their abode and after whom it was thus called.

¹ Mushtarik, p. 317; Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 730.
'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân had given to al-Ḵa'ḵâ a part of this Ḥiyâr as sief, and to al-Ḵa'ḵâ’s uncle, al-ʿAbbâs ibn-Jaz' ibn-al-Ḥârith other siefs which he exempted from the kharâj \(^1\) and assessed it on al-Yaman. They were also exempt after he died. All or most of them were waste land. The daughter of this al-ʿAbbâs, Wallâdah, lived with 'Abd-al-Malik and brought forth al-Wâlid and Sulaimân.

*Abu-'Ubaidah reduces Aleppo.* Abu-'Ubaidah set out for Aleppo sending before him 'Iyâḏ ibn-Ghanm al-Fihri. (The name of the latter’s father was 'Abd-Ghanm; but when 'Iyâḏ accepted Islâm, he hated to be called 'Abd-Ghanm,\(^2\) so he said, "I am 'Iyâḏ ibn-Ghanm"). Abu-'Ubaidah, finding the people in a fortified position, camped around the city; but no sooner had he done so, than they sought to capitulate and make terms regarding the safety of their lives, their possessions, city wall, churches, homes and the fort. All this was granted them with the exception of a site for the mosque. The one to make the terms was 'Iyâḏ; and abu-'Ubaidah sanctioned them.

Some reporters claim that they capitulated, agreeing to share with the Moslems half of their homes and churches provided their lives be spared. Others assert that abu-'Ubaidah found nobody in Aleppo, its inhabitants having moved to Antioch. From there they agreed in writing with abu-'Ubaidah on the terms of peace. When the terms were concluded, they returned to Aleppo.

*Antioch reduced by abu-'Ubaidah.* Abu-'Ubaidah set out from Aleppo for Antioch [Antâkiyah] in which a large body of men from the province of Kinnasrin had fortified themselves. On his arrival at Mahrûbah, which lay about

---

\(^1\) Ar. *aughara*. See Zaidân, vol. ii, p. 133.

\(^2\) One of the pre-Islamic gods.
two parasangs from Antioch, the troops of the enemy met him; and he dispersed them and forced them to seek refuge in the city. Abu-'Ubaidah invested the city at all its gates, most of the army being at the Bāb Fāris and Bāb al-Baḥr [sea gate]. At last they capitulated, agreeing to pay poll-tax or evacuate the place. Some of them did leave; but others remained, and to the latter Abu-'Ubaidah guaranteed safety, assessing one dinār and one jarīb [of wheat] on every adult. Later, they violated the contract, which made Abu-'Ubaidah send against them 'Iyāḍ ibn-Ghanm and Ḥabīb ibn-Maslamah, who reduced the city and made terms identical with the previous ones. Some say, however, that they violated the contract after Abu-'Ubaidah's return to Palestine. So he sent from Jerusalem 'Amr ibn-al-ʿAṣi who reduced it and returned to Jerusalem whose people, after a short time, sought to capitulate and make peace.

A garrison stationed in Antioch. Muḥammad ibn-Sahm al-Anṭāki from certain sheikhs of the frontier cities:—Antioch was highly esteemed by 'Umar and 'Uthmān. When it was therefore reduced, 'Umar wrote to Abu-'Ubaidah saying, "Station in Antioch Moslems of strong determination and good management. Let them be its garrison, and never stop their allowances." When he made Muʿāwiyyah governor, 'Umar wrote to him something to that effect. Later 'Uthmān instructed Muʿāwiyyah to station in its troops that would never leave and to assign them fiefs, which Muʿāwiyyah did. The following was said by Abu-Sahm, "As a child, while I was standing on the bridge of Antioch spanning the Orontes [Ar. al-Urunṭ] I heard an aged man of Antioch say, 'This piece of land is a fief from 'Uthmān to certain men that were in the army sent by Abu-'Ubaidah. It was allotted them in the time in which Muʿāwiyyah was, according to 'Uthmān's assignment, the governor of Syria.'"
Muslim ibn-‘Abdallâh loses his life. Mu‘âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân transplanted to Antioch in the year 42 some Persians and others from Ba‘labakk, Himş, al-Baṣrah and al-Kūfah. One of those transplanted was Muslim ibn-‘Abdallâh, the grandfather of ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ḫabîb ibn-an-Nu‘mân ibn-Musli̇m al-Anţâki. This Muslim was killed at one of the gates of Antioch which is known to-day as Bâb Musli̇m. His death was brought about when the Greeks started from the coast and set up their camp against Antioch and one of the “uncircumcised” threw a stone on Muslim, who was then on the city wall, and killed him.

Seleucia given as fief. According to a tradition communicated to me by certain sheikhs from Antioch, among whom was ibn-Burd al-Faḳīh, al-Walîd ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik gave as fief to some of the troops of Antioch the land of Seleucia [Ar. Salûḳiyah] lying at the sea-coast. Moreover, he fixed the tax on a filthur (i. e., jarib) one dinâr and one modius of wheat. They cultivated the land; and the terms were carried into effect. He also built the fort of Seleucia.

Baghrâs. The land of Baghrâs [Pagrae] belonged to Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik who gave it as an unalienable legacy to be used in the cause of righteousness. The same man owned ‘Ain as-Sallaur with its lake and al-Iskandariyyah [Alexandria] which latter passed as fief into the hands of Rajâ’, a freedman of al-Mahdi, to be inherited by his [al-Mahdi] sons Manṣūr and Ibrâhîm, later to Ibrâhîm ibn-Sa‘îd al-Jauhari, then by purchase to Aḥmad ibn-abi-Duwâd al-Iyâdi, and lastly to al-Mutawakkil, “the Commander of the Believers.”

1 “Miṣrân” used for the last two localities.
3 Ar. wakf.
Maslamah gives fiefs to Rabī‘ah. According to a tradition communicated to me by ibn-Burd al-Antāki and others, certain men of the Rabī‘ah tribe were assigned fiefs by Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik, which were later confiscated, passed to al-Ma‘mūn and put in charge of Sāliḥ al-Khāzin, the proprietor of the “Dār[-Ṣāliḥ]” in Antioch.

Abu-‘Ubaidah reduces Ma‘arrat Miṣrīn and other places. Abu-‘Ubaidah, hearing that a large body of Greeks were assembled between Ma‘arrat Miṣrīn and Aleppo, met them and killed many patricians, dispersing the whole army and carrying away captives and booty. Thus he effected the conquest of Ma‘arrat Miṣrīn and made terms similar to the terms of Aleppo. His cavalry roamed about until they got to Būka and reduced the villages of al-Jūmah, Sarmīn, Martāḥwān and Tizin. The occupants of the convents of Ṭabāya and al-Fasilah capitulated, agreeing to entertain whomever of the Moslems passed by them. The Khunāṣirah Christians, too, came to abu-‘Ubaidah and made terms. Thus did all the land of Ḫinnasrīn and Antioch fall into the hands of abu-‘Ubaidah. I learnt from al-‘Abbās ibn-Hishām on the authority of his father that the Khunāṣirah were thus called after one, Khunāṣir ibn-‘Amr ibn-al-Ḥārith al-Kalbi — later al-Kinānī — who was their chief.

Buṭnān Ḥabīb was so called after Ḥabīb ibn-Maslamah-l-Fihri who was sent from Aleppo either by abu-‘Ubaidah or Iyāḍ ibn-Ghanm to Buṭnān, where he reduced a fort that later bore his name.

1 Known also as Ma‘arrat Ḫinnasrīn and Ma‘arrat Naṣrīn. Yāḵūt, vol. iv, p. 574.
2 Ibid., vol. iii, p. 83.
5 ? No diacritical points.
The treaty with Kûrus. Abu-'Ubaidah set out bent upon Kûrus [Cyrrhus], sending at the head of the vanguard 'Iyâd. The latter was met by one of the monks of Kûrus, who asked to capitulate on behalf of its people. 'Iyâd sent the monk to abu-'Ubaidah, who was now between Jabrîn and Tall A'zâz. Abu-'Ubaidah accepted the capitulation and proceeded to Kûrus where he signed a covenant with its people, granting them the same rights granted to the people of Antioch. To the monk, he wrote a special statement regarding a village that he owned called Shârkîna. He then distributed his cavalry and subdued all the province of Kûrus to the end of the frontier of Nikâbulus (Nicepholis).

Kûrus a frontier garrison for Antioch. Kûrus was for Antioch the seat of a garrison that kept watch on the enemy. To it came every year a detachment from the Antioch army to act as garrison. Later, one of the four divisions into which the army of Antioch was divided was moved to it; and the periodical detachments were no more sent there.

Salmân fort. Salmân ibn-Rabi‘ah-l-Bâhili was in the army of abu-'Ubaidah, together with abu-Umâmah aṣ-Ṣudai ibn-'Ajlân, a Companion of the Prophet. This Salmân occupied a fort in Kûrus which was called after him Hisn [fort] Salmân. He then returned from Syria, together with others, to reinforce Sa‘d ibn-abi-Wâqkâs in al-‘Irâk.

According to others, Salmân ibn-Rabi‘ah had led an invasion

---

1 Ya‘kûbi, Buldân, p. 363; Rustah, p. 107.
3 or Tall ‘Azâz. Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 667.
5 Ar. tâl‘ah, 1,500-2,000 men who came in spring and returned in winter.
6 Zaidân, vol. i, p. 120.
against the Greeks after the conquest of al-‘Irâk and before he started for Armenia. On setting out from the district of Mar‘ash, he encamped near this fort and it was called after him. This Salmân together with Ziyâd \(^1\) were among the Slavs whom Marwân ibn-Muḥammad stationed in the frontier fortresses.\(^2\) I heard someone say that this Salmân was a Slav and that the fort was named after him.

**Manbij, Dulûk and Ra‘bân make terms.** Abu-‘Ubaidah advanced to Ḥalab as-Sâjûr\(^3\) and sent before him ‘Iyâd to Manbij [Hierapolis]. When abu-‘Ubaidah came up to ‘Iyâd, he found that the people of Manbij had capitulated on terms similar to those of Antioch. Abu-‘Ubaidah carried the terms into effect and sent ‘Iyâd ibn-Ghanm to the region of Dulûk and Ra‘bân, whose inhabitants capitulated on terms similar to those of Manbij. One condition imposed on them was that they search for news regarding the Greeks and forward it in writing to the Moslems. To every district abu-‘Ubaidah conquered, he assigned a ‘âmil and sent with him some Moslems. But in the dangerous places he posted garrisons.

**Bâlis and Kâširin captured.** Abu-‘Ubaidah proceeded until he got to ‘Arâjin.\(^4\) The van of the army he sent to Bâlis [Barbalissus]; and to Kâširin he sent an army under Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah. Bâlis and Kâširin\(^2\) belonged to two brothers of the Greek nobility to whom were given as fiefs the adjacent villages and who were made guardians of the Greek towns of Syria that lay between Bâlis and Kâširin. When the Moslem armies reached these towns, their inhabi-

---

1 The one after whom Ḥiṣn Ziyâd was named; Yâḳūt, vol. ii, p. 276.
5 Yâḳūt, vol. iv, p. 16.
tants capitulated, agreeing to pay poll-tax or evacuate the places. Most of them left for the Byzantine Empire, Mesopotamia and the village of Jisr Manbij [or Ḫal'at an-Najm]. At this time there was no bridge [Ar. jisr]. It was first put up for the summer expeditions in the days of ʿUthmān ibn-ʿAffān. Others claim that it is of ancient origin.

Abu-ʿUbaidah stationed in Bālis a body of fighting men and settled in the city some Arabs, who were in Syria and who, after the advent of the Moslems to Syria, had accepted Islām, together with others who were not among the forces sent to the frontiers, but who had emigrated from the deserts and belonged to the Khāis tribe. In Khāširin, he settled others who, either themselves or their descendants, refused to stay in it. Abu-ʿUbaidah reached as far as the Euphrates and then returned to Palestine.

Maslamah canal. Bālis and the villages attached to it on its upper, middle, and lower extremities were tithe-lands watered only by rain. When Maslamah ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān led an expedition against the Greeks from the side of the Mesopotamian frontier fortresses, he camped at Bālis whose inhabitants, together with those of Buwailis, Khāširin, Ḥibīdīn, and Şīffin (which were villages attached to Bālis) came to him, together with the inhabitants of the upper extremity, and they all asked him to dig for them a canal from the Euphrates to irrigate their land, agreeing to offer him one-third of the produce of the land, after taking away the usual tithe for the government.1 Maslamah consented and dug the canal called Nahr Maslamah; and the people lived up to their promise. Moreover, Maslamah repaired and strengthened the city wall. According to others, Maslamah himself started the idea and proposed the terms.

1 Ar. Suṭān.
Bâlis and its villages as fief. At the death of Maslamah, Bâlis with its villages passed into the hands of his heirs, who held them until the appearance of the "blessed dynasty" [Abbasid], at which time ʿAbdallâh ibn-ʿAli confiscated the possessions of the banu-Umaiyah, including Bâlis and its villages. Abu-l-ʿAbbâs the "Commander of the Believers," assigned Bâlis and its villages as fief to Sulaimân ibn-ʿAli ibn-ʿAbdallâh ibn-al-ʿAbbâs, from whom they passed to his son, Muḥammad ibn-Sulaimân. Muḥammad’s brother, Jaʿfar ibn-Sulaimân, repeatedly calumniated his brother to ar-Rashîd, the "Commander of the Believers," stating that he used to spend many times the income of the possessions and [crown-] domains he held, for the purpose of attaining his ambition,¹ and upon the slaves and other dependents he kept. He added that it was legal for the "Commander of the Believers" to appropriate the money of his brother. These letters ar-Rashîd ordered preserved. Now, when Muḥammad died, Jaʿfar’s letters were brought out and used as an argument against him. Muḥammad had no other brother from his father and mother than Jaʿfar. The latter acknowledged that they were his letters; and so the possessions passed to ar-Rashîd, who gave Bâlis and its villages as fief to al-Maʾmûn, after whom they passed to his son.

Muʿâdh advises against the division of the land. Hishâm ibn-ʿAmmâr from ʿAbdallâh ibn-Ṣais al-Hamdâni:—When ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb came to al-Jâbiyah and wanted to divide the land among the Moslems, on the ground that it was taken by force, Muʿâdh ibn-Jabal objected saying, "By Allah, if thou dividest the land, the result will certainly be unfavorable. The great part will be in the hands of these people, who will pass away, and the whole will become the possession of one man. Others will come after

¹ The caliphate; Athir, vol. vi, p. 82.
them, who will bravely defend Islâm, but find nothing left. Seek therefore some plan that suits those who come first as well as those who come last.” ‘Umar acted according to the suggestion of Mu‘âdh.

The chief of Buṣra tells a lie regarding the tax. Al-Ḥusain ibn-‘Ali ibn-al-Aswad al-‘Tjli from Salamah-Il-Juhani’s uncle:—The chief of Buṣra recounted that he had capitulated to the Moslems, agreeing to offer food, oil and vinegar. ‘Umar asked that a statement be written down to that effect; but abu-‘Ubaidah showed that the chief of Buṣra was telling an untruth and said, “The fact is that we made terms by which certain things should be sent to the winter quarters of the Moslems.” Then ‘Umar decreed that a poll-tax be assessed graded according to the various classes, and that kharâj be imposed upon the land.

‘Umar fixes the tax. Al-Ḥusain from Aslam, a freedman of ‘Umar:—‘Umar wrote to the tax-collectors instructing them to levy poll-tax only on those who were adult, and he fixed it at four dinârs on those who possessed gold. He also assessed on them a subsistence tax by which each Moslem in Syria and Mesopotamia would receive two modii of wheat, and three kists of oil, and the right to be entertained as a guest for three days.

The tithe-lands of Syria. Abu-Ḥafṣ ash-Shâmi from Makhûl:—Every piece of “tithe-land” in Syria is one whose people had evacuated it, and which had been given as fief to the Moslems, who, by the permission of the governors, cultivated it after it had lain as waste land claimed by no one.

1 De Goeje, Mémoire, p. 150.
2 Ḥajar, vol. iii, p. 935.
CHAPTER XIII

CYPRUS

The first conquest of Cyprus. According to al-Wâkidî and others, the first expedition against Cyprus was led in sea by Muʿâwiyyah ibn-abi-Sufyân. This was the first time the Moslems sailed in the Mediterranean. Muʿâwiyyah had asked 'Umar's permission to lead a naval expedition, but 'Umar refused.¹ When 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân became caliph, Muʿâwiyyah wrote again asking permission to invade Cyprus, informing him about its proximity and the ease of acquiring it. In answer to this, 'Uthmân wrote, "I have seen the answer 'Umar gave when thou madest the request from him to lead a sea-expedition." In the year 27, Muʿâwiyyah again wrote to 'Uthmân, referring to the ease with which the sea could be crossed to Cyprus. 'Uthmân wrote back this time saying, "If thou sailest with thy wife, we allow thee to do so; otherwise, not." Accordingly, Muʿâwiyyah embarked from Acre with a large number of ships, accompanied by his wife Fâkhitah daughter of ʻKarâzah ² ibn-ʻAbd-ʻAmr ibn-Naufal ibn-ʻAbd-Manâf ibn-ʻKuṣai. Likewise, 'Ubâdah ibn-aṣ-Ṣâmit took his wife ʻumm-Ḥarâm of the Anṣâr, daughter of Millân. This took place in the year 28, after the cessation of the rainy season; others say, in the year 29. When the Moslems arrived in Cyprus and landed on its shore (Cyprus being an island 80 x 80 parasangs), its

¹ Tabari, vol. i, pp. 2820-2821.
² Duraid, p. 55.
Archon [Urkûn] demanded to make terms of capitulation, which was considered unavoidable by the people. Mu‘âwiyyah made terms with them on 7,200 dinârs to be paid annually by them. Similar terms had been made with them by the Greeks. Thus the people of Cyprus pay two tributes. It was made a condition that the Moslems would not prevent them from paying the tribute to the Greeks; on the other hand the Moslems made it a condition that they would not fight those who may come after them to subjugate the Cyprians, and that the Cyprians would keep the Moslems informed regarding the movement of their enemy—the Greeks. Thus when the Moslems used to undertake an expedition by sea, they did not molest the Cyprians. They were not supported by the Cyprians; nor did the Cyprians support any one against them.

The second invasion by Mu‘âwiyyah. In the year 32, however, the Cyprians offered ships as an aid to the Greeks in an expedition in the sea. Consequently, Mu‘âwiyyah invaded them in the year 33 with 500 ships. He took Cyprus by force, slaughtering and taking prisoners. He then confirmed them in the terms that were previously made, and sent to the island 12,000 men of those whose names were recorded in the register [Ar. diwân] and erected mosques in it. Moreover, Mu‘âwiyyah transplanted from Ba‘labakk a group of men, and erected a city on the island, whose inhabitants were assigned special stipends until the death of Mu‘âwiyyah. His son Yazid, who succeeded him, sent the troops back and ordered the city destroyed.

According to other reports, the second invasion of Cyprus by Mu‘âwiyyah was carried out in the year 35.

Why Yazid withdrew the troops. Muḥammad ibn-Muṣaffa-l-Ḥimṣī from al-Walid:—Yazid ibn-Mu‘âwiyyah

\[1\] Athîr, vol. iii, p. 74.

\[2\] And therefore received stipends; al-Muṭarrizi, al-Mughrîb, p. 187.
was offered a large and considerable sum of money as bribe; and that was why he withdrew the troops from Cyprus, upon which the Cyprians destroyed their city and Mosques.

_Umm-Ḥarām dies in Cyprus._ Muḥammad ibn-Sa'd from 'Abd-as-Salām ibn-Mūsa's father.—When Cyprus was invaded for the first time, umm-Ḥarām, daughter of Miḥān, sailed with her husband, 'Ubādah ibn-as-Ṣāmit. On their arrival in Cyprus, she disembarked and was offered a mule to ride upon. As she was riding, the mule stumbled; and she was killed. Her tomb in Cyprus is called "the Tomb of the Righteous Woman."  


_Mu'āwiyyah makes permanent peace._ Hishām ibn-'Ammār ad-Dimashkī from Ṣafwān ibn-'Amar:—Mu'āwiyyah ibn-abi-Sufyān personally carried out the invasion of Cyprus and was accompanied by his wife. Its conquest, effected by Allah, was complete; and the booty he brought to the Moslems was great. The raids of the Moslems were repeated until Mu'āwiyyah in his caliphate concluded permanent terms with the Cyprians to the effect that they pay 7,000 dinārs and give advice and warnings to the Moslems regarding their enemy, the Greeks. This or something like it was agreed upon.

1 _JRAS_, 1897, pp. 81-101.

The Cyprians expelled and returned. Al-Walid ibn-Yazid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik expelled many of the Cyprians to Syria, because of a charge of suspicion brought against them. When the Moslems disapproved of the act, Yazid ibn-al-Walid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik returned them to their home. In the caliphate of ar-Rashid, an invasion was led against them by Ḫumaid ibn-Ma‘yûf al-Hamdâni because of a rebellion they had started; and many were carried off as prisoners. Later they behaved properly towards the Moslems; and, by ar-Rashid’s orders, their prisoners were returned.

The tax increased. Muḥammad ibn-Sa’d from al-Wâḳidi:—The terms between Mu‘āwiyyah and the Cyprians were kept in force until the time of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân who added 1,000 dīnârs to their tax. That was the case until the caliphate of ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz who cancelled the addition. When Hishâm ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik, however, came to power, he restored it; and it was kept until the caliphate of Abu-Ja‘far al-Manṣûr, who expressed himself as follows: “We shall, above everyone else, do justice to them, and not enrich ourselves by oppressing them.” Accordingly, he restored the terms made by Mu‘āwiyyah.

‘Abd-al-Malik wants to annul the treaty. The following was communicated to me by certain Syrian scholars and Abu-‘Ubaid al-Ḳâsim ibn-Sallâm:—During the governorship of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Ṣâliḥ ibn-‘Alî ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Abbâs over the frontier cities [Ar. thughûr], the Cyprians started a rebellion; and he, therefore, desired to break the covenant made with them. The canonists were numerous, among whom were the following whose opinions he sought: al-Laith ibn-Sa’d, Mâlik ibn-Anas, Sufyân ibn-‘Uyainah, Mûsa ibn-‘A‘yan, Ismâ’il ibn-‘Aiyâsh, Yaḥya ibn-Ḥamzah, Abu-‘Isâk al-Fazârî, and Makhlad ibn-al-Ḥusain. They all answered him.
The opinion of al-Laith. The following is a quotation from the letter of al-Laith ibn-Sa‘d: “The Cyprians are being constantly charged by us with infidelity to Moslems and loyalty to Allah’s enemies, the Greeks. Allah himself has said: \(^1\) ‘Or if thou fear treachery from any people, cast off their treaty in like manner.’ He did not say, ‘cast not off their treaty until thou art sure of their treachery.’ I, therefore, consider it best that thou castest off their treaty and givest them a respite of one year for enforcing the law. Those of them who desire to go and settle in a Moslem land and become dhimmis, paying the kharāj, may do so; those who desire to emigrate to the land of the Greeks may do so; and those who desire to remain in Cyprus, with the understanding they are hostile, may do so and be considered an enemy to be fought and attacked. To give them a respite of one year would be enough to refute any protest they may make, and to prove our loyalty to the covenant.”

The opinion of Mālik. The following statement was written by Mālik ibn-Anas:—“Our peace with the Cyprians is of old standing and carefully observed [? Ar. mutazāhar] by the governors placed over them, because they considered the terms a humiliation and belittlement to the Cyprians, and a source of strength to the Moslems, in view of the tax paid to them and the chance they had of attacking their enemy. Yet I know of no governor who broke their terms or expelled them from their city. I, therefore, consider it best to hesitate in breaking their covenant and casting off their treaty until the evidence [of disloyalty] is well established against them, for Allah says: \(^2\) ‘Observe, therefore, the engagement with them through the whole time of their treaty.’ If, after that, they do not behave properly and abandon their deceit, and thou art convinced of their perfidy,

\(^1\) Kor., 8:60.
\(^2\) Kor., 9:4.
then thou mayest attack them. In that case, the attack would be justified and would be crowned with success; and they would suffer humiliation and disgrace, by Allah’s will.”

_The opinion of Sufyân ibn-‘Uyainah._ This is what Sufyân ibn-‘Uyainah wrote: “We know of no one who made a covenant with the Prophet and violated it, without having the Prophet consider it legal to put him to death, except the people of Makkah. Their case was a favor on the part of the Prophet. Their violation consisted in rendering aid to their allies against the Khuzâ‘ah, the Prophet’s allies. One of the terms stipulated against the people of Najrân was not to practise usury; but when they did practise it, ‘Umar decreed that they be expelled. Thus by ‘the consensus of opinion’ [Ar. _ijmā‘_], he who violates a covenant forfeits the right of being entitled to security.”

_The opinion of Mūsa ibn-A‘yan._ Mūsa ibn-A‘yan wrote:——“Similar cases took place in the past, but in each case the governors would grant a period of respite; and so far as I know, none of the early men ever broke a covenant with the Cyprians or any other people. It may be that the common people and the mass among the Cyprians had no hand in what their leaders did. I, therefore, consider it best to abide by the covenant and fulfil the conditions thereof, in spite of what they have done. I have heard al-Auzâ‘i say regarding the case of some, who, after making terms with the Moslems, conveyed information about their secret things and pointed them out to the ‘unbelievers’: ‘If they are _dhimmis_, they have thereby violated their covenant and forfeited their claim on security, making it right for the governor to kill or crucify them, if he so desires; but if they had been taken by capitulation and are not entitled to the Moslem’s security, then the governor would cast off their treaty, for Allah loveth not the machinations of the deceivers.’”

1 Cf. Kor., 12: 52.
Ismāʿīl ibn-ʿAiyāsh’s opinion. The following is what Ismāʿīl ibn-ʿAiyāsh wrote: “The people of Cyprus are humiliated and oppressed and they are subjugated, together with their wives, by the Greeks. It is therefore proper for us to defend and protect them. In the covenant of the people of Taflis, Ḥabīb ibn-Maslamah wrote, ‘In case something should arise to divert the attention of the Moslems from you and some enemy should subjugate you, that would not be a violation of your covenant, so long as ye keep loyal to the Moslems.’ I, therefore, consider it best that they be left on their covenant and the security promised them, especially because when al-Walid ibn-Yazid expelled them to Syria, the Moslems considered the act outrageous, and the canonists disapproved of it; so much so that when Yazid ibn-al-Walid ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik came to power, he restored them to Cyprus, which act was approved of by the Moslems and considered just.”

Yahya ibn-Ḥamsah’s opinion. The following was the statement issued by Yahya ibn-Ḥamzah: “The case of Cyprus is parallel to that of ‘Arbassūs ¹ in which it has a good example and a precedent to be followed. This is the case of ‘Arbassūs: ‘Umair ibn-Saʿd once came to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb saying, ‘There lies between us and the Greeks a city called ‘Arbassūs, whose people disclose to our enemy our secrets, but do not disclose to us our enemy’s.’ ‘Umar replied, ‘When thou goest there, propose to give them for every ewe they possess two; for every cow, two; and for everything, two. If they consent, give that to them, expel them from the city and raze it to the ground. But if they refuse, then cast off their treaty to them and give them one year at the expiration of which thou mayest destroy the city.’ ‘Umair went to the city; and its people refused the

¹ Yākūt, vol. iii, p. 633.
offer. He, therefore, gave them one year at the expiration of which he destroyed it. The people of ‘Arbassûs had a covenant similar to that of the people of Cyprus. To leave the Cyprians on the terms made with them and to have the Moslems use in their own cause what they receive [as tax] from the Cyprians is preferable. All holders of covenant, for the sake of whom the Moslems are not supposed to fight and on whom the Moslem regulations are not binding, are not dhimmis but ‘people of tribute’ to be spared so long as they are worthy, to be treated according to the covenant so long as they abide by it and consent to it, and to be forgiven so long as they pay their dues. It is reported that Mu‘adhdh ibn-Jabal always hated to have the enemy capitulate on definite terms unless the Moslems were by the force of circumstances compelled to make terms, because no one could tell whether such capitulation would be of value and strength for the Moslems.”

The opinion of abu-Ishâk and Makhlad. Abu-Ishâk al-Fazârî and Makhlad ibn-al-Ḥusain wrote as follows:—

“We can find nothing more similar to the case of Cyprus than the case of ‘Arbassûs and the decision of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb regarding it. ‘Umar gave them two alternatives to choose from: a double fold of what they possessed and the evacuation of the city, or a respite of one year after casting off their treaty. Having rejected the former proposition, they were given one year at the end of which the city was destroyed. Al-Auzâ‘i repeated a tradition to the effect that when Cyprus was conquered, the status quo of the people was kept, and terms were made on 14,000 dinârs of which 7,000 should go to the Moslems and 7,000 to the Greeks; and it was stipulated that the Cyprians should not

1 Ar. ahl fīyah, who are governed by their own laws and pay something to be let alone. For fīyah see at-Tâhânawi, Kâshf Ishâ‘lât al-Fûnûn, vol. ii, p. 1157.
disclose to the Greeks the condition of the Moslems.\textsuperscript{1} Al-Auzâ‘i used to say, ‘The Cyprians did not abide by the terms they made with us.’ But we consider them as ‘people bound to us by covenant,’ whose terms of peace involve rights to them and obligations on them, and the violation of which is legal only if they do some thing that shows their perfidy and treachery.”

\textsuperscript{1} Evidently there is a mistake in the text. The negative particle “\textit{la}” is superfluous.
CHAPTER XIV

THE SAMARITANS

The terms made by abu-’Ubaidah. Hishâm ibn-‘Ammâr from Saﬁwân ibn-‘Amr:—Abu-’Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâh made terms with the Samaritans in the provinces of the Jordan and Palestine, who acted as spies and guides for the Moslems, stipulating that they pay tax on their persons but nothing on their lands. When Yazid ibn-Mu’âwiyah, however, assumed power he assessed kharâj on their lands.

The tax imposed by Yazid. I was informed by certain men well versed in the conditions of the Jordan and Palestine that Yazid ibn-Mu’âwiyah assessed kharâj on the lands of the Samaritans in the Jordan, and levied on every man two dinârs as poll-tax. He also assessed kharâj on their lands in Palestine and levied five dinârs on every man.

Sects. The Samaritans are Jews and are divided into two classes, one is called ad-Dustân [Dositheans] and the other al-Kûshân.¹

Their lands become crown-land. There was in Palestine in the early part of the caliphate of ar-Rashid a devastating plague which in some cases would attack all the members of a household. As a result, their land was rendered waste and useless. Ar-Rashid put it in charge of some who cultivated it and [by gifts] attracted the farmers and tenants into it, thus making it crown domains. In these places the

Samaritans lived. One of those villages called Bait-Mâma, which lay in the district of Nâbulus and whose inhabitants were Samaritans, made a complaint in the year 246 to the effect that they were poor and unable to pay the five-dînâr kharâj, upon which al-Mutawakkil gave orders that it be reduced again to three.

_Mu‘âwiyah spares the hostages._ Hishâm ibn-‘Ammâr from Ṣafwân ibn-‘Amr and Sa‘îd ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz:— The Greeks made peace with Mu‘âwiyah with the stipulation that he pay them a certain sum of money. Mu‘âwiyah took hostages from them and held them in Ba‘labakk. The Greeks proved perfidious to Mu‘âwiyah, but still the Moslems did not consider it legal to put the hostages in their hands to death; and so they set them free, saying, “Loyalty against perfidy is better than perfidy against perfidy.” According to Hishâm, al-Auzâ‘i, among other authorities, maintains the same view.
CHAPTER XV

AL-JARÂJIMAH

The treaty with al-Jarâjimah. I am informed by certain sheikhs from Antioch that al-Jarâjimah\(^1\) were the inhabitants of a town called al-Jurjûmah\(^2\) lying between Baiyâs and Bûka on mount al-Lukâm [Amanus] near Ma‘din az-Zâj [vitriol pit]. While the Greeks held the authority over Syria and Antioch, the Jarâjimah were under the rule of the patrician and governor of Antioch. When abu-‘Ubaidah came and reduced Antioch, they confined themselves to their city and, in their anxiety to save their lives, they tried to go and join the Greeks. The Moslems took no note of them, nor did any one call their attention to them. When later the people of Antioch violated their covenant and acted treacherously, abu-‘Ubaidah sent and conquered Antioch once more, after which he made Ḥabîb ibn-Maslamah-ı-Fihri its governor. Ḥabîb attacked al-Jurjûmah, whose people did not resist but immediately sought for peace and capitulation. Terms were made providing that al-Jarâjimah would act as helpers to the Moslems, and as spies and frontier garrison in Mount al-Lukâm. On the other hand it was stipulated that they pay no tax, and that they keep for themselves the booty\(^3\) they take from the enemy in case they fight with the Moslems. In these terms were in-

---

\(^1\) Less correctly Jurâjimah. They are identical with the Mardaites; Lammens, MFO, vol. i, p. 17.

\(^2\) Encyclopaedia of Islâm, vol. i, s. v., “Djarâdjima”.

\(^3\) Ar. nafl. See Muṭarrizi, p. 80.
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cluded besides the Jarâjimah all those who lived in their city, as well as the merchants, employees and dependents, whether Nabateans or not, together with the inhabitants of the villages. These were called "ar-Rawâdîf" because they were included in the terms with the Jarâjimah though not of their number. Others say they were so called because they came riding behind al-Jarâjimah when the latter presented themselves in the Moslem camp. On certain occasions, al-Jarâjimah acted properly with respect to the [Moslem] governors; but on others, they deviated from the right path and held friendly communications with the Greeks.

‘Abd-al-Malik agrees to pay them a certain sum. In the days of ibn-az-Zubair, when Marwân ibn-al-Ḥakam died and ‘Abd-al-Malik, who was appointed by Marwân as heir-apparent, wished to succeed Marwân in the caliphate and was ready to leave for al-‘Irâk to fight against al-Muṣ‘ab ibn-az-Zubair, certain Greek horsemen went forth to Mt. al-Lukâm under a Greek leader and started for the Lebanon, after having been joined by a large body of al-Jarâjimah. Nabateans, and runaway slaves once possessed by the Moslems. Under these conditions, ‘Abd-al-Malik had to make terms with them, agreeing to pay 1,000 dinârs per week. He also made terms with the Greek "tyrant," agreeing to pay him a sum of money, because ‘Abd-al-Malik was too busy to fight against him, and because he feared that in case the "tyrant” came to Syria, he might overpower him. In this, he followed the precedent of Mu‘âwiyah who, being engaged in the fight in al-‘Irâk, agreed to pay something to them [the Greeks], and took hostages from them, whom he held in Ba‘labakk. All this synchronized with the attempt made by ‘Amr ibn-Sa‘îd ibn-al-‘Āṣî to secure the caliphate

1 Followers, dependents.
and his closing the gates of Damascus immediately after 'Abd-al-Malik had left the city, which made 'Abd-al-Malik still more busy. This took place in the year 70.

Ṣuḥaim slays the Greek general. After that, 'Abd-al-Malik sent to the Greek leader Suḥaim ibn-al-Muhājir who, in disguise and through gentle behavior, succeeded in reaching him. Suḥaim played the act of a friend and won the favor of the leader by censuring 'Abd-al-Malik, cursing him and dwelling on his weak points; so much so that the leader put full confidence in Suḥaim and was wholly deceived. At the favorable moment, however, Suḥaim fell upon him with a band of troops and freedmen of 'Abd-al-Malik, who had been previously prepared for the attack and stationed in a convenient place, and killed him together with the Greeks who were with him. Suḥaim thereupon announced publicly a promise of security to those who had joined the Greek leader. Accordingly, the Jarâjimah were partly scattered among the villages of Ḥimṣ and Damascus; but the majority returned to their city on al-Lukām. In like manner, the Nabateans returned to their villages, and the slaves to their masters.

Maimūn al-Jurjumānī. One of these slaves was Maimūn al-Jurjumānī, a Greek slave, who belonged to the banu-umm-al-Ḥakam (umm-al-Ḥakam being the sister of Muʿāwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyān) who were of the tribe of Thaḳif. The slave was named after al-Jarâjimah, because he mixed with them and rebelled with them in Mt. Lebanon. Hearing of his strength and valor, 'Abd-al-Malik asked his masters to set him free, which they did. He was then put by 'Abd-al-Malik at the head of a regiment of troops and stationed at Antioch. With 1,000 men from Antioch, he and Maslamah ibn-'Abd-al-Malik led an invasion to at-Ṭuwānāh.¹

¹ Yākūt, vol. iii, p. 554.
After fighting valiantly and standing gallantly, he fell a martyr. This so much grieved ‘Abd-al-Malik that he sent a large army against the Greeks to avenge his death.

_**Al-Valid makes terms with them.**_ In the year 89, al-Jarājimah gathered themselves into their city and were joined by a host of Greeks from Alexandretta [Iskandarūnah] and Rûsis. Consequently, al-Valid ibn-‘Abd al-Malik sent against them Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik, who fell upon them with a host of Moslems and reduced their city on the following terms: Al-Jarājimah may settle wherever they wished in Syria, each one of them receiving eight dīnārs, and each family receiving the fixed provisions of wheat and oil, i. e., two modii of wheat and two kîstâs of oil; neither they nor any of their children or women should be compelled to leave Christianity; they may put on Moslem dress; and no poll-tax may be assessed on them, their children or women. On the other hand, they should take part in the Moslem campaigns and be allowed to keep for themselves the booty from those whom they kill in a duel; and the same amount taken from the possession of the Moslems should be taken [as tax] from their articles of trade and from the possessions of the wealthy among them. Then Maslamah destroyed their city and settled them in Mt. al-Ḥūwâr, Sunḥ al-Lūlûn [?] and ‘Amk Tizin. Some of them left for Ḥims. The patrician of al-Jurjūmah accompanied by a body of men, after taking up his abode in Antioch fled to the Byzantine Empire.

_**Al-Wâthik cancels the poll-tax.**_ When a certain ‘âmil held al-Jarâjimah of Antioch responsible for poll-tax, they brought their case before al-Wâthik-Billâh at the time of his caliphate, and he ordered it cancelled.

_**Al-Mutawakkil levies tax.**_ I was informed by a writer

---

in whom I have full confidence that al-Mutawakkil ordered that poll-tax be levied on these Jarâjimat and that the regular allowance for food be given them, because, among other things, they were of value in the frontier garrisons.

Al-Jarâjimat molest the summer expeditions. It is claimed by abu-l-Khaṭṭāb al-Azdi that in the days of ‘Abd-al-Malik, the people of al-Jurjūmah used to make razzias against the villages of Antioch and al-‘Amk; and whenever the summer expedition was carried out, al-Jarâjimat would cut off those who lagged behind or followed, together with any whom they could cut off at the rear of the army. They went so far against the Moslems that, by ‘Abd-al-Malik’s orders, certain people from Antioch and some Nabateans were given stipends, placed as garrisons and set behind the armies of the summer expeditions in order to repel al-Jarâjimat from the rear. Therefore those set behind the armies of the summer expedition were called rawādif, i. e., followers, and to every one of them eight dinârs were assigned. The former account is more authentic.

[Az-Zuṭṭ. Abu-Ḥafs ash-Shâmi from Makhûl:—Muʿawiyah transplanted in the year 49 or 50 to the seacoast some of the Zuṭṭ and Sayâbîjah ¹ of al-BSrah, and made some of them settle in Antioch. According to abu-Ḥafṣ, there is in Antioch a quarter known by the name of az-Zuṭṭ. Some of their descendants are in Bûka in the province of Antioch and are known by the name of az-Zuṭṭ.

Some of the Zuṭṭ of as-Sind² were carried by Muḥammad ibn-al-Ḳâsim to al-Ḥajjâj, who sent them to Syria, and were later transplanted by al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik to Antioch.

Lebanon rebels. Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd from al-Wâkidi:—

¹ Kâmîl, p. 41, line 3; p. 82, line 17; De Goeje, Mémoires sur les Migrations des Tsiganes, pp. 1-32, 86-91.
² Ḥaukî, p. 226.
Some people in Lebanon rebelled, complaining of the collector of the kharāj of Ba‘labakk. This made Ṣāliḥ ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-‘Abbās send against them troops who destroyed their fighting power, and the rest were allowed to retain their [Christian] faith. Ṣāliḥ sent the latter back to their villages and expelled some of the natives of Lebanon. Al-‘Kāsim ibn-Sallām related to me on the authority of Muḥammad ibn-Kathir that Ṣāliḥ received a long communication from al-Auzā‘i, of which the following extract has been preserved: ‘Thou hast heard of the expulsion of the dhimmis from Mt. Lebanon, although they did not side with those who rebelled, and of whom many were killed by thee and the rest returned to their villages. How didst thou then punish the many for the fault of the few and make them leave their homes and possessions in spite of Allah’s decree: 1 ‘Nor shall any sinning one bear the burden of another,’ which is the most rightful thing to abide by and follow! The command worthy of the strictest observance and obedience is that of the Prophet who says, ‘If one oppresses a man bound to us by covenant and charges him with more than he can do, I am the one to overcome him by arguments.’ ’” 2 To this he added other citations.

The frontier and littoral towns fortified. Muḥammad ibn-Ṣahm al-Anṭākī from abu-Iṣḥāk al-Fazārī:—The banu-Umayyah used to direct their summer and winter campaigns against the Greeks beyond the frontier cities of Syria and Mesopotamia by means of Syrians and Mesopotamians, and they used to station the ships for the invasion and to post the guard on the coast, giving up or delaying the invasion at the time in which the enemy was strong and wide awake [?]. When abu-Ja‘far al-Manṣūr began his rule, he examined the

---

1 Kor., 6: 164.
2 As-Suyūṭi, Kanz al-‘Ummāl, I, 270.
forts and cities of the coast, peopled and fortified them, and rebuilt those of them that were in need of being rebuilt. The same thing he did with the frontier cities. When al-Mahdi became caliph, he carried the work in the remaining cities and forts to completion and strengthened the garrisons. Mu‘āwiyah ibn-‘Amr states, “What we saw of the efforts of Hārūn the ‘Commander of the Believers’ in conducting invasions, and of his penetrating insight in carrying on the holy war was really great. The industries he established were not established heretofore. He distributed possessions in the frontier and coast cities. He brought distress on the Greeks and humiliated them.” Caliph al-Mutawakkil ordered in the year 247 that ships be stationed on all the seacoast and that garrisons be posted on it.
CHAPTER XVI

THE FRONTIER FORTRESSES\(^1\) OF SYRIA

Moslem razzias beyond the frontiers. I was informed by certain sheikhs from Antioch and by others that in the days of ʿUmar and ʿUthmân, and after their time, the frontier cities of Syria included Antioch and other cities called later al-ʿAwâṣim by ar-Rashîd. The Moslems used to lead their raids beyond these cities as they now raid what is beyond Ṭarsûs. Between Alexandretta and Ṭarsûs lay Greek forts and frontier garrisons, similar to those through which the Moslems now pass, and the inhabitants of which would sometimes, because of fear, leave them and flee to the Byzantine Empire, and sometimes, Byzantine fighters would be brought and stationed in them. It is said that when Heraclius left Antioch, he joined to himself the people of these towns, so that the Moslems might not be able to go between Antioch and the land of the Byzantines through a cultivated land.

Ibn-Ṭaibûn\(^2\) al-Baghrâsi from certain sheikhs:—The latter said, “What is known to us is that Heraclius moved the men from these forts, which he shattered. So, when the Moslems made their raids, they found them vacant. In certain cases the Greeks would make an ambush by these forts and take by surprise those of the army who were held back or cut off. Thus the leaders of the summer and winter campaigns, on entering the Greek land, would leave heavy troops in these forts until their return.”

\(^1\) Ar. thughûr; see Ištakhri, pp. 55-56.

\(^2\) ? Lacking in diacritical points.
The first to pass through ad-Darb. Regarding the first one to cross ad-Darb, i.e., Darb Baghras there is a disagreement. Some assert that the first was Maisarah ibn-Masrûk al-'Absi who was despatched by abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâḥ and who met a host of Greeks accompanied by the "naturalized" [musta'ribah] Arabs of the Ghassân, Tanûkh and Iyâd, trying to follow Heraclius [in Asia Minor]. Maisarah fell upon them and wrought a bloody massacre among them. He was later joined by Mâlik al-Ashtar an-Nakha'i sent as a reinforcement by abu-‘Ubaidah from Antioch.

According to others, the first to cross ad-Darb was 'Umar ibn-Sa'd al-Anşâri, when he was sent in connection with the case of Jabalah ibn-al-Aiham.

According to abu-l-Khaṭṭâb al-Azdi, abu-‘Ubaidah himself led the summer expedition passing through al-Mâşṣi-şâh and then through Tarsûs whose people, together with those of the fortified cities lying beyond, had evacuated their places. Thus abu-‘Ubaidah entered the land of the [Greek] enemy and carried his campaign as far as Zandah. According to others, abu-‘Ubaidah did not himself go, but sent Maisarah ibn-Masrûk, who reached as far as Zandah.

Mu'âwiyyah and the forts. Abu-Ṣâliḥ al-Farrâ' from one supposed by him to have been ‘Ubâdah ibn-Nusai:—When Mu'âwiyyah in the year 25 invaded 'Ammûriyah [Amorium], he found the forts between Antioch and Tarsûs all vacant. He therefore left in those forts some men from Syria, Mesopotamia and Ḥinnasrin until he had finished his expedition. One or two years later, he sent Yazid ibn-al-Ḥurr al-‘Absi at the head of the summer expedition and

1 "Gr. Derbe near the Cilician gates which were the chief mountain pass from the direction of the countries occupied by the Arabs into the territory of the Greeks"—Lane. See Caetani, vol. iii, p. 805.

2 Perhaps Bailân pass of to-day.
instructed him to do the same thing, which Yazid did. All the governors used to do the same.

The same authority says, "I read in the book of Ma-
ghâsi Mu‘âwiyaḥ [Mu‘âwiyaḥ’s campaigns] that Mu-
‘âwiyaḥ in the year 31 led an invasion setting out from near al-Maṣṣîṣah and penetrating as far as Daraulîyah. On his return, he destroyed all the fortresses [belonging to the Greeks] between the latter place and Antioch.

Al-Maṣṣîṣah. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from al-Wâkîdī and others:—in the year 84, ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân led the summer campaign, entered through the Darb Anṭâkîyâh and reached al-Maṣṣîṣah [Mopsuestia], where he rebuilt the fort on its old foundations. In this city, he caused troops to settle, among whom were 300 chosen from those known to be among the most valorous and strong. The Moslems had never lived in this town before. He also built a mosque in it over Tall al-Ḥîṣn [the hill of the fort], and then led his army to the invasion of Sinân fort, which he reduced. He then sent Yazîd ibn-Ḥunain aṭ-Ṭâ‘î-l-Anṭâkî who led an incursion and returned.

Abu-l-Khaṭṭâb al-Azdi holds that the first Moslem to build the fort of al-Maṣṣîṣah was ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân through his son ‘Abdallâh in the year 84 on its old foundation. Its building and manning were completed in the year 85. In this fortified town stood a church which was converted into a granary. The troops [Ar. ṭawâlî‘] from Antioch, numbering 1,500-2,000, used to go up to it every year and spend the winter in it, at the end of which they would leave.

When ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz came to the granary of al-Maṣṣîṣah, he wanted to destroy the town together with the forts that lay between it and Antioch saying, "I hate

1 Hamadhâni, p. 112.
to see the Greeks besieging its people." When he, however, learned that the town was built to check the Greek advance on Antioch, and that, in case it was destroyed, nothing would remain to stop the enemy from taking Antioch, he desisted and erected for its people a cathedral mosque in the Kafrarbaiya quarter. In the mosque, he made a cistern whereon his name was inscribed. In the caliphate of al-Mu'taṣim-Billâh, the mosque, which was called Masjid al-Ḥiṣn [the fort mosque], fell into ruins.

Hishâm ibn-'Abd-al-Malik built the part outside the city wall [Ar. rabâd]; and Marwân ibn-Muḥammad built, to the east of Jaiḥân,1 al-Khuṣūṣ [wood houses], around which he erected a wall with a wooden gate, and dug a moat.

When abu-l-'Abbâs became caliph, he assigned stipends for 400 men to be added to the garrison at al-Maṣṣiṣah, and distributed fiefs among them. When al-Manṣūr became caliph, he assigned stipends for 400 men at al-Maṣṣiṣah. In the year 139, al-Manṣūr ordered that the city of al-Maṣṣiṣah, the wall of which had become shattered by earthquakes and whose population within the walls had become few in number, be well populated. Accordingly, in the year 140, he built the wall of the city, made its inhabitants settle in it and called it al-Maʿmūrah. Moreover, al-Manṣūr erected a cathedral mosque in it on the site of a heathen temple, and made it many times the size of the mosque of ʿUmar. Al-Maʿmūn enlarged the mosque in the governorship of ʿAbdallâh ibn-Ṭâhir ibn-al-Ḥusain over al-Maghrib. Al-Manṣūr assigned stipends for 1,000 men of its inhabitants. Besides, he transplanted [into it] the inhabitants of al-Khuṣūs, who were Persians, Slavs and Christian Nabateans—all of whom were settled in al-Khuṣūs by Marwân—gave them in it lots marked for dwell-

1 Pyramus river.
ings in exchange for and of the same measure as their old homes, pulled down their old dwellings and helped them to build the new ones. To these soldiers, who received stipends, al-Manṣūr gave fiefs and dwellings.

When al-Mahdi became caliph, he assigned stipends for 2,000 men at al-Maṣṣiṣah but gave them no fiefs, because the city was already manned with troops and volunteers. The periodical contingents [tawāliʿ] used to come from Antioch every year until the city was governed by Sālim al-Barallusi, who assigned in their place ¹ stipends for 500 fighters, making a special rate of 10 dīnārs for each. Thus the people of the city were multiplied and strengthened. This took place when al-Mahdi held the caliphate.

Muḥammad ibn-Sahm from the sheikhs of the frontier region:—In the days of the "blessed dynasty", the Greeks pressed the inhabitants of al-Maṣṣiṣah so hard that they left the city. After that Sāliḥ ibn-ʿAli sent to it Jabrīl ibn-Yaḥya-l-Bajali who peopled it and made Moslems settle in it in the year 140. Ar-Rashid ² built Kafarbaiya; but according to others it was begun in the caliphate of al-Mahdi, and ar-Rashid changed the plan of its construction and fortified it with a moat. Its inhabitants complained to al-Maʾmūn concerning the rent ³ paid for the houses therein, and he abolished it. The houses were like inns. By order of al-Maʾmūn, a wall was commenced around the city and raised high, but not completed before his death. Al-Muʿtaṣim-Billāh ordered that the wall be finished and raised to its proper height.

Al-Muthakkab. Al-Muthaḵḵab ⁴ was fortified by Hishām

¹ Read maḏiʿaḥa in place of maḏiʿahu.
³ Ar ghallah, i. e., rent due for houses built on the state property.
⁴ Iṣṭakhri, p. 63; Ḥaukal, p. 121.
ibn-'Abd-al-Malik who entrusted the work to Ḥassān ibn-Māhawaih al-Anṭāki. As the moat was being dug, a leg-bone of extraordinary length was found and sent to Hi-shām.

Kaṭarghāsh, Mūrah and Baghrās. Hishām also had Kaṭarghāsh fort built by ‘Abd-al-‘Azīz ibn-Ḥaiyān al-Anṭāki. He also had Mūrah fort erected by a man from Antioch. This last fort was built because the Greeks had interfered with one of his messengers at Darb al-Lukām near al-‘Aḵabah-l-Baiḍa. In this fort, he stationed forty men and a body of al-Jarājimah. In Baghrās [Pagrae], he established a garrison of fifty men and built a fort for it. Hishām, moreover, built the Būḵa fort in the province of Antioch, which was recently renewed and repaired.

After the Greeks had made a raid on the littoral of the province of Antioch in the caliphate of al-Muṭaṣim-Billāh, a fort was built on that littoral by Muḥammad ibn-Yūsuf al-Marwazi, surnamed abu-Saʿīd.

‘Umar intends to destroy al-Maṣṣīḥah. Dāʿūd ibn-‘Abd-al-Ḥamīd, the kādi of ar-Raḵkah, from a grandfather of his:—‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz intended to destroy al-Maṣṣīḥah and move its inhabitants because they suffered so much from the Greeks; but he died before he could accomplish it.

‘Aḵabat an-Nisā’. I was informed by certain men from Antioch and Baghrās that when Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik invaded ‘Ammūriyyah, he took his wives with him; and other men in his army did the same. The banu-Umayyah used to do that in order to infuse enthusiasm in the army by making them jealous for their harem. As Maslamah was passing through ‘Aḵabat[1] Baghrās on a narrow road that bordered on a valley, a stretcher in which a woman was carried fell down to the foot of the mountain. This made

---

1 A place difficult of ascent.
Maslamah order that all women should go on foot. So they did; and that ‘Akbat was, therefore, called “‘Akb-bat an-Nisâ’” [the women’s ‘Akbabat]. Previous to this, al-Mu’tasim had built on the edge of that road a low stone wall.

The roads of Antioch cleared of lions by means of buffaloes. It was stated by abu-an-Nu’mân al-Anţâki that the road between Antioch and al-Maṣṣisah was frequented by lions which molested the passers-by. When al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik came to rule, the complaint was made to him, and he sent 4,000 buffaloes by which the required result was attained through Allah’s help.

Muḥammad ibn-al-Khasim ath-Thaḵafi, the ‘āmil of al-Ḥajjāj over as-Sind, had sent from as-Sind thousands of buffaloes to al-Ḥajjāj, who gave al-Walid 4,000 of them, and left the rest in the jungles of Kaskar.¹ When Yazid ibn-al-Muhallab was deposed and killed, and the possessions of the banu-l-Muhallab were confiscated by Yazid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik, the latter carried away 4,000 buffaloes, which were in the districts of Dijlah ² and Kaskar. These, too, Yazid sent to al-Maṣṣisah together with az-Zuṭṭ sent there. Thus the buffaloes at al-Maṣṣisah were originally 8,000. At the time of the insurrection of Marwân ibn-Muḥammad ibn-Marwân the people of Antioch and Kiinasrin brought under their control and took possession of many of these animals; but when al-Manṣūr became caliph he ordered that they be restored to al-Maṣṣisah. As for the buffaloes of Antioch, they were first brought by az-Zuṭṭ, and so were the first ones of Bûka.

Jisr al-Walid. According to abu-l-Khaṭṭāb, the bridge on the road of Adhanah [Adana] from al-Maṣṣisah lay nine

¹ Hamadhānī, Buidān, p. 196; ibn-Khurdādhibh, p. 7.
² Yāḵūṭ, vol. iii, p. 745.
miles from the latter, was built in the year 125, and was called Jisr al-Walid after al-Walid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik, who was slain.

Adhanah. According to abu-an-Nu‘mân al-Anţâki and others, Adhanah was built in the year 141 or 142 as the Khurâsân troops under Maslamah ibn-Yahya-l-Bajali, and the Syrian troops under Mâlik ibn-Adham al-Bâhili (all sent by Šâliḥ ibn-‘Ali) were camping in it.

Saîhân castle. In the year 165, al-Mahdi sent his son Hârûn ar-Rashid on an expedition to the Greek Empire. After camping at al-Khalij, ar-Rashid went forth and repaired al-Maṣṣîṣah and its mosque, increasing its garrison and arming its inhabitants. He also built the castle which lay by Saîhân [Sarus river] near Adhanah bridge. Previous to this, al-Manṣûr had sent Šâliḥ ibn-‘Ali on an invasion to the Greek Empire, and the latter sent Hilâl ibn-Ḍaîgham, at the head of a group of men from Damascus, the Jordan and other places, who built this castle; but the structure not being firm, ar-Rashid dismantled the castle and rebuilt it.

Abu-Sulaim rebuilds Adhanah. In the year 194 abu-Sulaim Faraj al-Khâdim built Adhanah well and strong, fortified it, and chose men from Khurâsân and others to live in it, giving them an increase of stipends. All that was done by the order of Muḥammad ibn-ar-Rashid. He also made repairs in Ḍaṣr Saîhân. When ar-Rashid died in the year 193, his ‘âmîl for collecting tithes from the frontier fortresses was abu-Sulaim who was now confirmed in his position by Muḥammad [ibn-ar-Rashid]. To this abu-Sulaim belonged the residence in Antioch [that bears his name].

Tarsûs and al-Hadath. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from al-

Wâkidi:—In the year 162, al-Ḥasan ibn-Ḵaḥṭabah at-Ṭâʿi invaded the land of the Greeks at the head of an army of the people of Khurâsân, Mauṣil,¹ and Syria, reinforced by men from al-Yaman and volunteers from al-ʿIrâk and al-Ḥijâz. He started near Ṭarsûs and called the attention of al-Mahdi to the great troubles spared to Islâm, and the good chance it afforded to frustrate and avert the enemies’ intrigues and plans by building Ṭarsûs, fortifying it and stationing a garrison in it. In that campaign, al-Ḥasan distinguished himself and subdued the land of the Greeks, and was therefore called ash-Shaiṭân [the devil]. Among his men in the invasion were Mandal al-ʿAnazi—the traditionist of the school of al-Kûfah—and Muʿtamir ibn-Sulaimân al-Baṣrî.

Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd from Saʿd ibn-al-Ḥasan:—When al-Ḥasan left the land of the Greeks, he camped at Marj [plain of] Ṭarsûs from which he rode to the city, which was then in ruins. After examining it, he went around it in all directions and estimated that it could be inhabited by one hundred thousand.² When he appeared before al-Mahdi, he described the condition of the city and referred to the strength that Islâm and the Moslems would acquire, and to the anger and disappointment that will ensue to the enemy, if the city were rebuilt and manned with a garrison. He also told al-Mahdi something about al-Ḥadath that encouraged him to build it. Accordingly, al-Mahdi ordered him to build Ṭarsûs and start with al-Ḥadath, which was immediately built. Al-Mahdi then ordered that Ṭarsûs be built. In the year 171, ar-Rashîd heard that the Greeks had enjoined one another to set forth to Ṭarsûs in order to fortify it and station troops in it. He therefore sent in the year 171 Harthamah ibn-Aʿyan as commander of the summer campaign and ordered him to build Ṭarsûs, settle people

¹ ibid., p. 113.
² ibid., p. 128.
in it and make it a fortified boundary town\(^1\) between the two countries. Harthamah did so, putting the work, in accordance with ar-Rashid’s orders, in charge of Faraj ibn-Sulaim al-Khâdim. Faraj put someone in charge, went to Madinat as-Salâm\(^2\) and sent the first garrison, numbering 3,000, whom he chose from among the people of Khurâsân. The garrison came to Tarsûs. He then sent the second garrison that numbered 2,000 men, 1,000 of whom were from al-Maṣṣîṣah and 1,000 from Antioch, promising each man an increment of ten dinârs on his original stipend. The second garrison camped with the first at al-Madâ’in near Bâb al-Jihâd, from the beginning of Muḥarram in the year 172, until the construction of the city of Tarsûs with its fortification and mosque was completed. Faraj measured the land between the two rivers and found it to be 4,000 lots, each lot being twenty dhîrâ’s square. These lots he gave as fiefs to the inhabitants of Tarsûs. In Rabi‘ II, 172, the two garrisons settled in the city.

**Yazid ibn-Makhład governor of Tarsûs.** ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Ṣâliḥ appointed Yazid ibn-Makhład al-Fazârî as āmil over Tarsûs. Those of the inhabitants who came from Khurâsân were afraid of him, because he belonged to the clan of al-Hubairiyah, and drove him from the city. He appointed abu-l-Fawâris to succeed him and ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Ṣâliḥ confirmed abu-l-Fawâris in his position. This took place in the year 173.

**Sisîyâh.** Muhammad ibn-Sa‘d from al-Wâkidî:—In the year 194 or 193, the inhabitants of Sisîyâh [or Sis, later Little Armenia] evacuated their homes and went as far as the mountainous region of the Greeks.\(^3\) This Sisîyâh was

\(^1\) _Ar. misr._

\(^2\) City of peace, i. e., Baghdâd.

\(^3\) Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 217, gives the date 93 or 94 and reads _a‘âli ar-Rûm_ instead of _a‘la ar-Rûm_.

---

1. THE ORIGINS OF THE ISLAMIC STATE
the city of Tall ‘Ain-Zarbah and was rebuilt in the caliphate of al-Mutawakkil by ‘Ali ibn-Yaḥya-ı Armani [the Armenian]. It was later ruined by the Greeks.

**Antioch burnt.** The one who burnt Antioch—condemned as it was to burning\(^1\)—in the land of the Greeks was ‘Abbās ibn-al-Walīd ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik.

**Tall Jubair.** Tall Jubair was so called after a Persian from Antioch who fought a battle in it. The Tall lies less than 10 miles from Ṭarsūs.

**Dhu-l-Kilâ.** The name of the fort known as dhu-l-Kilâ is really a corruption of dhu-l-Kilâ [the fort of the castles], which name was given to it because it was made up of three castles. The explanation of its name in the Greek tongue is “the fortress with the stars.”

**Kanisat aṣ-Sulh.** Kanisat aṣ-Ṣulh [the church of peace] was so called because when the Greeks came to ar-Rashid to capitulate, they made it their headquarters.

**Marj Husain.** Marj Ḥusain was named after Ḥusain ibn-Muslim al-Anṭâki who fought a battle in it and defeated the enemy.

**Damālu.** In the year 163, al-Mahdi sent on a campaign his son, Ḥârûn ar-Rashid, who laid siege to Damālu (colloquial Samālu). Its people asked a promise of security for ten of their nobility including the Comes.\(^2\) Ar-Rashid consented. One of their terms stipulated that they be never separated from one another. Therefore they were settled in Baghdādh near Bāb ash-Shammāsiyah.\(^3\) Their quarter

---

\(^1\) Hamadhānī, *Buldān*, p. 37: Said Makhūl, “Four cities are of the cities of Paradise; Makkah, al-Madinah, Ilyâ [Jerusalem] and Damascus; and four of the cities of fire: Antioch, at-Ṭuwânah, Constantinople and San‘a.”


they called Samâlu, and it is still known as such. Others say
that they surrendered to al-Mahdi who spared their lives
and gathered them in that place, ordering that it be called
Samâlu. According to ar-Rashid's orders, those who were
left in the fort were sold publicly.

One Abyssinian, who was heard cursing ar-Rashid and
the Moslems, was crucified on one of the towers of the fort.

'Ain Zarbah and al-Hârûniyah. Aḥmad ibn-al-Ḥarîth
al-Wâsiṭi from al-Wâkidî:—In the year 180, ar-Rashid or-
dered that the city of 'Ain Zarbah [Anazarbus] be built and
fortified. He summoned to it a regiment from Khurâsân
and others, to whom he gave houses as fiefs. In the year
183, he ordered al-Hârûniyah built. It was accordingly
built and manned with a garrison and with volunteers that
emigrated to it. The city was named after him. Others
say that Ḥârûn started its erection in the caliphate of al-
Mahdi, but completed it in his own caliphate.

Kanîsât as-Saudâ'. The city of Kanîsât as-Saudâ' [black
church] had been built by the Greeks of black stone since
the earliest of days, and had an old fort that was destroyed
in the general havoc. Ar-Rashid ordered that this city be
rebuilt and fortified. He also summoned to it troops, al-
lowing them larger stipends. I was told by ‘Azzûn ibn-
Sa'd, one of the inhabitants of the frontier region, that the
Greeks once invaded it—as al-Ḳâsim ibn-ar-Rashîd was
staying in Dâbîk—and carried away its cattle and a num-er of prisoners. They were pursued by the people of al-
Maṣṣîṣâh and its volunteers, who saved all that had been
carried away and killed many of the Greeks, sending the
rest of them back in distress and disorder. Then al-Ḳâsim
sent some one to fortify the city, make repairs in it and in-
crease its garrison. For this purpose some of az-Zuṭṭ, pre-
viously transplanted by al-Mu'tasîm to 'Ain Zarbah and its
environs from al-Baṭā'îh, which lay between Wâsiṭ and al-
Baṣrâh, and which they had conquered, were available.
Abu-Ishâk al-Fazâri’s opinion on the land of ath-Thaghr. 
I was informed by abu-Ṣâliḥ al-Anţâki that abu-Ishâk al-Fazâri hated to buy land in the frontier region [ath-Thaghr] because he said, “Those who first wrested this land from the Greeks did not divide it among themselves, and it later passed to others. Thus it had been transmitted to others and attached to itself a suspicion that the wise man would do well to avoid.”

Tithe-exemptions annulled by al-Mutawakkil. In ath-Thaghr, so many pieces of land were exempt from the tithe that the total income of tithes was diminished to such an extent that it could not meet the expenses. By al-Mutawakwil’s orders, therefore, all these exemptions were in the year 243 abolished.
PART III
MESOPOTAMIA
CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF MESOPOTAMIA [AL-JAZĪRAH]

‘Iyâd its governor. Dâ‘ūd ibn-‘Abd-al-Ḥamid the ḳâdî of ar-Rak̲k̲ah from Maimûn ibn-Mihrân:—All of Mesopotamia was conquered by ‘Iyâd ibn-Ghanm who, after the death of abu-‘Ubaydâh, was made its ruler by ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb. Abu-‘Ubaydâh had appointed ‘Iyâd to be his successor over Syria, but ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb appointed first Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân then Mu‘âwiyah over Syria, and ordered ‘Iyâd ¹ to invade Mesopotamia.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Sulaimân ibn-‘Aṭâ‘ al-Ḳurashi:—Abu-‘Ubaydâh sent ‘Iyâd ibn-Ghanm to Mesopotamia, and died while ‘Iyâd was still there. ‘Umar then assigned ‘Iyâd after abu-‘Ubaydâh as governor of Mesopotamia.

The terms with ar-Ruha. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Sulaimân ibn-‘Aṭâ‘:—When ‘Iyâd ibn-Ghanm, who was sent by abu-‘Ubaydâh, reduced ar-Ruha ² [Edessa, modern Urfa], he stood at its gate riding on a brown horse; and the inhabitants made terms stipulating that they should keep their cathedral and the buildings around it, and agreeing not to start a new church other than what they already had, to give succor to the Moslems against their enemy, and to forfeit their right of protection in case they fail to keep any of these conditions. Similar terms to those of ar-Ruha were made by the people of Mesopotamia.

² Taḥrîr, vol. i, p. 2505.
The version of al-Wâkidi. Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd states on the authority of al-Wâkidi that the most authentic report he heard regarding ʿIyâḍ was that abu-ʿUbaidah, in the year 18, fell victim to the plague of Emmaus [ʿAmawâs] after appointing ʿIyâḍ as his successor [over Syria]. ʿIyâḍ received a letter from ʿUmar, conferring upon him the governorship of Ḥimṣ, ʿInnasrin and Mesopotamia. On Thursday the middle of Shaʿbân, year 18, he marched to Mesopotamia at the head of 5,000 men, the van of the army being led by Maisarah ibn-Masrûk al-ʿAbsi, the right wing by Saʿid ibn-ʿAmir ibn-Ḥidhaym al-Jumahî and the left by Ṣafwân ibn-al-Muʿaṭṭal as-Sulami. Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd was on the left wing. Others assert that after Abu-ʿUbaidah, Khâlid never marched under any man's flag but remained in Ḥimṣ, where he died in the year 21 after designating ʿUmar to execute his will.1 Some claim that he died in al-Madinah; but that he died in Ḥimṣ is the more authentic report.2

The terms with ar-Râkkan. The van of ʿIyâḍ's army arrived in ar-Râkkan3 and made a raid on its environs, where Beduin Arabs were encamped with a group of peasants, carrying off much booty. Those who escaped took to flight and entered the city of ar-Râkkan. ʿIyâḍ advanced with his troops until he arrived, with his troops in military array,4 at Bâb ar-Ruha—one of the gates of the city. For an hour the Moslems were shot at, and some of them were wounded. In order to escape the enemy's stones and arrows, ʿIyâḍ withdrew, and, after going round the city on

1 Ḥajjar, vol. i, pp. 853-854.
2 Yâkût, vol. ii, pp. 74-75.
horseback, he stationed horse-guards at its gates. He then returned to the main army and sent bands of soldiers who went around, bringing back with them prisoners from the villages and large quantities of food. It was the proper time for reaping the harvest. This condition having lasted for five or six days the patrician of the city asked for peace from ‘Iyâd, who made terms with him, guaranteeing for the population the security of their lives, children, possessions and city. ‘Iyâd said, “The land is ours; we have subdued and secured it.” However, he left it in their hands on the kharaj basis. That part of the land which was not wanted and rejected by the dhimmis, he turned over to the Moslems on the tithe basis. Moreover, ‘Iyâd assessed poll-tax to the amount of one dinar per annum on every man, holding women and boys exempt. In addition to the dinar, he levied on them kafises of wheat, and some oil, vinegar and honey. When Mu‘awiyah came to power, he laid that as a regular tax upon them. The people then opened the city gates and established a market for the Moslems at the Ruha gate. The following is the statement issued by ‘Iyâd:

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is what ‘Iyâd ibn-Ghanm gave to the people of ar-Rakka’ah when he entered the city. He gave them security for their lives and possessions. Their churches shall not be destroyed or occupied, so long as they pay the tax assessed on them and enter in no intrigue. It is stipulated that they build no new church or place of worship, or pub-

1 Ar. saraya who, according to al-Mas‘ûdi, Kitab al-Tanbih, p. 279, were bands of soldiers varying between 3 and 500 persons, that go forth at night.

2 A measure of capacity consisting of ten makkūks; cf. Mawardi, p. 265.
licly strike clappers, or openly celebrate Easter Monday or show the cross in public. Thereunto, Allah is witness and Allah is a sufficient witness. Signed by ‘Iyâd’s own signature.”

Others report that ‘Iyâd assessed four dînârs on every adult of ar-Râkka; but the fact is that ‘Umar wrote after this to ‘Umair ibn-Sa’d, his governor, instructing him to assess four dînârs on every man, as it was the case with those who possessed gold.

*The terms with ar-Ruha.* ‘Iyâd then advanced against Harrân and encamped at Bâjuddah, whence he sent forth the van of the army. The people of Harrân closed the city gates, shutting the troops out. ‘Iyâd followed up the van and when he camped at Harrân, the Harrâniyah from among its inhabitants sent him a word saying that they had under their control a part of the city and asking him to go to ar-Ruha, promising to accept whatever terms he may make with it, and leaving him free to negotiate with the Christians of Harrân. Hearing that, the Christians sent him word, consenting to what had been proposed and offered by al-Harrâniyah. Accordingly, ‘Iyâd advanced to ar-Ruha whose people gathered against and shot at the Moslems for an hour. The fighters made a sally, but the Moslems put them to flight and forced them to seek refuge in the city. No sooner had that taken place than they offered to capitulate and make peace. To this, ‘Iyâd consented and wrote them the following statement:

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful.

---

1Ar. mâkûs.

2 Ar bâ’ûth, used to-day for the Christian festival of Monday after Easter, is defined by Kâmûs, Tâj al-‘Arûs and Lisân al-‘Arab as corresponding to the Moslem prayer in which a petition for rain is offered. Cf. S. Fraenkel, *Die Aramäischen Fremdwörter im Arabischen*, p. 277.

3 Cf. Yûsuf, p. 23.
This is a statement from ‘Iyâḍ ibn-Ghanm to the bishop of ar-Ruha. If ye open before me the city gate and agree to offer to me for every man one dînâr and two modii of wheat, then I grant you safety for your persons, possessions and those dependent on you. It is incumbent on you to guide the one who goes astray, to repair the bridges and roads, and give good counsel to the Moslems. Thereunto, Allah is witness; and he is sufficient.”

Dâ’ûd ibn-‘Abd-al-Ḥamid from a grandfather of his:
—The statement of ‘Iyâḍ to the inhabitants of ar-Ruha ran as follows:—

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a statement from ‘Iyâḍ ibn-Ghanm and his accompanying Moslems to the inhabitants of ar-Ruha. I have granted them security for their lives, possessions, offspring, women, city and mills, so long as they give what they rightly owe. They are bound to repair our bridges, and guide those of us who go astray. Thereunto, Allah and his angels and the Moslems are witnesses.”

Harrân and Sumaisât capitulate. ‘Iyâḍ then came to Harrân and directed Ṣafwân ibn-al-Mu‘aṭṭal and Ḥabîb ibn-Maslamaḥ-l-Fihri to Sumaisâṭ. With the people of Harrân, he made terms similar to those of ar-Ruha. Its inhabitants opened the city gates for him, and he assigned a governor over it. He then came to Sumaisâṭ and found Ṣafwân ibn-al-Mu‘aṭṭal and Ḥabîb ibn-Maslamaḥ directing their operations against it, after having reduced many of its villages and forts. The people of Sumaisâṭ made terms similar to those of ar-Ruha. ‘Iyâḍ used to make incursions from ar-Ruha and return to it.

All Mesopotamia reduced by ‘Iyâḍ. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from az-Zuhri:—In the days of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, not

4 Samosata; Iṣṭakhrī, p. 62.
a fact was left in Mesopotamia unsubdued by ‘Iyâd ibn-Ghanm who reduced Harrân, ar-Ruha, ar-Rakka, Kar-\kisya [Circesium] Nasibin [Nisibis] and Sinjâr.

Muhammad [ibn-Sa’d] from Thâbit ibn-al-\Hajjâj:—
‘Iyâd effected the conquest of ar-Rakka, Harrân, ar-Ruha, Na\šibin, Maiyâfâri\kîn, Kar\kisiya, and all the villages and towns of the Euphrates by capitulation; but all the open fields by force.

Muhammad [ibn-Sa’d] from Râshid ibn-Sa’d:—‘Iyâd effected the conquest of Mesopotamia and its towns by capitulation; but its land, by force.

The terms with Harrân. Someone reported that when ‘Iyâd came to Harrân from ar-Rakka, he found it deserted, its inhabitants having moved to ar-Ruha. When ar-Ruha was captured, the people of Harrân in it made terms regarding their city similar to those of ar-Ruha.

Sarûj and other places subdued by ‘Iyâd. Abu-Aiyûb ar-Rakki-l-Mu’addab from al-\Hajjâj ibn-abi-Mani’ ar-Ru\saﬁ’s 1 grandfather:—‘Iyâd captured ar-Rakka, then ar-Ruha, then Harrân, and then Sumaisat on the same terms of capitulation. Thence he came to Sarûj, 2 Râskifa 3 and al-Arç al-Baiqâ, subdued their land, and made terms with the holders of their forts similar to those of ar-Ruha. The people of Sumaisat after that rebelled, which made him, on hearing it, return and besiege the city until he reduced it. Having heard that the inhabitants of ar-Ruha had broken their covenant, he camped around the city, upon which they opened their city gates. He entered the city and left in it his ‘âmil with a small band. Thence he came to the villages of the Euphrates 4 which are

---

1 Dhahabi, Mushtabih, p. 225; Mushtarik, p. 206.
2 Batnân; see ZDMG, vol. xxx, p. 354.
3 R. Payne Smith, Thesaurus Syriacus, cols. 3902 and 2910.
4 Kuraïyât or Karyât al-Furât; cf. Hamadhâni, Buldân, p. 136.
THE CONQUEST OF MESOPOTAMIA

Jisr Manbij and its dependents, which he reduced on similar terms. 'Ain al-Wardah or Ra's al-'Ain to which he came next held out against him; so he left it. He then came to Tall Mauzin and took it on the same terms as ar-Ruha. That took place in the year 19. Against Karkisya, ‘Iyâd directed Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah-l-Fihri who took the city by a capitulation similar to that of ar-Raḳḳah. ‘Iyâd captured Amid without fighting and on terms similar to those of ar-Ruha. He captured Maiyafarikin on the same terms. He also reduced the fort of Kafartûtha. After a conflict, he reduced Naṣibin and the terms concluded were similar to those of ar-Ruha. Tûr ‘Abdin, Ḥisn Mâridin and Dâra he took on the same terms. Ḳarda and Bâzabda he conquered on the same terms as those of Naṣibin. The patrician of az-Zawazân came to ‘Iyâd and made terms regarding his lands, agreeing to pay tax. All that took place in the year 19 and in a part of Muḥarram, year 20. He then advanced to Arzan and took possession of it on terms similar to those of Naṣibin. He then passed through ad-Darb into Badlis which he left for Khilâṭ with whose patrician he made terms. Finally, he got to al-'Ain al-Ḥâmiḍah in Armenia beyond which he did not go. On his way back, he made the chief of Badlis responsible for the kharâj of Khilâṭ with its poll-tax and what was due on its patrician. He then proceeded to ar-Raḳḳah, and on to Ḫims whose governorship had been entrusted to him by ʿUmar. In the year 20, he died. ʿUmar after that appointed Saʿid ibn-ʿAmir ibn-Ḥidhyam, who died after a short time. ʿUmar

1 Hoffman, Syrische Akten Persischer Märtyrer, p. 183.
2 Hoffman, op. cit., p. 224, note 1778.
3 R. Payne Smith, op. cit., col. 1801.
5 Hoffman, op. cit., p. 46.
then appointed 'Umar ibn-Sa'd al-Anṣârî, who succeeded in capturing 'Ain al-Wardah after a severe conflict.

'Ain al-Wardah or Ra's al-'Ain captured. Al-Wâkidî from abu-Wahb al-Jaishâni Dailam ibn-al-Muwassa':—
'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb wrote to 'Iyâḍ instructing him to send 'Umar ibn-Sa'd to 'Ain al-Wardah. This he did. The van of the army went ahead, assailed a group of peasants and carried away some of the enemy's cattle as booty. The inhabitants of the city closed their gates and set up the mangonels on them. Many Moslems were killed by stones and arrows. Then one of the patricians of the city appeared and cursed the Moslems saying, "We are different from what ye have met heretofore!" At last the city was taken by capitulation.

Amr ibn-Muhammad from a grandfather of al-Ḥajjâj ibn-abi-Manî':—Ra's al-'Ain held out against 'Iyâḍ ibn-Ghanm; but 'Umar ibn-Sa'd, who was 'Umar's governor over Mesopotamia, reduced it after a fierce resistance on the part of its inhabitants. The Moslems entered by force; but terms of capitulation were drawn up stipulating that the land be held by them and the tax be imposed on their persons to the amount of four dinârs per head. Their women and children were not taken as captives.

The following statement was made by al-Ḥajjâj: "I heard it said by certain sheikhs from Ra's al-'Ain that when 'Umar entered the city he shouted, 'Never mind; never mind; [come] to me! [come] to me!' and that constituted a guarantee of security for them."

It is claimed by al-Haitham ibn-'Adî that 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb sent abu-Mûsa-l-Ash'ari to 'Ain al-Wardah, which

1 Ar. 'arrâdah; see Ḥamâsah (ed. Freytag), p. 307.
2 Another name for 'Ain-al-Wardah. See al-Mas'ûdi, Tanbih, p. 54; Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 764.
he invaded with the troops of Mesopotamia after the death of ‘Iyāḍ. The fact is that ‘Umair captured it by force and did not take any captives. He only imposed kharâj and poll-tax. The view of Haitham is not shared by any other authority.

According to al-Ḥajjāj ibn-abi-Mani‘, a part of the inhabitants of Ra‘s al-‘Ain having vacated it, the Moslems utilized their lands and cultivated them according to the fief system.

**Sinjār captured.** Muḥammad ibn-al-Mufaḍḍal al-Mauṣili from certain sheikhs of Sinjār:—Sinjār\(^1\) was held by the Greeks. Kisra—[Chosroes] known as Abarwiz—wanted to put to death one hundred Persians who were brought before him because of rebellion and disobedience. Someone having interceded in their behalf, he ordered them sent to Sinjār, which he was then attempting to reduce. Two of them died, and 98 arrived there, joined the troops who were encamped against the city, and were the first to capture it. There they settled and multiplied. When ‘Iyāḍ was through with Khilâṭ and was going to Mesopotamia, he sent an expedition to Sinjār, took the city by capitulation, and settled it with Arabs.

**Mauṣil.** Some reports claim that ‘Iyāḍ reduced one of the forts of Mauṣil, but that is not confirmed.

According to ibn-al-Kalbi, ‘Umair ibn-Sa‘d, the ‘âmil of ‘Umār is identical with ‘Umair ibn-Sa‘d ibn-Shuhaid ibn-‘Amr one of al-Aus; but according to al-Wâkidî, he is ‘Umair ibn-Sa‘d ibn-‘Ubaid whose father, Sa‘d, was killed in the battle of al-Ḵâdisiyah. This Sa‘d, according to the Kufite school, is one of those who compiled the Koran in the time of the Prophet.

**Khâlid dismissed.** Al-Wâkidî states that some reports

---

\(^1\) Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 158.
claim that Khâlid ibn-al-Walid ruled in ‘Umar’s name a part of Mesopotamia; and once as he was in a bath, at Âmid [Diyârbakr], or at some other place, he daubed himself with a substance containing wine, which made ‘Umar dismiss him. This, however, is not confirmed.

The tax on Mesopotamia. ‘Amr an-Nâkid from Maimûn ibn-Mihrân:—For some time, oil and vinegar and food were taken for the benefit of the Moslems in Mesopotamia, which tax was later reduced through the sympathy of ‘Umar and fixed at 48, 24, and 12 dirhams. In addition to the poll-tax, every one had to provide two mudds of wheat two kîsîs of oil and two kîsîs of vinegar.

Mosques erected. I was informed by a number of the inhabitants of ar-Râkkah that when ‘Iyâd died and Sa‘îd ibn-‘Amir ibn-Ḥidhyam became governor of Mesopotamia, the latter erected the mosque of ar-Râkkah and that of ar-Ruha, after which he died. The mosques in Diyâr Muḍjar and Diyâr Rabi‘ah were erected by ‘Umair ibn-Sa‘îd.

Mu‘âwiyyah settles Arab tribes. When Mu‘âwiyyah ruled over Syria and Mesopotamia in the name of ‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân, he was instructed by him to settle the Arabs in places far from the cities and villages, and allow them to utilize the lands unpossessed by anyone. Accordingly, he caused the banu-Tamim to settle at ar-Râbiyyah; and a promiscuous multitude of Kâis and Asad and others, in al-Mâzîhin and al-Mudaibîr.1 The same thing he did in Diyâr Muḍjar. In like manner, he stationed the Rabi‘ah in their Diyâr. The cities and villages and frontier garrisons he put in charge of some, who received stipends in order to guard them and protect them, and whom he put there with his ‘āmils.

Scorpions in Naṣibîn. Abu-Ḥafṣ ash-Shâmi from Ḥam-

1 Kudâmah, p. 246.
mâd ibn-‘Amr an-Nâšibi:—The ‘âmil of Naṣībin wrote to Mu‘âwiya, ‘Uthmân’s governor over Syria and Mesopota-
mia, complaining that some of the Moslems in his company
had fallen victim to the scorpions. Mu‘âwiya wrote back in-
structing him to demand of the inhabitants in each quarter
of the city a fixed number of scorpions to be brought every
evening. This he did. They used to bring the scorpions
before him, and he would order that they be killed.

Karkisiya, the Euphrates forts and other places reduced.
Abu-Aiyûb al-Mu’addab ar-Ra’kî from abu-‘Abdallâh al-
Karkasâni’s sheikhs:—When ‘Umair ibn-Sa’d captured
Ra’âs al-‘Ain he made his way across and beyond al-Khâ-
bûr\(^1\) to Karkisiya whose people had violated the covenant.
With them he made terms similar to those made before,
and then advanced against the forts along the course of the
Euphrates one after the other, which he reduced all on the
same terms as Karkisiya. In none of them did he meet
severe resistance. Some of them would sometimes throw
stones at him. When he was through with Talbas\(^2\) and
‘Ânât,\(^3\) he came to an-Nâ’úsah, Alûsah\(^4\) and Hit where he
found out that ‘Ammâr ibn-Yâsir, the ‘âmil of ‘Umar ibn-
al-Khaṭṭâb over al-Kûfah, had sent an army for the invasion
of the region above al-Anbâr, under the leadership of Sa’d
ibn-‘Amr ibn-Ḥarâm al-Anṣâri. The holders of these forts
had come to Sa’d and demanded peace, which he arranged
with them, retaining one-half of the church of Hit. ‘Umair,
therefore, kept on his way to ar-Râkkah.

I learned from certain scholars that the one who went
against Hit and the forts beyond in al-Kûfah was Midlâj

\(^1\) A tributary of the Euphrates; Tanbih, p. 54.
\(^2\) Vowels uncertain; Caetani, vol. iv, p. 222.
\(^3\) Hoffman, op. cit., p. 137, note 1162.
\(^4\) Yâkût, vol. i, p. 65.
ibn-‘Amr as-Sulami, an ally of the banu-‘Abd-Shams and one of the Companions, who effected their capture. This Midlâj built al-Ḥadithah on the Euphrates. His descendants were at Hit. The memory of one of them, surnamed abu-Hârûn, still lives there. Others assert that Midlâj was sent by Sa‘id ibn-‘Amr ibn-Ḥarâm; but Allah knows best.

_Nahr Sa‘id._ In the place of Nahr Sa‘id—the canal named after Sa‘id ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân (who was nicknamed Sa‘id al-Khair and who practised asceticism)—once stood a jungle frequented by lions. Al-Walid gave it to him [Sa‘id] as fief, and he dug out the canal and erected the buildings that stand there. According to others, it was ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz who gave it as fief.

_Ar-Râfi‘kah._ There is no trace that ar-Râfi‘kah is an old city. It was built by al-Manṣûr the “Commander of the Believers” in the year 155, according to the plan of his city in Baghdâd. Al-Manṣûr stationed in it an army of the people of Khurâsân and entrusted it to al-Mahdi, who was at that time the heir-apparent. Later, ar-Rashîd built its castles. Between ar-Râkšah and ar-Râfi‘kah lay a wide tract of sown land to which ‘Ali ibn-Sulaimân ibn-‘Ali moved the markets of ar-Râkšah when he came as governor to Mesopotamia. Previous to this, the greatest market of ar-Râkšah was called Sûk Hishâm al-‘Atîk [the old market of Hishâm]. When ar-Rashîd visited ar-Râkšah, he increased the number of these markets, whose income together with that from the confiscated towns, is still collected to-day.

_Ruṣāfat Hishâm and al-Hani wa-l-Mari._ As for Ruṣāfat Hishâm, it was built by Hishâm ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik who previous to its building, used to stop at az-Zaitûnah. Hi-

---

1 or ar-Ruṣāfat bi-ash-Shâm; Yâḳût, vol. ii, p. 784. Ruṣāfat means causeway.
shâm dug out al-Hani wa-l-Mari [canals], thus making the
crown-land known as al-Hani-wa-l-Mari tillable land. He
founded in it Wâsiṭ ar-Râkḥah.¹ This same land was con-
fiscated at the beginning of the [Abbasid] dynasty and
passed into the hands of umm-Jaʿfar Zubaidah, daughter of
Jaʿfar ibn-al-Manṣûr, who built in it the fief house that
bears her name, and settled more people in it.

Ar-Raḥbah. There is no trace that ar-Raḥbah, which lies
below ٓKarkisiya, is an old city, it having been built by
Mâlik ibn-Ṭauk ibn-ʿAttâb ² at-Taghlabi in the caliphate
of al-Maʾmûn.

Adhramah. Adhramah in Diyâr Rabiʿah was an old vil-
lage which al-Ḥasan ibn-ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb at-Taghlabi
took from its chief and in which he built a castle, thus for-
tifying it.

Kafartûtha. Kafartûtha ³ was an old fort that was occu-
pied by the offspring of abu-Rimthah, who made a town of
it and fortified it.

Diyâr Rabiʿah and al-Barrîyah. Muʿâfa ibn-Ṭâʿūs from
his father:—The latter said, “I asked certain sheikhs re-
garding the tithes of Balad and Diyâr Rabiʿah and al-
Barrîyah ⁴ and was told that they were the tithes of lands
held by the Arabs when they embraced Islâm, or reclaimed
by them from waste lands unpossessed by any one or given
up by the Christians, and which have consequently become
waste and covered with brushwood. These lands were
given to the Arabs as fiefs.”

ʿAin ar-Rûmîyah. Abu-ʿAffân ar-Rakkî from certain
sheikhs of the writers of ar-Râkîh and others:—ʿAin ar-

¹ Yâḵût, vol. iv, p. 889.
² Cf. Maḥāsin, vol. ii, p. 34.
³ R. Payne Smith, col. 1801.
⁴ The desert part of Mesopotamia. Yâḵût, vol. i, p. 601; Bakri, p. 566.
Rûmiyâh together with its spring belonged to al-Wâlid ibn-‘Ukbah ibn-abi-Mu‘aiţ who gave it to abu-Zubaid at-Ţâ’i from whom it passed to abu-l-‘Abbâs the “Commander of the Believers.” Abu-l-‘Abbâs gave it as fief to Maimûn ibn-Ḥamzah, the freedman of ‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Abbâs, from whose heirs ar-Rashîd bought it. It lies in the district of ar-Râkîh.

Ghâbat ibn-Hubainrah. Ghâbat ibn-Hubainrah [the forest of ibn-Hubairah] was first given as fief to ibn-Hubairah, but later confiscated and assigned as fief to Bishr ibn-Mai-mûn, the builder of at-Ţâkât [archways or arcades] at Baghdâdh in the vicinity of Bâb ash-Shâm [the Syrian gate]. This Ghâbat was later bought by ar-Rashîd. It lies in the province of Sarûj.

‘À’ishah fief. The fief which was given by Hishâm to his daughter, ‘À’ishah, at Râskîfa and which bore her name was also confiscated.

Salâ‘ûs and Kâfarjadda. ‘Abd-al-Malik and Hishâm owned a village called Salâ‘ûs and half of another called Kâfarjadda which lay in the province of ar-Ruha.

Tall ‘Afrâ’, Tall Madhâba, al-Mušalla and Rabaḍ Harrân. In Harrân, al-Ghâmîr ibn-Yazîd owned Tall ‘Afra’, the land of Tall Madhâba, and Arq al-Mušalla [place of prayer], together with the confiscated lands and the workshops in Rabaḍ Harrân.

Marj ‘Abd-al-Wâhid. Before al-Ḥadath and Zibâṭrâh were built, Marj ‘Abd-al-Wâhid was a pasturing place reserved for the Moslems; but when these two were built, the Moslems could do without the Marj, which was peopled

---

1 Cf. Le Strange, Baghdâdh during the Abbasid Caliphate, p. 130.
2 Lacking in diacritical points.
3 The word means meadow.
4 Ar. ẖîma; see Mawardi, p. 324.
and later added by al-Ḥusain al-Khādim in the caliphate of ar-Rashīd to al-Ḥwâz. After that, some people unjustly took possession of it and of its farms, in which condition it remained until ʿAbdallāh ibn-Ṭāhir came to Syria and returned it to the crown-lands. Abu-Aiyûb ar-Raḵki heard it said that ʿAbd-al-Wâḥid, after whom the Marj was named, was ʿAbd-al-Wâḥid ibn-al-Ḥârith ibn-al-Ḥakam ibn-abi-l-ʿĀṣi, a cousin of ʿAbd-al-Malik. He owned the Marj, but turned it into a pasture land exclusively for the Moslems. He is the one whom al-Ḵaṭāmī lauded, saying:

“If fate would overlook only ʿAbd-al-Wâḥid, let not the case of all the other inhabitants of the city grieve thee.”
CHAPTER II

THE CHRISTIANS OF THE BANU-TAGHLIB IBN-WĀ'IL

‘Umar doubles their ṣadaqah. Shaibân ibn-Farrûkh from ḍas-Saffâḥ ash-Shaibâni:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb wanted to collect the poll-tax from the Christian tribe, banu-Taghlib; but they took to flight and some of them went to a distant land. An-Nu‘mân ibn-Zur‘ah (or Zur‘ah ibn-an-Nu‘mân) addressed ‘Umar saying: “I plead in Allah’s name for the banu-Taghlib. They are a body of Arabs too proud to pay poll-tax, but severe in warfare. Let not thy enemy, therefore, be enriched by them to thy disadvantage.” 1 Thereupon ‘Umar called them back and doubled the ṣadaqah laid on them.

Neither Moslems, nor of the “people of the Book.” Shaibân from ibn-‘Abbâs:—The latter said, “What is slaughtered by the Christians of the banu-Taghlib shall not be eaten, and their women shall not be taken as wives [by us]. They are neither of us nor of the ‘people of the Book.’”

‘Umair consults ‘Umar. ‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from ‘Awânah ibn-al-Ḥakam and abu-Mikhnaf:—‘Umair ibn-Sa‘d wrote to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb informing him that he had come to the regions on the Syrian slope of the Euphrates and captured ‘Ānât and the other forts of [i.e., along the course of] the Euphrates; and that when he wished to constrain the banu-Taghlib of that region to accept Islâm, they refused and were on the point of leaving for some Byzantine territory; no one on the Syrian slope of the Euphrates whom he wished to constrain to Islâm had before the banu-

1 Cf. Yûsuf. p. 68.
Taghlib showed such tenacity and asked permission to emigrate. ‘Umar asked ‘Umar’s advice on this matter. ‘Umar wrote back ordering him to double on all their pasturing cattle\(^1\) and land the amount of ạ́dakáh ordinarily taken from Moslems; and if they should refuse to pay that, he ought to war with them until he annihilates them or they accept Islâm. They accepted to pay a double ạ́dakáh\(^2\) saying, "So long as it is not the tax of the ‘uncircumcised,’ we shall pay it and retain our faith."\(^3\)

The terms with the banu-Taghlib. ‘Amr an-Nâkid from Dâ‘ûd ibn-Kurdús:—After having crossed the Euphrates and decided to leave for the land of the Greeks, the banu-Taghlib made terms with ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, agreeing not to immerse [baptize] a child or compel him to accept their faith, and to pay a double ạ́dakáh. Dâ‘ûd ibn-Kurdús used to repeat that they had no claim to security [dhimmah], because they used immersion in their ritual—referring to baptism.

Only they pay double ạ́dakáh. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from az-Zuhri:—None of the "people of the Book" pay ạ́dakáh on their cattle except the Christian banu-Taghlib or—he perhaps said—the Christian Arabs, whose whole possessions consist of cattle. These pay twice what the Moslems pay.

Zur‘ah intercedes in their behalf. Sa‘īd ibn-Sulaimân Sa‘dawayh from Zur‘ah ibn-an-Nu‘mân:—The latter interceded with ‘Umar in favor of the Christians of the banu-Taghlib, saying, "They are Arabs too proud to pay the poll-tax, and are possessors of tillable land and cattle." ‘Umar had decided to take tax from them and they became dispersed in the whole country. At last, ‘Umar made terms

\(^1\) Cf. Yûsuf, p. 68.
\(^3\) MFO, vol. iii, pp. 159, 162.
with them, stipulating that they pay double what the Moslems pay in the form of ᵀᵃᵈᵃᵏᵃ’h on the land and cattle, and that they do not christen their children.

_What ‘Ali would do._ According to Mughirah, ‘Ali used to repeat, "If I should have the time to deal with the banu-Taghlib, I would have my own way with them. Their fighters I would surely put to death, and their children I would take as captives, because by christening their children they violated the covenant and are no more in our trust [ᵈʰⁱᵐᵐᵃʰ] .”

_What Ziyâd said._ Abu-Nâṣr at-Tammâr from Ziyâd ibn-Ḥudair al-Asadi:—The latter said, “I was sent by ‘Umar to the Christians of the banu-Taghlib in order to collect from them half the tithe on their possessions, and was warned against collecting tithes from a Moslem, or from a d’himmî that pays Ḹhaḥāj .”

_‘Uthmân withdraws his word._ Muḥammad ibn-Sâ’d from Muḥammad ibn-Ibrâhîm ibn-al-Ḥârith:—‘Uthmân gave orders that nothing be accepted from the banu-Taghlib as tax except the tithe on gold and silver. Having, however, learned the fact that ‘Umar took from them a double ᵀᵃᵈᵃᵏᵃ’h, he withdrew his word.

_The tax on banu-Taghlib._ According to al-Wâḍîdî, it is said by Suṭyān ath-Thaüri, al-Auzâ‘i, Mâlik ibn-Anas, ibn-abi-Lailah, ibn-abi-Dhi‘b, abu-Ḥanîfah and abu-Yûsuf that from one of the banu-Taghlib is collected double what is collected from a Moslem, on land, cattle and possessions. But if he is a child or idiot, a double ᵀᵃᵈᵃᵏᵃ’h—according to the school of al-‘Irâk—is taken on his land, and nothing on his cattle; and according to the school of al-Ḥijâz, a double ᵀᵃᵈᵃᵏᵃ’h is taken on his cattle and his land. They all, however, agree that what is taken from the banu-Taghlib should be spent in the same way as Ḹhaḥāj, because it is a substitute for tax.
CHAPTER III

THE FORTIFICATIONS OF THE MESOPOTAMIAN FRONTIER

Shimshāṭ. When ʿUthmān ibn-ʿAffān became caliph, he wrote to Muʿāwiyah conferring on him the governorship of Syria, and assigned ‘Umair ibn-Saʿd al-Anṣārī as governor of Mesopotamia. Later he dismissed the latter and combined both Syria and Mesopotamia, including their frontier fortifications [thughūr] under Muʿāwiyah, in the meantime ordering Muʿāwiyah to invade or send someone to invade Shimshāṭ,¹ i. e., Armenia IV. Accordingly, Muʿāwiyah sent thereto Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah-1-Fihri and Ṣafwân ibn-Muʿaṭṭal as-Sulami who, after a few days of camping around it, reduced it and made terms similar to those of ar-Ruha. Ṣafwân took up his abode in Armenia until his death towards the end of Muʿāwiyah’s caliphate. It is held by others that Muʿāwiyah himself led the invasion with these two in his company, that he then conferred its governorship on Ṣafwân, who lived in it until his death. After stopping in Malatya in the year 133, Constantine the “tyrant” camped around Shimshāṭ with hostile intentions, but effected nothing. After making a raid on the surrounding places, he departed. Shimshāṭ was included in the kharāj-land until the time of al-Mutawakkil who changed it into a tithe-land, putting it on the same level with the other frontier fortresses.

Kamkh. After the conquest of Shimshāṭ, Ḥabib ibn-

¹ Yāḳūt, vol. iii, p. 319.
Maslamah attacked Ḥiṣn Kamkh but failed to reduce it. Ṣafwān too attacked it and failed. In the year 59—the year in which he died—Ṣafwān made another attempt on it, at which time he was accompanied by ‘Umar ibn-al-Ḥubāb as-Sulami, who climbed the wall and kept struggling single-handed until the Greeks gave way and the Moslems climbed up. Thus the reduction of Kamkh was due to ‘Umar ibn-al-Ḥubāb and was the thing in which he boasted and others boasted for him. Later, however, the Greeks succeeded in taking it; but it was recaptured by Maslamah ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik. Thus the fort passed back and forth from the hands of the Moslems to the hands of the Greeks until the year 149 in which al-Manṣūr left Baghdad for Ḥadīthath al-Mauṣil from which he sent al-Ḥasan ibn-Kaḥtabah and after him Muḥammad ibn-al-Asḥath, both under the leadership of al-ʿAbbās ibn-Muḥammad, for the invasion of Kamkh. Muḥammad ibn-al-Asḥath died at Amid. Al-ʿAbbās and al-Ḥasan advanced to Malāṭyah from which they took provisions, and then camped around Kamkh. Al-ʿAbbās ordered that mangonels be set upon the fort. The holders of the fort covered it with cypress wood to protect it against the mangonel stones, and killed by the stones they hurled two hundred Moslems. The Moslems then set their mantelets and fought severely until they captured it. Among those in the company of al-ʿAbbās ibn-Muḥammad ibn-ʿAli in this campaign was Māṭar al-Warrāk. Once more the Greeks took Kamkh fort, and in the year 177 an attack against it was led by Muḥammad ibn-

1 Ḥaukal, pp. 129, 130.
3 Diyarbakr.
5 Ar. dabbābah; Zaidān, vol. i, p. 143.
‘Abdallāh ibn-‘Abd-ar-Rahmān ibn-abi-‘Amrah-1-Anṣārī, the ‘āmil of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Ṣāliḥ over Shimshāt, which resulted in its reduction. The fort was entered on the 14th of Rabī‘ II, 177, and was held by the Moslems until the time of the civil war led by Muḥammad ibn-ar-Rashīd, at which time its holders fled away and the Greeks took possession of it. Some hold that the fort was delivered to the Greeks by ‘Ubaidallāh ibn-al-Aḵtā who, thereby, saved his son who was held by them as prisoner. In the caliphate of al-Ma‘mūn, ‘Abdallāh ibn-Ṭāhir reduced it; and it was in the hands of the Moslems until certain Christians from Shimshāt, Kāliḵala together with Bīḵrāṭ ibn-Ashūṭ, the patrician of Khilāṭ, succeeded by subtle means in transferring it to the Greeks, and in this wise winning their favor which the Christians desired because they held crown-lands in the province of Shimshāt.

Malāṭyah. Ḥabīb ibn-Maslāmah-1-Fīhri was sent by ‘Iyāḍ ibn-Ghanm from Shimshāt to Malāṭyah 1 whose conquest he effected. The city was later lost to the Moslems. When Muʿāwiyah became governor of Syria and Mesopotamia, he sent again Ḥabīb ibn-Maslāmah who took it by force and stationed in it a Moslem company of horsemen to keep post on the frontier and a ‘āmil. When Muʿāwiyah visited it on his way to the land of the Greeks, he stationed in it a garrison from Syria, Mesopotamia and other places. It became one of the headquarters for the summer expeditions. In the days of ‘Abdallāh ibn-az-Zubair, its inhabitants having left it, the Greeks came and devastated it; but they soon after evacuated it, and it was occupied by Armenian and Nabatean [Aramean] Christians.

Ṭwāndah. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from al-Wākidi:—
After its invasion by ‘Abdallāh ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik in the

1 Yāḵūt, vol. iv, pp. 633-634.
year 83, the Moslems settled in Ṭurandah and built their houses in it. This Ṭurandah is three days' journey from Malāṭyah and lies in the interior of the Byzantine Empire. Malāṭyah at this time was in ruins and inhabited by only a few Armenian dhimmis and others. In summer, a detachment of troops from Mesopotamia would come and stay in it until the rain and snow began to fall, at which time they would return. When ʿUmar ibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAzīz became caliph, he made the inhabitants of Ṭurandah, against their will, evacuate it, because he feared a raid of the enemy upon them. As they left, they carried away everything on their backs, leaving nothing behind and breaking even the jars of oil and vinegar. ʿUmar settled them in Malāṭyah and destroyed Ṭurandah, making Jaʿwanah ibn-al-Ḥārith of the banu-ʿĀmir ibn-Ṣaʿṣaʿah the governor of Malāṭyah.

The Greeks descend upon Malāṭyah. In the year 123, some 20,000 Greeks made a descent on Malāṭyah. Its inhabitants closed the gates; and the women appeared on the wall with turbans on their heads and took part in the fight. The people of Malāṭyah then sent a messenger to appeal for help. He rode on a post-mule and came to Hishām ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik who was then at ar-Ruṣāfah. Hishām summoned the Moslems to the help of Malāṭyah, but hearing that the Greeks had withdrawn from it, he communicated the news to the messenger and sent him with horsemen to remain at the frontier in readiness for the enemy. Hishām led an expedition in person, after which he alighted in Malāṭyah where he lay encamped until it was built. On his way, he passed through ar-Raḵḵāh which he entered with his sword at his side. This was the first time in his rule in which he carried his sword.

It is reported by al-Wāḵidi that in the year 133, Constan-

---

1 Yāḵūt, vol. iii, p. 534.
tine the "tyrant" directed his march to Malatyah. Kamkh at that time was in Moslem hands; and its governor was one of the banu-Sulaim. The people of Kamkh having sent a call to the people of Malatyah for succor, 800 horsemen sallied forth from it to meet the Greeks. The Greek cavalry defeated them after a battle, and Constantine camped around Malatyah and invested it. At this time, Mesopotamia was the scene of a civil war and its 'âmil Mûsa ibn-Ka'b was at Harrân. Therefore, when the people of Malatyah sent a messenger soliciting aid, nobody came. Hearing that, Constantine addressed the people of Malatyah saying, "O people of Malatyah, I would not have come to you had I not realized your state and the fact that your authorities [sultân] are too busy to help you. Make peace therefore with me and leave the city that I may destroy it and go my way." The people did not comply with his demand; so he set the mangonels. The siege was pressed so hard and the inhabitants were so exhausted that they asked Constantine for safe-conduct, which request he accepted. As they prepared to leave, they carried every light thing they could and threw what was too heavy into wells and hiding places. As they made their way out, all the Greeks stood in two rows from the city gates to the end of the line, with their swords unsheathed and the point of the one sword on the point of the one opposite to it, thus making an arch. The Greeks saw them off until they got to their place of safety, upon which they turned toward Mesopotamia where they settled in various places. Malatyah was then razed to the ground by the Greeks, who left nothing but a granary of which only one side was damaged. Hisn Kalûdhiyah was also destroyed by them.

Malatyah rebuilt. In the year 139, al-Manṣûr wrote to Šâlih ibn-'Ali ordering him to rebuild and fortify Malatyah. He then deemed it best to send 'Abd-al-Wahhâb ibn-
Ibrāhim al-Imām as governor over Mesopotamia and its frontier fortresses. Accordingly, ‘Abd-al-Wahhāb started in the year 140 at the head of troops from Khurāsān and was accompanied by al-Ḥasan ibn-Ḳaḥṭabah. He ordered the people of Syria and Mesopotamia to furnish contingents of troops, which they did to the number of 70,000. With these, he marched to the site of Malatyah, gathered workmen from various places and started the construction. Al-Ḥasan ibn-Ḳaḥṭabah himself would sometimes carry a stone and hand it over to the mason. He would also provide the workers with dinners and suppers at his own expense, opening his kitchens to the public. ‘Abd-al-Wahhāb was displeased at this and wrote to abu-Ja‘far stating that he ['Abd-al-Wahhāb] gave food to the people, but al-Ḥasan distributed many times more, his aim being to contend with him for superiority in beneficence, to spoil what he did, and to disparage him by means of extravagance and hypocrisy: and that al-Ḥasan had special heralds to go round calling people to his meals. To this, abu-Ja‘far replied, "Boy, al-Ḥasan feeds people on his own account; and thou feedest them on mine. What thou hast written was due to thy ignominy, deficient energy and base-mindedness." In the meantime, he wrote to al-Ḥasan: "Feed the people, but do not use a herald." Al-Ḥasan used to announce to the workmen that he who, in building a wall, got first to the crown of a cornice would receive so much." This made them put forth special effort to finish the work; and thus was Malatyah with its mosque rebuilt in 6 months. For every group of ten to fifteen troops in the army, he built a house of two rooms below and two rooms above and a stable. At a distance of thirty miles from the city, he built a frontier castle and another on a rivulet called Ḳubāḳib that empties its water into the Euphrates. Al-Manṣūr settled in Malatyah 4,000 fighters from Mesopotamia, Malatyah being one of
the Mesopotamian frontier towns, adding to each man's stipend ten dinârs, and giving to each a bounty of one hundred dinârs, in addition to the pay allotted to the different tribes. He stationed in the town the necessary garrison, assigned farms to the troops as fief and built the Kalûdhiyah fort.

Constantine desists from Jaiḥān. Constantine the "tyrant", at the head of an army of more than 100,000 men, came to Jaiḥān; but hearing of the great number of the Arabs, he desisted from it.

Naṣr ibn-Mâlik and Naṣr ibn-Saʿd accompany 'Abd-al-Wahhâb. I heard it said that 'Abd-al-Wahhâb was accompanied in the expedition mentioned above by Naṣr ibn-Mâlik al-Khuzaʿi and Naṣr ibn-Saʿd al-Kâtib, a freedman of al-Ansâr. Hence the poet's words:

"Thou hadst on thy sides two Naṣrs: Naṣr ibn-Mâlik and Naṣr ibn-Saʿd,
may thy victory [Ar. nasr] be unparallelled!"

Muḥammad ibn-Ibrâhîm goes against Malatyah. In the year 141, Muḥammad ibn-Ibrâhîm was sent to invade Malatyah at the head of an army from the people of Khurâsân, with al-Musaiyab ibn-Zuhair leading the choice men of the army. He posted a body of horsemen in Malatyah so that the enemy should not covet its possession. Those of its old inhabitants who survived returned to it.

Ar-Rashid humiliates the Greeks. In the days of ar-Rashid, the Greeks attempted the conquest of Malatyah but to no avail. Ar-Rashid led an invasion, overcame and humiliated them.

Mar'ash. When abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâḥ was in Manbij, he sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd to the region of Mar'ash ¹ whose fort Khâlid seized on the condition that its

holders be allowed to emigrate to another place, after which he destroyed it. When Sufyān ibn-‘Auf al-Ghāmidi made an expedition against the Greeks in the year 30, he started from Marʿash and made a tour in the land of the Greeks. Marʿash was built by Muʿāwiyah and populated by him with troops. After the death of Yazīd ibn-Muʿāwiyah, the Greeks reiterated their attacks on the city and so the inhabitants had to desert it. ʿAbd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān, after the death of his father, Marwān ibn-al-Ḥakam, and after asserting his claim upon the caliphate, made terms with the Greeks, agreeing to pay them a certain sum. But in the year 74, Muḥammad ibn-Marwān attacked the Greeks, and thus the peace was broken.

In the year 75, Muḥammad ibn-Marwān once more led the summer campaign, and the Greeks went forth in Jumāda I from Marʿash to al-Aʾmāk [valleys]. The Moslems marched against them under Abān ibn-al-Walīd ibn-ʿUkbah ibn-abi-Muʿaṭṭ accompanied by Dinār ibn-Dinār, a freedman of ʿAbd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān and a governor of Ḥinnasrīn and its districts. The two armies met in ʿAmk [valley] Marʿash where a fierce battle was fought, resulting in the defeat of the Greeks. The Moslems chased them, massacring and capturing. In this same year, Dinār came across a band of Greeks at Jīsr [bridge] Yaghra about ten miles from Shimshāt, and routed them. Later al-ʿAbbās ibn-al-Walīd ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik came to Marʿash, built it, fortified it, moved people into it and erected in it a cathedral mosque. He imposed upon the people of Ḥinnasrīn a contingent of troops to be sent to Marʿash.

When Marwān ibn-Muḥammad during his caliphate was busy fighting against Ḥimṣ, the Greeks came against Marʿash and invested it until its inhabitants made terms to evacuate it. Accordingly, they together with their families left for Mesopotamia and the district of Ḥinnasrīn, upon which
the Greeks destroyed the city. The ʿāmil of Marwân over the city was at that time al-Kauthar ibn-Zufar ibn-al-Ḥārith al-Kilâbi and the "tyrant" was Constantine son of Leon.¹ When Marwân was through with Ḥims and had destroyed its wall, he sent an army to rebuild Marʿash. It was rebuilt and made into a city; but the Greeks led an insurrection and destroyed it.

In the caliphate of abu-Jaʿfar al-Manṣūr, Ṣâliḥ ibn-ʿAli rebuilt Marʿash and fortified it. He invited men to settle in it, promising to increase their stipends. He was succeeded by al-Mahdi who increased its garrison and armed the people.

Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd from al-Wâkidi:—Mikhâ’il [Michael] set out from Darb al-Ḥadath at the head of 80,000 men and came to ʿAmḵ Marʿash, killing, burning and carrying away the Moslems as captives. Thence he advanced to the gate of the city of Marʿash in which there was ʿĪsa ibn-ʿAli who in that year was on an expedition. The freedmen of ʿĪsa together with the inhabitants of the city and their troops sallied out against Michael and showered on him their lancets and arrows. Michael gave way before them and they followed him until they were outside the city range; at which he turned upon them, killing eight of ʿĪsa’s freedmen and chasing the rest back to the city. Having gone in, they closed its gates and Michael, after investing the city, departed and stopped at Jaiḥân. When Thumâmah ibn-al-Walid al-ʿAbsi, who was then in Dâbîk and who in the year 161 led the summer expedition, heard of that, he despatched against Michael a strong detachment of cavalry most of whom lost their lives. This aroused the anger of al-Mahdi who began preparations for sending al-Ḥasan ibn-Ḥaṭṭabah on an expedition in the following year, i. e., 162.

¹ Ar. Ḳuṣṭaṭṭin ibn-Alyūn. He was the successor of Heraclius; Maḥāsin, vol. i, p. 84; Athir, vol. ii, p. 444.
**Hiṣn al-Hadath and Darb al-Hadath.** Hiṣn al-Ḥadath was one of the places reduced in the days of ʿUmar by Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah who was sent by ʿIyāḍ ibn-Ghanm. After that, Muʿāwiyah used to pay frequent attention to it. Darb al-Ḥadath was ominously called by the banu-Umaiyah “as-Salâmah” [safety] because they suffered a great calamity in it, the calamity being, according to some, the occurrence implied in the term Ḥadath [which means occurrence]. Others assert that the Moslems met on the way a youth who fought against them with his companions, hence the name Darb al-Ḥadath.¹

At the time of the insurrection of Marwān ibn-Muḥammad, the Greeks went and destroyed the city of al-Ḥadath and drove its people out as they had done in the case of Malāṭyah.

In the year 161, Michael went out to ʿAmk Marʿash, and al-Mahdi directed al-Ḥasan ibn-Kaḥṭabah to make a tour in the Byzantine Empire. Al-Ḥasan’s hand lay so heavily upon the people that they put his picture in their churches. His entrance to the land of the Greeks [Asia Minor] was through Darb al-Ḥadath where he examined the site of its city [al-Ḥadath] which he was told was evacuated by Michael. Al-Ḥasan chose that site for his city, and when he departed he spoke to al-Mahdi regarding the reconstruction of this city as well as that of Ṭarsūs. Al-Mahdi gave orders that al-Ḥadath be built first. Among the companions of al-Ḥasan in this campaign were Mandal al-ʿAnazi ²—the Kufite traditionist, and Muʿtamir ibn-Sulaimān al-Baṣrī. Al-Ḥadath was rebuilt by ʿAli ibn-Sulaimān ibn-ʿAli, the governor of Mesopotamia and Ḳinnasrīn, and was called al-Muḥammadīyah. The death of al-Mahdi

¹ "The pass of the youth."

coincided with the completion of its building, so it is really al-Mahdiyah as well as al-Muḥammadiyah. Brick was the material used in its construction. The death of al-Mahdi fell in the year 169.

Al-Mahdi was succeeded by his son Mūsa-l-Ḥâdi who dismissed ʿAli ibn-Sulaimân and conferred the governorship of Mesopotamia and Ǧinnasrîn upon Muḥammad ibn-Ibrâhîm ibn-Muḥammad ibn-ʿAli. Since ʿAli ibn-Sulaimân had by this time completed the building of the city of al-Ḥadath, Muḥammad assigned to it troops from Syria, Mesopotamia and Khurāsân, fixing forty ǧīnârs as the stipend of each soldier. To these he assigned the houses as fiefs, and bestowed three hundred dirhams on every one of them. The city was completed in 169.

According to abu-l-Khaṭṭâb, ʿAli ibn-Sulaimân assigned 4,000 paid troops to al-Ḥadath and settled them in it, transferring 2,000 men into it from Malatyah, Shîmshâṭ, Sumaisâṭ, Kaisûm, Dulûk and Raʿbân.

It was stated by al-Wâkîdi that when the building of al-Ḥadath was completed, winter set in and rain and snow fell in great quantities. The houses of the city, not being strongly built or provided with the necessary precautions, had their walls soon covered with cracks and fell to pieces. The Greeks then occupied it and the troops together with the people that were in it were scattered. Hearing that, Mūsa conscripted a contingent of troops headed by al-Musaiyab [not al-Musaiyib] ibn-Zuhair, another by Râhu ibn-Ḥâtim and still another by Ḥamzah ibn-Mâlik. Mūsa, however, died before they were sent out.

After that, ar-Rashid became caliph, and he gave orders to rebuild the city, fortify it, station a garrison in it and assign to its fighters dwellings and lands as fiefs.

It was stated by others than al-Wâkîdi that when al-Ḥadath was built, one of the great patricians of the Greeks
made a descent upon it with a strong host. The city was built with bricks, one placed on top of the other, without mortar intervening and which were damaged by the snow. The ʿâmil with all those in the city took to flight, and the enemy entered it, putting its mosque to flames, destroying the city and carrying away the movable possessions of the people. When ar-Rashid became caliph, he rebuilt it.

I was informed by one from Manbij that ar-Rashid wrote to Muḥammad ibn-Ibrāhīm confirming him in the work he was doing. Thus the erection of the city of al-Ḥadath and its peopling were carried out by him on behalf of ar-Rashid. Later, Muḥammad was dismissed by ar-Rashid.

Rahwat Mālik. In the year 46, Mālik ibn-ʿAbdallāh al-Khathʿami, nicknamed Mālik aš-Ṣawāʾif [summer expeditions] and who was a Palestinian, made an expedition to the Byzantine territory and returned with great booty. On his way back he stopped at a place called ar-Rahwat, fifteen miles from Darb al-Ḥadath. There he spent three days during which he sold the booty and divided its shares. Therefore the place was called Rahwat Mālik.

Marj ʿAbd-al-Wāḥid. Marj ʿAbd-al-Wāḥid was a pasture-land devoted to the exclusive use of the Moslem cavalry, which after the erection of al-Ḥadath and Zibāṭrah was of no more use and therefore was changed into a sown land.

Zibāṭrah. Zibāṭrah was an old Greek fort that was reduced together with the old Ḥadath fort by Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah-1-Fihri. The fort stood until it was destroyed by the Greeks in the days of al-Walid ibn-Yazid. It was then rebuilt, but not so strongly, therefore the Greeks made another attack on it at the time of the insurrection of Mar-

\footnote{Caetani, vol. iv, p. 60, note 1.}
wan ibn-Muhammad and destroyed it. Al-Manṣur built it again and it was once more torn into pieces by the Greeks. It was then rebuilt by ar-Rashid under the supervision of Muḥammad ibn-Ibrāhim who stationed a garrison in it. When al-Maʿmūn became caliph, the Greeks made another descent on it and tore it into pieces, after which they made a raid on the pasturing cattle of its holders and carried away some cattle. Al-Maʿmūn gave orders for repairing and fortifying it. In the year 210, the deputies of the Greek "tyrant" came asking for peace, which al-Maʿmūn refused. In pursuance of his orders, his ʿāmils [lieutenants] in the frontier fortresses made tours in Asia Minor where they wrought heavy slaughter, subdued the land and won many brilliant victories. One misfortune was the loss of the life of Yaẓān ibn-ʿAbd-al-Aʿla ibn-Aḥmad ibn-Yazīd ibn-Asīd as-Sulami.

In the days of al-Muʿ tasim-Billāh abu-Ishāk ibn-ar-Rashid, the Greeks made a sally against Zibaṭrah¹ in the course of which they killed the men, captured the women and destroyed the city. This greatly aroused the anger of al-Muʿtaṣim who chased them as far as ʿAmmūriyah, destroying many forts on the way. He camped against ʿAmmūriyah until he reduced it, putting its fighters to death and carrying off the women and children as prisoners. He then destroyed ʿAmmūriyah, and ordered that Zibaṭrah be rebuilt. He also fortified and garrisoned it. The Greeks after that tried to reduce it but failed.

Hisn Manṣūr. According to abu-ʿAmr al-Bāhili and others the Manṣūr fort was named after Manṣūr ibn-Jaʿ wanah ibn-al-Ḥārith al-ʿAmīri of Ḫais who had charge of building and repairing it, and who occupied it in the days of Marwān with a large host of the troops of Syria and Mesopotamia in order to repulse the enemy.

¹Yākūt, vol. ii, p. 914.
This same Maṣūr was governor of ar-Ruha when its inhabitants rebelled in the early part of the [Abbasid] dynasty and were besieged by al-Maṣūr, the ‘āmil of abu-l-‘Abbâs over Mesopotamia and Armenia. When al-Maṣūr captured the city, Maṣūr took to flight; but when he was later given safe-conduct, he appeared on the scene. When ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Ali dismissed abu-Ja‘far al-Maṣūr, ‘Abdallâh made Maṣūr the chief of the guard in his district. When ‘Abdallâh fled to al-Baṣrah, Maṣūr disappeared but was discovered in the year 141 and brought before al-Maṣūr, who, on his way from Jerusalem, put him to death at ar-Raṣkâh. According to others, Maṣūr was given safe-conduct and appeared after the flight of [Abdallâh] ibn-‘Ali. After this there were found letters on him directed to the Greeks and betraying Islâm. When al-Maṣūr, in the year 141, arrived at ar-Raṣkâh from Jerusalem, he sent someone who brought him; and he was beheaded at ar-Raṣkâh. Al-Maṣūr then departed for al-Hâshimiyyah \(^1\) at al-Kûfah.

In the caliphate of al-Mahdi, ar-Rashîd built the Maṣūr fort and stationed a garrison in it.

\(^1\) Ya‘kût, vol. iv, p. 946; Baghdâd under the Abbasid Caliphate, p. 5.
CHAPTER IV

ARABIC MADE THE LANGUAGE OF THE STATE REGISTERS

Greek remained the language of the state registers until the reign of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân, who in the year 81 ordered it changed. The reason was that a Greek clerk desiring to write something and finding no ink urined in the inkstand. Hearing this, ‘Abd-al-Malik punished the man and gave orders to Sulaimân ibn-Sa‘d to change the language of the registers. Sulaimân requested ‘Abd-al-Malik to give him as subsidy the kharâj of the Jordan province for one year. ‘Abd-al-Malik granted his request and assigned him to the governorship of the Jordan. No sooner had the year ended, than the change of the language was finished and Sulaimân brought the registers to ‘Abd-al-Malik. The latter called Sarjûn [Sergius] and presented to him the new plan. Sarjûn was greatly chagrined and left ‘Abd-al-Malik sorrowful. Meeting certain Greek clerks, he said to them, “Seek your livelihood in any other profession than this, for God has cut it off from you.”

The total tax of the Jordan which was thus assigned as subsidy was 180,000 dînârs, that of Palestine was 350,000; that of Damascus 400,000; that of Ḫimṣ with Kînناسرين and the regions called to-day al-‘Awâṣim, 800,000, and according to others 700,000.

1 Ar. diwan which may also be used in the sense of office or bureau.
2 Ar. ma‘ūnah; see Mubarrad, Kâmîl, p. 76, last line.
PART IV

ARMENIA
CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF ARMENIA

Traditions have been communicated to me by Muḥammad ibn-Ismāʿīl of Bardhaʿah and others on the authority of abu-Baraʿ Anbasah ibn-Baḥr al-Armani; by Muḥammad ibn-Bishr al-Ḵāli on the authority of his sheikhs; by Barmak ibn-ʿAbdallāh ad-Dabīlī, Muḥammad ibn-al-Muḥaiyis al-Khilāṭī and others on the authority of some well versed in the affairs of Armenia. These traditions I here-with transmit, having pieced them up together into one whole, to wit:—

The four provinces. Shimshāṭ, Ḵāliḵala, Khilāṭ Arjish and Bajunais constituted Armenia IV; the district of al-Busfurrajān [Wasapurakan], Dabil [Dwīn], Sirāj Ṭair and Baghrawand constituted Armenia III; Jurzān [Georgia] constituted Armenia II; as-Sisajān and Arrān constituted Armenia I.¹ According to others, Shimshāṭ alone constituted Armenia IV; Ḵāliḵala, Khilāṭ, Arjish and Bājunaís, Armenia III; Sirāj Ṭair, Baghrawand, Dabil, and al-Busfurrajān, Armenia II; and as-Sīsajān, Arrān [Albania], and Tafīs, Armenia I.² Jurzān and Arrān were held by the Khazar, while the rest of Armenia was held by the Greeks under the governorship of “the Lord of Armaniyākus”.

Kubādh ibn-Fairūz builds many cities. Al-Khazar used from time to time to make raids and reach as far as ad-Dinawar. Because of it, Kubādh ibn-Fairūz al-Malik³

¹ The Encyclopaedia of Islām, vol. i, p. 444.
² Khurdāḏbih, pp. 122-123.
³ i.e., the king. He belonged to the Sassanian Dynasty.
despatched one of his great generals at the head of 12,000 men, who ravaged the land of Arrân and conquered the region lying between ar-Rass river and Sharwân. Kubâdh then followed him and built in Arrân the city of al-Bailaḵân, the city of Bardhaʿah—which is the capital of the whole frontier region, and the city of Ḫabalah, i. e., al-Khazar. After that he erected Sudd al-Liban [brick dam] lying between the land of Sharwân and al-Lan gate. Along this Sudd, he established 360 cities which fell into ruins after the erection of the city of al-Bâb wa-l-Abwâb.

Anûshirwân builds other cities. Kubâdh was succeeded by his son Anûshirwân Kisra who built the cities of ash-Shâbirân and Maskat, and later al-Bâb wa-l-Abwâb which was called Abwâb because it was built on a road in the mountain. He settled in the places he built a people whom he called as-Siyâsijûn. In the land of Arrân, he established Abwâb Shakkan, al-Ḵamībarân, and Abwâb ad-Dûdāniyâh. Ad-Dûdâniyâh are a tribe who claim to be descended from the banu-Dûdân ibn-Asad ibn-Khuzaimah. He also built ad-Durđûkîyâh which consisted of twelve gates, each one of which was a castle of stone. In the land of Jûrzân he established a city, Sughdabil, which he populated with a body of as-Sughd [Sogdians] and Persians, making it a fortified town. Next to the Greek lands in the region of Jûrzân, he built a castle and called it Bâb Fairûzikebâdh; another called Bâb Lâdhîkâh; still another Bâb

Bârikah which lies on the Ṭarabazundah sea [Black Sea]. He also erected Bâb al-Lân, Bâb Samsakhī,¹ al-Jardamân fort, and Samshulda fort. Moreover, Anûshirwân conquered all the forts of Armenia held by the Greeks, built and fortified the city of Dabil, built an-Nashawa—the capital of the al-Busfurrajân district, the fort of Waiṣ and other castles in the land of as-Sisajân including al-Kilâb and Sâhyûnis castles. In the forts and castles, he stationed Siyâsijiyah men noted for valor and efficiency in warfare.

_Anûshirwân builds a wall between his domain and that of the Turks._ Anûshirwân then wrote to the king of the Turks asking for reconciliation and peace and for action in unison. In order to assure him of his friendliness, Anûshirwân sought his daughter’s hand and expressed a desire to be his son-in-law. Meanwhile, he sent him a maid of his, who was adopted by one of his wives, and said she was his daughter. Consequently, the Turk presented his daughter to Anûshirwân and came to see him. The two met at al-Barshaliyyah where they caroused together for some days, and each felt the other was friendly to him and expressed his loyalty. Anûshirwân ordered some of his friends in whom he confided to wait for nightfall and set fire to a part of the Turkish camp, which they did. In the morning, the Turkish king complained to Anûshirwân; but the latter denied having ordered it or known that his men had done it. After a few nights, Anûshirwân gave his orders to repeat the act, and his men did. The Turk grumbled so much at the act that Anûshirwân had to show sympathy for him and apologized to him, upon which he was appeased. By order of Anûshirwân, fire was set in a corner of his own camp where nothing but cottages of straw and twigs stood. In the morning, Anûshirwân grumbled to the Turk, saying,

¹ Brosset, _Histoire de la Georgie_, vol. i, p. 238.
"Thy men were on the point of destroying my camp; and thou rewardest me by throwing suspicion upon me!" The Turk swore that he knew no reason for the act, upon which Anûshirwân addressed him, saying, "Brother, thy troops and mine look with disfavor on the peace we made, because they have thereby lost the booty depending on razzias and wars that might be carried out between us. I fear they undertake things to corrupt our hearts after our mutual agreement of sincerity, so that we may once more have recourse to enmity after our new blood relationship and our friendship. I deem it wise, therefore, that thou allowest me to build a wall between thee and me with one gate through which none from us will go to you and from you to us, except the ones thou wishest and we wish." The Turk accepted the proposal and left for his own land.

Anûshirwân commenced building the wall. He built the side of it that faced the sea with rock and lead. Its width he made 300 dhîrâ's, and its height reached the mountain heights. He ordered that stones be carried in boats and dropped into the sea, so that when they appeared above the surface, he could build on them. The wall extended over a distance of three miles in the sea. When the construction was completed, he fixed on its entrance iron gates and entrusted it to one hundred horsemen to guard it. Before this, it took 50,000 troops to guard the place. On this wall he also set a mantelet. The Khâkân 1 was later told, "Anûshirwân has deceived thee and given thee for wife one who is not his daughter and fortified himself against thee"; but Khâkân was no match for such wiles.

Anûshirwân assigns kings. Anûshirwân assigned kings, stationed them in different districts, and conferred on each one of them the governorship [made him Shâh] of one dis-

1 The Turkish king.
strict. One of these was "Khākān al-Jabal" [lord of the mountain] who bore the title "Ṣāhib as-Sarīr" ¹ [holder of the throne] and was named Wahrārzān-shāh. Another was the king of Filān surnamed Filān-shāh. Others were Ṭabarṣarān-shāh, the king of al-Lakz—surnamed Jar-shān-shāh—the king of Maskāt (whose kingship has been abolished), the king of Lirān—surnamed Lirān-shāh—and the king of Sharwān called Sharwān-shāh. He also made the chief of Bukh ² its king, and the chief of Zirikirān its king. The kings of Jabal al-Ḵabak ³ he left over their kingdoms and made terms with them, stipulating that they pay an annual tribute.

Thus Armenia was in the hands of the Persians until the appearance of Islām, at which time many Siyāsijūn abandoned their forts and cities which fell into ruins. The Kha-zar and Greeks thus got possession of what was once in their hands.

Ḵāliḵala. At a certain period, the Greek princes were scattered about and some of them became like Mulūk at-Ṭawā‘if, ⁴ and one ruled over Armaniyaḵus. After the death of the latter, his wife succeeded him and her name was Ḫāli. ⁵ She built the city of Ḳāliḵala ⁶ which she named Ḳāliḵālah. The meaning of the word is "the benevolence of Ḫāli."

Thus she set her picture on one of the city gates. The Arabs arabicized Ḳāliḵālah into Ḳāliḵala.

Ḳāliḵala reduced. When ʿUthmān ibn-ʿAffān became caliph, he wrote to Muʿāwiyah, his ʿāmil over Syria and

¹ Ḩāshki, p. 191, note f; Masʿūdī, vol. ii, pp. 41-42.
² St. Martin, vol. i, p. 76.
³ Cf. Meynard, p. 437, "Qabq (Caucasus)".
⁴ Petty kings among whom the Persian kingdom was divided after Alexander. Ṭabari, vol. i, pp. 704-713.
⁵ Hamadhānī, p. 292.
Mesopotamia together with their frontier cities, ordering him to send Ḥabīb ibn-Maslamah-l-Fihri into Armenia. Ḥabīb had left a good impression in connection with the conquest of Syria and the invasion of the Greeks. This fact was fully realized by ‘Umar, by ‘Uthmān and by ‘Uthmān’s successor. Others say that ‘Uthmān wrote [directly] to Ḥabīb ordering him to make an expedition against Armenia. The former view is more authentic. Accordingly, Ḥabīb went against it at the head of 6,000, but according to another estimate, 8,000, of the people of Syria and Mesopotamia. Arriving in Kālikāla, he camped around it; and when its people came out against him, he fought them and drove them to the city. They then asked for peace, agreeing to evacuate the place and pay the tax. Many of them left the city and went as far as Asia Minor. Ḥabīb remained in the city with his men for a few months. He then learned that the patrician of Armaniyyāḵus had massed a large army against the Moslems and was reinforced by troops from al-Lān, Afkhāz and from Samandar in al-Khazar. Therefore, he wrote to ‘Uthmān asking for reinforcement. ‘Uthmān wrote to Muʿāwiyyah asking him to send to Ḥabīb a body of men from Syria and Mesopotamia interested in the “holy war” and booty. Accordingly, Muʿāwiyyah sent 2,000 men who were settled in Kālikāla, given fiefs and stationed as horsemen guard to keep post in it. At the receipt of Ḥabīb’s request, ‘Uthmān also wrote to Saʿīd ibn-al-ʿĀṣi ibn-Saʿīd ibn-al-ʿĀṣi ibn-Umaiyah, his āmil over al-Kūfah, ordering him to reinforce Ḥabīb with an army headed by Salmān ibn-Rabiʿah-l-Bāhili who bore the title “Salmān al-Khail” [the Salmān of horsemen] and who was generous, benevolent and of a warlike nature. Salmān set out at the head of 6,000 Kufites. The Greeks

1 Müller, Der Islam in Morgen- und Abendland, pp. 259-260.
and their followers had already arrived and encamped on the Euphrates, before Ḥabib received the reinforcement. Taking advantage of the night, the Moslems swept over them and killed their chief. That evening Ḥabib’s wife, umm-‘Abdallâh, daughter of Yazid of the Kalb tribe, asked Ḥabib, “Where shall I meet thee?” To this, Ḥabib replied, “Either at the tents of the ‘tyrant’, or in Paradise!” When he got to those tents he found her there.

When the Moslems were done with their enemy, Salmân returned. The Kufite troops wanted to have a share in the booty but were refused, which led into a verbal dispute between Ḥabib and Salmân. Some Moslems threatened Salmân with death, regarding which the poet said:

“If ye kill Salmân, we kill your Ḥabib; and if ye depart towards ibn-‘Affân, we would also depart.”

‘Uthmân was communicated with, and he wrote back, “The spoils belong wholly to the Syrians by right.” Meanwhile, he wrote to Salmân ordering him to invade Arrân.

It is reported by others that in the caliphate of ‘Uthmân, Salmân ibn-Rabi‘ah went to Armenia, made captives and plundered, returning in the year 25 to al-Walîd ibn-‘Ukbah at Ḥadîthat al-Maušîl. Al-Walîd received a letter from ‘Uthmân informing him that Mu‘âwiyah had written him to the effect that the Greeks were gathered against the Moslems in great numbers, and that the Moslems wanted reinforcements, and ordering him to send 8,000 men. Accordingly, al-Walîd sent 8,000 men under Salmân ibn-Rabi‘ah-l-Bâhili. Mu‘âwiyah sent an equal number under Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah-l-Fihri. The two leaders reduced many

1 Referring to the Greek general.
2 Tabari, vol. i, pp. 2893-2894.
3 Ibid., vol. i, pp. 2807-2808.
forts, carried away many prisoners and fell to dispute regarding the general leadership. The Syrians wanted to kill Salmân, hence the verse quoted above. The former report, however, is more authentic and was orally communicated to me by many from Kâlikala and in writing by al-‘Aṭṭâf ibn-Sufyân abu-l-Aṣbagh, the ḥâdi of Kâlikala.

Ḥabib kills al-Mauriyân. Muḥammad ibn-Sa’d from ʿAbd-al-Ḥamīd ibn-Jaʿfar’s father:—Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah besieged the inhabitants of Dabil and camped around the city. Al-Mauriyân ar-Rûmi 1 came against him; but under the cover of the night, Ḥabib killed him and plundered what was in his camp. Salmân then joined Ḥabib. The authorities of this tradition believe that Ḥabib fell upon the Greek at Kâlikala.

Kūsân subdues Kâlikala. Muḥammad ibn-Bishr al-Ḵāli and ibn-Warz al-Ḵāli from the sheikhs of Kâlikala:—Ever since its conquest, the city of Kâlikala held out against attacks until the year 133 in which “the tyrant” set out, besieged Malatyah, destroyed its wall and expelled the Moslems that were in it to Mesopotamia, after which he encamped at Marj al-Ḥaṣa whence he directed Kūsân al-Armani against Kâlikala. Kūsân came and invested the city, whose inhabitants at that time were few and whose ʿāmil was abu-Karimah. In the course of the siege, two Armenian brothers who lived in the city made a breach through a rampart in its wall, went out to Kūsân and brought him in to the city. Thus Kūsân subdued the city, killed [many], took captives and razed it to the ground, carrying off what he plundered to “the tyrant”. The captives he distributed among his companions.

Al-Mansūr rebuilds and al-Muṭaṣim fortifies Kâlikala.

1 Patrician of Armaniyyâkus which province is listed in De Goeje’s edition of Balâdhuri as a name of person.
According to al-Wâqidî, in the year 139 al-Manṣûr gave ransom for those of the captives of Қâliқala who survived; and he rebuilt Қâliқala, populated it, and returned them into it. He also invited to it troops from Mesopotamia and other places to live in it. In the caliphate of al-Mu'tašim-Billâh, the Greek "tyrant" came to Қâliқala and threw projectiles on its wall until it was on the point of falling. Thereupon al-Mu'tašim had to spend 500,000 dirhams to make the city strong again.

The patricians of Khîlâṭ and Muks. After having captured Қâliқala, Ḥabib marched to Mirbâla where the patrician of Khîlâṭ brought him a statement written by ʻIyâḍ ibn-Ghamm, who had guaranteed to the patrician the security of his life, possessions and country and had concluded a treaty with him stipulating that the patrician should pay tax. Ḥabib sanctioned the terms of the statement. He then occupied a house between al-Harak and Dasht al-Warak. The patrician of Khîlâṭ brought him the money he owed and offered a present which Ḥabib refused to accept. Ḥabib then visited Khîlâṭ and passed to as-Ṣabâbah [?] where he was met by the chief of Muks, one of the districts of al-Busfurrajân. Ḥabib made peace with him in exchange for an annual tax to be paid for his land, sent a man with him and wrote him a statement of peace and safety.

Arjish, Bâjunais and at-Tirîkh. To the villages of Arjish and Bâjunais, Ḥabib sent a body of men who subdued them and laid poll-tax on them. The leading men of these villages came to Ḥabib and made a treaty agreeing to

1 Ar. fâda bihim; see Mawardi, pp. 82 and 232.
3 Original not clear.
4 St. Martin, vol. i, p. 175.
pay the kharâj on their lands. As for at-Ṭirrikh lake, he did not interfere with it, and it was used by the public until Muḥammad ibn-Marwân ibn-al-Ḥakam became governor of Mesopotamia and Armenia, upon which he took possession of its fish and sold them, making an income out of it. The lake after that became the property of Marwân ibn-Muḥammad and was thus lost to Muḥammad.

_Dabîl and other towns sue for peace._ Ḥabîb now came to Azdisâṭ,¹ the chief village of al-Hurmuz,² crossed Nahr al-Akrâd and encamped at Marj Dabîl. Thence he sent the cavalry against Dabîl and marched until he reached its gate. The people took to the fortifications and threw projectiles on him. Ḥabîb set a mangonel against the city and used it until they sued for peace and capitulation. This he granted them. His cavalry wandered around, occupied Jurîn³ reached as far as Ashûsh, dhât-al-Lujum, al-Jabal Kûntah ⁴ and Wâdi-l-Aḥrâr and subdued all the villages of Dabîl. He also despatched a force against Sirâj Ṭair and against Baghrawand whose patrician came and made terms, agreeing to pay an annual tribute, to be loyal to the Moslems, to entertain them, and to aid them against the enemy. The text of the treaty with Dabîl ran as follows:

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a treaty of Ḥabîb ibn-Maslamah with the Christians, Magians and Jews of Dabîl, including those present and absent. I have granted you safety for your lives, possessions, churches, places of worship, and city wall. Thus ye are safe and we are bound to fulfil our covenant, so long as ye fulfil yours and pay poll-tax and kharâj. Thereunto

² Balâdhuri reads "Ḳirmiz," which is a clerical error.
³ _Marâṣid_, vol. i, p. 25.
⁴ Original not clear.
Allah is witness; and it suffices to have him for witness. Signed by Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah."

An-Nashawa and al-Busfurrajân. Ḥabib after this proceeded to an-Nashawa and took possession of it on terms similar to those of Dabîl. The patrician of al-Busfurrajân came and made terms regarding all of his country together with the land of Haşâtiltah [?] and Afâristah [?], agreeing to pay a certain tax every year.

As-Sisajân. Ḥabib then moved to as-Sisajân ² whose people resisted him but were defeated. He also conquered Waiş; and made terms with the holders of the forts at as-Sisajân to the effect that they pay tax. He then proceeded to Jurzân.

Dhât-al-Lujum. I was informed by certain sheikhs from Dabîl, among whom was Barmak ibn-‘Abbâl, that Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah marched with his men bent on Jurzân. When they got to dhât-al-Lujum, they left some of their horses and mules to graze, leaving their bridles together in one place. Suddenly, a band of the "uncircumcised" fell upon them before they could bridle their animals. In the fight that ensued, the "uncircumcised" drove the Moslems away and seized the bridles together with as many horses and mules as they could. Later, the Moslems returned to them, massacred them and took back what has been carried away from them. That is why this spot was called "dhât-al-Lujum" [the place of the bridles].

As Ḥabib was advancing against the patrician of Jurzân, he was met by a messenger of the patrician and the inhabitants of the town, who presented a written message and asked for a treaty of peace and security. Accordingly, Ḥabib wrote to them:

---

¹ Certain diacritical points missing.
² Meynard, p. 335.
"Your messenger, Nūkla, came to me and my companions 'the Believers' saying on your behalf that we are a nation whom Allah has honored and given superiority, which Allah did, great praise be to Allah, and prayer and peace be on Muḥammad his Prophet and noblest creature! Ye also stated that ye would like to make peace with us. As for your present, I have estimated its value and considered it a part of your tax. I have made a treaty of peace with you and inserted one condition in it. If ye accept the condition and live up to it, well and good. Otherwise 'announce ye a war waged by Allah and his Prophet'. Peace be to those who follow the proper guidance."

The treaty with the people of Taflis. Ḥabib thence proceeded to Taflis [Tiflis] and made the following statement of peace to its people:—

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a statement from Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah to the inhabitants of Taflis which lies in Manjalis at Jurzân al-Hurmuz, securing them safety for their lives, churches, convents, religious services and faith, provided they acknowledge their humiliation and pay tax to the amount of one dinār on every household. Ye are not to combine more than one household into one in order to reduce the tax, nor are we to divide the same household into more than one in order to increase it. Ye owe us counsel and support against the enemies of Allah and his Prophet to the utmost of your ability, and are bound to entertain the needy Moslem for one night and provide him with that food used by 'the people of the Book' and which it is legal for us to partake of.

1 Cf. Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 2674.
2 Kor., 2:279.
4 and not "Ḵirmiz" as Balâdhuri has it. See Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 2674.
If a Moslem is cut off from his companions and falls into your hands, ye are bound to deliver him to the nearest body of the 'Believers', unless something stands in the way. If ye return to the obedience \(^1\) of Allah and observe prayer, ye are our brethren in faith, otherwise poll-tax is incumbent on you. In case an enemy of yours attacks and subjugates you while the Moslems are too busy to come to your aid, the Moslems are not held responsible, nor is it a violation of the covenant with you. The above are your rights and obligations to which Allah and his angels are witness and it is sufficient to have Allah for witness.”

The following is a copy of the treaty made by al-Jarrāḥ ibn-'Abdallâh-ı-Ḥakami with the people of Taflis:

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a treaty made by al-Jarrāḥ ibn-'Abdallâh with the inhabitants of Taflis in the district of Manjalis and the province of Jurzân. They have shown me the treaty made with them by Ḥabīb ibn-Maslamah to the effect that they accept the humiliation of the tax, and that he made terms with them regarding lands belonging to them, vineyards, and mills, called Awâra and Sabīna in the district of Manjalis, and regarding Ta‘âm and Didûna in the district of Khuwwîṭ in the province of Jurzân, stipulating that they pay on these mills and vineyards a tax of 100 dirhams per annum without repeating it. These terms of peace and security I put into effect and ordered that the sum be never increased on them. Let no one, therefore, to whom this my treaty is read increase the tax on them; so Allah wills. Written by—.”

**Various places conquered by Ḥabīb.** Ḥabīb conquered Ḥawâriḥ, Kasfaryabs [?],\(^2\) Kisâl, Khunân, Samsakhi, al-

---

\(^1\) Tabari, vol. i, p. 2675: “become Moslem”.

\(^2\) Lacking in diacritical points.
Jardamân, Kastasji,¹ Shaushit,² and Bázalit,³ which capitulated on the terms that the lives of the inhabitants be spared, that places of worship and their walls be not molested and that they pay annual tribute on their lands and persons. The people of Ḫalarjît, Tharyâlit,⁴ Khâkhît,⁵ Khûkhît,⁶ Arthaḥâl,⁷ and Bâb al-Lâl⁸ also made terms with Ḥabib. Aṣ-Ṣanārîyah and ad-Dûdâniyâh made terms, agreeing to pay an annual tax.

*Al-Bailakân.* By order of ʿUthmân, Salmân ibn-Rabiʿah-l-Bâhili proceeded to Arrân. Here he conquered the city of al-Bailakân which capitulated on terms stipulating that he guarantee the safety of their lives, possessions and city walls, and that they pay poll-tax and kharâj.

*Bardhaʿah and other places.* Thence Salmân advanced to Bardhaʿah and camped on ath-Thurthûr⁹ river which flows at a distance of less than one parasang from the city. The inhabitants closed their city gates against him; and he made an attempt on it for many days, making raids on its villages. It was the time for reaping the harvest. At last, its people made terms similar to those of al-Bailakân and opened their gates. Thus he made his entrance and occupied the city. Salmân then sent his cavalry which conquered Shifshin, al-Misfawân, Ûdh, al-Mîşrîyân,¹⁰ al-Hur-

¹ Brosset, vol. i, p. 512.
³ Brosset, vol. i, pp. 45, 86.
⁵ “Kakheth” in Brosset, l. l.
⁹ St. Martin, vol. i, p. 87.
¹⁰ Text not clear.
hilyân and Tabâr, all of which are districts. Other places in Arrân were reduced. The Kurds of al-Balâsajân he summoned to Îslâm; but they fought against him and were subdued. Some were made to pay tax and others sadâkah; but the latter were few.

Shamkûr. I was informed by some people from Bardha‘ah that Shamkûr was an ancient city to which Salmân ibn-Rabî‘ah sent someone who reduced it. It was well populated and flourishing until it was destroyed by as-Sawardiyah, who after the departure of Yazid ibn-Usaïd from Armenia came together and became a source of trouble and misfortune. In the year 240, the city was rebuilt by Bughâ, the freedman of al-Mu’tasîm and the governor of Armenia, Adharbajjân and Shimshât. He settled in it people from al-Khazar who, because of their interest in Îslâm came, and sought security. He also transplanted merchants to it from Bardha‘ah and called it al-Mutawakkilîyah.

Kabalâh and other places. Salmân thence advanced to the junction of ar-Rass and al-Kurr behind Bardij. Crossing al-Kurr he reduced Kabalâh; and the chief of Shakkan and al-Ḳamibarân capitulated, agreeing to pay annual tax. In like manner did the people of Khaizân, the king of Sharwân and the other kings of al-Jibâl, the people of Mas-kât, ash-Shâbirân and the city of al-Bâb capitulate. The city of al-Bâb was closed after him. Khâkân with his cavalry met Salmân beyond al-Balanjar river. The latter was killed with 4,000 Moslems who in that critical position were heard shouting “Allah is great!”

Salman the first kādi of al-Kūfah. This Salman ibn-Rabi‘ah was the first to hold the position of kādi in al-Kūfah,¹ where he spent forty days without hearing a case. He transmitted traditions on ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb’s authority. Says ibn-Jumānah-l-Bāhili referring to Salman and Ḥutaibah ibn-Muslim:

“We have two tombs one at Balanjar
and another at Şin-Istân [China] and what a tomb that is!
The one who lies in China has brought about conquests in all places;
and the merits of the other cause abundant rain to fall.”²

Among the companions of Salman at Balanjar was Kar-żah ibn-Ka‘b al-Anšāri. It was he who carried the news of Salman’s death to ‘Uthmān.

Ḥabīb put by ‘Uthmān in charge of the frontier fortresses. Having made these conquests in Armenia, Ḥabīb reported his success to ‘Uthmān ibn-‘Affān who received his letter immediately after the news of Salman’s death. ‘Uthmān was on the point of assigning Ḥabīb over all Armenia; but he then deemed it best to put him in charge of the campaigns on the frontiers of Syria and Mesopotamia, because of his efficiency in doing what he intended to do. ‘Uthmān conferred on Hudhaifah ibn-al-Yamān al-‘Absi the governorship of the frontier fortresses of Armenia; and the latter left for Bardha‘ah and sent his ‘āmils to the places that lay between it and Kālikala and up to Khaizān. He then received ‘Uthmān’s message instructing him to depart and leave in his place Silah ibn-Zufar al-‘Absi who was in his company. Hudhaifah assigned Silah as his successor.

Ḥabīb returned to Syria and began his campaigns against the Greeks. He settled in Ḥims, but Mu‘āwiyyah moved him to Damascus, where he died in the year 42, aged 35. Once

¹ Gottheil, Egyptian Cadis, page VI.
² For an explanation see ibn-Ḥutaibah, Kitāb al-Ma‘ārif, p. 221.
when 'Uthmân was besieged, Mu'âwiyah sent this Ḥabib at the head of an army to his relief. Having arrived in Wâdi-l-Kura, Ḥabib heard of the death of 'Uthmân and took his way back.¹

Various governors of Adharbajjân and Armenia. 'Uthmân appointed al-Mughirah ibn-Shu‘bah governor of Adharbajjân ² and Armenia, but dismissed him later, and appointed al-Ḳâsim ibn-Rabi‘ah ibn-Ḳama‘ah ibn-abi-aṣ-Ṣalt ath-Thakafî governor of Armenia. Others say he appointed 'Amr ibn-Mu'âwiyah ibn-al-Muntafîk al-'Ukaili governor of Armenia; and still others say that for 15 years after al-Mughirah, one of the banu-Kilāb ruled over Armenia, and that he was succeeded by al-'Ukaili. Under 'Ali ibn-abi-Ṭâlib, al-Aslîth ibn Ḥaṣan ruled over Armenia and Adharbajjân. He was followed by 'Abdallah ibn-Ḥâtim ibn-an-Nu'mân ibn-'Amr al-Bahili who ruled over it in the name of Mu'âwiyah. 'Abdallah died in it and was succeeded by his brother 'Abd-al-'Aziz ibn-Ḥâtim ibn-an-Nu'mân, who built the city of Dabil, fortified it and enlarged its mosque. He also built the city of an-Nashawa and repaired the city of Bardha‘ah. Others say he rebuilt Bardha‘ah and deepened the trenches around it. He also rebuilt the city of al-Bailakân. These cities were dilapidated and ready to fall into ruins. According to others, it was Muḥammad ibn-Marwân who in the days of 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân rebuilt Bardha‘ah. Al-Wâkidî states that 'Abd-al-Malik built Bardha‘ah under the supervision of Ḥâtim ibn-an-Nu'mân al-Bahili or his son. This 'Abd-al-Malik appointed 'Uthmân ibn-al-Walid ibn-'Ukbah ibn-abi-Mu'ait to the governorship of Armenia.

² Meynard, pp. 14-17.
Armenia rebels. During the insurrection of ibn-az-Zubair, Armenia rose and its nobles ¹ with their followers threw off their allegiance. When Muḥammad ibn-Marwān held under his brother ʿAbd-al-Malik the governorship of Armenia, he led the fight against them and won the victory, slaughtering and taking captives. Thus, he subdued the land. He promised those who survived higher stipends than the ordinary soldiers’ pay. For that purpose they assembled in churches in the province of Khilāṭ where he locked them in and put guards on the door, and then he frightened them. In this campaign ʿumm-Yazid ibn-ʿUsaid was taken captive from as-Sisajān, she being the daughter of as-Sisajān’s patrician.

ʿAdī governor of Armenia. Sulaimān ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik made ʿAdī ibn-ʿAdī ibn-ʿAmirah ⁴-l-Kindi governor of Armenia. ʿAdī ibn-ʿAmirah was one of those who had left ʿAli ibn-abi-Ṭālib and settled in ar-Raškāh. He was later made the governor of Armenia by ʿUmar ibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAzīz. This ʿAdī was the one after whom the Nahr ʿAdī at al-Bailakān was named. According to others, the ʿāmil of ʿUmar was Ḥātim ibn-an-Nuʿmān, but that is not confirmed.

Miʿlāk and al-Ḥārīth as governors. Yazid ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik conferred the governorship on Miʿlāk ibn-Ṣaffār al-Bahrānī, but he later dismissed him and assigned al-Ḥārīth ibn-ʿAmr at-Ṭāʿi, who made an incursion against the inhabitants of al-Lakz ³ conquering the district of Ḥasma-dān.⁴

Al-Jarrāḥ as governor. When al-Jarrāḥ ibn-ʿAbdallāh

¹ Ar. aḥrār, the class that constituted the aristocracy of Armenia before the Persian rule; see Yāḵūt, vol. i, pp. 222, 438.
al-Ḥakami of Madhḥij became governor of Armenia, he stopped at Bardha‘ah where his attention was called to the different measures and weights used by the people and which he fixed according to the standards of justice and honesty introducing a new measure, called al-Jarrāḥi, with which they deal until to-day. After crossing al-Kurr,\(^1\) he marched until he went over the river known by the name of as-Samûr and came to al-Khazar, among whom he wrought a great slaughter. He also fought against the inhabitants of the land of Ḥamzin \(^2\) and made terms with them stipulating that they be transplanted to the district of Khaizân where he gave them two villages. He then attacked the people of Ghûmik \(^3\) and captured some of them. Turning back, he came to Shakka, and his army spent the winter at Bardha‘ah and al-Bailaḵân. Al-Khazar assembled their troops and crossed ar-Rass.\(^4\) He fought against them in Ṣahrā’ [desert] Warthān, and when they withdrew to the region of Ardabil \(^5\) he engaged them in battle at a distance of four parasangs from Armenia. After a three days’ battle, he suffered martyrdom together with his men, and therefore was the river called Nahr al-Jarrāḥ. A bridge spanning it also bore the same name.

**Maslamah as governor.** Hishām ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik after that appointed Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik to the governorship of Armenia, put at the head of the van of his [Maslamah’s] army Sa‘id ibn-‘Amr ibn-Aswad al-Jurashi, and accompanied him by Ishâk ibn-Muslim al-‘Ukâili with his brothers, Ja‘wanah ibn-al-Ḥârith ibn-Khâlid of the banu-

\(^1\) Išṭakhri, p. 187.  
\(^5\) Meynard, pp. 21-22.
Rabi‘ah ibn-‘Āmir ibn-Ṣa‘ṣa‘ah, Dhufâfah and Khâlid—the two sons of ‘Umair ibn-al-Ḥubâb as-Sulami—al-Furât ibn-Salmân al-Bâhili, and al-Walîd ibn-al-Ḳa‘kâ‘ al-‘Absi. Sa‘id engaged in conflict with al-Khazar who were at this time besieging Warthân, and forced them to withdraw, putting them to flight. Al-Khazar came to Maimadh in Adharbajân; and as Sa‘id was preparing for the conflict with them, he received a message from Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik blaming him for attacking al-Khazar before his [Maslamah’s] arrival, and informing him that he had assigned in his place over the army ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Muslim al-‘Uḵaili. As soon as Sa‘id turned over the army to his successor, he was arrested by Maslamah’s messenger who fettered him and carried him to Bardha‘ah where he was thrown into its prison. Al-Khazar left and Maslamah followed them. When Maslamah communicated the news to Hishâm, Hishâm wrote back:

"Dost thou leave them at Maimadh where thou canst see them, and then seek them beyond the limit of soil [where sand begins]?"

Thereupon Hishâm ordered that al-Jurashi be released from prison.

Maslamah made peace with the people of Khaizân, and by his order, its fort was dismantled. He appropriated in it estates for himself. It is known to-day by the name of ḇauz Khaizân. The kings of al-Jibâl also made peace with him. The Shâhs of Sharwân, Lîrân, Ṭabarsarân, Filân and Jarshân presented themselves before him; and so did the chief of Maskaṭ. Maslamah, thereupon, betook himself to the city of al-Bâb, which he reduced. In its castle were a thousand families of al-Khazar whom he besieged and against whom he hurled stones and then pieces of iron shaped like stones. All that, however, was of no avail. He, therefore, resorted to the spring, the water of which Anû-
shirwân had conducted into their cistern, and slew on it cows and sheep throwing the contents of their stomachs and some assafoetida into the water. It did not take the water more than one night before it bred worms, became vitiated and corrupted. Therefore, the holders of the castle fled under the cover of the night and vacated the castle. In the city of al-Bâb wa-l-Abwâb, 24,000 Syrians were settled by Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik and assigned stipends. Accordingly, the inhabitants of al-Bâb to-day do not allow any ‘âmil to enter their city unless he has money to distribute among them. He, moreover, built a granary for food, another for barley, and an armory. He ordered that the cistern be filled with earth, repaired the city, and provided it with embankments. In the company of Maslamah was Marwân ibn-Muhammad who took part in the attack against al-Khazar and distinguished himself in fighting. After Maslamah, Hishâm appointed Sa‘id al-Jurashi who spent two years in the frontier region.

Marwân as governor. Marwân ibn-Muhammad ¹ then became the ruler of the frontier and took up his abode at Kisâl. Marwân was the one who built the city of Kisâl. This city lies 40 parasangs from Bardha‘ah and 20 from Taflis. Marwân then entered the country of al-Khazar next to Bâb al-Lân and made Asîd ² ibn-Zâfir as-Sulami Abu-Yazid, accompanied by the kings of al-Jibât, enter it from the side of al-Bâb wa-l-Abwâb. Then Marwân made an incursion on the Slavs who were in the land of al-Khazar and captured 20,000 families whom he settled in Khâ-khit. When they later put their commander to death and took to flight, Marwân pursued and slaughtered them.

When the chief of al-Khazar learned of the great num-

¹ Brosset, vol. i, pp. 238 seq.
² “Usaid” in Duraid, p. 187, line before last.
ber of men with whom Marwân had swept over his land and of their equipment and strength, his heart was filled with cowardice and fear. When Marwân came close to him, he sent him a messenger inviting him to "Islâm or war", to which he replied, "I have accepted Islâm. Send therefore someone to present it to me." Marwân did so. The chief professed Islâm and made a treaty with Marwân according to which Marwân confirmed him as ruler of his kingdom. Marwân with a host of al-Khazar accompanied the chief; and al-Khazar were made to settle in the plain of the province of al-Lakz between as-Samûr and ash-Shâbirân.

*The land of as-Sarîr.* After that, Marwân made his entrance to the land of as-Sarîr, slaughtered its inhabitants, and reduced certain forts in it. Its king offered him submission and allegiance and made terms, agreeing to give every year 1,000 youths—500 lads and 500 maids—with black hair and eyebrows and with long eyelashes, together with 100,000 modii to be poured in the granaries of al-Bâb. Marwân took from him a pledge.

The people of Tûmân made terms with Marwân, agreeing to give every year 100 youths—50 maids and 50 lads—each 5 spans in height, with black hair and eyebrows and with long eyelashes, together with 20,000 modii for the granaries.

*The land of Zirîkirân.* He then entered the land of Zirîkirân, whose king made terms, agreeing to offer fifty youths, and 10,000 modii for the granaries every year. Thence he proceeded to the land of Hamzin which refused to make terms and whose fort, after an investment of one month, he reduced. He then set fire to the fort and de-

---

1 Wheat measure.

2 Original not clear.
stroyed it. The terms agreed upon were that they give 500 youths only once and not to be responsible for such a gift any more, and that they carry 30,000 modii every year to the granaries of al-Bâb. Then he advanced to Sindân, which capitulated on condition that it offer 100 youths to be given by its chief only once and not to be responsible for such a gift in the future, together with 5,000 modii to be carried every year to the granaries of al-Bâb. On the followers of the Shâh of Ṭabarsaran, Marwân assessed 10,000 modii to be carried per annum to the granaries of al-Bâb; but on the Shâh of Filân he did not assess anything, because of his distinction in warfare, ability in conflict and the praiseworthiness of his cause.

Al-Lakz, Khirsh and other places. Marwân thence made a descent on al-Lakz castle [whose chief] had refused to pay anything of what was assessed, had set out to meet the chief of al-Khazar and was killed by a shepherd who shot an arrow at him without knowing him. The people of al-Lakz then made terms, agreeing to give 20,000 modii to be carried to the granaries. Having appointed Khashram as-Sulami as their ruler, Marwân came to the castle of the chief of Sharwân which was called Khirsh and which lay on the sea shore. The chief rendered submission and agreed to leave the height. Marwân imposed 10,000 modii on the people of Sharwân per annum, and made it a condition on their chief to be in the van of the army when the Moslems start the attack against al-Khazar, and in the rear when they return; and on the Shâh of Filân that he should only take part in the attack; on the Shâh of Ṭabarsaran that he be in the rear when the Moslems start, and in the van when they return.

1 Lacking in diacritical points, d'Ohsson, p. 68; "Misdâr" in Mahâsin, vol. i, p. 318.
2 Surrender the castle.
**Thābit rebels.** Marwân then advanced to ad-Dūdāniyyah and slaughtered its people. The news of the death of al-Walīd ibn-Yazid then came to him, and Thābit ibn-Nu‘aim al-Judhâmi rose against him.¹ Musâfir al-Ḵaṣṣâb, who was one of those established in al-Bāb by aḏ-Ḏahḥâk al-Khârîji [the rebel], now came to Thābit, espoused his cause and was made by him governor over Armenia and Adharbajān. Musâfir then came to Ardabil in disguise, where he was joined by a group of the ash-Shurat, and they all came to Bâjarwân² in which they found people with similar views and were joined by them. Thence they came to Warthân from whose inhabitants a large body of men, who held similar views, joined them; and they all crossed over to al-Bailaḵān where they were joined by a large crowd holding similar views. Marwân then came to Yūnân.³ Ishâḵ ibn-Muslim was made governor of Armenia by Marwân ibn-Muḥammad and never ceased to fight against Musâfir who was at al-Kilâb castle in as-Sīsajân.

When the “blessed dynasty” appeared and abu-Ja‘far al-Manṣūr was made ruler of Mesopotamia and Armenia in the caliphate of as-Saffâḥ abu-l-‘Abbâs, he sent against Musâfir and his followers a general from Khurâsân, who fought them until he overpowered them and slew Musâfir. The inhabitants of al-Bailaḵān, who had fortified themselves in al-Kilâb castle under the leadership of Ḳadad ibn-Aṣfar al-Bailaḵâni, surrendered.

**The governorship of Yazid.** When al-Manṣūr became caliph, he made Yazîd ibn-Uṣaɪd as-Sulami governor of Armenia. The latter reduced Bāb al-Lân and stationed in

---

¹ Ṭabarî, vol. ii, pp. 1892 seq.
² Meynard, p. 74.
³ First syllable mutilated in the original; cf. Iṣṭakhri, p. 192; Ḥaukal, p. 251.
it a cavalry guard with stipends. He also subdued aš-Ša-nâríyâh, whose inhabitants paid kharâj. In compliance with al-Manṣûr’s orders, he married the daughter of the king of al-Khazar. She gave birth to a child which did not live; she herself died in child-birth. Yazid sent someone to the naphtha and salt mines of the land of Sharwân and levied tax on them. He put someone in charge of them. He also built the city of Arjil aš-Šughra [the Less] and Arjil al-Kubra [the Great], and settled people from Palestine in them.

Ash-Shamâkhiyâh. Muḥammad ibn-Ismâ‘îl from certain sheikhs from Bardha‘ah:—Ash-Shamâkhiyâh ¹ which lay in the province of Sharwân was thus called after ash-Sha-mâkh ibn-Shujâ‘, who was the king of Sharwân during the rule of Sa‘îd ibn-Sâlim ² al-Bâhili over Armenia.

Al-Hasan suppresses the revolt. Muḥammad ibn-Ismâ‘îl from certain sheikhs:—After the dismissal of ibn-Usaid and Bakkâr ibn-Muslim al-‘Ukâilî, and during the governorship of al-Hasan ibn-Kaḥṭabah at-Tâ‘î, the Armenians broke off their allegiance under their chief Mûshâ‘îl ³ al-Armani. Al-Manṣûr sent reinforcements under ‘Amir ibn-Ismâ‘îl. Al-Hasan engaged himself in fight with Mûshâ‘îl and killed him, dispersing his troops. Things went on well with al-Hasan. The Nahr al-Hasan in al-Bâlaḵân is named after this al-Hasan; and so are the Bâgh ⁴ at Bardha‘ah named Bâgh al-Hasan, and the crown-lands known as al-Hasaniyâh.

Different governors of Armenia. ‘Uthmân ibn-‘Umârah ibn-Khurâim succeeded al-Hasan ibn-Kaḥṭabah, and then

¹ Mukaddasi, p. 276; Iṣtakhri, p. 192; Meynard, p. 353: “Shamâkhi.”
² “Salm,” Ṭabari, vol. iii, p. 305.
³ St. Martin, vol. i, p. 342; Brosset, vol. i, p. 159.
⁴ Persian—garden, vineyard.
came Rauḥ ibn-Ḥātim al-Muhallabi, Khuzaimah ibn-Khâzim, Yazîd ibn-Mazyad ash-Shaibâni, ‘Ubaidallâh ibn-al-Mahdi, al-Faḍl ibn-Yahya, Sa‘îd ibn-Sâlim, and Muḥammad ibn-Yazîd ibn-Mazyad. Of these rulers, Khuzaimah was the severest. It was he who introduced the system by which Dabil and an-Nashawa paid land tax according to the area, not the produce. The Armenian patricians did not cease to hold their lands as usual, each trying to protect his own region; and whenever a ‘âmil came to the frontier they would coax him; and if they found in him purity and severity, as well as force and equipment, they would give the kharâj and render submission, otherwise they would deem him weak and look down upon him.

The governorship of Khâlîd ibn-Yazîd. In the caliphate of al-Ma‘mûn, the Armenian patricians were under the rule of Khâlîd ibn-Yazîd ibn-Mazyad, who accepted their presents and associated personally with them. This corrupted them and encouraged them against the ‘âmils of al-Ma‘mûn who came after him.

Al-Ḥasan ibn-‘Ali over the frontier region. Al-Mu‘taṣim-Billâh appointed to the governorship of the frontier region al-Ḥasan ibn-‘Ali-l-Bâdhaghîsi, better known as al-Ma‘mûni, who let its patricians and nobles go their way, and dealt so leniently with them that they became more disloyal to the Sultan and more severe on the people who came under their rule. Jurzân was subdued by Ishâq ibn-Ismâ‘il ibn-Shu‘aib, a freedman of the banu-Umayyah. Sahl ibn-Sanbât, the patrician, rose against the ‘âmil of Ḥaidar ibn-Kâwus al-Afshin over Armenia and killed his secretary and had a narrow escape by flight. Armenia after this was ruled by ‘âmils who would remit to its people what was due from them, and accept whatever kharâj could be offered.

The governorship of Yûsuf ibn-Muḥammad. Two years
after al-Mutawakkil became caliph, he conferred the governorship of Armenia upon Yûsuf ibn-Muḥammad ibn-Yûsuf al-Marwazi. As he passed through Khilât, Yûsuf seized its patrician Buḵrât ibn-Ashûṭ and carried him off to Surra-man-ra’a, which act greatly offended the patricians, nobles and feudal lords [Ar. mutaghallībah]. Later a ‘āmil of his, named al-‘Alâ’ ibn-Aḥmad, went to a convent at as-Sisajân, called Dair al-Akhdâh, which was highly respected and richly endowed with gifts by the Armenian Christians, and carried away all what was in it and oppressed its occupants. This act was too much for the patricians, who held communication with each other and urged each other to throw off their allegiance and rise in revolt. They instigated al-Khuwaithiyah,1 who were “uncircumcised” and were known by the name of al-Artân, to fall upon Yûsuf, and urged them against him in revenge for the carrying-away of their patrician Buḵrât. Meanwhile, every one of the patricians and feudal lords sent them horses and men to help them bring that about. Accordingly, they fell upon Yûsuf at Ṭarûn, after he had distributed his followers in the villages, and slew him, carrying away all that his camp contained.

The governorship of Bugha the Elder. Al-Mutawakkil assigned to the governorship of Armenia Bugha-l-Kabir [the Elder] who, arriving in Bâdliṣ, seized Mûsa ibn-Zurârah who, in revenge for Buḵrât, had favored and taken part in killing Yûsuf. Bugha warred against al-Khuwaithiyah, slaughtering a great number, and carrying many away as captives. He then invested Ashûṭ ibn-Ḥamzah ibn-Jâjîk, the patrician of al-Busfurrajân, at al-Bâk,2 compelled him to surrender his castle and carried him as captive to Surra-

1 St. Martin, vol. i, p. 100.
man-ra'ā. He then advanced to Jurzân and succeeded in laying hold on Ishâq ibn-Ismâ‘îl, whom he kept in confinement until his death. Bughâ reduced Jurzân, and carried away those Christians and non-Christians of Arrân, of the elevated region of Armenia,¹ and of as-Sisajân, who belonged to the revolutionary party. Thus the political state of affairs in that frontier region became so quiet as never before. In the year 241, he came to Surra-man-ra'ā.

¹ Text corrupt.
PART V

NORTHERN AFRICA
CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF EGYPT AND AL-MAGHRIB [MAURITANIA]

'Amr moves against Egypt. After the battle of al-Yarmûk, 'Amr ibn-al-'Āṣi laid siege to Caesarea [Kaisâriyah]. When Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân assumed power, 'Amr left his son in his place at Caesarea and led, all of his own accord, an army of 3,500 to Egypt. 'Umar was angry because of it and wrote to him, rebuking and reprimanding him for following his own opinion, without consulting 'Umar, and ordering him to return home in case the message was received before his arrival in Egypt. 'Amr, however, received the message in al-'Arish. It is asserted by others that 'Umar wrote to 'Amr ibn-al-'Āṣi, ordering him to proceed to Egypt. 'Amr received the message as he was besieging Caesarea. The one who delivered the message was Sharîk ibn-'Abdah, to whom 'Amr gave 1,000 dinârs, which Sharîk refused to accept. 'Amr asked him to conceal the matter and not disclose it to 'Umar.

Al-Fustât. The advance of 'Amr against Egypt took place in the year 19. He first stopped at al-'Arish and then proceeded to al-Faramâ', in which were troops ready for the fight. 'Amr fought and defeated them, taking possession of their camp. Thence he advanced straight on to al-

1 Al-Makrizi, al-Khitât, vol. ii, p. 63 (Cairo, 1325) : "Rafî"; Zaidân, Ta'rikh Misr al-Hadîth, vol. i, p. 77; "Rafî... modern Rafi', ten hours' journey from al-'Arish."

Fusṭât and camped at the myrtle gardens, as the people of al-Fusṭât had dug moats. The name of the city was Alyûnah,1 but the Moslems called it Fusṭât because they said, "This is the meeting place [Ar. *fusṭāt*] of the people, and the place where they assemble." Others say that ‘Amr pitched a tent [also *fusṭāt*] in it, and it bore its name from it.2

As ‘Amr ibn-al-Āṣi was besieging al-Fusṭât, he was joined by az-Zubair ibn-al-‘Auwâm ibn-Khuwailid at the head of 10,000—others say 12,000 men—among whom were Khârijah ibn-Ḥudhâfah-l-‘Adawi and ‘Umar ibn-Wahb al-Jumaḥi. Az-Zubair was on the point of leading an incursion and wanted to go to Antioch; but ‘Umar said to him, "Abu-‘Abdallâh, wouldst thou like to take the governorship of Egypt?" To this az-Zubair replied, "I do not care for it, but would like to go there on a holy war and cooperate with the Moslems. If I find that ‘Amr has already reduced it, I would not interfere with his affairs, but would go to some sea-coast and keep post at it; but if I find him in the struggle, I shall fight on his side." With this understanding, he left.

Az-Zubair led the attack on one side, and ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi on the other. Finally az-Zubair brought a ladder and climbed on it until, with his sword unsheathed, he looked down upon the fort and exclaimed, "Allah is great!" and so did the Moslems exclaim and follow him up. Thus he took the fort by assault, and the Moslems considered it legal to take all that was in it. ‘Amr made its holders *dhimmis*, imposed a poll-tax on their person and *kharâj* on their land, and communicated that to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb who endorsed it. Az-Zubair marked certain lots in Miṣr [Old

---

1 Yâkût, vol. i, pp. 355, 450.
2 Makrizi, vol. ii, pp. 75-76.
Cairo] for himself [ikhiṭṭa] and built a well-known man-
sion in which ‘Abdallâh ibn-az-Zubair resided when he in-
vaded Ifrikîyah ¹ in the company of ibn-abi-Sarh. The ladd-
der which az-Zubair used is still in Miṣr.

‘Affân ibn-Muslim from Hishâm ibn-‘Urwah.—Az-
Zubair was sent to Miṣr; and when he was told there were
in it warfare and pest, he replied, “We have come here only
for warfare and pest.” The Moslems put ladders up and
climbed on them.

‘Amr an-Nâkid from Yazid ibn-abî-Ḥabîb.—‘Amr ibn-
al-‘Aṣî entered Egypt with 3,500 men. When ‘Umar ibn-
al-Khaṭṭâb heard about the situation in Egypt, he was af-
fected with solicitude and fear and despatched az-Zubair
ibn-al-‘Auwâm at the head of 12,000 men. Az-Zubair 214
took part in the conquest of Miṣr and marked out in it cer-
tain lots.²

The division of the land. ‘Amr an-Nâkid from Suﬁyân
ibn-Wahb al-Khaulâni.—When we conquered Miṣr with-
out making a covenant with it, az-Zubair rose and said to
‘Amr, “Divide it”; but ‘Amr refused. Then az-Zubair
said, “By Allah, thou shouldst divide it as the Prophet
divided Khaibar.” ‘Amr wrote that to ‘Umar who wrote
back, saying, “Leave it as it is, so that the descendants of
the descendants ³ may profit by it.”

A tradition to the same effect was communicated to me
by ‘Abdallâh ibn-Wahb on the authority of Suﬁyân ibn-
Wahb.

‘Amr and az-Zubair conquer Egypt. Al-Kâsim ibn-Sal-
lâm from Yazîd ibn-abî-Ḥabîb.—‘Amr ibn-al-‘Aṣî entered

seq. ² Ar. khitâṭ. See Makrizi, vol. ii, pp. 76 seq.
³ Ar. habal al-habalah. See Muṭarrizi, p. 105; Caetani, vol. iv, p. 247;
Egypt at the head of 3,500 men. Just before that, 'Umar was affected with solicitude and fear and sent az-Zubair ibn-al-'Auwâm at the head of 12,000 men. Az-Zubair took part with 'Amr in the conquest of Egypt and marked out for himself two lots in Miṣr and Alexandria.

Ibrâhīm ibn-Musâlim al-Khawârizmi from 'Abdallâh ibn-'Amr ibn-al-'Aṣî:—The latter said, "There is a disagreement regarding the conquest of Miṣr: some say it was conquered by force, and others by capitulation. The fact is that my father ['Amr ibn-al-'Aṣî] arrived in it and was resisted by the people of Alyûnah. He finally took possession of it by force and led the Moslems in. Az-Zubair was the first to climb its fort. The chief of Miṣr said to my father, 'We have heard of what ye did in Syria and how ye assessed poll-tax on the Christians and Jews, leaving the land in the hands of its owners to utilize it and pay its kharâj. If ye treat us the same way, it would do you more good than to kill, capture and expel us.' My father consulted with the Moslems and they all advised him to accept the terms, with the exception of a few men who asked him to divide the land among them. Accordingly, he assessed on every adult, excepting the poor, two dinârs as poll-tax, and on every land-owner, in addition to the two dinârs, three irdabbs of wheat, two kîsts of oil, two kîsts of honey and two kîsts of vinegar, to be given as a subsistence allowance to the Moslems, and gathered in the public house of provision [dâr-ar-risk], where it is divided among them. A census was taken of the Moslems, and the inhabitants of Miṣr were required to provide every one of the Moslems with a woolen upper gown, an upper cloak or turban, breeches and a pair of shoes¹ per annum. Instead of the woolen gown, a Coptic robe would do. To this end, a state-

¹ Dozy, Noms des Vêtements, s. v. jubbah, burnus, 'amāmah, sirwâl and khuff.
ment was written, in which it was stipulated that so long as they lived up to these terms, their women and children would neither be sold nor taken captives, and their possessions and treasures would be kept in their hands.¹ The statement was submitted to ‘Umar, the ‘Commander of the Believers,’ who endorsed it. Thus, the whole land became kharâj-land. Because, however, ‘Amr signed the contract and the statement, some people thought that Miṣr was taken by capitulation.”²

After the king of Alyûnah had made arrangements for himself and for the people in his city, he made terms on behalf of all the Egyptians similar to the terms of Alyûnah. The Egyptians consented, saying, “If those of us who are protected by fortifications have accepted such terms, and were content with them, how much more should we be content who are weak and have no power of resistance.” Kharâj was assessed on the land of Egypt to the amount of one dinâr and three irdabbs of wheat on every jarîb, and two dinârs on every adult. The statement was submitted to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb.

The terms made with ‘Amr. ‘Amr an-Nâkid from Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabîb:—Al-Muḳauḳis³ made terms with ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣî, stipulating that ‘Amr should let those of the Greeks go who wanted to leave, and keep those who wanted to stay, on certain conditions, which he specified, and that he would assess on the Copts, two dinârs per head. Hearing this, the king of the Greeks was enraged and sent his

troops, who, closing the gates of Alexandria, announced to 'Umar their readiness for war. Al-Muḥāṣṣīs presented himself before 'Amr and said, "I have three requests to make: do not offer to the Greeks the same terms thou hast offered me, because they have distrusted me; do not violate the terms made with the Copts, for the violation was not started by them; and when I die, give orders that I be buried in a church at Alexandria (which he named)." 'Amr answered, "The last is the easiest 1 for me."

Bilhīt, al-Khais, Sulṭāis and Alexandria. Certain villages in Egypt resisted the advance of the Moslems, and 'Amr carried away some of their inhabitants as prisoners. These were the following: Bilhīt, 2 al-Khais, 3 and Sulṭāis. 4 Their captives were carried away to al-Madinah. 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb sent them back and made them, together with the Coptic community, dhimmis. The covenant they had, they did not violate. The following is the report of the conquest of Alexandria made by 'Amr to 'Umar: "Allah has given to us the possession of Alexandria by force and against its will, without covenant or contract". According to Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabīb, however, the city was taken by capitulation.

The tax of Egypt. Abu-Ayyūb ar-Rakī from Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabīb:—The kharāj and poll-tax which 'Amr raised from Egypt amounted to 2,000,000 dinārs; but that raised by 'Abdallāh ibn-Sa'd ibn-Abī-Sarḥ, 4,000,000. When 'Uthmān remarked to 'Amr, saying, "After thee the milk camels have yielded more milk", 'Amr replied, "This is because ye have emaciated their young." 5

In the year 21, 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb wrote to 'Amr ibn-

1 Makrizi, vol. i, p. 263.
5 Makrizi, vol. i, p. 159.
al-‘Āṣi informing him of the straits in which the inhabitants of al-Madinah were, and ordering him to transport by sea to al-Madinah all the food he had collected as kharāj. Accordingly, the food with the oil was carried there; and when it reached al-Jār,¹ it was received by Sa‘d al-Jār.² Later it was kept in a special house at al-Madinah and distributed among the Moslems by measure. At the time of the first insurrection, the supply was cut off. In the days of Mu‘āwiyyah and Yazid, it was again carried to al-Madinah. Then it was cut off until the time of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān, after which it was carried until the caliphate of Abu-Ja‘far, or a little previous to that.

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabib:—After the first peace was made, the tax-payers in Egypt made new terms in the caliphate of ‘Umar, stipulating that instead of the wheat, oil, honey and vinegar they offered, they would pay two dinārs in addition to the other two dinārs. Each one thus was bound to pay four dinārs; and they consented to that and preferred it.

‘Ain Shams, al-Faiyum and other places reduced. Abu-Aiyūb ar-Rakki from al-Jaishānī:—The latter said, “I heard it stated by a number of those who witnessed the conquest of Egypt that when ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi reduced al-Fustāt, he despatched to ‘Ain Shams ³ ‘Abdallāh ibn-Ḥudhāfah as-Sahmi, who took possession of its land and made terms with the inhabitants of its villages similar to those of al-Fustāt. Likewise ‘Amr despatched Khārijah ibn-Ḥudhāfah al-‘Adawi to al-Faiyum,⁴ al-Ushmūnain, Ikh-

¹ Hamdāni, Ṣifat Jasīrat al-‘Arab, p. 47, line 17 (ed. Müller).
³ Heliopolis; confused by some historians with Bāb Alyūnah (Babylon); Butler, p. 212, note.
mîm, al-Basharûdât\textsuperscript{1} and the villages of upper Egypt, which he reduced on the same terms. 'Amr also sent 'Umair ibn-Wahb al-Jumâhi to Tinnîs, Dimyât, Tûnâh, Damirah, Shâta, Dîkahlah,\textsuperscript{2} Bana and Bûsîr, which he reduced on the same terms. 'Ämîr also sent 'Ukbah ibn-'Ämir al-Juhânî (others say 'Amr's freedman, Wardân after whom Sûk [market] Wardân in Egypt is named) to the rest of the villages in the lower part of the country; and he did the same. Thus did 'Amr ibn-al-'Âsî effect the conquest of all Egypt and make its land kharâj-land.”

*The Copts have no covenant.* Al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from Aiyûb ibn-abi-l-'Aliyah's father:—The latter said, "I heard 'Amr ibn-al-'Âsî say from the pulpit, ‘I have occupied this position and am bound to none of the Egyptian Copts by covenant or contract. If I want, I can kill; if I want, I can take one-fifth of the possessions; if I want, I can sell captives. The people of Anṭâbûlus are excluded because they have a covenant which must be kept.’"

*Al-Maghrib and Egypt taken by force.* Al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from Mûsâ ibn-'Ali ibn-Rabâh al-Lakhmi's father:—All al-Maghrib was taken by force.

Abu-'Ubaid from aṣ-Ṣâlt ibn-abi-'Âsim, the secretary of Ḥâiyân ibn-Shurâhî:—The latter said that he read the letter of 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz to Ḥâiyân, his 'âmil over Egypt, stating that Egypt was taken by force, with no covenant or contract.

*Poll-tax of the Copts not to be increased.* Abu-'Ubaid from 'Ubaídallâh ibn-abi-Ja'far:—Mu'âwiya wrote to Wardân, a freedman of 'Amr, ordering him to increase the poll-tax of every Copt by one kirât, but Wardân wrote back, "How can I increase it while it is stated in their covenant that their tax should not be increased?’"

\textsuperscript{1} Cf. Bakri, vol. i, p. 166.  
\textsuperscript{2} Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 58r.
Egyptians overtaxed. Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd from ‘Abd-al-Ḥamid ibn-Jaʿfar’s father:—The latter heard ‘Urwah ibn-az-Zubair say, “I spent seven years in Egypt and was married in it. I found its people exhausted, being burdened with more than they could bear. The country was conquered by ‘Amr through capitulation, covenant and something assessed on the inhabitants.”

The statement of ‘Amr. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from ‘Ukbah ibn-‘Amr al-Juhâni:—The Egyptians had a covenant and a contract. ‘Amr gave them a statement to the effect that they were secure with respect to their possessions, lives and children, and that none of them would be sold as slaves. He imposed on them a kharāj not to be increased, and promised to expel all fear of attack by an enemy. ‘Ukbah added, “And I was a witness thereunto”.

The division of the land. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Sufyân ibn-Wahb al-Khâlânî:—The latter said, “At the conquest of Miṣr by us, which was effected without covenant, az-Zubair ibn-al-‘Auwâm rose and said, ‘‘Amr, divide it between us!’ ‘Amr replied, ‘By Allah, I will not divide it before I consult ‘Umar.’ He wrote to ‘Umar, and the latter wrote back, ‘Leave it as it is, so that the descendants of the descendants may profit by it.’”

Its kharāj. Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd from Usâmah ibn-Zaid ibn-Aslam’s grandfather:—In the year 20, ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi, accompanied by az-Zubair, subdued Egypt. When Egypt was conquered, the people made terms, agreeing to pay something he imposed on them, which was two dinârs on every man, excluding women and boys. The kharâj of Egypt during his governorship amounted to 2,000,000 dinârs; but later it reached 4,000,000.

Two dinârs on each Copt. Abu-ʿUbaid from Yazîd ibn-abi-Ḥâlib:—Al-Mukauḳis, the chief of Egypt, made terms with ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi, stipulating that each Copt pays two
dīnārs. Hearing this, Heraclius, the chief of the Greeks, was enraged with anger and sent the troops to Alexandria and closed its gates; but 'Amr reduced the city by force.

_The poll-tax of the native village of umm-Ibrāhīm annulled._ Ibn-al-Ḳattāt, i. e., abu-Mas'ūd, from ash-Sha‘bi: —'Ali ibn-al-Ḥusain, or al-Ḥusain himself, interceded with Mu‘āwiyah regarding the poll-tax of the fellow-villagers in Egypt of the mother of Ibrāhīm, the Prophet’s son; and it was cancelled. The Prophet himself used to recommend that the Copts be favorably treated.

_The Prophet recommends the Copts._ 'Amr from Mālik, and al-Laith from a son of Ka‘b ibn-Mālik:—The Prophet said, “If ye conquer Egypt, treat the Copts favorably, because they have dhimmah and blood-relationship.” It is stated by al-Laith that umm-Ismā‘il was a Copt.

_Umar confiscates 'Amr’s possessions._ Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Madâ‘ini from ‘Abdallâh ibn-al-Mubârak:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb used to record the possessions of his ‘āmils at the time of their appointment; and whatsoever was later added was partly or wholly confiscated by him. He once wrote to ‘Amr ibn-al-'Āṣi, “It has become revealed that thou ownest commodities, slaves, vases and animals which thou didst not possess when thou wert made governor of Egypt.” ‘Amr wrote back, “Our land is a land of agriculture and trade; we, therefore, get as income more than what is necessary for our expenses.” To this, ‘Umar replied, “I have had enough experience with the wicked ‘āmils. Thy letter is the letter of one disturbed because justice has been meted out to him. Therefore, my suspicion has been aroused against thee, and I have sent to thee Muḥammad ibn-Maslamah with a view to dividing with thee what thou hast. Reveal to him thy secret,

1 Māriyah, the Copt; Nawâwi, p. 853.

2 The reference is to Ḥagar.
and give out whatever he demands of thee; thereby thou wouldst be spared his severity. What is concealed has been revealed.\(^1\)” Thus were ‘Amr’s possessions confiscated by ‘Umar.

Al-Madâ’ini from ‘Īsa ibn-Yazid:—When Muḥammad ibn-Maslama divided with ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi his possessions, ‘Amr made this remark, “An age in which the son of Ḥantamah \(^2\) treats us in this manner is certainly an evil age. ‘Āṣi used to put on silk garments with brocade borders!” “Hush,” said Muḥammad, “had it not been for this age of ibn-Ḥantamah which thou hatest, thou wouldst be found bending in the court-yard of thy house, at the feet of a goat, whose abundance of milk would please thee and scarcity would displease thee.” \(^3\) “I beg thee by Allah,” exclaimed ‘Amr, “report not what I have just uttered to ‘Umar. A conversation is always confidential.” Muḥammad replied, “So long as ‘Umar lives, I shall not mention anything that took place between us.”

\textit{Egypt taken by force.} ‘Amr an-Nâṣir from ‘Abdallâh ibn-Hubairah:—Egypt was taken by force.

‘Amr from ibn-An‘am’s grandfather (who witnessed the conquest of Egypt):—Egypt was taken by force without covenant or contract.

\(^1\) Freytag, \textit{Prov.}, vol. i, p. 160, number 33.
\(^2\) ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb’s mother; Nawâwi, p. 447.
\(^3\) Caetani, vol. iv, pp. 618–619.
CHAPTER II

THE CONQUEST OF ALEXANDRIA

The battle of al-Kiryaun. When 'Amr ibn-al-‘Āshi conquered Miṣr, he settled in it and wrote to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb soliciting his orders to march against Alexandria [al-Iskandariyāh].

'Umar wrote and ordered him to do so; so 'Amr marched against it in the year 21, leaving as his substitute [lieutenant] over Miṣr Khārijah ibn-Ḥudhāfah ibn-Ghānim ibn-'Āmir ibn-'Abdallāh ibn-'Ubaid ibn-'Awij ibn-'Adi ibn-Ka'b ibn-Lu'ā'ib ibn-Ghālib. In the meantime, those Greeks and Copts who lived below Alexandria had gathered and said, "Let us attack him in al-Fustāṯ before he reaches here and makes an attempt on Alexandria." 'Amr met them at al-Kiryaun and defeated them with a great slaughter. In their ranks were men from Sakha, Bilhīt, al-Khais and Sulṭāis, and others who came to their assistance and support.

Alexandria reduced. 'Amr kept his way until he arrived in Alexandria, whose inhabitants he found ready to resist him, but the Copts in it preferred peace. Al-Muḥākūšis communicated with 'Amr and asked him for peace and a truce for a time; but 'Amr refused. Al-Muḥākūšis then ordered that the women stand on the wall with their faces turned towards the city, and that the men stand armed, with their faces towards the Moslems, thus hoping to scare them

1 Dukmāk, vol. v, p. 121.
[Moslems]. ‘Amr sent word, saying, “We see what thou hast done. It was not by mere numbers that we conquered those we have conquered. We have met your king Heraclius, and there befell him what has befallen him.” Hearing this, al-Muṣkulūs said to his followers, “These people are telling the truth. They have chased our king from his kingdom as far as Constantinople. It is much more preferable, therefore, that we submit.” His followers, however, spoke harshly to him and insisted on fighting. The Moslems fought fiercely against them and invested them for three months. At last, ‘Amr reduced the city by the sword and plundered all that was in it, sparing its inhabitants of whom none was killed or taken captive. He reduced them to the position of dhimmis like the people of Alyûnah. He communicated the news of the victory to ‘Umar through Mu‘āwiyah ibn-Ḥudayj al-Kindi (later as-Sakûni) and sent with him the [usual] fifth.

Some state that al-Muṣkulūs made terms with ‘Amr to the effect that he should pay 13,000 dinârs, that those who prefer to leave Alexandria should leave, and those who prefer to stay should stay, and that two dinârs be assessed on every adult Copt. To this end, ‘Amr wrote a statement. He then left in his place over Alexandria ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ḥudhâfah ibn-Ḳais ibn-‘Adî ibn-Sa‘d ibn-Sahm ibn-‘Amr ibn-Ḥuṣaiṣ ibn-Ka‘b ibn-Lu‘ai at the head of a cavalry guard of the Moslems, and departed for al-Fustât.⁴

Manuwil captures Alexandria. The Greeks wrote to Constantine, son of Heraclius, who was their king at that time, telling him how few the Moslems in Alexandria were, and how humiliating the Greeks’ condition was, and how they had to pay poll-tax. Constantine sent one of his men, called

² Makrizi, vol. i, pp. 263 seq.
Manuwil [Manuel], with three hundred ships full of fighters. Manuwil entered Alexandria and killed all the guard that was in it, with the exception of a few who by the use of subtle means took to flight and escaped. This took place in the year 25. Hearing the news, 'Amr set out at the head of 15,000 men and found the Greek fighters doing mischief in the Egyptian villages next to Alexandria. The Moslems met them and for one hour were subjected to a shower of arrows, during which they were covered by their shields. They then advanced boldly and the battle raged with great ferocity until the "polytheists" were routed; and nothing could divert or stop them before they reached Alexandria. Here they fortified themselves and set mangonels. 'Amr made a heavy assault, set the ballistae and destroyed the walls of the city. He pressed the fight so hard until he entered the city by assault, killed the fighters and carried away the children as captives. Some of its Greek inhabitants left to join the Greeks somewhere else; and Allah's enemy, Manuwil, was killed. 'Amr and the Moslems destroyed the wall of Alexandria in pursuance of a vow that 'Amr had made to that effect, in case he reduced the city.

According to certain reports, this invasion took place in the year 23; and according to others, the insurrection took place in the years 23 and 25; but Allah knows best. 'Amr assessed on the land of Alexandria kharāj; and on its people, poll-tax.

*Al-Muḥakūkīs.* Some report that al-Muḥakūkīs forsook the people of Alexandria when they violated the covenant, but 'Amr reinstated him with his people on the terms of their first capitulation. Others assert that he died before this invasion.

*Alexandria taken by capitulation.* Muḥammad ibn-Sa'd

---

1 Butler, pp. 468-475.
from 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz:—The latter said, "Not a town in al-Maghrib did we take by capitulation except three: Alexandria, Kafarṭis and Sultaīs. 'Umar used to say, 'Whosoever of the inhabitants of these places accepts Islām will be set free together with his possessions.'"

**How the dwellings were divided.** 'Amr an-NāʾĪdīr from Yazīd ibn-abi-Ḥābīb:—'Amr ibn-al-ʿĀsi conquered Alexandria, and some Moslems took up their abode in it as a cavalry guard. Later, they withdrew, after which they made an assault and hastened to secure dwellings. Some of them would come to the houses they once occupied and find them already held by a fellow Moslem. Regarding this, 'Amr remarked, "I am afraid the dwellings would fall into ruins if different ones of you should occupy them in turn." Consequently, when the invasion was made and the Moslems arrived in al-Kiryaun, he said, "Go with Allah's blessing. Whosoever of you sticks his lancet into a house, that house is his and his father's sons.'" Thus, the Moslem would enter a house and stick his lancet into some apartment of it; then another would come and stand his lancet in the same house. The same house would thereby be in the possession of two or three persons,¹ which they would occupy until their withdrawal, at which the Greeks would come and occupy it.

Yazīd ibn-abi-Ḥābīb used to say, "No money from the rent of these houses is legal."² They can neither be sold nor bequeathed, but they are dwelling-places for the Moslems during the time they hold their post as guard."

**The second conflict.** During the second conflict with Alexandria, when Manuwil, the Greek eunuch, came, the people closed the gates; but 'Amr reduced it and destroyed its wall.

‘Abdallāh ibn-Sa‘d replaces ‘Amr. No sooner had ‘Amr returned to al-Fustāṭ after assigning his freedman, Wardān, as governor of Alexandria, than he was dismissed. In the place of ‘Amr, ‘Uthmān appointed ‘Abdallāh ibn-Sa‘d ibn-abi-Sarḥ ibn-al-Ḥārith of the banu-‘Amr ibn-Lu‘ai,1 ‘Uthmān’s foster-brother. That took place in the year 25.

According to others, ‘Abdallāh ibn-Sa‘d was in charge of the kharāj of Egypt in behalf of ‘Uthmān. Between ‘Abdallāh and ‘Amr, a verbal dispute arose and ‘Abdallāh wrote and accused ‘Amr. ‘Amr was dismissed by ‘Uthmān, who assigned ‘Abdallāh to both functions, and wrote him saying that Alexandria was taken once by force and revolted two times, and ordering him to station in it a cavalry guard that would never depart from it, and to assign abundant subsistence allowances to the guard, and change its personnel once in every six months.2

Ibn-Hurmuz. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from al-Wākidī:—ibn-Hurmuz al-A‘raj al-Ḳāri [the lame “reader”] used to say, “Your best coast, from the standpoint of guard, is Alexandria.” At last he left al-Madinah and joined the guard stationed in Alexandria, where he died in the year 117.

The capitation tax. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Mūsa ibn-‘Ali’s father:—The capitation tax from Alexandria was 18,000 dinārs; but when Hishām ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik became caliph, it amounted to 36,000.3


1 Nawāwi, pp. 345-347.
2 Makrīzi, vol. i, p. 270.
3 Makrīzi, vol. i, p. 269.
‘Uthmân to keep ‘Amr until he was through with the fight against the Greeks, because he had special knowledge of warfare and inspired awe in the enemy. ‘Uthmân did so; and ‘Amr defeated the Greeks. ‘Uthmân then wanted ‘Amr to be in charge of the army, and ‘Abdallâh in charge of the kharâj; but ‘Amr refused, saying, “My case is that of one who holds the horns of the cow while the chief milks it.” ‘Uthmân then appointed ibn-Sa‘d to the governorship of Egypt.

The Abyssinians of al-Bîma. For seven years after the conquest of Egypt, the Abyssinians of al-Bîma¹ kept up their resistance, and could not be subjugated because of the water with which they flooded their thickets.

The second conquest of Alexandria. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Wahh from Mûsa ibn-‘Ali’s father:—‘Amr conquered Alexandria for the second time by capitulation, which conquest took place in the caliphate of ‘Uthmân after the death of ‘Umar.

¹ Ṭabarī, vol. iii, p. 1106.
CHAPTER III
THE CONQUEST OF BARKAH AND ZAWILAH

Barkah makes terms. Muhammed ibn-Sa’d from ‘Abdal-lah ibn-Hubairah:—After reducing Alexandria, ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Ashi led his army intent upon the conquest of al-Maghrib [Mauritania] until he arrived in Barkah, the chief city of Antabulus,¹ whose inhabitants made terms on a poll-tax of 13,000 dinars to be raised as the price of those of their children whom they desired to sell.²

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from ‘Abdallah ibn-Hubairah:—After investing and fighting the people of Antabulus and its city, Barkah,³ which lay between Egypt and Ifrikkiah [Africa = Tunis], ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Ashi made terms with them, stipulating that they pay a poll-tax which might include the price of those of their children whom they desired to sell. ‘Amr wrote a statement to that effect.

Muhammed ibn-Sa’d from Ishaq ibn-‘Abdallah ibn-abi-Farwah:—The inhabitants of Barkah used to send their kharaj to the governor of Egypt without having anyone come to urge them for it.⁴ Their land was the most fertile land of al-Maghrib, and it never saw an insurrection.

Al-Wakidi states that ‘Abdallah ibn-‘Amr ibn-al-‘Ashi used to say, “Had it not been for my possessions in al-

² Caetani in vol. iv, p. 533, nota, thinks it must have meant the right to offer to the Moslems their children as slaves according to a fixed price.
⁴ As-Suyuti, Husr al-Muhadarah, vol. i, p. 86.
Hijāz, I would live in Barkhāh, because I know of no place that is more safe or isolated than it.’

‘Amr’s report to ‘Umar. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Mu‘āwiyyah ibn-Ṣāliḥ:—‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi wrote to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb informing him that he had appointed ‘Ukbah ibn-Nāfī‘ al-Fihri governor of al-Maghrib and that the latter had reached as far as Zawilah. He also informed him that peace prevailed among all between Zawilah ¹ and Barkhāh, that their allegiance was strong and that the Moslems among them had paid ṣadākah and the “people of the covenant” acknowledged the poll-tax imposed. ‘Amr also wrote that he had assessed on the inhabitants of Zawilah and on those living in the region between his town and Zawilah, what he saw would be tolerated by them, and ordered all his ‘āmils to collect ṣadākah from the rich to be distributed among the poor, and poll-tax from the dhimmis to be carried to ‘Amr in Egypt, and to raise from Moslem lands the tithe and half the tithe, and from those who capitulated, what had been agreed upon.

The origin of the Berbers. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham once told me, “I asked ‘Abdallāh ibn-Ṣāliḥ regarding the Berbers,² and he said, ‘They claim to be the descendants of Barr ibn-Ḵais; but ᴾrtype=klie;Ka iṣ had no son with the name, Barr. In fact they are descended from the race of the giants [Philistines] against whom David fought. In ancient times, their home was Palestine; and they were tent-dwellers. Later on, they came to al-Maghrib, where they multiplied.’”

The Berbers of Luwātah. Abu-‘Ubayd al-Ḵāsim ibn-Sallām from Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabīb:—‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi made this a condition on the Berber inhabitants of Luwātah ³ at

¹ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2646.
² See article on Berbers in the Encyclopaedia of Islām.
³ Butler, p. 430.
Barkah. "Ye have to sell your children and wives in order to pay the poll-tax on you." Commenting on this, al-Laith said, "If they were slaves, that would not be a legal thing for them to do."

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Yazid ibn-abi-Habib:—'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz wrote regarding the Luwatah women, "Whoever has a Luwatah woman, let him either be engaged to her through her father, or return her to her people." Luwatah is a village inhabited by Berbers who had a covenant.

\(^1\) Cf. Khurda'dhibih, pp. 90 and 91; ibn-Khaldun, Kitab al-'Ibar fi-Aiyam al-'Arab w-al-'Ajami w-al-Barbar, vol. ii, p. 128 (Bulâk, 1284).
CHAPTER IV

THE CONQUEST OF TRIPOLI

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from 'Ali ibn-abi-Talḥah:—In the year 22,¹ 'Amr ibn-al-'Āṣi advanced to Tripoli.² He met resistance but reduced the place by force, carrying away many loads of fine silk brocade from its merchants. This booty he sold and divided its price among the Moslems. He wrote to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, "We have arrived in Tripoli which lies nine days from Ifrikiyyah. If the 'Commander of the Believers' thinks it best to allow us to invade the latter, it will be well." 'Umar wrote back, ordering him not to go, saying, "This should not be called Ifrikiyyah, but Mufarriḳah,³ which is treacherous to others, and to which others are treacherous." 'Umar wrote that because its inhabitants used to pay something to the king of the Greeks and often treated him treacherously, while the king of al-Andalus, who had made terms with them, treated them treacherously. These facts were known to 'Umar.

According to a tradition communicated to me by 'Amr an-Nâḳid on the authority of certain sheikhs, Tripoli was taken by 'Amr ibn-al-'Āṣi through a covenant made by him.

¹ Weil, Geschichte der Chalifen, vol. i, p. 124 note: "year 23".
³ Pun on words. Mufarriḳah means causing deviation from the right course.
CHAPTER V

THE CONQUEST OF IFRIKIYAH


The magnates of Ifrikiyyah make terms with ‘Abdallâh. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from ‘Abdallâh ibn-az-Zubair:—The latter said:—“‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân sent us on an expedition against Ifrikiyyah, whose patrician exercised authority from
THE CONQUEST OF IFRIKIYAH

Tripoli to Tanjah [Tangiers]. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Sa‘d ibn-abi-Sarh marched against him and occupied ‘Akbâh. After a few days’ fight, I was enabled to kill, by Allah’s help, the patrician. His army took to flight and was torn to pieces. Ibn-abi-Sarh sent detachments and scattered them all over the country; and they carried away a large booty and drove before them all the cattle they could. Seeing that, the great men of Ifrikiyih met together and offered ‘Abdallâh ibn-Sa‘d 300 quintals¹ of gold provided he would let them alone and leave their land. Their request was granted.”

Muhammad ibn-Sa‘d from ibn-Ka‘b:—‘Abdallâh ibn-Sa‘d ibn-abi-Sarh made terms with the patrician of Ifrikiyih, stipulating that the latter should pay 2,500,000 dinârs.

‘Ukbah ibn-Nâfi’. Muhammad ibn-Sa‘d from Mûsa ibn-Dâmrah-I-Mâzini’s father:—When ‘Abdallâh ibn-Sa‘d made terms with the patrician of Ifrikiyih, he returned to Egypt without appointing anyone to the governorship of Ifrikiyih, which at that time had no meeting-place² or central town. When Uthmân was murdered and Muhammad ibn-abi-Hudhaifah ibn-‘Utbah ibn-Rabi‘ah ruled over Egypt, he sent nobody to Ifrikiyih; but when Mu‘âwiya ibn-abi-Sufyân came to power, he assigned over Egypt Mu‘âwiya ibn-‘Uthmân as-Sakûni who, in the year 20, sent ‘Ukbah ibn-Nâfi‘ ibn-‘Abd-Kais ibn-Lakît al-Fihri to Ifrikiyih. ‘Ukbah invaded it and parcelled it out into lots among the Moslems.

‘Ukbah sent Busr ibn-abi-Arţâh³ to a castle in al-Kairawân, which he reduced, killing and capturing many. It is now known as Kal‘at Busr and lies near a city called Majjânah, near the silver mine.

¹ Ar. ḥinṭâr = “1,200 dinârs, and in the language of Barbar = 1,000 mithkâls of gold or silver”; T.‘A.
² Ar. kairawân. See De Goeje’s edition of Baladhuri, gloss., pp. 92-93.
³ Maqrizi, vol. i, p. 272, does not have “abi” in the name.
I heard it said that Mūsa ibn-Nuṣair sent Busr, who was then 82 years old, to this castle; and the latter reduced it. This Busr was born two years before the Prophet’s death. Others than al-Wâkidi claim that Busr was one of those who transmitted traditions from the Prophet; but Allah knows better.

Various governors. It was stated by al-Wâkidi that ‘Abdallâh ibn-Sa’d held the governorship until Muḥammad ibn-abi-Ḥudhaifah assumed authority over Egypt, which he had made to rise in rebellion against ‘Uthmân. Later on, ‘Alî assigned Ḥāṣib ibn-Sa’d ibn-‘Ubâdah-ibn-Anṣârî as governor of Egypt, after which he dismissed him and chose Muḥammad ibn-abi-Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddîk. The latter he also dismissed and assigned Mâlik al-Ashtar, who was taken sick ¹ at al-Ḳulzum [Suez]. ‘Alî once more assigned Muḥammad ibn-abi-Bakr, who was later killed by Muʿâwiyah ibn-Ḥudaij and burned in a donkey’s belly.²

‘Amr ibn-al-‘Aṣî ruled in the name of Muʿâwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân. He died in Egypt on the feast of the breaking of the fast of Ramaḍân [al-ḥîṣ] in the year 42 (others say 43), and was succeeded by his son ‘Abdallâh whom Muʿâwiyah dismissed. Muʿâwiyah assigned ibn-Ḥudaij, who spent four years in Egypt; at the close of which he made a razzia and plundered. After that he returned to Egypt and sent there ‘Ukbah ibn-Nâfî‘ al-Fihri. Others say that ‘Ukbah was appointed by Muʿâwiyah over al-Maghrib; and so he invaded Ifrikiyyah at the head of 10,000 Moslems and reduced it. He parcelled out its Ḳairawân ³ in lots among the Moslems, the site being a thicket covered with tamarisk and other trees and which nobody could attempt because of

¹ Maḥâsin, vol. i, pp. 116-117.
the beasts, snakes and deadly scorpions. This ibn-Nâfi' was a righteous man whose prayer was answered. He prayed to his Lord, who made the scorpions disappear; even the beasts had to carry their young and run away.

Al-Wâkîdî says, "I once said to Mûsa ibn-'Ali, 'Thou hast seen the buildings in Ifrikîyah that are connected together and that we still see to-day. Who was it that built them?" And Mûsa replied, 'The first one was 'Ukbâh ibn-Nâfi' al-Fihri who marked out the plans for the buildings, himself built a home, and the Moslems at the same time built houses and dwelling-places. He also built the cathedral mosque that is in Ifrikîyah.'"

It was in Ifrikîyah that Ma'bad ibn-al-'Abbâs fell a martyr in the campaign of ibn-abi-Sarh during the caliphate of 'Uthmân. Others say he met natural death during the war; but that he fell a martyr is the more authentic report.

According to al-Wâkîdî and others, Mu'awiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân dismissed Mu'awiyah ibn-Ḥudajî and conferred the governorship of Egypt and al-Maghrib on Maslamah ibn-Mukhallad al-Anşâri, who appointed his freedman, abu-l-Muhâjir, governor of al-Maghrib. When Yazid ibn-Mu'awiyah, however, came to power, he reinstated 'Ukbâh ibn-Nâfi' in his position, and the latter invaded as-Sûs al-Adna, which lay behind Tânjah. There he went about without being molested or fought by anybody. At last he departed.

Yazid ibn-Mu'awiyah died and his son Mu'awiyah ibn-Yazid, surnamed abu-Laila, was proclaimed caliph. Mu'awiyah called a general public prayer meeting, and resigned the caliphate. He retired to his home where he died after

3 i.e., the nearer (= Dar'ah) in distinction from al-Akṣa—the farther; Ya'kûbi, Buldân, pp. 359-360.
two months. Later came the rule of Marwân ibn-al-Ḥakam
and the insurrection of ibn-az-Zubair.

Then came ʿAbd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân to power; and
everything went smoothly with him. He assigned as ʿāmil
over Egypt his brother ʿAbd-al-ʿAziz who put over Ifrikiyyah
Zuhair ibn-Ḵâis al-Balawi.¹ Zuhair conquered Tūnis and
left for Barkhah. Hearing that a band of Greeks had landed
from their ships and were doing mischief, he went against
them with a cavalry detachment. On meeting them, he fell
a martyr with his companions. His tomb is still there.
His and his companions’ tombs are called Ḳubûr ash-Shu-
haddâ [the martyrs’ tombs].

Then Ḥassân ibn-an-Nuʿmân al-Ghassâni² became ruler.
He made an incursion against al-Kâhinah,³ the queen of
the Berbers. He was defeated by her and came and occu-
pied certain castles within the territory of Barkhah. These
castles were included within one whose roof was an arched
structure upon which one could cross over. Since then,
these castles were called Ḳuṣūr Ḥassân.⁴

Ḥassân made another incursion, killed the queen and
carried into captivity many Berbers whom he sent to ʿAbd-
al-ʿAziz. Regarding these captives, the poet, abu-Mihjan
Nuṣâib, used to say, “I have seen in ʿAbd-al-ʿAziz’s home
Berber captives who have faces more beautiful than which
I never saw.”

According to ibn-al-Kalbi, Hishâm assigned Kulthûm
ibn-ʿIyâd ibn-Wahwâh al-Ḵushairi to the governorship of
Ifrikiyyah, whose people rebelled and put him to death. Ibn-
al-Kalbi also states that Ifrikiyyah was subdued in pre-

¹ ‘Adhâri, vol. i, p. 16.
² Ibid., vol. i, pp. 18 seq.
³ Fem. of kâhin = soothsayer.
Islamic times by Ifriki's ibn-Kais ibn-Saifi-I-Himyari and was named after him. He killed Jurjir [Gregory] its king and said regarding the Berbers, "How barbarous they are!" Hence the name, Berbers.

Al-Kairawân. According to a tradition communicated to me by certain inhabitants of Ifrikiyyah on the authority of their sheikhs, when 'Ukbah ibn-Nâfi' al-Fihri wanted to build al-Kairawân, he began to think regarding the site of the mosque, and he saw in a dream as if a man called to prayer at a certain spot where he later erected the minaret. When he awoke, he started to erect the boundary marks where he had seen the man stand, after which he built the mosque.

Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from al-Wâkidî:—Muhammad ibn-al-Ash'ath al-Khuzâ'fi ruled over Ifrikiyyah in the name of Abu-l-Abbas "the Commander of the Believers", and repaired the city of al-Kairawân with its mosque. He was later dismissed by al-Manšûr, who assigned 'Umar ibn-Ḥafṣ Hizârmard in his place.

1 Cf. 'Adhâri, vol. i, pp. 5-6.
2 Iṣṭâkhri, pp. 39-40.
3 'Adhâri, vol. i, p. 64. "'Amr ibn-Ḥafṣ ibn-Kabîsah."
CHAPTER VI

THE CONQUEST OF TANJAH [TANGIERS]

According to al-Wâkîdi, 'Abd-al-'Aziz ibn-Marwân made Mûsa ibn-Nuṣair,¹ a freedman of the banu-Umaiyah and who came originally from 'Ain at-Tamr (some say he belonged to the clan of Arâshah, a branch of the Bali; others say, to the clan of Lakhm) governor over Ifrikiyyah. According to others, Mûsa ruled over it in the time of al-Walîd ibn-'Abd-al-Malik, in the year 89. He reduced Tanjah and occupied it, he being the first to occupy and mark it in lots for the Moslems. His horsemen went as far as as-Sûs al-Adna,² which was over twenty days' journey from as-Sûs al-Akṣa [the farther as-Sûs = modern Morocco]. Thus he subjugated as-Sûs al-Akṣa, carrying many captives from the inhabitants and receiving homage. His 'âmil collected from them ṣadakâh. Later he assigned Târiq ibn-Ziyâd, his freedman, over it [Tanjah and environs] and departed to Kairawân Ifrikiyyah.

¹ 'Adhâri, vol. i, pp. 24 seq.
² Ibid., vol. i, p. 27.
PART VI

ANDALUSIA
CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF ANDALUSIA

Ţârik crosses the Straits. According to al-Wâkidî, the first to invade Andalusia¹ was Ţârik ibn-Ziyâd,² the ʿâmil of Mûsa ibn-Nuṣair, and that was in the year 92. Ţârik was met by Ulyân, the commander of the Majâz³ al-Andalus, whom he promised safety provided he would transport him with his companions to Andalusia in his ships. When he arrived there, Ţârik was resisted by the people, but he effected the conquest of the land in the year 92.⁴ The king of Andalusia, it is claimed, belonged to the Ashbân [Spanish] people whose origin was from Iṣbahân.⁵ Mûsa ibn-Nuṣair wrote Ţârik a severe letter for risking the lives of the Moslems and following his own opinion without consulting Mûsa as regards the campaign. In the meantime, he ordered him not to go beyond Cordova [Ar. Ḳurṭubah].⁶ Mûsa himself proceeded to Cordova in Andalusia; and Ţârik sought and was reinstated in his favor. Ţârik then reduced the city of Tulaitulah,⁷ the capital of the kingdom

¹ Al-Andalus, Spain. Yaʿkûbi, Buildân, pp. 353-355.
² 'Adhâri, vol. ii, pp. 11 seq.
⁴ 'Adhâri, vol. ii, pp. 5 seq.
⁵ Ispahân of Persia. The Arabs were misled to this conclusion by the accidental similarity between the two names. See Masʿûdî, vol. ii, pp. 326-327.
⁷ Toledo. Muḫaddasi, p. 235; Khurdâdhbih, p. 89.
of Andalusia and which lies next to France [Ar. Faranjah]. Here he carried off a wonderful table ¹ which Mūsa ibn-Nuṣair, on his return in the year 96, offered as a present to al-Walid ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik in Damascus, who was sick at that time. When Sulaimān ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik came to power, he demanded 100,000 dinārs from Mūsa ibn-Nuṣair; but when Yazid ibn-al-Muhallab interceded in Mūsa’s behalf, he was spared.

Ismāʿīl governor of al-Maghrib. When ʿUmar ibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAziz became caliph, he appointed over al-Maghrib Ismāʿīl ibn-ʿAbdallāh ibn-abi-l-Muhājir, a freedman of the banu-Makhzūm, who behaved according to the best standards and invited the Berbers to Islām. ʿUmar ibn-ʿAbd-al-ʿAziz also wrote them letters to that effect, which were read to them in the different districts by Ismāʿīl. Thus did Islām prevail over al-Maghrib.

Yazid as governor. When Yazid ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik assumed power, he appointed Yazid ibn-abi-Mus‘lim, a freedman of al-Ḥajjāj ibn-Yūsuf, over Ifriqiyyah and al-Maghrib. The latter arrived in Ifriqiyyah in the year 102, and had his guard of Berbers. On the hand of every guard, he inscribed the word “Guard”, ² which act displeased them and made them impatient with him. Some of them entered into a conspiracy and agreed to kill him. One evening, he went out for the sunset prayer, and they killed him in his place of worship. Yazid then appointed Bishr ibn-Ṣafwān al-Kalbi. Bishr beheaded ʿAbdallāh ibn-Mūsa ibn-Nuṣair in revenge for Yazid [ibn-abi-Mus‘lim] on the ground that he was suspected of killing him and arousing people against him.

Bishr and other governors. Hishām ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik

---

¹ “Once owned by king Solomon”, Marākishi, p. 8.
² Ar. ḥarasi. ‘Adhāri, vol. i, p. 34.
again appointed Bishr ibn-Şafwân.\(^1\) The latter died in al-
Kairawân in the year 109. Hishâm appointed in his place
‘Ubaidah ibn-‘Abd-ar-Rahmân al-Ḵaisi,\(^2\) after whom His-
shâm appointed ‘Abdallâh\(^3\) ibn-al-Ḥabîb, a freedman of
the banu-Salûl. ‘Abdallâh sent ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-
Ḥabîb ibn-abi-‘Ubaidah ibn-‘Ukbah ibn-Nâfî to
the invasion of as-Şûs\(^4\) and the land of as-Sûdân. The
victories won by ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân were unparalleled, and
among the booty he carried away were two of the women
slaves of that region, each with one bosom. These people
are known by the name of Tarâjân.

After ibn-al-Ḥabîb, Hishâm appointed Kulthûm ibn-
‘Iyâd al-Ḵushairi who arrived in Ifrikiyah in the year 23\(^5\)
and was killed in it. Hishâm appointed after Kulthûm,
Ḥanzalah ibn-Şafwân al-Kalbi,\(^6\) a brother of Bishr, who
fought against the Kharijites\(^7\) and died there while he held
the governorship.

When al-Walîd ibn-Yazîd ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik assumed
power, ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-Ḥabîb al-Fihri rose against
him. ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân was in good favor with the inhabi-
tants of this frontier region [northern Africa and al-Magh-
rib], because of the good deeds done in it by his grand-
father ‘Ukbah ibn-Nâfî. Consequently, ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân
subdued this region; and Ḥanzalah departed, leaving ‘Abd-
ar-Rahmân over it.

\(^1\) ‘Adhârî, vol. i, p. 35.
\(^2\) Ibid., vol. i, p. 36: “as-Sulami”.
\(^4\) Idrisi, Şîfât al-Maghrib, p. 165.
\(^6\) Al-Kindi, Kitâb al-Wulût w-al-Ḵudât, pp. 71-72, 80-82 (ed. Guest).
\(^7\) Al-Khawârij. Rebels led by the heretic ‘Ukkâshah as-Şufri; see
When Yazid ibn-al-Walid assumed the caliphate, he did not send to al-Maghrib any ‘āmil.

Then came Marwân ibn-Muḥammad to power. ‘Abd-ar-Rahmān ibn-Ḥabib communicated with him and professed homage and sent him presents. Marwân had a secretary, Khālīd ibn-Rabi‘ah-l-Ifriḵī, who was a special friend of ‘Abd-al-Ḥamīd ibn-Yahya and kept up a correspondence with him. Marwân confirmed ‘Abd-ar-Rahmān as governor of the region, and appointed after him Ilyās ibn-Ḥabib, and after that, Ḥabīb ibn-‘Abd-ar-Rahmān. After this, the Ibāḍītes¹ and the Berbers of the Khārijites had the upper hand.

Towards the end of abu-l-‘Abbās’ caliphate, Muḥammad ibn-al-Asḥ’ath al-Khuzā‘i came to Ifrikiyyah as its ruler at the head of 70,000 men, according to others, 40,000. His rule lasted for four years, during which he repaired the city of al-Ḵairawān. At last, the troops of the city rose against him together with others. I heard it reported that the inhabitants of the town and the troops that were in it rose against him and he held out against them in his castle for 40 days, during which his followers from Khurāsān, and others who owed him allegiance, came to his help. Consequently, he succeeded in laying hold on those who fought against him. He then went over the names and put to death every one whose name was Muʿāwiyyah, Sufyān, Marwân or any other name that is borne by anyone of the banu-Umaiyah, sparing only those who had different names. He was thereupon dismissed by al-Maṃṣūr.

‘Umar ibn-Ḥafṣ ibn-Uthmān ibn-Ḵabiṣah ibn-abi-Ṣuf-rah-l-‘Ataki, known as Hizārmand, was then made governor by al-Maṃṣūr, who had great admiration for him. ‘Umar entered Ifrikiyyah and launched in it a campaign that carried

¹ Ash-Shahrastānī, Kitāb al-Milal w-an-Nihal, p. 100 (ed. Cureton).
him to the extremity of the land of the Berbers, where he built a city which he called al-ʿAbbâsiyah. Abu-Ḥâtîm as-Saddarâṭi-l-Ibâdi (one of the inhabitants of Saddarâṭah and a freedman of the Kindah) fought against Hizârmârd; and the latter suffered martyrdom together with some members of his family. The frontier region broke out in revolt, and the city he had established was destroyed.

Hizârmârd was succeeded by Yazîd ibn-Ḥâtîm ibn-Ḵabīsâh ibn-al-Muhallab, who rebelled at the head of 50,000 men and was accompanied to Jerusalem by abu-Jaʿfar al-Manṣûr who spent large sums of money on him. Yazîd advanced until he met abu-Ḥâtîm in Tripoli [Ar. Aṭrâbulus]. He killed him and made his entrance to Ifrikiyâh, where everything went smoothly with him.

Yazîd ibn-Ḥâtîm was succeeded by Rauḥ ibn-Ḥâtîm, and the latter by al-Faḍl ibn-Rauḥ, who was slain by the troops that rose up against him.

I was informed by Aḥmad ibn-Nâḵid, a freedman of the banu-l-Aghlab, that al-Aghlab ibn-Sâlim at-Tamîmî, of Marû ar-Rûdâh, was among those who came from Khurâsân with al-Musauwîdâh. Al-Aghlab was appointed by Mûsa-l-Hâdi governor of al-Maghrib. When al-Aghlab came to Ḳairawân Ifrikiyâh, Ḥarish, who was once in the army of the frontier region of Tûnis, gathered a body of men, with whom he marched against him and besieged him. Al-Aghlab later made a sortie, and in the battle which followed was hit by an arrow and fell dead. Neither his followers nor those of Ḥarish knew of it. At last Ḥarish

---

1 Kûndî, pp. 111-117.
2 Ibid., p. 110.
3 Hamadhânî, Buldân, pp. 319-322.
4 The partisans of the Abbasid dynasty, so called because they wore black clothes.
was defeated with his army and were pursued by the men of al-Aghlab for three days, during which many were killed, including Ḥarīsh himself, who fell in a place called Sūk al-Āhad. Al-Aghlab after this was called "the martyr" [āsh-Shahīd].

Ibrāhīm ibn-al-Aghlab, one of the leading men of the Egyptian army, arose one day with twelve men and carried away from the treasury the exact value of their subsistence allowances and no more. They ran away to a place called az-Zāb which lay at a distance of more than ten days from al-Ḫairawān. The ʿāmil of this frontier region, at that time under ar-Rashīd Ḥārūn, was Harthamah ibn-Aʿyān.1 Ibrāhīm ibn-al-Aghlab assumed the commandership of the troops that were in that region and offered presents to Harthamah, showing him kindness and telling him in writing that he did not rebel or disobey, but was rather forced to what he did by urgency and necessity. Harthamah assigned him to be governor of the region and intrusted to him its affairs.

When Harthamah’s resignation from the governorship of this region [Ifrikiyāh] was accepted, he was succeeded by ibn-al-ʿAkki,2 whose rule was so bad that the people rose up against him. Ar-Rashīd consulted Harthamah regarding a man whom he could assign to that post and intrust to him its management, and Harthamah advised him that Ibrāhīm be reconciled, won over and appointed over the region. Accordingly, ar-Rashīd wrote to Ibrāhīm, stating that he had forgiven him his crime, excused his fault and thought it wise to assign him to the governorship of al-Maghrib as an act of favor, expecting to receive from him loyalty and good counsel. Ibrāhīm became ruler of the region and managed its affairs thoroughly.

---

1 Kindi, p. 136.  
2 ʿAdhāri, vol. i, p. 80.
One of the city troops named 'Imrân ibn-Mujâlid rose in a revolt and was joined by the army of the region, who demanded that their subsistence allowances be given them, and laid siege to Ibrâhim in al-Ḳairawân. Soon after that, those who pay allowances and stipends came bringing money from the kharâj of Egypt; and when the dues were given, they [the rebels] dispersed themselves. Ibrâhim built al-Ḳaṣr al-Abâyâd [the white citadel] two miles to the kiblah of al-Ḳairawân, and parceled out the land around it among the Moslems, who established themselves and their residences there. Thus did that section become populated. Ibrâhim also built a cathedral mosque with gypsum and brick and marble columns, and covered it with cedar wood, making it 200 dhûrâ's in length and almost 200 dhûrâ's in width. He bought slaves to the number of 5,000, emancipated them and made them settle around it. This city he called al-'Abbâsiyyah, which is still flourishing to-day.

Al-'Abbâsiyyah. Muhammad ibn-al-Aghlab ibn-Ibrâhim ibn-al-Aghlab built in the year 239 a city near Tâhart and named it al-'Abbâsiyyah, too. This city was destroyed by Aflâh ibn-'Abd-al-Wahlâb al-Ibâdi, who wrote to the Umayyad chief of Andalusia, informing him of his act in order to win his favor. The Umayyad chief sent him 100,000 dirhams.

Bârah. There lies in al-Maghrib a land known as al-Ard al-Kabirah [the big land], situated at a distance of 15 days, more or less, from Barkâh. In it lies a city on the coast, called Bârah, whose inhabitants were Christians, but not Greeks. This city was invaded by Ḥablâh, the freed-

---

2 Ṭabari, vol. iii, p. 562.
3 Idrisi, Ṣifat al-Maghrib, p. 56.
4 Ḥayah” in Athir, vol. vi, p. 370.
man of al-Aghlab, who failed to reduce it. It was later invaded by Khaļfūn al-Barbari (supposed to have been a freedman of the Rabi‘ah) who reduced it in the early part of al-Mutawakkil’s caliphate.

Al-Mufarraj ibn-Sallâm. After Khaļfūn there arose one called al-Mufarraj ibn-Sallâm who conquered and brought under his control 24 forts. He then forwarded the news of the situation to the Master of the post in Egypt, and told him that he and his followers could conduct no [public] prayer unless the imâm confirms him over his district and makes him its ruler, so that he may not be included in the category of usurpers. Al-Mufarraj erected a cathedral mosque. Finally his men rose up against him and killed him.

Sūrân. He was followed by Sūrân who sent his messenger to al-Mutawakkil, the “Commander of the Believers,” asking for a confirmation and a letter of appointment to a governorship. Al-Mutawakkil, however, died before his messenger departed with the message to Sūrân.

Al-Muntaṣir-Billâh died after holding the caliphate for six months. Then came al-Musta‘in-Billâh Aḥmad ibn-Muḥammad ibn-al-Mu‘taṣim who ordered his ‘āmil over al-Maghrib, Utâmish, a freedman of the “Commander of the Believers”, to confirm Sūrân; but no sooner had the messenger started from Šurra-man-ra’a, than Utâmish was slain. That region was after that governed by Waṣīf, a freedman of the caliph, who confirmed Sūrân in his position.

1 Ṣāhib al-barid. Ibn-at-Ṭikṭaka, al-Fakhri, p. 129.

2 Tabari, vol. iii, pp. 1512, 1513.
PART VII

ISLANDS IN THE SEA
CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF CERTAIN ISLANDS IN THE SEA

_Sicily._ The first to invade Sicily\(^1\) was Mu‘âwiyyah ibn-
Hudaij al-Kindi\(^2\) in the days of Mu‘âwiyyah ibn-abi-Sufyân.
It was continually invaded after that. The descendants
of al-Aghlab ibn-Sâlim al-Ifriki conquered more than 20
cities in it, which are still in the hands of the Moslems. In
the caliphate of al-Mutawakkil, Aḥmad ibn-Muḥammad ibn-
al-Aghlab reduced in it the Yânah castle and Ghalyânah\(^8\)
fortress.

It is stated by al-Wâkidī that ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ḳais ibn-
Makhlad ad-Dizâkî plundered Sicily and carried off idols of
gold and silver studded with pearls, which he sent to Mu‘â-
wiyyah. Mu‘âwiyyah sent them to al-Baṣrah to be carried
into India and sold there with a view to getting a higher
price for them.

_Rhodes._ Mu‘âwiyyah ibn-abi-Sufyân sent expeditions by
sea and by land. He sent to Rhodes\(^4\) Junâdah ibn-abi-
Umaiyah-l-Azdi. Junâdah was one of those on whose au-
thority traditions were reported. He had chance to meet
abu-Bakr, ‘Umar and Mu‘adh ibn-Jabal, and died in the
year 80. Junâdah took Rhodes by force. Rhodes was a
thicket in the sea. In pursuance of Mu‘âwiyyah’s order,
Junâdah caused Moslems to settle in it. This took place in
the year 52.

---


\(^8\) Cf. Idriśi, “Italy”, p. 49; Amari, *Bibliotheca Arabo-Sicula*, p. 60.

\(^4\) Rûdîs. See Kindi, p. 38.
Rhodes is one of the most fertile of all islands, and is about sixty miles in size. It is rich in olive trees, vineyards, fruits and fresh water.

Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from al-Wâkidi and others:—The Moslems occupied Rhodes for seven years, living in a fort made for them. At the death of Mu'âwiyyah, Yazid wrote to Junâdah ordering him to destroy the fort and return. Mu'âwiyyah used to alternate its occupants, making them live there in turns. Mujâhid ibn-Jabr\(^1\) lived in it and taught the Koran.

\textit{Arwâd.} In the year 54, Junâdah ibn-abi-Umaiyah reduced Arwâd,\(^2\) and Mu'âwiyyah made the Moslems settle in it. Among those who took part in conquering it was Mujâhid and Tubai',\(^3\) a son of Ka'b al-Aḥbâr's\(^4\) wife. It was here that Mujâhid taught Tubai' the Koran. Others say that he did it in Rhodes. This Arwâd is an island lying near Constantinople [al-Ḵusṭanṭîniyâh].

\textit{Crete.} Junâdah led a razzia against Crete [Iḳritiṣi],\(^5\) a part of which he conquered at the time of al-Walid. Later, the island was lost to the Moslems. In the caliphate of ar-Rashid it was invaded again by Ḥumaid ibn-Ma'yyûk al-Ḥamdâni, who reduced a part of it. In the caliphate of al-Ma'mûn, it was invaded by abu-Ḥafs 'Umar ibn-‘Īsa-l-Andalusi, known by the name of al-Iḳritiṣhi, who first reduced one fort and occupied it. Then he kept on reducing one part after another until none of the Greeks were left. He also dismantled their forts.

\(^1\) Kindi, p. 39.
\(^2\) Ṭabari, vol. ii, p. 163.
\(^3\) Ṭabari, vol. ii, p. 163; Dhahabi, p. 69.
\(^5\) Idrisi, "Italy", p. 19; Rustah, p. 85; "Iḳritiṣiyâh".
PART VIII

NUBIA
CHAPTER I

TERMS MADE WITH NUBIA

'Ukbah leads the attack. Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd from abu-l-Khair:—When the Moslems subdued Egypt, 'Amr ibn-al-'Āsi sent to the surrounding villages, in order to overrun and pillage them, a detachment of cavalry under 'Ukbah ibn-Nāfi' al-Fihri (Nāfi' being a brother of al-Āsi on his mother's side). The cavalry entered the land of Nubia as the summer expeditions of the Greeks do. The Moslems met in Nubia determined resistance. They were subjected to such severe showers of arrows until most of them were wounded and had to return with many wounds and blinded eyes. Therefore were the Nubians called the "archers of the eyes".

The terms made. This state of affairs continued until 'Abdollāh ibn-Saʿd ibn-abi-Sarh ruled over Egypt. The Nubians asked for peace and conciliation from 'Abdallāh, who granted their request, the terms being that they pay no tax but offer as a present three hundred slaves per annum; and that the Moslems offer them as a present food equivalent to the value of the slaves.

The Nubians as archers. Muḥammad ibn-Saʿd from a sheikh of the tribe of Ḥimyar:—The latter said, "I have been to Nubia twice during the caliphate of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, and I never saw a people who are sharper in warfare than they. I heard one of them say to the Moslem, 'Where do you want me to hit you with my arrow?' and

in case the Moslem would disdainfully say, 'In such a spot', the Nubian would never miss it. They were fond of fighting with arrows; but their arrows would scarcely ever hit on the ground. 1 One day, they arrayed themselves against us and we were desirous to carry the conflict with the sword; but they were too quick for us and shot their arrows, putting out our eyes. The eyes that were put out numbered 150. We at last thought that the best thing to do with such a people was to make peace. We could carry very little booty away from them; and their ability to inflict injury was great. 'Amr, however, refused to make peace with them and went on contending against them until he was dismissed and was succeeded by 'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'd ibn-abi-Sarh, who concluded peace with them.'

According to al-Wâkidi, Mu'âwiyah ibn-îHudaij al-Kindi lost his eye in Nubia and thus became one-eyed.

The legality of selling their children as slaves. Abu-'Ubaid al-Ḳâsim ibn-Sallâm from Yazîd ibn-abi-îHabib:—
The latter said, "Between us and the black tribes [Ar. asâ-wid], no treaty or covenant exists. Only a truce was arranged between us, according to which we agreed to give them some wheat and lentils, and they to give us slaves. It is all right to buy their slaves from them or from others."

Abu-'Ubaid from al-Laith ibn-Sa'd:—The latter said, "The terms we made with the Nubians stipulated only that we neither fight against them nor they against us, that they give slaves and we give them their value in terms of food. If they desire, therefore, to sell their wives or children, there is no reason why they should not be bought."

In a report of abu-l-Bukhturi and others, it is stated that 'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'd ibn-abi-Sarh made terms with the Nubians to the effect that they give four hundred slaves per

\[1\text{ i.e. they scarcely ever missed their aim.}\]
year, whom they shall bring forth and for whom they shall receive food in exchange.

The caliph al-Mahdi ordered that Nubia be held responsible every year for 360 slaves and one giraffe, and that they be given wheat, vinegar, wine, clothes and mattresses or the value thereof.

The Nubians recently claimed that the tribute is not due on them every year, and that it was demanded from them in the caliphate of al-Mahdi, at which time they told the caliph that the tribute was a part of what they took as slaves from their enemies and therefore they had, if they could not get enough slaves, to use their own children and offer them. Al-Mahdi ordered that they be tolerated, and that the tribute of one year be considered as if for three. No confirmation, however, could be found in the registers of al-Ḥaḍrāh; but it was found in the register in Egypt.

Al-Ḳummi in al-Bujah. Al-Mutawakkil ordered one, Muḥammad ibn-‘Abdallāh, known as al-Ḳummi, to be sent and put in charge of al-Maʿdīn in Egypt. He also put him in charge of al-Ḳulzum [Suez], the road of al-Ḥijâz, and the furnishing of guides to the Egyptians when on holy pilgrimage. Arriving in al-Maʿdīn, he conveyed provisions in ships from al-Ḳulzum to the land of al-Bujah. He then proceeded to a sea-coast, called ‘Aidhâb, where the ships met him. With these provisions, he and his followers were strengthened and fed until they came to the castle of the king of al-Bujah. Al-Ḳummi attacked him in

1 Ar. bakṣ, Quatremère, Mémoires Géographiques et Historiques sur l'Égypte, vol. ii, pp. 42, 53.
2 Perhaps al-Khaḍrā'. See Idrisi, Šīfāt al-Maghrib, p. 84; Hamadānī, Buḍān, pp. 79-80.
4 Idrisi, Šīfāt al-Maghrib, p. 27.
small force, and the king of al-Bujah made a sally with his numerous men on camels fastened with girths. Al-Ḳummi brought bells and put them on his horses. As soon as the camels heard the bell sounds, they ran away with the al-Bujah men over hills and valleys. The chief of al-Bujah was killed and was succeeded by his sister’s son,¹ whose father was one of the kings of al-Bujah. He sued for a truce, which al-Mutawakkil granted only on condition that he [the chief] should tread on his [al-Mutawakkil’s] carpet. Accordingly, he came to Surra-man-ra’a and made terms in the year 241, agreeing to pay tribute in money and slaves. He was then sent back with al-Ḳummi. Thus, the people of al-Bujah are in a state of truce in which they pay tax and do not prevent the Moslems from working in the gold mine, which terms are mentioned in the conditions imposed upon their chief.

CHAPTER II

THE KARÂTÎS

The Greeks used to get the karâtîs from Egypt, and the Arabs used to get the dinârs from the Greeks. ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân was the first to inscribe on the upper part of these fabrics such phrases as “Declare: Allah is one!” and others with the name of Allah. One day, he received from the Byzantine king a message, saying, “You have recently introduced upon your karâtîs some inscription that we hate. If you leave that out, well and good; otherwise, you shall see on the dinârs the name of your Prophet associated with things you hate.” This was too much for ‘Abd-al-Malik, who hated to abolish a worthy law that he had established. He thereupon sent for Khâlid ibn-Yazîd ibn-Mu‘âwiyah and said to him, “O abu-Hâshim! It is a calamity!” Khâlid replied, “Be free from your fright, ‘Commander of the Believers’; declare the use of their dinârs illegal; strike new coinage in place of them, and let not these infidels be free from what they hate to see on the fabrics.” “Thou hast eased my mind,” said ‘Abd-al-Malik, “may Allah give thee ease!” He then struck the dinârs.

According to ‘Awânah ibn-al-Ḥakam, the Copts used to

1 Rolls of papyrus for writing; also, cloth of Egyptian fabric used for carrying vases or clothes. Zaidân, Ta’ârikh at-Tamaddun, vol. i, p. 103; Zeitschrift für Assyrologie, pp. 187-190, yr. 1908.
2 Al-Kindi, Faḍâ’il Miṣr, p. 209, lines 9-10 (ed. Oestrup).
inscribe the word "Christ" at the top part of the ḵarâṭîs, and to ascribe divinity to him (may Allah be highly exalted above that!); and they used to put the sign of the cross in place of "In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful". That is why the Byzantine king was disgusted and his anger was aroused with the change that `Abd-al-Malik introduced.

According to al-Madâ'ini, it was stated by Maslamah ibn-Muḥârib that Khâlid ibn-Yazîd advised `Abd-al-Malik to declare the use of the Greek dînârs illegal, to prohibit their circulation and to stop the sending of the ḵarâṭîs to the Byzantine empire. Accordingly, no ḵarâṭîs were carried there for some time.
PART IX

AL-‘IRĀK AND PERSIA
CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF AS-SAWĀD

THE CALIPHATE OF ABU-BAKR AS-ŠIDDIK

Al-Muthanna invades as-Sawād. Al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥārithah ibn-Salamah ibn-Ḍamḍam ash-Shaibānī used to lead incursions with some of his men against as-Sawād. Having heard of it, abu-Bakr made inquiries regarding him and learned from Ḫais ibn-ʿĀṣim ibn-Sinān al-Mīnṭarī that that was not a man with no reputation, or of unknown origin, or of no support; but it was al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥārithah ash-Shaibānī. Later, al-Muthanna presented himself before abu-Bakr and said to him, “Caliph of the Prophet of Allah, make me your lieutenant over those of my people who have accepted Islām, that I may fight against those foreigners, the Persians.” Abu-Bakr wrote him a covenant to that effect. Al-Muthanna proceeded till he came to Khaffān; and inviting his people to Islām, they accepted it.

Khālid in al-Ubullah. Abu-Bakr then wrote to Khālid ibn-al-Walid al-Makhzūmi, ordering him to go against al-ʿIrāk. Others say that he sent him from al-Madinah. In the meantime, abu-Bakr wrote to al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥārithah ordering him to receive Khālid and obey his word.

Previous to this, Madhīʿūr ibn-ʿAdi-1-Ījli had written to abu-Bakr presenting his case and the case of his people, and asking to be put in charge of the campaign against the Persians. Now, abu-Bakr wrote and ordered him to join Khālid, stop with him when he stopped and move with him.

1 ʿIrāk, the region west of the Tigris. Rustah, p. 104.
when he moved. On the arrival of Khâlid in an-Nibâj, he was met by al-Muthanna ibn-Ḫârithah. Thence Khâlid proceeded to al- Başrah in which there was at this time Suwaid ibn-Ḵuṭbah adh-Duhli (others than abu-Mikhnaf say that there was in it Ḫuṭbah ibn-Ḵatâdah adh-Duhli) of the tribe of Bakr ibn-Wâ'il, accompanied by a band of followers. Suwaid had designs regarding al- Başrah similar to those of al-Muthanna regarding al- Kháfah, which at that time was not called al- Kháfah but al-Ḫirah. Suwaid said to Khâlid, “The inhabitants of al-Ubullah had assembled against me but failed to make the attack simply because of thy presence, as I believe.” “If that is so,” answered Khâlid “the advisable thing for me would be to leave al- Başrah in the day time and return in the night, at which time my companions would enter thy camp and we will fight together.” Accordingly, Khâlid left in the direction of al-Ḫirah and when darkness fell, he turned back until he got to the camp of Suwaid, which he entered with his men. In the morning, the inhabitants of al-Ubullah, hearing that Khâlid had left al- Başrah, advanced towards Suwaid. Seeing the great number of men in his army, they were confounded and turned back. Thereupon, Khâlid shouted, “On them! I see in them the looks of a people whose hearts Allah has filled with terror!” Then the Moslems charged them, put them to flight, and by Allah’s help, killed a great number and caused others to drown in Dijlat al- Başrah. Thence Khâlid passed through al-Khuraibah, reduced it and carried its inhabitants away into captivity. He left over it in his place—as it is reported by al-Kalbi—Shuraiḥ ibn-

1 Khurdâdhbih, pp. 146, 147.
2 or Dijlat al-‘Aura = the united course of the Tigris and the Euphrates before they empty into the Persian Gulf. Yâkút, vol. iii, p. 745.
3 Hamadhâni, Buldân, p. 189.
'Amir ibn-Ḳain of the banu-Sa'īd ibn-Bakr ibn-Hawāzin. The city was a fortified frontier town for the Persians.

_Nahr al-Mar'ah._ It is also reported that Khālid came to the river known as al-Mar'ah river, with whose people he made terms. He then fought against a body of men assembled at al-Madhâr.

_Khālid proceeds to al-Ḥīrah._ Khālid then proceeded to al-Ḥīrah, and left Suwaid ibn-Ḳuṭbah to rule over his district, saying, "We have crushed the Persians in thy district in a way that will humiliate them before thee."

Others report that when Khālid was in the district of al-Yamâmah, he wrote to abu-Bakr for reinforcements; and abu-Bakr sent him Jarir ibn-'Abdallah al-Bajali. Jarir met Khālid as the latter was on his way out of al-Yamâmah, joined him and attacked the al-Madhâr's chief by Khālid's orders. Allah knows if that is so.

Al-Wâṣṣi states, "Our friends in al-Ḥijâz maintain that Khālid left for al-Irâk, passing by Fâid and ath-Thâlabiyah, after which he came to al-Ḥīrah.

_Zandaward, Durna and other places reduced by Khālid._ Khālid ibn-al-Walid passed through Zandaward in Kaskar and reduced it; he also reduced Durna and its territory, which capitulated after one hour's shooting by the people of Zandaward on the Moslems.

He then proceeded to Hurmuzjarad, to the inhabitants of which he made a promise of security. The city itself was taken. Khālid then came to Ullais. Jābân, the chief

---

1 Tābarī, vol. i, p. 2382.
2 i. e., the woman's river; Tābarī, vol. i, p. 2026.
3 Yāḵūt, vol. iv, p. 468; Ḥamadhānī, p. 211.
4 Ḥaukal, p. 163.
of the Persians, set out against him and Khâlid sent ahead al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥâríthah ash-Shaibânî who met Jabân at Nahr ad-Damm [sanguine canal]. Khâlid made terms with the inhabitants of Ullais, stipulating that they act as spies, guides and helpers to the Moslems against the Persians.

Khâlid in al-Ḥîrah. Khâlid then proceeded to Mujtama' al-Anhâr [confluence of canals], where he was met by Azâdbhîbîh, the holder of the frontier fortifications of Kisra that lay between the Persian and the Arab territories. The Moslems fought against him and defeated him. Then Khâlid came and stopped at Khaffân. Others say he proceeded directly to al-Ḥîrah, where he was met by 'Abd-al-Masiḥ ibn-'Amr ibn-Ḳais ibn-Ḥaiyân ibn-Bukailah (Bukailah's proper name being al-Ḥârith) of the Azd, Ḥâni' ibn-Ḳabîshah ibn-Mas'ûd ash-Shaibânî and Iyâs ibn-Ḳabîshah at-Ṭâ'î (others say Farwah ibn-Iyâs), Iyâs being the 'āmil of Kisra Abarwiz over al-Ḥîrah after an-Nu'mân ibn-al-Mundhir. These men made terms with Khâlid, stipulating that they pay 100,000 dirhams per year, others say 80,000 per year, that they act as spies for the Moslems against the Persians, and that Khâlid would not destroy any of their churches or citadels.

It was reported by abu-Mikhnaf, on the authority of abu-1-Muthannah-l-Walîd ibn-al-Ḳâṭâmi, who is the same as ash-Sharkî ibn-al-Ḳâṭâmi-l-Kalbi, that 'Abd-al-Masîh, who was an aged man, appeared before Khâlid who asked him, "Where dost thou come from, old man?" And he replied, "From my father's back."—"What didst thou come out from?"—"From my mother's womb."—"Woe unto thee! Where art thou now?"—"In my clothes."—"Woe

to thee! Where dost thou stand now?"—"On the ground."—"Dost thou have reason [Ar. *ta'kul*]?
"Yes, I can bind [*a'kul*] and tie up [a camel]."—"Woe to thee! I am speaking to thee like a man!"—"And I am answering thee like a man."—"Art thou for peace or for war?"—"For peace."—"What are these forts then?"—"We built them for the rogue until the meek comes." The two then discussed the question of peace and it was agreed that 100,000 [*dirhams*] be offered the Moslems every year. The money taken from these people was the first sum carried to al-Madinah from al-'Irak. It was also stipulated that they seek no evil for the Moslems and that they act as spies against the Persians. All that took place in the year 12.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Yaḥya ibn-Adam:—The latter said: "I heard it said that the people of al-Ḥirah were 6,000 men, on each one of whom 14 *dirhams*, each having the weight of 5 *kīrāts*, were assessed, making 84,000 *dirhams* in all, of 5 *kīrāts* each, or 60,000 of 7 each. To that end, he [Khālid] wrote them a statement which I myself have read."

It is reported that Yazid ibn-Ḥubaishah-ḤĀmiri said, "We came to al-'Irāk with Khālid and went as far as the frontier fort of al-Uḥdaih. We then came to al-Ḥirah whose people had fortified themselves in al-?('Ashr al-Abayd [white citadel], ('Ashr ibn-Buḳailah and ('Ashr al-'Adasiyin. We went around on horseback in the open spaces among their buildings, after which they made terms with us." (According to ibn-al-Kalbi al-'Adasiyin were a branch of the Kalb, and were named after their mother who was also of the Kalb tribe.)

1 Pun on words. Caetani, vol. iv, p. 657 takes it to mean, "I am rich enough to pay the blood-wit [*aŋ*] and to retaliate by killing [*kawad*]."

Abu-Masʿūd al-Kūfi from ash-Shaʿbi:—Khuraim ibn-Aus ibn-Ḥārithah ibn-Lâm at-Ṭâʿi said to the Prophet, "If Allah enables thee to reduce al-Ḥirah, I shall ask thee to give me Buḍailah's daughter." When Khâlid wanted to make terms with the inhabitants of al-Ḥirah, Khuraim said to him, "The Prophet has given me Buḍailah's daughter. She should not therefore be included in thy terms." This was testified to by Bashîr ibn-Saʿd and Muḥammad ibn-Maslamah of the Anṣâr; and therefore, Khâlid did not include her in the terms, but turned her over to Khuraim. She was then bought from Khuraim for 1,000 dirhams, she being too old for Khuraim to marry her. Some one remarked to Khuraim, "She was sold very cheap. Her people would have paid thee many times the price thou hast charged." And he replied, "I never thought there was a number above ten hundred."

Another tradition has it that the one who asked the Prophet to give him Buḍailah's daughter was one of the Rabiʿah. The former view, however, is more authentic.

Banikiya taken. Khâlid ibn-al-Walid despatched Bashîr ibn-Saʿd abu-an-Nuʿmân ibn-Bashîr of the Anṣâr to Bânikiya. Bashîr was met by the Persian horsemen headed by Farrukh bundâdh. Bashîr's men were shot with arrows; but he led the charge and put the enemy to flight, killing Farrukh bundâdh. He then returned with a wound which became recrudescent, when he came to 'Ain at-Tamr, and caused his death. Others say that Khâlid himself, accompanied by Bashîr, met Farrukh bundâdh.

Khâlid then sent Jarîr ibn-'Abdallâh al-Bajali to the people of Bânikiya. Jarîr was met by Buṣbuhra ibn-Ṣalûba, who refused to fight and proposed to make peace. Jarîr

1 Mawardi, p. 333; Ṭabari, vol. i, pp. 2047-2048.
2 Hamadhâni, p. 165.
made terms with him on 100,000 dirhams and one mantle.\textsuperscript{1} Others say that ibn-Ṣalūba came to Khālid and, refusing to fight, made those terms. After the battle of an-Nukhailah and the death of Mihrân, Jarîr came and received from ibn-Ṣalūba's people and from the people of al-Ḥirah the sum agreed upon, and wrote them a receipt. Others deny that Jarîr ibn-'Abdallâh ever came to al-'Irâk except in the caliphate of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb. Abu-Mîkhnaf and al-Wâkidî, however, repeat that he went there twice.

Khālid wrote a statement to Buṣbahra ibn-Ṣalūba and sent the mantle to abu-Bakr together with the money from al-Ḥirah and the thousand dirhams. Abu-Bakr offered the mantle as a present to al-Ḥusain ibn-‘Ali.

Abu-Ṭâṣr at-Tammâr from 'Abdallâh ibn-Mughaffal\textsuperscript{2} al-Muzani:—No part of al-‘Irâk made covenant [with the Moslems] except al-Ḥirah, Ullais and Bânikiya.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-Mughaffal:—No land below al-Jabal\textsuperscript{3} is fit for sale except the land of the banu-Ṣalûba and the land of al-Ḥirah.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from al-Aswad ibn-Ḳais's father:—The latter said, “We arrived in al-Ḥirah and made terms on so much money and a camel's saddle.” In answer to my question, “What did ye do with the saddle?” he replied, “One of us had no saddle and we gave it to him.”

Abu-'Ubad from Ḥumaid ibn-Ḥilâl:—When Khâlid arrived in al-Ḥirah, its inhabitants made terms without offering any resistance. The following verse was written by Dirâr ibn-al-Azwar al-Asadi:

\textsuperscript{1} Ar. ṭailasân = Persian apparel of dark wool. Dozy, \textit{Vêtements}, pp. 278-280.

\textsuperscript{2} Mughaffal and not Mughaffil as Balâdhuri has it. See Dhahabi, p. 477.

\textsuperscript{3} Al-Jabal or al-Jihâl = Media. Hamadhâni, pp. 209 \textit{seq.}
"I had insomnia in Bànikiya and whosoever receives what I received there—a wound, would certainly have insomnia."

Al-Wāḵidi states, "Our companions agree that this Dirâr was slain in al-Yamâmah."

Al-Falâlij and Tustar. From Bànikiya, Khâlid came to al-Falâlij, in which was massed a host of Greeks. They were soon dispersed, and Khâlid, meeting no resistance, returned to al-Ḥirah. Hearing that Jâbân was at the head of a great army in Tustar, Khâlid sent against him al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥârithah ash-Shaibâni and Ḥanzalah ibn-ar-Rabîʿ ibn-Rabâḥ al-Usaidi of the banu-Tamîm (he is the one called Ḥanzalah-l-Kâtib[the scribe]). No sooner had these two come to the place where Jâbân was, than he fled.

Sûk Baghdâd and al-Anbâr. Khâlid proceeded to al-Anbâr whose people betook themselves to their fortifications. Here some one came to Khâlid and pointed out to him Sûk [market] Baghdâdh, which later [after Baghdâdh was founded] was called as-Sûk al-ʿAtîk [the old market] and which lay near Ḥarn as-Ṣarât. Khâlid sent al-Muthanna who made a raid on this market, and the Moslems filled their hands with gold and silver and commodities light to carry. They spent the night at as-Sailaḥîn, and then came to al-Anbâr where Khâlid was. The Moslems then invested the inhabitants of al-Anbâr and set fire to places in its district. Al-Anbâr was thus called because the Persian granaries were in it and the friends and protégés of an-Nuʾ

---

2 Ḥaukûl, p. 172.
3 "Rabiʿah" in Duraid, p. 127; and "Rabiʿah ibn-Ṣaifî" in Kutaibah, Maʿārif, p. 153.
4 Îṣṭakhrî, p. 77.
5 Le Strange, Baghdâd during the Abbasid Caliphate, p. 12.
6 Aṣ-Ṣarât Point, where aṣ-Ṣarât canal disembogued to the Tigris. See Yaʾkübi, Buḍân, p. 235.
mān used to get their subsistence allowances from it. Seeing what had befallen them, the inhabitants of al-Anbār made terms which satisfied Khālid, and so he left them in their homes.

Others assert that Khālid sent al-Muthanna before him to Baghdādh and then followed him and directed the raid against it, after which he returned to al-Anbār. This, however, is not authentic.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ash-Shaʿbi:—The people of al-Anbār have a covenant [with the Moslems].

A tradition communicated to me by certain sheikhs from al-Anbār states that terms were concluded with the people of al-Anbār in the caliphate of ‘Umar in which it was stipulated that they pay for their canton [ṭassīj] 400,000 dirhams and 1,000 cloaks fabricated in Kaṭawān, per year. The terms were made by Jarīr ibn-ʿAbdallāh al-Bajali. Others say that the sum was 80,000; but Allah knows best.

Jarīr reduced Bawāzij al-Anbār in which are to-day many of his freedmen.

According to a report there came to Khālid ibn-al-Walid someone who pointed out to him a market above al-Anbār in which the Kalb, Bakr ibn-Wāʾil and others from the tribe of Kuṭāḥah used to meet. Khālid despatched against this place al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥārithah who made a raid against it, carried as booty what there was in it, slaughtered and took captives.

‘Ain at-Tamr. Thence Khālid advanced to ‘Ain at-Tamr and invested its fort in which a great frontier guard of Persians was stationed. The holders of the fort made a sally and fought, but after that, they confined themselves to their fort, where Khālid and the Moslems besieged them until they sued for peace. Khālid refused to give them

1 Yāḳūt, vol. iii, p. 759.
promise of security and reduced the fort by force, slaugh-
tering and carrying away captives. Here he found certain
persons in a church whom he took captives. Among these
captives was (1) Ḫumrân ibn-Abân ibn-Khâlid at-Tamri.
Others say his father’s name was Abba. This Ḫumrân was
the freedman of ‘Uthmân. He first belonged to al-Musâî-
yab ibn-Najabah-l-Fazârî from whom ‘Uthmân bought
him, and then released him. ‘Uthmân later sent him to al-
Kûfah to make inquiry regarding the conduct of his ‘âmil
there, on which occasion Ḫumrân did not tell the truth. So
‘Uthmân denied him the rights of protection [Ar. jiwâr]
and Ḫumrân went and settled in al-Baṣrah. Among other
captives were (2) Sirîn, father of Muḥammad ibn-Sîrîn,1
whose brothers were Yaḥya ibn-Sîrîn, Anas ibn-Sîrîn, and
Maʿbad ibn-Sîrîn, Muḥammad being the eldest brother, and
all being the freedmen of Anas ibn-Mâlik al-Anṣârî; (3)
abu-ʿAmrah, a grandfather of ʿAbdallâh ibn-ʿAbd-al-Aʿla,
the poet; (4) Yaṣâr, a grandfather of Muḥammad ibn-
Ishâk—the author of as-Sîrah 2—and a freedman of ʿKās
ibn-Makhrâmah ibn-al-Muṭṭalib ibn-ʿAbd-Manâf; (5)
Murrah abi-ʿUbaid, a grandfather of Muḥammad ibn-Zaid
ibn-ʿUbaid ibn-Murrah (Nafis ibn-Muḥammad ibn-Zaid
ibn-ʿUbaid ibn-Murrah, the owner of the citadel [kaṣr]
near al-Ḥarrah [volcanic tract of al-Madinah] was a son
of this Muḥammad. His descendants give the name of
their ancestor as ‘Ubaid ibn-Murrah ibn-al-Muʿalla-l-An-
ṣârî and later az-Zurâkî); (6) Nuṣair, the father of Muṣâa
ibn-Nuṣair, the governor of al-Maghrib. This Nuṣair was
a freedman of the banū-Umaiyyah, as it is asserted by freed-
men in the frontier towns descended from slaves whom he

1 Bakri, p. 199.
2 The biography of the Prophet from which ibn-Hishâm’s was
abridged.
had released. Ibn-al-Kalbi says that Abu-Farwah 'Abd ar-Rahmân ibn-al-Aswad and Nuşair abu-Mûsa ibn-Nuşair were both Arabs of [the clan of] Arâshah of [the tribe of] Bali and that they were taken captives from Jabal al-Jalil [Mt. Galilee] in Syria during the caliphate of Abu-Bakr. Nuşair's name was originally Naṣr which was later used in the diminutive form—Nuşair. Some one of the Banu-Umayyah gave him his liberty; and he returned to Syria where in a village called Kafarmara 1 his son Mûsa was born. Mûsa was lame. Al-Kalbi adds that some one said that the two [Nuşair and Abu-Farwah] were brothers taken captives from 'Ain at-Tamr, and that they owed their liberty to the Banu-Đabbah.

According to 'Ali ibn-Muḥammad al-Madâ'ini, it is stated by someone that Abu-Farwah and Nuşair were of the captives of 'Ain at-Tamr. Abu-Farwah was bought by Nâ'im al-Asadi who sold him later to 'Uthmân who used him for digging graves. When the people rose up against 'Uthmân, Abu-Farwah joined them and said to 'Uthmân, "Restore what thou hast wrongfully taken from others!" To this 'Uthmân replied, "Thou representest the first thing. I bought thee out of the sadakah funds that thou mayest dig the tombs; but thou hast left that." His son 'Abdallâh ibn-abi-Farwah was one of the illustrious freedmen. One of his descendants 2 was ar-Rabi' ibn-Yûnus ibn-Muḥammad ibn-abi-Farwah, a companion of al-Maṇşûr. Abu-Farwah was thus called because of a furred garment [Ar. farwah] which he had on when he was taken captive. 3

According to certain reports, Khâlid made terms with the

3 Aghâni, vol. iii, p. 127, adds Kaisân, one of the ancestors of Abu-l-'Atâhiyyah, to the list of captives.
holders of ‘Ain at-Tamr fort and these captives [mentioned above] were found in a church in a certain canton.\(^1\) Some say that Sirin was one of the inhabitants of Jarjarâya and that he came there on a visit to a relative of his and was taken captive together with those in the church.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Yahya ibn-Ādam from ash-Sha‘bi:—Khâlid ibn-al-Walid made terms with the people of al-Ḥîrah and ‘Ain at-Tamr, and stated them in a letter to abu-Bakr, which the latter endorsed. Yahya adds, "I asked al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣâliḥ,\(^2\) ‘Have the people of ‘Ain at-Tamr, like those of al-Ḥîrah, to pay something for their lands, but nothing for their persons?’ To this al-Ḥasan replied, ‘Yes.’”

It is stated by someone that there was at ‘Ain at-Tamr at the head of the an-Namir ibn-Ḵâṣît tribe, Hilâl ibn-‘Aḵḵah ibn-Ḵâis ibn-al-Bishr an-Namiri,\(^3\) who gathered an army and fought against Khâlid. He was defeated, killed and crucified. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, there was at the head of the an-Namir at that time ‘Aḵḵah ibn-Ḵâis ibn-al-Bishr himself.

The wound of Bashir ibn-Sa‘d al-Anṣâri became recrudescence and caused his death. He was buried at ‘Ain at-Tamr. By his side was buried ‘Umair ibn-Ri’āb ibn-Muḥâshshim ibn-Sa‘id ibn-Sahm ibn-‘Amr, who was hit by an arrow at ‘Ain at-Tamr and fell a martyr.

**The razziās of an-Nusair ibn-Daisam.** When Khâlid ibn-al-Walid was at ‘Ain at-Tamr he sent an-Nusair ibn-Daisam ibn-Thaur to a spring of water by which were settled the banu-Ṭaghlib, whom he surprised by night, killing and carrying away many captives. One of the prisoners

---

2 One of the intermediate authorities of this tradition.
3 Ṭabarî, vol. i, p. 2122: “an-Namari”.
asked Khâlid to release him, promising to point out to him a quarter inhabited by the banu-Rabî‘ah. Khâlid did so and an-Nusair came to the Rabî‘ah quarter, where he fell upon them in the night-time and carried away booty and captives. He then proceeded inland towards Takrit. Thus did the Moslems enrich themselves with booty.

According to a tradition communicated to me by abu-Mas‘ûd al-Kûfî, on the authority of Muḥammad ibn-Marwân, an-Nusair came to ‘Ukbara’ and gave promise of security to its inhabitants, who brought forth food for his men and their animals. He then passed through al-Baradân, whose people hurried to present themselves before the Moslems. An-Nusair said, “Never mind!”; which was enough to guarantee their safety.

Thence an-Nusair advanced to al-Mukharrim which according to abu-Mas‘ûd was not called then Mukharrim,¹ but was so called after being occupied by a certain descendant of Mukharrim ibn-Ḥazn ibn-Ziyâd ibn-Anas ibn-ad-Daiyân al-Ḥârithi, as it is mentioned by Hishâm ibn-Muḥammad al-Kalbi.

The Moslems then crossed a bridge lying near Kaşr [castle] Sâbûr, known to-day by the name of Kaşr ‘Isa ibn-‘Ali. The bridge was in charge of Khurzâd ibn-Mâhibun-dâdâh who went out against the Moslems, but was fought and defeated by them. The Moslems then retreated to ‘Ain at-Tamr.

An-Nusair and Hudhaifah. It is stated by al-Wâkidî that after the battle of al-Jîsr [bridge] and after making the Moslems withdraw to Khaffân, al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥârithah sent in the caliphate of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb an-Nusair and Hudhaifah ibn-Mîḥsan at the head of a body of horsemen, who destroyed a band of the banu-Taghlib

¹ Ya‘kûbi, Buḍân, p. 253.
and crossed over to Takrīt from which they carried away camels and goats.

"One of the things told me by abu-Mas‘ūd," said ‘Attāb ibn-Ibrāhīm, "was that an-Nusair and Ḥudhaifah promised security to the people of Takrīt and wrote a statement which was carried out by ‘Utbah ibn-Farṣad as-Sulami when he reduced at-Ṭirhān [or Ṭirahān] and al-Mauṣil. He also mentioned the fact that an-Nusair, directed by Khālid ibn-al-Walid, made a raid against villages in Maskin and Ḫaṭrabbul [or Ḫuṭrubbūl] from which he carried off large booty."

From ‘Ain at-Tamr, Khālid advanced to Syria and said to al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥārithah, "Return [to al-Ḥīrah? ]—may Allah have mercy on thee—to thy Sultan, untired and unfailing." ¹

The departure of Khālid for Syria took place in Rabī‘ II, according to others, Rabī‘ I, year 13. It is claimed by some that Khālid came from ‘Ain at-Tamr to Dūmah,² which he reduced, and after that he proceeded to al-Ḥīrah and thence to Syria. That he departed for Syria from ‘Ain at-Tamr, is, however, more reliable.

¹ Certain verses describing the battles referred to above are here omitted from the translation. This was done in a few other cases.
CHAPTER II

THE CALIPHATE OF 'UMAR IBN-AL-KHAṬṬĀB

Abu-'Ubaid chief commander. When 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb was proclaimed caliph, he directed Abu-'Ubaid ibn-Mas’ūd ibn-'Amr ibn-'Umair ibn-'Auf ibn-'Ukṣah ibn-Ghiyarah ibn-'Auf ibn-Thaḳif (who is identical with Abu-l-Mukhtar ibn-abi-'Ubaid) to al-'Irāk with 1,000 men. Meanwhile, he wrote to al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥārithah, ordering him to receive Abu-'Ubaid and obey his word. In the company of Abu-'Ubaid, he sent Saliḥ ibn-Ḳais ibn-'Amr al-Anṣārī, saying to him, “Had it not been for the fact that thou art too hasty, I would have put thee in chief command. But warfare is a stubborn thing, and only the cautious man is fit for it.”

Abu-'Ubaid defeats Jābān, al-Jālinūs and other Persian chiefs. Abu-'Ubaid marched forward and left no Arab tribe by which he passed without arousing its interest in the “holy war” and plunder. Thus, he was joined by a large host. On arriving in al-'Udhaib, he heard that Jābān, the Persian, was at Tustar with a large body of men. Abu-'Ubaid met Jābān and put his troops to flight, taking some of them captive.

Thence Abu-'Ubaid proceeded to Durna in which there was assembled a body of Persians. These Abu-'Ubaid chased to Kaskar. He then advanced to meet al-Jālinūs,

who was at Bârûsma [or Mârûsma]; but ibn-al-Andarz-azz\(^1\) made terms with him, agreeing to pay four *dirhams* on every person, provided abu-‘Ubaid should keep his way.

Abu-‘Ubaid sent al-Muthanna to Zandaward,\(^2\) and finding that its inhabitants had violated their covenant, al-Muthanna fought against them, won the victory and carried away [many] captives. Abu-‘Ubaid also sent ‘Urwah ibn-Zaid-al-Khail aţ-Ţâ‘i to az-Zawâbi, with whose chief [dih-kân] me made terms similar to those made with Bârûsma.

\(^1\) Tābari, vol. i, pp. 2029 *seq.*
CHAPTER III

THE BATTLE OF KUSS AN-NĀṬIF, OR THE BATTLE OF AL-JISR

_Dhu-l-Ḥājib_. Hearing that the Arabs were massing their forces, the Persians sent dhu-l-Ḥājib [the eye-browed] Mardānshāh who was nicknamed by Anūshirwān “Bahman” [potent, endowed with great means] because he augured good from him.¹ He was called dhu-l-Ḥājib because, in his pride, he tied up his brows, to lift them above his eyes. His name, it is said, was Rustam.²

_The elephant_. Abu-‘Ubaid ordered that the bridge [on the Euphrates] be erected; and it was, the people of Bāni-kīya helping in the construction. It is said that this bridge once belonged to the people of al-Ḥirah on which they crossed over to their farms. Being in ruins, abu-‘Ubaid ordered it repaired. Over this bridge, abu-‘Ubaid and the Moslems crossed from al-Marwaḥah ³ and met dhu-l-Ḥājib who was accompanied by 4,000 men armed from head to foot, and one elephant—others say many elephants. A fierce fight ensued, in the course of which many wounds were inflicted on the Moslems. At this, Saliṭ ibn-Ḳais said to abu-‘Ubaid, “I have warned thee against crossing this bridge and advised thee to withdraw to some quarter and write for reinforcements to the ‘Commander of the Believers’; but thou hast refused.” Saliṭ fought until he was killed. Abu-‘Ubaid asked, “Which is the vulnerable point in this creature?” and he was told that it was its trunk, upon which he made a rush and struck the trunk of the ele

phant. Abu-Miḥjan ibn-Ḥabīb ath-Thākāfī also charged the elephant and struck and broke its leg. The “polytheists”, thereupon, made an attack which resulted in the death of Abu-ʿUbayd. Others say that the elephant threw its weight upon him and crushed him.¹

The Moslems who fell. After Abu-ʿUbayd, the flag was carried by his brother, al-Ḥakam, who was then killed, and the flag passed to the hands of his son, Jabr, who also fell. Al-Muthanna ² ibn-Ḥārithah carried it for one hour, after which he withdrew his men as some of them defended the others. On this occasion, ʿUrwaḥ ibn-Zaid al-Khail fought so fiercely that his action was estimated to be equivalent to that of a whole group of men.

Among those who took part in the defense of the Moslems on the west bank of the river, was the poet Abu-Zubaid at-Ṭāʾi, who happened to be at al-Ḥirah on some personal business. Abu-Zubaid was a Christian.

Al-Muthanna came and occupied Ullais and communicated the news in a letter to ʿUmar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb sent with ʿUrwaḥ ibn-Zaid.

Among those killed in the battle of al-Jisr [the bridge], according to Abu-Mikhnaf, was Abu-Zaid al-Anṣāri, one of those who compiled the Koran in the days of the Prophet.

The battle of al-Jisr was fought on Saturday at the end of Ramaḍān, year 13.

ʿAbu-ʿUbayd al-Ḵāsim ibn-Sallām from Ḥāzim:—As Abu-ʿUbayd was crossing Bānikiya with a band of followers, the “polytheists” cut the bridge and many of his men lost their lives. Ismāʿīl ibn-abi-Khālid adds that Abu-ʿAmr ash-Shaibānī stated that the battle of Mihrān was fought at the beginning of the year, and al-Ḵādisiyah at the end of it.

² Dinawari, p. 119.
CHAPTER IV

THE BATTLE OF MIHRÂN OR AN-NUKHAILAH

Jarîr ibn-'Abdallâh's campaign in al-'Irâk. According to abu-Mikhnaf and others, for one year after the calamity that befell abu-'Ubaid and Salît, 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb refrained from the mention of the name of al-'Irâk. In the meantime, al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥârithah was staying in the region of Ullais summoning the Arabs to the "holy war". At last 'Umar invited the Moslems to an expedition to al-'Irâk, but they kept aloof and hesitated to go there, so much so that he was on the point of carrying the expedition in person. Now, a body of al-Azîd came to 'Umar intent on the invasion of Syria; but he asked them to go to al-'Irâk and aroused their interest in the spoils to be taken from the Kisra family. They left it for him to choose for them, and he ordered them to start [for al-'Irâk].

Jarîr ibn-'Abdallâh came from as-Sarîh at the head of the Bajilah tribe, and offered to go to al-'Irâk, provided one-quarter of what they took possession of be allotted to him and his men. 'Umar accepted the offer and Jarîr started towards al-'Irâk. Some claim that he went via al-Baṣrah and had a conflict with the satrap [Marzubân] of al-Madîr, whom he defeated. Others claim that the conflict with the Marzubân took place when Jarîr was in the company of Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd. Still others assert that Jarîr took the road to al-'Udhaib, passing through Fâid and ath-Thâlabiyah.

¹ Ṭabarî, vol. i, p. 2186; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 155.
Affân ibn-Muslim from ash-Sha‘bi:—After the death of abu-'Ubaid, who was the first to be directed by 'Umar to al-Kûfah, 'Umar directed Jarir ibn-‘Abdallâh there, saying, "Wouldst thou go to al-'Irâk if I allow thee one-third of the spoils after the [usual] fifth has been taken?" and Jarir said, "I will."

Dair Hind. The Moslems assembled in Dair Hind ¹ in the year 14 immediately after the death of Shirawaih, and the succession of Bûrân, daughter of Kisra, who was to rule until Yazdajird ibn-Shahriyâr came of age. Yazdajird ² sent against them Mihrân ibn-Mihrîbundâdh al-Hamadhâni at the head of 12,000 men. The Moslems offered no resistance until he crossed the bridge on the Euphrates and arrived next to Dair al-A‘war. ³

The battle of al-Buwaib. It is reported by Saif that Mihrân, after crossing al-Jîsr [the bridge], came to a place called al-Buwaib. ⁴ It was in this place that he was killed.

Someone has said that the irregularities in the land of al-Buwaib were filled up with bones in the time of the civil war, ⁵ made level with the surface and covered with powdered soil [and that whenever the soil was removed the bones were seen]. ⁶ The spot lay between as-Sakûn [canal] and the banu-Sulaim [canal]. ⁷ This was the place in which the water of the Euphrates sank in the time of the Kisras and from which it poured into al-Jauf. ⁸

⁴ Ibid., vol. i, p. 764.
⁵ The reference is, perhaps, to the insurrection of Muṣ‘ab ibn-az-Zubair.
⁶ The text is corrupt.
⁷ Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 2191.
The Moslems camped at an-Nukhailah and were led, according to the Bajilah, by Jarir ibn-'Abdallāh, and, according to the Rabī‘ah, by al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥārithah. Others affirm that the Moslems were commanded in turn by the heads of the various tribes. The Moslems met their enemy, and Shurahbil ibn-as-Simṭ al-Kindi distinguished himself in the fight that ensued. Mas‘ūd ibn-Ḥārithah was slain. So al-Muthanna said, “Fear not, Moslems, because my brother is killed. Such is the fate of the best among you.” Upon this, the Moslems charged, as if they were one body, with confidence and patience which resulted, by Allah’s help, in the death of Mihrān and the defeat of the “infidels”. The Moslems pursued them with slaughter; and few were those who escaped. On this day, Kurṭ ibn-Jam-tāḥ al-‘Abdi applied his sword until its edge was bent. When the night fell, they returned to their camp. This took place in the year 14.

The death of Mihrān was effected by Jarir ibn-‘Abdallāh and al-Mundhir ibn-Ḥassān ibn-Ḍirār aḍ-Ḍabbi, each one of whom claimed that he had killed him, which led to a fierce dispute. At last, al-Mundhir carried away Mihrān’s belt; and Jarir, the rest of the spoils from him. Some assert that among those who killed him was al-Ḥiṣn ibn-Ma‘-bad ibn-Zurārah ibn-‘Udas at-Tamimi.

Moslem raids. After this victory, the Moslems did not cease to make raids in the regions between al-Ḥirah and Kaskar, Sūra, Barbisma [?] and Şarāt Jāmāsib and between al-Fallūjatain, an-Nahrain and ‘Ain-at-Tamr.

1 Yākūt, vol. iv, pp. 771-772.
3 Yūsuf, p. 16, lines 16-17.
4 Cf. Dinawari, p. 121.
5 See Le Strange, The Lands of the Eastern Caliphate, p. 25, map.
The Moslems also attacked Ḫiṣn Malikīya, which was a watching post, and reduced it. They drove the Persians from other watching posts at at-Ṭaff, the Persians by this time having become emaciated and having become weak and feeble in power. Certain Moslems crossed Nahr [canal] Sûra and came to Kûthâ, Nahr al-Malik and Bâdûraiyâ; some reaching as far as Kalwâdha. The Arabs in these razzias lived on what they plundered.

There are those who say that between the battles of Mih-rân and al-Ḳâdisîyâ, 18 months elapsed.
CHAPTER V

THE BATTLE OF AL-KÂDISIYAH

‘Umar sends Sa‘d ibn-abi-Wâkkâs. The Moslems wrote to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb telling him of the great number of the Persians massing against them, and asked for reinforcements. ‘Umar desired to lead the razzia in person and collected an army for that purpose; but he was advised by al-‘Abbâs ibn-‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib and other sheikhs from among the Companions of the Prophet to stay at home and send out the forces and troops; and ‘Umar did that. ‘Ali ibn-abi-Ṭâlib advised him to go himself; but ‘Umar replied, “I have made up my mind to stay.” ‘Umar proposed to ‘Ali the idea of going; but the latter refused, upon which ‘Umar wanted Sa‘īd ibn-Zaid ibn-‘Amr ibn-Nufail al-‘Adawi to go. Finally, it occurred to him to send Sa‘d ibn-abi-Wâkkâs, which he did. The name of abu-Wâkkâs was Mâlik ibn-Uhaib ibn-‘Abd-Manâf ibn-Zuhrah ibn-Kilâb. Sa‘d was a man of valor and a good shot. Others say that at this time Sa‘īd ibn-Zaid ibn-‘Amr was on an expedition in Syria.

Sa‘d proceeded to al-‘Irâk and stayed at ath-Tha‘labiyah for three months, in the course of which all the troops overtook him. Thence he came, in the year 15, to al-‘Udhaib. Al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥârithah happened to be ill at that time, and he advised Sa‘d to meet the enemy between al-Kâdisiyyah and al-‘Udhaib. His case soon became serious and he was carried to his clan among whom he died. Sa‘d married his wife.
Rustam. According to al-Wâkidî, al-Muthanna died before Rustam came to al-Kâdisiyah. This Rustam, who was from ar-Rai—or from Hamadhân as others say—came and occupied Burs from which he left for a place between al-Ḥirah and as-Sailahîn, where he stayed for four months without trying measures or fighting with the Moslems. The Moslems, in the meantime, lay camped between al-ʿUdhaib and al-Kâdisiyah. Rustam sent ahead of him dhu-l-Ḥâjib, who camped at Ẓizanâbâdh. The "polytheists" numbered about 120,000, and were accompanied by thirty elephants, and had a great banner called Dirafsh Kâbiyân;¹ while the Moslems, taken together, numbered between 9,000 and 10,000. When the Moslems were in need of fodder or food, they sent horsemen into the interior of the land who would make raids along the lower course of the Euphrates. From al-Madinah, ʿUmar used to send them sheep and camels for slaughter.

Al-Mughîrah reinforces Saʿd. Al-Baṣrah was built sometime between the battle of an-Nukhailah and al-Kâdisiyah by ʿUtbah ibn-Ghazwân. When ʿUtbah asked leave for a pilgrimage, he assigned as successor al-Mughîrah ibn-Shuʿbah, who was confirmed in his position by a letter from ʿUmar. Before long, the charge ² that was brought against al-Mughîrah was brought against him, and ʿUmar appointed abu-Mûsa governor of al-Baṣrah and recalled al-Mughîrah to al-Madinah. Later, ʿUmar sent al-Mughîrah back to al-Baṣrah together with those who gave witness against him. Now, on the day of the battle of al-Kâdisiyah, ʿUmar wrote to abu-Mûsa, ordering him to reinforce

¹ or Dirafshikâbiyan. In Persian: dirafsh-i-Kâwiyan = the royal standard of the Sassanians; see Vullers' Persian Dictionary; Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 2175.

² Of having immoral relations with umm-Jamil, which is discussed later by al-Balâdhuri. See abu-l-Fida, vol. i, p. 163.
Sa‘d; upon which abu-Mūsa sent al-Mughirah with 800 (others say 400) men. Having taken part in the battle, al-Mughirah returned to al-Madinah.

*Kais reinforces Sa‘d.* In the meantime, ‘Umar wrote to abu-‘Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrāh, and he sent to the reinforcement of Sa‘d Kāis ibn-Hubairah ibn-al-Makshûh al-Murādi, who according to some, took part in the battle of al-Kādisiyah, and according to others, did not arrive until the battle was over. Kāis commanded 700 men.

The battle of al-Kādisiyah took place at the end of the year 16. Some say that it was ‘Utbah ibn-Ghazwân who sent al-Mughirah to the reinforcement of Sa‘d, that al-Mughirah was assigned governor of al-Baṣrah only after he returned from al-Kādisiyah and that ‘Umar, after calling al-Mughirah back to al-Madinah because of the charge brought against him, never sent him out of al-Madinah except when he assigned him governor of al-Kūfah.

Al-‘Abbās ibn-al-Walid an-Narsi from ash-Sha‘bi:—‘Umar wrote to abu-‘Ubaidah, “Send to al-Kādisiyah Kāis ibn-Makshûh at the head of the men he invites to join him.” Kāis summoned a body of men and arrived, at the head of 700 of them, to find the victory already won by Sa‘d. Kāis’s men asked for a share in the booty. Sa‘d wrote to ‘Umar, who wrote back, “If Kāis arrived before the burial of those that were killed, then thou shouldst give him his share.”

*Al-Mughirah’s interview with Rustam.* Rustam asked Sa‘d to send some companions of his to consult with him. Sa‘d delegated al-Mughirah ibn-Shu‘bah. Al-Mughirah betook himself towards Rustam’s throne, in order to sit by him, but was not allowed to do so by the Persian cavalry guard [asdwirah]. Rustam said many things, among which was the following, “I have learned that ye were forced to what ye are doing by nothing but the narrow
means of livelihood and by poverty. We are ready to give you what will satisfy you, and to see you leave with certain things that ye choose." ¹ Al-Mughirah answered, "Allah has sent us his Prophet by following and obeying whom we were made prosperous, and he has ordered us to fight those who differ from our faith 'Until they pay tribute out of hand and in a humbled state'.² We, therefore, call thee to the worship of Allah alone and the belief in his Prophet, which if thou shouldst do, well and good; otherwise, the sword will decide between us." Rustam, snorting with anger, said, "By the sun and by the moon, the day will not break to-morrow before we kill you all." "No strength and no force but in Allah," answered al-Mughirah, and departed riding a lean horse with a sword broken at its edge and wrapped up in rags.³

'Amr and al-Ash'ath interview Rustam. 'Umar wrote to Sa'd instructing him to send to the magnate of the Persians a delegation to invite him to Islâm. Accordingly, Sa'd sent 'Amr ibn-Ma'dikarib az-Zubaidi and al-Ash'ath ibn-Kais al-Kindi at the head of a delegation. They passed by Rustam, and on being brought before him, he asked them, "To whom are ye going?" to which they replied, "To your chief." A long conversation followed in which they said, "Our Prophet has promised us the conquest of your land," upon which Rustam called for a palm-leaf basket full of soil and said, "This is for you from our land!" 'Amr ibn-Ma'dikarib immediately arose, spread his cloak and departed, carrying in it some of the soil. When he was asked later, "Why didst thou do that?". 'Amr replied, "Because I considered it a good omen, indicating that

¹ Dinawari, p. 127; Tabari, vol. i, p. 2271.
² Koran, 9: 29.
³ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2270.
their land will one day be ours, and we will take possession of it.” Finally, they presented themselves before the king and invited him to Islâm. The king became angry and ordered them to leave, saying, “Had ye not been envoys, I would have put you to death!” He also wrote and rebuked Rustam for sending them to him.

_A Moslem forage expedition._ Later, a forage expedition\(^1\) of the Moslems, headed by Zuhrarah ibn-Ḥawiyah ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-Ḳatâdah at-Tamîmi—later as-Sa’di—(others say it was headed by Ḳatâdah ibn-Ḥawiyah\(^2\)), came across some Persian cavalry, which was the occasion for the final conflict. The Persians rallied to the succor of their cavalry, and the Moslems to those on their expedition; and a fierce battle raged between the two. The time was an afternoon. ‘Amr ibn-Ma‘dikarib az-Zubaidi rushed forward and, seizing a Persian chief by the neck, lifted him to the saddle in front of him, saying [to his men], “I am abu-Thaur! Do ye as I do!” He then stabbed the nose of one of the elephants, saying, “Apply your swords to their trunks; the vulnerable point in the elephant is his trunk.”

_Sa’d slaps his wife._ Sa’d ibn-abi-Wâkḳâṣ had, for a special reason, appointed Khâlîd ibn-‘Urfaţah-ı-‘Udhri, an ally of the banu-Zuhrarah, to be commander of the army and director of the affairs of the Moslems. Sa’d lived in Ḳâṣr [tower] al-‘Udhaib. His wife, Salma, daughter of Ḥafṣâh of the tribe of the banu-Taimallâh ibn-Ṭha‘labah, and formerly the wife of al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥârithah, often repeated, “O, Muthanna! But there is no more Muthanna to aid the cavalry!” Hearing that, Sa’d slapped her on the face; upon which she said, “Is it jealousy or cowardice. Sa’d?”

---

1. Ar. ‘allâfah.
**Abu-Mihjan in prison.** Abu-Mihjan ath-Thaṣṣafi ¹ was alienated to Bāḍi' ² by 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb in punishment for his being addicted to wine. He somehow managed to run away and followed Sa’d; he, according to al-Wāṣṣidi, not being one of those who had started with Sa’d. In the army of Sa’d, Abu-Mihjan again drank wine on account of which Sa’d flogged and imprisoned him in al-‘Udhaib tower. Here he asked Zabrā', a concubine of Sa’d, to release him that he might take part in the fight, promising to return to his fetters.³ She made him swear by Allah that he would do so if released. Riding on Sa’d’s mare, he rushed on the Persians, pierced through their line and thrust his sword into the nose of the white elephant. Sa’d who was watching him, said, “The mare is mine; but the charge is that of Abu-Mihjan.” Abu-Mihjan then returned to his fetters. Others say that it was Salma, daughter of Ḥafṣah, who gave him the mare; but the former report is more authentic. When the question of Rustam was settled, Sa’d said to Abu-Mihjan, “By Allah, I shall never punish thee for wine after seeing what I saw of thee.” “As for me,” answered Abu-Mihjan, “by Allah, I shall never drink it again.”⁴

**The slayer of Rustam.** On that day, Ṭulaiḥah ibn-Khuwailid al-Asadi distinguished himself in fighting, and with a blow, cut the under-helmet of al-Jālinūs, but did not injure his head. On the same occasion, Kāis ibn-Makshūḥ turned to the people and said, “To be killed is the fate of the noble. Let not those ‘uncircumcised’ have more pa-


³ Dinawari, p. 129.

tience or be more anxious to die than yourselves.” Saying this, he rushed and fought fiercely. By Allah’s help, Rustam was slain and his body was found covered with so many blows and stabs that the one who gave the fatal blow could not be determined. ‘Amr ibn-Ma‘dikarib, Tulaiḥah ibn-Khuwailid al-Asadi, Kurṭ ibn-Jammâḥ al-‘Abdi and Dirâr ibn-al-Azwar al-Asadi had all rushed at him. This Dirâr, according to al-Wâkidi, was killed in the battle of al-Yamâmah. Some say that Rustam was killed by Zuhair ibn-‘Abd-Shams al-Bajali; others, by ‘Auwâm ibn-‘Abd-Shams; and still others by Hilâl ibn-‘Ullafah at-Taimi.¹

This battle of al-Ḵâdisiyah was fought on Thursday, Friday and the night of Saturday, which last was since called “Lailat al-Harîr”.² The night of the battle of Ṣiffin was also thus called.

Some say that Kâis ibn-Makshûḥ took no part in the fight at al-Ḵâdisiyah, having arrived there after the Moslems had been through with the fighting.

Salmān ibn-Rabī‘ah’s part. Aḥmad ibn-Salmān al-Bâhili from certain sheikhs:—Salmān ibn-Rabī‘ah invaded Syria in the company of abu-Umâmah aṣ-Ṣudai ibn-‘Ajlân al-Bâhili, and took part in the battles fought by the Moslems there. He then went forth to al-‘Irâk together with those who, under great urgency, hastened to al-Ḵâdisiyah as a reinforcement, and took part in the decisive conflict. He settled at al-Kûfah and was killed in Balanjar.

According to al-Wâkidi, a group of Persians, planting their banner firmly in the ground, said, “We shall not leave our position until we die;” upon which Salmān ibn-Rabī‘ah-l-Bâhili made an attack and killed them, carrying their banner away.

¹ Ya‘kûbi, vol. ii, p. 165.
Khalid ibn-'Urfuṭah's part. Sa'd sent Khalid ibn-'Urfuṭah at the head of the cavalry charged with pursuing the enemy. Khalid and his men killed every one they overtook until they arrived in Burs. Here Khalid was the guest of one, Bistâm, who treated him with kindness and loyalty. A canal that ran there was called Nahr Bistâm. Khalid then passed through as-Ṣarâh [canal] and caught up with Jālinūs. Kathîr ibn-Shihâb al-Ḥârithi charged Jālinūs and stabbed him, and according to others, killed him. Ibn-al-Kalbi says that it was Zuhrâh ibn-Ḥawiyah as-Sa’di who killed him. The former report is more authentic.

The Persians fled to al-Madâ’in, following Yazdajird [their king]. Sa’d immediately communicated with ‘Umar, announcing the victory and giving the names of those who had fallen.

The Persian arrows. Abu-Rajâ’ al-Ṭîrisi from his grandfather:—The latter said: “I took part in the battle of al-Ḳâdisiyah when I was still a Magian. When the Arabs sent their arrows against us, we began to shout, 260 ‘dûk! dûk!’ by which we meant, spindles. These spindles, however, continued to shower upon us, until we were overwhelmed. Our archer would send the arrow from his Nâwakiyah bow, but it would not do more than attach itself to the garment of an Arab; whereas their arrow would tear the coat of mail and the double cuirass that we had on.”

According to Hishâm ibn-al-Kalbi, the first to kill a Persian in the battle of al-Ḳâdisiyah was Rabî‘ah ibn-‘Uthmân ibn-Rabî‘ah of the banu-Nâṣr ibn-Mu’âwiyah ibn-Bakr ibn-Hawâzin ibn-Manṣûr.

In this battle, Sa’d ibn-‘Ubaid al-Anṣârî fell a martyr. 262 His death afflicted ‘Umar so much that he said, “His death almost marred the joy of the victory for me.”

CHAPTER VI

THE CONQUEST OF AL-MADÂ’IN

_An-Nakhîrkhân slain by Zuhair._ After the battle of al-Kâdisîyah, the Moslems started off, and after passing Dair [monastery] Ka‘b [?],¹ they were met by an-Nakhîrkhân,² who appeared at the head of a large body of men from al-Madâ’în.³ In the conflict that ensued, Zuhair ibn-Sulaim al-Azdi seized an-Nakhîrkhân by the neck; and they both fell to the ground. Zuhair took a dagger that was in the other man’s belt and cut open his abdomen, thereby putting him to death.

_Bahurasîr._ Sa‘d and the Moslems went and occupied Sâbâṭ. They then assembled in the city of Bahurasîr,⁴ which lay in the Shiîk al-Kûfah [western bank of the Tigris], where they spent nine months (others say 18),⁵ during which they ate fresh dates for two seasons. The inhabitants of that city fought against them until they could offer no more resistance, at which the Moslems entered the city. When the city was thus reduced, Yazdajird ibn-Shahriyâr, the Persian king, resolved to flee and was suspended in a basket from the wall of al-Âbyad fort in al-Madâ’în and was therefore called by the Nabateans Barzabil [the

¹ Yûsuf, p. 17, l. 8: “Dair al-Masâlih” (?).
⁴ Nöldeke, _Perser_, p. 16, n. 4.
⁵ Dinawari, p. 133.
son of the basket]. Yazdajird thence left for Ḫulwân¹ with the principal dignitaries of his kingdom, and carried with him the treasury of the kingdom, his precious but light² pieces of furniture, private treasury, wives and children. In the year in which he fled, plague and famine ravaged all Persia. The Moslems then crossed [the Tigris] through a ford, and took possession of the city on the eastern bank of the river.

*The Arabs cross the Tigris.* "Affân ibn-Muslim from abu-Wâ’il.—The latter said, "When the Persians were put to flight at al-Ḵâdisiyah, we pursued them. They reunited at Kûtha³ and we pursued them until we reached the Tigris, at which the Moslems said, 'Why do you gaze at this small body of water? Let us wade through.'⁴ Accordingly, we waded through, and once more put the enemy to flight."

Muḥammad ibn-Saʻd from Abân ibn-Ṣâliḥ.—When they were defeated at al-Ḵâdisiyah, the fugitive Persians came to al-Madâ’in. The Moslems having arrived at the Tigris, whose water was flowing higher than ever, found that the Persians had removed the ships and ferry-boats to the eastern bank and burned the bridge. Saʻd and the Moslems finding no way to cross over were greatly afflicted. At last, Saʻd chose one of the Moslems who swam across on horseback. Likewise, the other Moslems crossed on horseback and, on landing, made the owners of the ships transport the baggage. Seeing that, the Persians said, "By Allah, those we are fighting are nothing less than demons [*jinn*]!" and they took to flight.

¹ Yâḵût, vol. ii, p. 312.
² Ar. *khiff*; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 724, takes it to be *khaffa* and stretches the meaning into "ma abbandonando in gran parte le sue masserizie". Cf. Dinawari, p. 133.
⁴ Text not clear. Caetani, vol. iii, p. 723.
Sâbâṭ and ar-Rûmîyah. 'Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from ‘Awânah ibn-al-Ḥakam, and Abu-‘Ubaidah Ma‘mar ibn-al-Muthanna from Abu-‘Amr ibn-al-‘Alâ’ —Sa‘d ibn-abî-Waḳ-kâş sent at the head of the van of his army Khâlid ibn-‘Ur-fūṭah, who succeeded in reducing Sâbâṭ ¹ before Sa‘d’s arrival. Khâlid proceeded and camped at ar-Rûmîyah ² until its people made terms, agreeing to have those of them who wanted to leave, do so, and those who wanted to stay, stay, on condition that they offer homage and give counsel, pay kharâj, and act as guides for the Moslems, without entertaining any treachery against them. Sa‘d [in crossing the river] found no ferry-boats, but was shown a ferry near aṣ-Ṣaiyâdîn village. The horses waded through while the Persians shot them with their arrows. All the Moslems, however, escaped, and only one of them from the tribe of Ṭaiyi’, whose full name was Salîl ibn-Yazîd ibn-Mâlik as-Sînbigî, was killed.

Kisra’s concubines. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ṣâliḥ from ash-Sha‘bi:—The latter said, “In the battle of al-Madâ’in, the Moslems carried away many of Kisra’s maids [concubines] who had been brought from all quarters of the world and lavishly adorned for him. My mother was one of them. On that day, too, the Moslems found camphor and, taking it for salt, put it in their cooking-pans.” ³

According to al-Wâḳîdî, Sa‘d was all done with the conquest of al-Madâ’in and Jalûlā’ in the year 16.

¹ Sometimes called Sâbâṭ Kisra. Yâḳût, vol. i, p. 3; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 724, l. 4.
³ Dinawari, p. 134; Yûsuf, p. 17.
CHAPTER VII

THE BATTLE OF JALULÀ’

A description of the battle. After spending several days in al-Madâ’in, the Moslems received word that Yazdajird had massed a great host, which was then at Jalûlà’, and had directed it against them. Sa’d ibn-abi-Wakkkâṣ thereupon dispatched Hâshim ibn-‘Utba ibn-abi-Wakkkâṣ at the head of 12,000 men to meet them. The Moslems found that the Persians, having left their families and heavy baggage at Khânîkîn,¹ had dug trenches and fortified themselves, binding themselves with a pledge never to flee. Reinforcements were coming to them all the time from Ḥulwân and al-Jibâl [the mountains, i. e., Media]. The Moslems, thinking it best to hasten the attack before the reinforcements became too strong, met them with Ḥujr ibn-‘Adi-1-Kindi commanding the right wing, ‘Amr ibn-Ma’dikarib commanding the cavalry and Tulaihah ibn-Khuwailid commanding the infantry. The Persians were on this occasion led by Khurrarazâd, a brother of Rustam. The fight that ensued was the fiercest they ever had, in which arrows and lances were used until broken to pieces, and swords were applied until they were bent. Finally the Moslems altogether made one onslaught and drove the Persians from their position, putting them to flight. The Persians fled away and the Moslems kept pursuing them at their very heels with fearful slaughter until darkness intervened and they had to return to their camp.

¹ Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 393.
Hâshim ibn-'Utbah left Jarîr ibn-'Abdallâh in Jalûla' with a heavy force of cavalry to act as a check between the Moslems and their enemy. Yazdajird thereupon left Ḥulwân.

Mahrûdh. The Moslems carried on many raids in the regions of as-Sawâd on the east bank of the Tigris. Coming to Mahrûdh,1 Hâshim made terms with its dihkân, stipulating that the latter should pay a jarîb of dirhams [?] and the former should not kill any of the men.

Ad-Daskarah. On a charge of treachery, against the Moslems, Hâshim put the dihkân of ad-Daskarah 2 to death.

Al-Bandani-jain. Hâshim then proceeded to al-Bandani-jain,3 whose inhabitants sued for peace, agreeing to pay tax and kharâj. Consequently, Hâshim promised them security.

Khâniqin. At Khâniqin there was a small remnant of the Persians against whom Jarîr ibn-'Abdallâh now marched and whom he put to death. Thus was no region of the Sawâd Dijlah left unconquered by the Moslems or unpossessed by them.

According to Hishâm ibn-al-Kalbi, the leader of the army in the battle of Jalûla' in behalf of Sa'd was 'Amr ibn-'Utbah ibn-Naufal ibn-Uhaib ibn-'Abd-Manâf ibn-Zuhrah whose mother was 'Ātikah, daughter of Abu-Wâk-kâs.

After the battle of Jalûla', Sa'd left for al-Madâ'in where he gathered a host of men, and then kept on his way to the region of al-Ḥirah.

The battle of Jalûla' took place at the close of the year 16.

The converts. Those who embraced Islâm were among others, Buṣbuhra—the dihkân of al-Falâlij and an-Nahrain,

1 Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 700.
Bistām ibn-Narsi—the dihḵān of Bābil and Khuṭarniyah, 1 ar-Rufail—the dihḵān of al-ʿĀl, 2 and Fairuz—the dihḵān of Nahr al-Malik 3 and Kûtha. ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb did not interfere with them but left their lands in their own hands and annulled the poll-tax they paid.

**Hāshim’s campaign.** Abu-Mas’ūd al-Kûfī from ʿAwānah’s father:—Sa’d ibn-abi-Wakḵāṣ dispatched Hāshim ibn-ʿUtbah ibn-abi-Wakḵāṣ accompanied by al-Ashʿath ibn-Kāis al-Kindi. Hāshim passed through ar-Râdhānāt 4 and visited Dākūka and Khânjār, conquering all that region together with all the district of Bâjarma. Hāshim penetrated towards Sinn Bârimma 5 and Bawâzij al-Mulk as far as the border of Shahrazūr.

**‘Umar’s message to Sa’d.** Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabib:—When Sa’d ibn-abi-Wakḵāṣ completed the conquest of as-Sawâd, he received the following letter from ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb:

“I have received thy letter in which thou statest that thy men have asked thee to divide among them whatever spoils Allah has assigned them. At the receipt of my letter, find out what possessions and horses the troops on ‘horses and camels’ 6 have acquired and divide that among them, after taking away one-fifth. As for the land and camels, leave them in the hands of those men who work them, so that they may be included in the stipends [pensions] of the Moslems. If thou dividest them among those present, nothing will be left for those who come after them.”

2 Yâḵūt, vol. iii, p. 592.
3 Ibid., vol. iv, p. 846.
5 Ibid., vol. iii, p. 169.
6 Kor., 59: 6.
How the land and the inhabitants of as-Sawād should be considered. Al-Ḥusain from 'Abdallāh ibn-Ḥāzim:—The latter said, "I once asked Mujāhid regarding the land of as-Sawād and he answered, 'It can neither be bought nor sold.' This is because it was taken by force and was not divided. It belongs to all the Moslems."

Al-Walid ibn-Ṣāliḥ from Sulaimān ibn-Yasār:—'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb left as-Sawād for those who were still in men's loins and mothers' wombs [i.e., posterity], considering the inhabitants dhimmis from whom tax should be taken on their person, and kharāj on their land. They are therefore dhimmis and cannot be sold as slaves.

The following statement was made by Sulaimān: "Al-Walid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik wanted to consider the inhabitants of as-Sawād as having been acquired without fighting [Ar. fa'ī]; but when I told him of the position 'Umar took regarding them, Allah prevented him from doing so."

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Ḥarīthah ibn-Muṣṭarrib:—'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, desiring to divide as-Sawād among the Moslems, ordered that they be counted. Each Moslem had three peasants for his share. 'Umar took the advice of the Prophet's Companions, and 'Ali said, "Leave them that they may become a source of revenue and aid for the Moslems." Accordingly, 'Umar sent 'Uthmān ibn-Ḥunaif al-Anṣārī who assessed on each man 48, 24, or 12 [dirhams].

Abu-Naṣr at-Tammār from 'Ali:—The latter said, "If ye were not to strike one another on the face [have civil war] I would divide as-Sawād among you."

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from 'Āmir:—The people of as-Sawād have no covenant, rather they came under our control by surrender.

1 Ar. māddah; see an-Nihāyah, vol. iv, p. 84.
Al-Ḥusain from ash-Shaʿbi:—The latter was asked whether the people of as-Sawâd had a covenant, to which he replied, “At first, they had none; but when the Moslems consented to take kharâj from them, then they came to have one.”

Al-Ḥusain from ‘Amir:—The latter said, “The people of as-Sawâd have no covenant.”

The Magians. ‘Amr an-Nâkid from Jaʿfar ibn-Muḥammad’s father:—The Emigrants had a sitting place in the mosque in which ‘Umar used to discuss with them the news he received from the different regions. One day he said, “I know not how to treat the Magians;” upon which ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-‘Auf rose and said, “I bear witness that the Prophet said, ‘Treat them according to the same law with which ye treat the People of the Book’.”

The Bajilah’s share in as-Sawâd. Muḥammad ibn-aṣ-Ṣabbâḥ al-Bazzâz from Ḳais ibn-abi-Ḥāzim:—The Bajilah tribe constituted one-fourth of the Moslems in the battle of al-Ḳâdisiyah, and ‘Umar had allotted them one-fourth of as-Sawâd. Once when Jarîr [ibn-‘Abdallâh] called on ‘Umar, the latter said, “Had I not been responsible for what I divide, I would leave to you the share already given; but I see that the Moslems have multiplied, so ye have to restore what ye have taken.” Jarîr and the others did as ‘Umar said; and ‘Umar offered Jarîr a present of 80 dinârs.1

A woman of the tribe of Bajilah, called umm-Kurz, came to ‘Umar and said, “My father died and his share in as-Sawâd holds good. I shall never deliver it!” ‘Umar turned to her and said, “But, umm-Kurz, thy people have all consented to do so.” “I shall never consent,” said she, “unless thou carry me on a submissive she-camel covered with

1 Yûsuf, p. 18.
THE BATTLE OF JALULA

a red nappy mantle [Ar. ِkatîfah] and fill both of my hands with gold," which 'Umar did.

Al-Ḥusain from Jarîr:—'Umar gave to the Bajilah one-quarter of as-Sawâd which they held for three years.

Kāis said:—" Jarîr ibn-'Abdallâh accompanied by 'Ammâr ibn-Yâsir called on 'Umar who said, 'Had I not been held responsible for what I divide, I would leave to you the shares already given; but I see now that ye ought to restore what ye have taken.' And they did, upon which 'Umar offered a present of 80 dinârs to Jarîr." ¹

According to a tradition communicated by al-Ḥasan ibn-'Uthmân az-Ziyâdi on the authority of Kāis, 'Umar gave Jarîr ibn-'Abdallâh 400 dinârs.

Ḥumaid ibn-ar-Rabi' from al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣâliḥ:—'Umar gave the Bajilah, in exchange for the fourth of as-Sawâd they held, a stipend of 2,000 dirhams.

Al-Walîd ibn-Ṣâliḥ from Jarîr ibn-Yazîd ibn-Jarîr ibn-'Abdallâh's grandfather:—'Umar allotted to Jarîr and his men one-quarter of what they had conquered in as-Sawâd. When the spoils of Jalûlâ' were brought together, Jarîr demanded his quarter. Sa'd communicated the demand to 'Umar who wrote back as follows: "If Jarîr wants himself considered as having with his men, fought for a pay similar to the pay of al-Mu'allafah Kulūbuhum,⁵ then ye may give them their pay. If, however, they have fought in Allah's cause and will accept his remuneration, then they are part of the Moslems, having their rights and their obligations." Hearing that, Jarîr said, "Truly and honestly has the 'Commander of the Believers' spoken. We do not want our quarter."

¹ Yahya ibn-Adam, pp. 29 seq.
² Dhahabi, p. 244.
³ Those whose hearts are won to Islam by special gifts. See De Goeje, Mémoire, p. 51; Tabari, vol. i, p. 1679; Kor., 9:60.
Al-Ḥusain from Ibrāhīm an-Nakha’ī:—Someone came to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, saying, “I have accepted Islâm and ask thee to exempt my piece from the land-kharāj,” to which ‘Umar replied, “Thy land has been taken by force.”

Khalaf ibn-Hishām al-Bazzâr from Ibrāhīm at-Taimi:—When ‘Umar conquered as-Sawād, the troops said to him, “Divide it among us because we have reduced it by force through our swords.” But ‘Umar refused, saying, “What will then be left for those Moslems who come after you? Moreover, I am afraid that if I divide it, ye may come to be at variance with one another on account of its water.” ‘Umar, therefore, left the people of as-Sawād in possession of their lands, assessing a tax on their person and a fixed tax on their lands which he did not divide.

A survey of as-Sawād. Al-Ḳâsim ibn-Sallâm from ash-Sha’bi:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb sent ʿUthmān ibn-Ḥunaif al-Anṣârî to make a survey [yamsah] of as-Sawād, which he found to be 36,000,000 jarībs, on every jarīb of which he assessed one dirham and one kafīz. Al-Ḳâsim adds, “I heard that the kafīz was a measure of theirs also called ash-shābūrkān.” According to Yahya ibn-Ādam, it is equivalent to al-makhtūm al-Ḥajjāji.

The tax assessed. ‘Amr an-Nākid from Muḥammad ibn-ʿAbdallāh ath-Thakfī:—‘Umar assessed on every jarīb in as-Sawād, whether cultivated or uncultivated, provided it was accessible to water, one dirham and one kafīz, on every jarīb of raṭbah [trefoil or clover] five dirhams and five kafizes, and on every jarīb of trees ten dirhams and ten kā-

---

1 Ar. ṭask or ṭṣk. J. Wellhausen, Das Arabische Reich, pp. 172-173, Nöldeke, Perser, p. 241, n. 1; Caetani, vol. ii, p. 930, n. 6.

2 Mâwardi, pp. 272, 304.

3 Introduced through al-Ḥajjāj ibn-Yūsuf who died in the year 90 A. H.
fīses (palm trees not mentioned). On every man, he assessed 48, 24, or 12 dirhams as poll-tax.

Al-Ḳāsim ibn-Sallām from abu-Mijlaz Lāḥik ibn-Ḥumaid:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb assigned ‘Ammār ibn-Yāsir to act as religious head for the people of al-Kūfah and to command their militia, ‘Abdallāh ibn-Mas‘ūd to be their kādi and treasurer, and ‘Uthmān ibn-Ḥunaif to measure the land. To these three, he assigned each day one goat, one-half of which, together with the appendages to be taken by ‘Ammār and the other half to be divided between the other two. ‘Uthmān ibn-Ḥunaif measured the land and assessed on each jarīb of palm trees, 10 dirhams; of vine trees, 10 dirhams; of sugar-cane, 6 dirhams; of wheat, 4 dirhams; and of barley, 2 dirhams. To this end, he wrote to ‘Umar, who endorsed the assessments.

Al-Ḥusayn ibn-al-Aswad from ‘Amr ibn-Māmūn:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb sent Ḥudhaifah ibn-al-Yāmān beyond the Tigris, and ‘Uthmān ibn-Ḥunaif below the Tigris; and they assessed on every jarīb one kafīs and one dirham.

Al-Ḥusayn from Muḥammad ibn-‘Abdallāh ath-Thakafi:—When al-Mughirab ibn-Shu‘bāh was governor of as-Sawād, he wrote, “We find here other products than wheat and barley,” and mentioned Indian peas, grapes, clover and sesame, upon each of which he assessed 8 dirhams and excluded palm-trees.

Khalaf al-Bazzar from al-‘Aizār ibn-Ḥuraith:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb assessed on one jarīb of wheat two dirhams and two jarībs; on one jarīb of barley, one dirham, and

---

1 Ar. āla as-salāh; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 756, translates: “l’autorità civile.”
3 Ar. ṭuṭbah or ṭuṭbāh may also be applied to cucumber, melon and the like; see Caetani, vol. v, pp. 370 and 371; Yusuf, pp. 20-22.
4 Cf. Adam, p. 98.
one *jarīb*; and on every two *jarībs* in the uncultivated land that can be sown, one *dirham*.

Khalaf al-Bazzār from al-‘Aīzâr ibn-Ḥuraith:—‘Umar assessed on one *jarīb* of vine-trees 10 *dirhams*, on one *jarīb* of clover, 10; of cotton, 5; on one Fārisi palm-tree, one *dirham* and if of inferior quality, one *dirham* on two trees.

‘Amr an-Nâkid from abu-Mijlaz:—‘Umar assessed on a *jarīb* of palm-trees 8 *dirhams*.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ash-Sha‘ibī:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb sent ‘Uthmān ibn-Ḥunaif 1 who assessed on the people of as-Sawād 5 *dirhams* on one *jarīb* of clover, and 10 *dirhams* on one *jarīb* of vine-trees; but he assessed no tax on what was grown among the vines.

Al-Walid ibn-Ṣāliḥ from al-Miswar ibn-Rifâ‘ah:—‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz said that the *kharāj* of as-Sawād in the time of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb was 100,000,000 *dirhams*; but in the time of al-Ḥajjâj, it amounted to 40,-000,000.

Al-Walid from Aiyūb ibn-abi-Umâmah ibn-Sahl ibn-Ḥunaif’s father.—‘Uthmān ibn-Ḥunaif put seals around 271 the necks 2 of 550,000 of the “uncircumcised”, and the *kharāj* during his governorship amounted to 100,000,000.

Al-Walid ibn-Sāliḥ from Mus‘ab ibn-Yazīd abu-Zaid al-Ansârī’s father:—The latter said, “‘Ali-ibn-abi-Ṭālib sent me to the land irrigated by the Euphrates, mentioning different cantons and villages, and naming Nahr al-Malik 3 Kūtha, Bahurasir, ar-Rûmaķân, Nahr Jaubar, Nahr Durқīt and al-Bihḳubādhât. He ordered me to assess on every *jarīb* of wheat, if thickly sown, one *dirham* and a half and one ʿsd’; if thinly sown, two-thirds of a *dirham* and if not so

1 Ya‘kübi, vol. ii, pp. 173-175.
2 Yûsuf, p. 73, l. 12-16; Caetani, vol. v, pp. 371-372.
thickly or thinly sown one dirham; and on barley, one-half of that. He also ordered me to assess on the gardens that include palm-trees and other kinds, 10 dirhams per jarib; on one jarib of vine-trees, if its trees had been planted for three full years and a part of the fourth, and if it bears fruit, ten dirhams, with nothing on palm-trees that are outside the villages and the fruits of which are eaten by the passers-by. On vegetables, including cucumbers, grains, sesame and cotton, he ordered me not to assess anything. On those landlords [dihkāns] who ride mules and wear rings of gold around their feet, he ordered me to assess 48 dirhams each; and on those of them who are merchants of medium means, 24 dirhams per annum each; but on the farmers and the rest of them, 12 dirhams each."

Ḥumaid ibn-ar-Rabī' from al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣāliḥ:—The latter said, "I asked al-Ḥasan, 'What are those different rates of assessed land-tax [task]? And he replied, 'They, one after the other, have been assessed according to the nearness and distance of the land from the markets¹ and the drinking places in the river [furad].' Yaḥya ibn-Adam says, 'The Moslems of as-Sawād asked al-Mansūr towards the end of his caliphate to introduce the system by which they turn over to the authorities as tax a part of the produce of the land;² but he died before the system was introduced. Later, by al-Mahdi's orders, the system was introduced in all places with the exception of 'Aḵabat Ḥulwān.'"

¹Māwardi, p. 306, l. 12.
²Ar. muḵāsamah, as contrasted with misāḥah, is the system of land tenure by which the kharāj is levied on the produce and not the area, and is from one-tenth to one-half of the produce of the lands. Cf. Māwardi, p. 260; De Goeje's Baladhuri "Glossarium", pp. 86-87; ibn-Ṭikṭaḳa, p. 215, l. 16, p. 260, l. 5; Berchem, La Propriété Territoriale, p. 45.
The survey of Ḥudhaiyah. 'Abdallâh ibn-Ṣâliḥ al-'Ijli from certain authorities:—Ḥudhaiyah who measured the surface of the land irrigated by the Tigris, died at al-Madâ'in. The Ḳanâṭir Ḥudhaiyah [arches of Ḥudhaiyah] are named after him, because he camped near them; but others say because he renewed them. His cubit [Ar. dhirā']], like that of ibn-Ḥunaif, is the length of a man's arm, hand and thumb, stretched out. When the inhabitants of as-Sawâd had the system of kharâj proportioned to the produce of the land, after they had that based on the area [misâḥah], one of the officials said: "The tithe levied on the fiefs was a tenth which was not equivalent [?] to one-fifth of the half levied on the istâns [administrative districts]. Therefore, it is necessary that there should be levied on the jarīb of the fiefs subject to the area [misâḥah] system of kharâj also one-fifth of what is levied on the jarīb of the istâns [?]."¹ Such was the case.

Abu-'Ubaid from Maimūn ibn-Mihrân:—'Umar sent Ḥudhaiyah and ibn-Ḥunaif to Khânikin, which was one of the first places they conquered; and after they attached seals to the necks of the dhimmis, they collected its kharâj.

Lands confiscated by 'Umar. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-abi-Ḥurrah's father:—The latter said, "'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb confiscated for himself ten pieces of land in as-Sawâd of which I remember seven, the remaining three having slipped me. The lands he confiscated were (1) a piece covered with woods; (2) one covered with marshes; (3) one belonging to king Kisra; (4) all of Dair Yazid;² (5) the land of those who were killed during the war; (6) the land of those who fled the country.

¹ Caetani, vol. v, p. 374; Muḳaddasi, p. 133.
This state of affairs lasted until the register was burned in the days of al-Ḥajjāj ibn-Yūsuf, upon which the people seized the [domania]l land bordering on their property.”

Abu-‘Abd-ar-Raḥmān al-Ju‘fī from ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-abī-Ḥurrah’s father:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb confiscated in as-Sawād the land of those who were killed during the war, the land of those who fled the country, all the land of king Kisra, all the land belonging to Kisra’s family, every swampy place, all Dair Yazid and all the land that was appropriated by Kisra for himself. Thus, the value of what ‘Umar took amounted to 7,000,000 dirhams. In the battle of [Dair] al-Jamājim, the people burnt the register and every one of them seized what bordered on his land.

_Fiefs assigned by ‘Uthmān._ Al-Ḥusain and ‘Amr an-Nākīd from Mūsa ibn-Ṭalḥah:—‘Uthmān assigned as fief to ‘Abdallāh ibn-Mas‘ūd a piece of land in an-Nahrain; to ‘Ammār ibn-Yāsir, Asbina; to Khabbāb ibn-al-Aratt, Sa’-naba; and to Sa‘d [ibn-abī-Waḳḳās] the village of Hur-muz.

‘Abdallāh ibn-Sāliḥ al-‘Ijli from ash-Sha‘bi:—‘Uthmān ibn-‘Affān assigned as fief to Ṭalḥah ibn-‘Ubaidallāh an-Nashāṭaj; and to Usāmah ibn-Zaid, a piece of land which he later sold.

Mas‘ūd and Sa‘d, who were my neighbors, used to cultivate their lands for one-third and one-fourth [of the produce].”

Al-Walid ibn-Ṣāliḥ from Mūsa ibn-Ṭalḥah:—The first one to give out al-‘Irāk in fiefs was ‘Uthmān ibn-‘Affān who gave out pieces of land appropriated by Kisra, and others evacuated by their owners. Thus, he assigned to Ṭalḥah as fief an-Nashāṣṭaj; to Wâ’il ibn-Ḥujr al-Ḥadrami, the land bordering on Zurârah’s; to Khabbâb ibn-al-Aratt, Asbîna; to ‘Adī ibn-Ḥâtim aṭ-Ṭâ‘i, ar-Rauḥâ’, to Khâlid ibn-‘Urfaṭah, a piece of land near Ḥammām [bath] A‘yan; to al-Asḥath ibn-Ḳais al-Kindi, Ṭizanâbâdh; and to Jarīr ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Bajali, his land on the bank of the Euphrates.

Ajamat Burs. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣāliḥ:—The latter said, “I was informed that ‘Ali assessed on the owners of Ajamat [forest] Burs 4,000 dirhams; and to that end, he wrote them a statement on a piece of parchment.¹

I was told by Ahmad ibn-Ḥammād al-Kūfī that Ajamat Burs lies in the vicinity of the Namrūdha [Nimrod] palace in Bābil [Babylon]. In this forest, there is a precipice of great depth, which, according to some, is a well from the soil of which the bricks of the palace were made, and which, according to others, is a landslide.

Nahr Sa‘d. I learnt from abu-Mas‘ūd and others that the landlords [dihkâns] of al-Anbâr asked Sa‘d ibn-abi-Wakkâṣ to dig for them a canal which they had previously asked the Persian magnate [king] to dig² for them. Sa‘d wrote to Sa‘d ibn-‘Amr ibn-Ḥarâm, ordering him to dig the canal for them. Accordingly, they dug until they reached a mountain which they could not cut through, upon

¹ Adam, p. 18.
which they gave it up. But when al-Ḥajjāj became governor of al-‘Irāk, he gathered workmen from all regions, and said to his superintendents, “Take note of what one of the diggers eats per day. If it is the weight of what he digs out, then continue the work.” Thus, they spent money on it until it was completed. The mountain excavated was therefore named after al-Ḥajjāj; but the canal, after Saʿd ibn-ʿAmr ibn-Ḥarām.²

*Nahr Maḥdūd.* Al-Khaizurān, the mother of the caliphs, [ʿummi-al-khulafāʾ] ordered that the canal known by the name of Maḥdūd be dug; and she gave it the name of ar-Raiyān.³ Her superintendent over the work had divided it into sections, put limits for every section, and put it in charge of a group of men to dig; hence the name Maḥdūd [i. e., limited].

*Nahr Shaila.* As for the canal known by the name of Shaila, it is claimed by the banu-Shaila ibn-Farrukhzādān al-Marwazi that Sābūr [Persian king] had dug it out for their grandfather when he sent him to guard the frontier of the dominion at Nighya in the canton of al-Anbār. According to others, however, the canal was so called after one, Shaila, who made a contract for digging the canal in the days of the caliph al-Manṣūr; the canal being old but buried, al-Manṣūr ordered that it be excavated. Before the work was brought to an end, al-Manṣūr died and the work was completed in the caliphate of al-Mahdī. According to others, al-Manṣūr ordered that a mouth [only] be dug for the canal above its old mouth; but he did not complete the work. Al-Mahdī completed it.

CHAPTER VIII

THE FOUNDING OF AL-KÛFAH

Al-Kûfah chosen. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from ‘Abd-al-Ḥamīd ibn-Ja‘far and others:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb wrote to Sa‘d ibn-abi-Waḳḳāṣ ordering him to adopt for the Moslems a place to which they could emigrate, and which they could use as a meeting place [kāırawān], provided that between him [‘Umar] and the Moslems, no sea should intervene. Accordingly, Sa‘d came to al-Anbâr ¹ with the idea of occupying it. Here, however, flies were so numerous, that Sa‘d had to move to another place, which proved to be unsatisfactory, and therefore he moved to al-Kûfah which he divided into lots, giving the houses as fiefs and settling the different tribes in their quarters. He also erected its mosque. All this took place in the year 17.

Sūḳ Ḥakamah. The following was communicated to me by ‘Ali ibn-al-Mughīrah-l-Athram, on the authority of sheikhs from al-Kûfah:—When Sa‘d ibn-abi-Waḳḳāṣ was through with the battle of al-Ḵâdisîyah, he went to al-Mađâ’in, made terms with the inhabitants of ar-Rūmiyâh and Buhurasîr, reduced al-Mađâ’in,² Asbânbur ² and Kurdbandâdh ³ and settled his troops in them. The troops occupied these places. Subsequently, Sa‘d was ordered [by ‘Umar] to remove them; and so he removed them to Sūḳ Ḥakamah, others say to Kuwaifah on this side of al-Kûfah. Ac-

¹ Dinawari, p. 131.
² The Arabic and Persian names of Ctesiphon; Yâḳūt, vol. i, p. 237.
³ Perhaps a quarter in Ctesiphon; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 848.
cording to al-Athram, the word *takawwuf*¹ means "the re-
union of people". Others say that circular places when
sandy are called *kūfah*; and still others call the land rich in
pebbles, mud and sand, *kūfah*.

**Mosquitoes in al-Madā'in.** It is stated that when the
Moslems in al-Madā'in were attacked by the mosquitoes,
Sa'd wrote to 'Umar telling him that they were badly af-
fected by them; in answer to which 'Umar wrote back,
"Arabs are like camels; whatever is good for the camels is
good for them. Choose for them, therefore, a habitable
place; and let no sea intervene between them and me."
The determining of the dwelling-place was entrusted to abu-

**Al-Kûfah founded.** Then 'Abd al-Masih ibn-Buqâilah
presented himself before Sa'd and said to him, "I can point
out to thee a site which is outside the waterless desert, and
higher than the muddy places where mosquitoes abound."²
Saying this, he pointed out the site of al-Kûfah which was
then called Sûristân. When Sa'd arrived on the spot des-
tined to be the site of the mosque, a man shot, by his orders,
an arrow towards the *kiblah*, another towards the north,
another to the south, a fourth to the east, and marked the
spots where the arrows fell. Sa'd then established the
mosque and the governor's residence on the spot where the
man who shot the arrows had stood, fencing in all the space
around that spot. He then drew lots with two arrows be-
tween the tribe of Nizâr and the tribes of al-Yaman, prom-
ising the left side, which was the better of the two, to the
one whose arrow was drawn first. The people of al-Yaman
had theirs first; and they were, therefore, allotted the pieces
on the east side. The pieces allotted to the Nizâr fell on

¹ From which noun Kûfah comes.

² Cf. Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 2389.
the west side beyond the boundaries fixed for the mosque, leaving what was fenced in within the marks for the mosque and the governor's residence. Later, al-Mughirah ibn-Shu'bah enlarged the mosque; and Ziyâd [ibn-Abihi] rebuilt it strongly and rebuilt the governor's residence. Ziyâd often repeated, "On every one of the pillars of the mosque at al-Kūfah, I spent 1,800 [dirhams]." Another building was established by 'Amr ibn-Ḥurâith al-Makhzûmi, whom Ziyâd used to leave in his place over al-Kūfah whenever he absented himself in al-Baṣrah. Ziyâd's agents erected many buildings which made the place crowded and thickly set.  

The lane called Kuçek 'Amr in al-Kūfah takes its name from the banu-'Amr ibn-Ḥurâith ibn-'Amr ibn-'Uthmân ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-'Umar ibn-Makhzûm ibn-Yaḳazah.

*The Yamanites.* Wahb ibn-Bakîyah-l-Wâsiṭî from ash-Sha'bi:—The latter said, "We (the Yamanites) were 12,000 men; the Nizâr were 8,000; from which you can easily see that we constituted the majority of the settlers of al-Kūfah. Our arrow went to the east side of the mosque. That is why we hold the pieces we now hold."  

*The mosque.* 'Alî ibn-Muḥammad al-Madâ'īnî from Maslamah ibn-Muḫârib and others:—Al-Mughirah enlarged the mosque of al-Kūfah and rebuilt it. Ziyâd later enlarged it still more. Pebbles were spread in this mosque and in that of al-Baṣrah, because when people prayed their hands were covered with dust, which they used to remove by clapping their hands. This made Ziyâd say, "I am afraid that in course of time, the clapping of hands will be taken for a part of the religious ceremony." When he, therefore, en-

---

1 Yāḳūt, vol. iv, pp. 323-324.
3 Hamadhâni, pp. 173-174.
larged the mosque and added to it, he ordered that pebbles be strewn in the courtyard of the mosque. The overseers of the work used to oppress those who gathered the pebbles, saying, "Bring us only this kind which we show you", choosing special samples, and asking for similar ones. By such means, they enriched themselves. Hence, the saying, "It is good to be in authority even over stones." ¹ This saying, however, is, according to al-Athram, explained by Abu-‘Ubaidah by the fact that al-Ḥajjāj ibn-‘Atik ath-Thāqafi, or his son, had charge of cutting the pillars for the al-Baṣrāḥ mosque from Jabal al-Ahwāz where he discovered a mine. This gave rise to the expression: "It is good to be in authority even over stones."

Abu-‘Ubaidah states that the colonization of al-Kūfah took place in the year 18.

Ziyād took for himself in the al-Kūfah mosque a makṣūrah which afterwards was renewed by Khālid ibn-‘Abdallāh al-Ḵasri.

*The version of al-Haitham.* Ḥafṣ ibn-‘Umar al-‘Umari from al-Haitham ibn-‘Adi at-Ṭā‘i.—After having settled in al-Madā’in, planned it out and established a mosque, the Moslems found the place too dirty and productive of pestilence. Sa‘d ibn-abi-Wakḵāṣ communicated the fact to ‘Umar who wrote back that they should move westward. Sa‘d came to Kuwaifah ibn-‘Umar, but finding the water all around it, the Moslems left it and came to the site on which al-Kūfah now stands. They hit on the ridge called Khadd al-‘Adhrā’ [the virgin’s cheek] on which lavender, daisies, broom-plants [shiḥ and kaisūm] and poppies grew. On this site, they established themselves.

I was told by a Kuṭe sheikh that the region between al-Kūfah and al-Ḥirah was known by the name of al-

¹ Freytag, vol. ii, p. 917, n. 47.
The house of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-‘Umair was used for entertaining guests, ‘Umar having ordered that some house be put to that use for those who came from the different provinces.

Charges against Sa’d. Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi from Muḥammad ibn-Iṣḥâq:—Sa’d ibn-abi-Wâḳḳâs made a wooden door for his mansion which he surrounded with a fence of reeds. ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb sent Muhammad ibn-Maslamah-I-Anṣârî who set fire to the door and fence, and made Sa’d leader in the mosques of al-Kûfah where nothing but good was spoken of him.

Al-‘Abbâs ibn-al-Walid an-Narsi and Ibrâhîm al-‘Allâf al-Baṣri from Jâbir ibn-Samurah:—The people of al-Kûfah reported Sa’d ibn-abi-Wâḳḳâs to ‘Umar on the ground that he did not lead properly in prayers. In answer to the charge, Sa’d said, “As for me, I have always followed the prayer of the Prophet and never deviated from it. In the first two [prostrations] I repeat prayer slowly, in the last two, quickly.”¹ “That was what was thought of thee, abu-Iṣḥâq,” said ‘Umar. ‘Umar then sent certain men to inquire in al-Kûfah regarding Sa’d, about whom nothing but good was told in the different mosques, until they came to the mosque of the banu-‘Abs. Here someone called abu-Sa’dah said, “As for Sa’d, he does not divide shares equally, nor judge cases justly.” Hearing this, Sa’d exclaimed, “O God, if he is telling a lie, make his age long, perpetuate his poverty, take away his eyesight and expose him to troubles!” ‘Abd-al-Malik said, “I later saw abu-Sa’dah intercepting the way of the maids in the streets; and when somebody asked him, ‘How are you, abu-Sa’dah?’ he always replied, ‘I am old and crazed, being afflicted with the curse of Sa’d’.”

In another tradition transmitted by al-‘Abbās an-Narsi, Sa‘d made the following petition, regarding the people of al-Kūfah: “O God, let no ruler be satisfied with them, and let them be never satisfied with a ruler!”

I was informed by al-‘Abbās an-Narsi that al-Mukhtār ibn-abi-‘Ubaid, or someone else, said, “To love the people of al-Kūfah is honor; and to hate them is destruction.”

Al-Ḥasan ibn-‘Uthmān az-Ziyādi from ash-Sha‘bi:—After the victory of al-Ḵādisiyah, ‘Amr ibn-Maʿdikarib visited ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb and was asked by him about Sa‘d and whether the people were satisfied with him. ‘Amr gave the following answer, “I left him laying up for them as an ant lays up, having as much sympathy with them as a kind mother. In his love of dates, he is an Arab; in the collection of taxes, he is Nabatean. He divides shares equitably, judges cases justly and leads the bands successfully.” “It looks,” said ‘Umar, “as if ye both have agreed to compensate each other with praise (Sa‘d having before written to ‘Umar in commendation of ‘Amr).” “No, ‘Commander of the Believers’,” answered ‘Amr, “I rather said what I knew.” “Well, ‘Amr,” said ‘Umar, “describe war.” “It is bitter in taste when waged. He, who perseveres in it, becomes known; but he who grows weak, perishes.”—“Describe the arms.”—“Ask me about whichever thou wantest.”—“The lancet?”—“It is a brother which may betray thee.”—“The arrows?”—“Arrows are messengers of death which either err or hit.”—“The shield?”—“That is the defense which has most to suffer.”—“The coat of mail?”—“Something that keeps the horseman busy; a nuisance for the footman; but in all cases, a strong protection.”—“The sword?”—“May it be the cause of thy death!”—“Thy death!”—“The fever has abased me to thee.”

1 A proverb applied to the case of abasement on the occasion of need. Lane’s “Dictionary” s. v. ἀδρα’a.
Umar appoints ‘Ammâr and then al-Mughîrah. At last, Umar dismissed Sa’d and appointed ‘Ammâr ibn-Yâsir. A complaint was made against ‘Ammâr to the effect that he was weak and knew nothing about politics. He was therefore dismissed after holding the office of governor over al-Kûfah for one year and nine months. In this connection, Umar remarked, “What am I to do with the people of al-Kûfah? If I appoint a strong man over them, they attribute transgression to him; and if a weak man, they despise him.” Calling al-Mughîrah ibn-Shu‘bah, he asked him, “Wouldst thou commit again what thou didst once commit, if I should assign thee over al-Kûfah?” And al-Mughîrah answered, “No.” Al-Mughîrah went to al-Madînâh, after the conquest of al-Kâdisiyah, and was appointed by Umar over al-Kûfah, which position he held until Umar’s death.

Sa’d, then al-Walîd, then Sa’id as governors. Then came Uthmân ibn-‘Affân and appointed over al-Kûfah Sa’d; but later dismissed him and appointed al-Walîd ibn-‘Ukba ibn-abi-Mu‘aiţ ibn-abi-‘Amr ibn-Umaiyyah. When al-Walîd visited Sa’d, the latter said, “Either thou hast become intelligent after me, or I have become foolish after thee.” Al-Walîd was later dismissed and Sa’id ibn-al-Âṣî ibn-Sa’id ibn-al-Âṣî ibn-Umaiyyah was nominated to his place.

Persians unite with the Arabs. Abu-Mas‘ûd al-Kûfî from Mis’îr ibn-Kidâm:—In the battle of al-Kâdisiyah, Rustam led 4,000 men called Jund [army] Shahânshâh, who asked for peace provided they be allowed to settle wherever they wanted, be confederates with whomever they wanted and receive soldiers’ stipends. Their request having been granted, they united in a confederacy with Zuhrah

ibn-Ḥawiyah as-Saʿdi of the banu-Tamīm. Saʿd [ibn-abi-Wakṣās] allowed them to settle where they chose, and assigned 1,000,000 dirhams for stipends. Their chief [nāṣib] was one of them called Dailam;¹ hence the name of the place Ḥamrāʾ Dailam. Later Ziyād [ibn-Abihi], following the orders of Muʿāwiyah, sent some of them to Syria where they are called al-Furs [Persians], others to al-Baṣrah where they were combined with the Asâwirah [Persian cavalry].

According to abu-Masʿūd, the Arabs call the non-Arabs Ḥamrāʾ [the red], and would say, “I came from Ḥamrāʾ Dailam,” as they would say, “I came from Juhainah” or some other place. Abu-Masʿūd adds, “I heard someone say that these Asâwirah lived near ad-Dailam and when they were attacked by the Moslems in K̔azwin, they accepted Islâm on the same terms as the Asâwirah of al-Baṣrah. Then they came to al-Kūfah and settled in it.”

According to al-Madāʾini, Abarwiz brought from ad-Dailam 4,000 men who acted as his servants and escort, which position they held until the Arab invasion. They then took part in the battle of al-Kâdisiyah under Rustam. When Rustam was killed and the Magians were defeated, they withdrew, saying, “We are different from those others [i. e., Arabs]; we have no refuge, and have already left a bad impression on the Moslems. Let us then adopt their faith, and we will be strengthened by them.” Having deserted to the Moslem camp, Saʿd wanted to know the cause; and al-Mughirah ibn-Shuʿbah asked them about it. Thus, they presented their case, saying, “We will adopt your faith.” Al-Mughirah came back to Saʿd and told him about it. Saʿd promised them security; and they accepted Islâm. They witnessed the conquest of al-Ma-

¹ “Dilam” in Persian.
dâ'in under Saʿd and the conquest of Jalûlāʾ; after which they returned to al-Kûfah where they settled with the Moslems.

Places of interest and the persons after whom they are named.1 The Jabbânat [cemetery] as-Sabiʿ, after a son of as-Sabiʿ ibn-Sabuʿ ibn-Ṣaʿb al-Hamdâni.

The Ṣāḥrâ [desert] Uthair,2 after Uthair of the banu-Asad.


The Ṣāḥrâ bani-Ḳîrîr after the banu-Ḳîrîr ibn-Ṭhaʿlabah . . . ibn-Nizâr.

Dâr ar-Rûmîyin was a dunghill where the inhabitants of al-Kûfah cast their rubbish and which was taken as fief from Yazid ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik by ‘Anbasah ibn-Saʿid ibn-al-Āṣî, who removed the soil in it for 150,000 dirhams.


Ḥammâm ʿUmar, after ʿUmar ibn-Saʿd ibn-abi-Wakkâṣ.

Shahârsûj Bajîlah in al-Kûfah, after the banu-Bajîlah.3

Jabbânat ʿArzam, after a certain ʿArzam, who used to shake in it milk in a skin [so that its butter might come forth].


Zurârah, after Zurârah ibn-Yazîd . . . ibn-Ṣaʿsaʿah.

1 The following list is a shortened form of the original.
2 Dhahabi, pp. 5-6.


As-Sawādiyāh in al-Kūfah, after Sawād ibn-Zaid ibn-ʿAdi.\.\.\. 

Ḵaryat [village] abi-Ṣalābah on the Euphrates, after Ṣalābah ibn-Mālik ibn-Ṭārīk.\.\.\. 

Aḵsās Mālik, after Mālik ibn-Ḵais\.\.\. ibn-Nizār.

Dair [monastery] al-Aʿwar, after one of the Iyād of the banu-Umāiyah.

Dair Kurrah after Kurrah of the banu-Umāiyah ibn-Ḥudhāfah.

Dair as-Sawa, after the same banu-Umāiyah.

Dair al-Jamājim, after the Iyād tribe who in a battle with the banu-Bahrāʾ and the banu-l-Ḵain lost many who were buried there and whose skulls [jamājim] were later excavated as one was digging in the ground.

Dair Kaʿb, after the Iyād.

Dair Hind, after the mother of 'Amr ibn-Hind.

Dār Ṭumām, after the daughter of al-Ḥārith ibn-Ṭāʾī niʿ al-Ḵindī.

Bīʿat bani-ʿAdi, after the banu-ʿAdi ibn-adh-Dhumail of the Lakhm.

Ṭizanābād, after aḍ-Ḍaizan ibn-Muʿāwiya ibn-al-ʿAbid as-Salihi.

Masjid [mosque] Simāk in al-Kūfah, after Simāk ibn-Makhramah ibn-Ḥumain al-Asadi\.\.\. 


1 ʿKāmūs, vol. iv, p. 36, l. 22: "Ḥaiyān."
The site of Dār ‘Īsa ibn-Ḥusa belonging to al-‘Alâ’ ibn-‘Abd-ar-Rahmān . . . ibn-‘Abd-Manaf.

There is a path in al-Kūfah named after ‘Amirah ibn-Shihāb. . . .

Ṣaḥrā’ Shabath, after Shabath ibn-Rib‘i ar-Riyyāḥi of the banu-Tamīm.

Dār Ḥujair in al-Kūfah, after Ḥujair ibn-al-Ja‘d al-Jumāḥi.


Jabbānāt Sālim, after Sālim ibn-‘Ammār . . . ibn-Hāwāzin.

Ṣaḥrā’ Albardakht, after the poet Albardakht aṣ-Ḍabbī.

Masjid bani-‘Anz, after the banu-‘Anz ibn-Wâ’il ibn-Ḵāsît.

Masjid bani-Jadhīmah, after the banu-Jadhīmah ibn-Mâlik ibn-Ṭāsr . . . ibn-Asad.

There is a mosque in al-Kūfah named after the banu-l-Maḵāṣif.

Masjid bani-Bahdalah, after the banu-Bahdalah ibn-al-Mithl ibn-Muʿāwiyyah of the Kindah.

Bi‘r al-Ja‘d in al-Kūfah, after al-Ja‘d, a freedman of Hamdān.

Dār abi-Arṭât, after Arṭât ibn-Mâlik al-Bajali.


Ḵaṣr al-‘Adasiyin at the extremity of al-Ḥirah, after the banu-‘Ammār ibn-‘Abd-al-Masīh. . . .

The cathedral mosque in al-Kūfah was built with material taken from the ruins of the castles in al-Ḥirah that belonged to the al-Mundhir clan, the price of that material constituting a part of the tax paid by the people of al-Ḥirah.

Sikkat al-Barid [post-office] in al-Kūfah was once a
church built by Khâlid ibn-'Abdallâh ... of the Bajilah for his mother, who was a Christian.

Khâlid built shops, dug the canal called al-Jâmi', and erected the Kašr Khâlid.

Sûk Asad, after Asad ibn-'Abdallâh, Khâlid's brother.

Kašarat [arch] al-Kûfah was built by 'Umar ibn-Hubairah, and later repaired by Khâlid and others.

Al-Hâshimiyah. The following tradition was transmitted to me by abu-Mas'ûd and others:—Yazid ibn-'Umar ibn-Hubairah laid out a city in al-Kûfah on the Euphrates and occupied it before it was fully completed. He then received a letter from Marwân, ordering him to avoid the neighborhood of the people of al-Kûfah; and he, therefore, left it and built the castle known by the name of Kašr ibn-Hubairah near the Sûra bridge.

When caliph abu-l-'Abbâs came to power, he occupied this city, completed the erection of certain mansions [makšûrahs] defended by walls in it, established new buildings and called it al-Hâshimiyah. People in general called it by its old name after ibn-Hubairah; and abu-l-'Abbâs making the remark, "I see that the name of ibn-Hubairah will always cling to it", gave it up and established on a site opposite to it another city by the name of al-Hâshimiyah. After residing there for some time, he decided to settle in al-Anbâr, where he built his well-known city in which he was buried.

Madinat as-Salâm. When abu-Ja'far al-Manṣûr became caliph, he occupied the city of al-Hâshimiyah in al-Kûfah after completing its erection, enlarging it and preparing it according to his own idea. Later, he abandoned it in favor of Baghdâdh, where he built his city. He founded Baghdâdh and called it Madinat as-Salâm, and repaired its old

1 Le Strange, Baghdâd, pp. 5-6.
2 "The city of peace." Le Strange, p. 10.
wall which begins at the Tigris and ends at aṣ-Ṣarāt [canal].

It was in this al-Hāshimiyah that al-Manṣūr imprisoned ʿAbdallāh ibn-Ḥasan ibn-Ḥasan ibn-ʿAli ibn-abi-Ṭālib because of his two sons Muḥammad and Ibrāhim; and it was here that he was buried.

_Ar-Ruṣāfah_. Al-Manṣūr built in al-Kūfah ar-Ruṣāfah [causeway] and by his orders, his freedman abu-l-Khaṣib Marzûk built for him on an old foundation the castle that bears his name: abu-l-Khaṣib. Others say that abu-l-Khaṣib built the castle for himself; and al-Manṣūr used to visit him in it.

_Al-Khawarnaḵ._ As for al-Khawarnaḵ, it was an old Persian castle built by an-Nuʿmān ibn-Amruʾi-l-Ḵais (whose mother was ash-Shāhikah, daughter of abu-Rabiʿah ibn-Dhuḥl ibn-Shaibān) for Bahram Jūr ibn-Yazdajīrd ibn-Bahrām ibn-Sābūr dhu-l-Aktāf, who was brought up in the home of an-Nuʿmān.¹ It was this same an-Nuʿmān who left his kingdom and traveled around, as mentioned by ʿAdi ibn-Zaʿid al-ʿIbādī in his poem. When the “blessed dynasty” appeared, al-Khawarnaḵ was given as sief to Ibrāhim ibn-Salamah, one of their propagandists in Khurāsān and a grandfather of ʿAbd-ar-Rahmān ibn-Iṣḥāk al-Ḵāḏi. During the caliphate of al-Maʿmūn and al-Muʿtaṣim, Ibrāhim lived in Madinat as-Salām and was a freedman of ar-Ribāb. In the caliphate of abu-l-ʿAbbās, he erected the dome of al-Khawarnaḵ which did not exist before.

_Bāb al-Fil._ Abu-Masʿūd al-Kūfī from certain sheikhs of al-Kūfah:—When the Moslems conquered al-Madāʾin, they captured an elephant; all the other elephants they came across before having been killed by them. They wrote to

'Umar about it and he told them to sell it if possible. The elephant was bought by a man from al-Ḥirah who used to cover its back with a cloak and go round the villages exhibiting it. Sometime after that, umm-Aiyūb, daughter of 'Umârah ibn-'Ukbah ibn-abi-Mu‘ait (who was the wife of al-Mughirah ibn-Shu‘bah and later of Ziyād) wanted to see the elephant as she was in her father’s home. The elephant was brought before her and stood at the door of the mosque which is now termed Bâb al-Fil. After looking at it, she gave its owner something and dismissed him. But no sooner had the elephant taken a few strides, than it fell dead. That is why the door was called Bâb al-Fil.\(^1\) Some say that the one who looked at it was the wife of al-Walid ibn-'Ukbah ibn-abi-Mu‘ait; others that it was a sorcerer who made the people see an elephant appearing from the door riding on a donkey; still others that the trough of the mosque was brought on an elephant and passed through this door, which was for that reason called Bâb al-Fil. These explanations are false. There are those who claim that the trough of the mosque was carried on an elephant and brought in through this door. Others think that an elephant owned by one of the governors once rushed against this door which was later called after it. The first explanation, however, is the most authentic.

\textit{Jabbânat Maimûn.} According to abu-Mas'ûd, the Maimûn cemetery at al-Kûfah was named after Maimûn, a freedman of Muḥammad ibn-'Ali ibn-'Abdallâh, surnamed abu-Bishr, who built at-Ṭâkât\(^2\) in Baghdâdh near Bâb ash-Shâm.\(^3\)

\(^1\) "The elephant door"; \textit{cf.} Ṭabarî, vol. ii, p. 27.

\(^2\) Archways or arcades. \textit{Cf.} Le Strange, \textit{Baghdâd}, p. 130.

Sahrā' umm-Salamah. The umm-Salamah desert was so called after umm-Salamah, daughter of Yaʿkūb ibn-Salamah... ibn-Makhzūm and the wife of abu-l-ʿAbbās.

Al-Kūfah moat. I was told by abu-Masʿūd that al-Manṣūr held the people of al-Kūfah responsible for its moat and that he imposed on every one of them forty dirhams to meet its expenses, he being displeased with them on account of their tendencies toward the Ṭālibīte party and their spreading false news regarding the sultan [the chief authority].

The inhabitants of al-Kūfah commended. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ʿĀmir:—ʿUmar writing to the people of al-Kūfah called them “the head of the Arabs.”

Al-Ḥusain from Nāfiʿ ibn-Jubair ibn-Muṭʿim:—ʿUmar said, “In al-Kūfah are the most distinguished men.”

Al-Ḥusain and Ibrāhīm ibn-Muslim al-Khawārizmi from ash-Shaʿbi:—ʿUmar in addressing the people of al-Kūfah wrote, “To the head of Islām.”

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Shamir ibn-ʿAṭiyah:—ʿUmar said regarding the people of al-Kūfah, “They are the lance of Allah, the treasure of the faith, the cranium of the Arabs, who protect their own frontier forts and reinforce other Arabs.”

Abu-Naṣr at-Tammār from Salmān:—The latter said:—“Al-Kūfah is the dome of Islām. There will be a time in which every believer will either be in it or will have his heart set upon it.”
CHAPTER IX

WÂSİŤ AL-‘İRÂK

The first cathedral mosques. ‘Abd-al-Ḥamid ibn-Wâsi‘ al-Khatli-l-Ḥâsib from al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣâliḥ:—The first cathedral mosque¹ built in as-Sawâd was that of al-Maḍâ’in built by Sa‘d and his companions. It was later made larger and stronger under the supervision of Ḩudhaifah ibn-al-Yamân who died at al-Maḍâ’in in the year 36. After that, Sa‘d established the mosque of al-Kûfah and that of al-Anbâr.

WÂSİŤ built by al-Ḥajjâj. The city of Wâsiţ was built in the year 83 or 84 by al-Ḥajjâj who also built its mosque, castle and Ḥubbat al-Khaḍrâ’.² The site of Wâsiţ having been covered with reeds [kaşab], the city acquired the name of Wâsiţ al-Ḳaşab. This city is equidistant from al-Ahwâz, al-Başrah and al-Kûfah. Ibn-al-Ḳirriyah remarks, “He [al-Ḥajjâj] has built it but not in his town, and shall leave it but not for his son.”

One of the sheikhs of Wâsiţ from other sheikhs:—When al-Ḥajjâj completed the erection of Wâsiţ, he wrote to ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân, “I have built a city in a hollow of the ground [kirsh] between al-Jabal and al-Miṣrân, and called it Wâsiţ [lying halfway between].” That is why the people of Wâsiţ were called the Kirshiyûn.³ Before he

¹ Masjid jâmî‘ = the chief mosque of the city in which people assemble on Friday for prayer and the khutbah.
³ Tâj al-‘Arûs, s. v. kirsh.
erected Wâsiṭ, al-Ḥajjâj had the idea of taking up his abode in aṣ-Ṣin of Kaskar. He, therefore, dug Nahr [canal] aṣ-Ṣin and ordered that the workmen be chained together so that none of them might run away as a deserter. After that it occurred to him to establish Wâsiṭ ¹ which he later occupied, then he dug out an-Nil ² and az-Zâbi canals. The latter was so called because it branched off from the old Zâbi. He thus reclaimed the land around these two canals and erected the city called an-Nil ³ and populated it. He then turned his attention to certain crown-domains which ‘Abdallâh ibn-Darrâj, a freedman of Muʿâwiya ibn-abi-Sufyân, had reclaimed (when with al-Mughirah ibn-Shu’bah he had charge of the kharâj of al-Kûfah) for Muʿâwiya. These domains included waste lands, swamps, ditches and thickets. Al-Ḥajjâj built dams ⁴ in these domains; uprooted the reeds in them and added them to the domains of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân after populating them.

To his castle and the cathedral mosque in Wâsiṭ, al-Ḥajjâj brought doors from Zandaward,⁵ ad-Daukaraḥ, Dârūsâṭ, Dair Mâsirjasân ⁶ and Sharabiṭ, whose people protested, saying, “We have been guaranteed the security of our cities and possessions;” but he did not mind what they said.

Al-Mubarak. Al-Mubarak ⁷ canal was dug by Khâlid ibn-‘Abdallâh-l-Ḵasri al-Mubarak and commemorated by al-Farazdaq in certain verses.

¹ Kazwini, pp. 320-321; Ḥaukal, pp. 162-163.
² Ya’kûbi, Buldân, p. 322.
³ Tanbîh, p. 52.
⁴ musannâyat; Tâj al-ʿArûs, s. v. saniya; Mâwardi, p. 311.
⁵ Tabari, vol. iii, p. 321.
Khālid's bridge. Muḥammad ibn-Khālid ibn-ʿAbdallāh at-Ṭahḥān from his sheikhs:—Khālid ibn-ʿAbdallāh al-Κasri wrote to Hishâm ibn-ʿAbd-al-Malik asking for permission to make an arch over the Tigris. Hishâm wrote back, “If this were possible, the Persians would have done it.” Khālid wrote again; and Hishâm answered: “If thou art sure that it is feasible, thou mayst do it.” Khālid built the arch at a great expense; but it was soon destroyed by the water. Hishâm made Khālid pay the expenses out of his own pocket.

Al-Bazzāk. The canal known by the name of al-Bazzāk was an old one of which the Nabatean form is al-Bassāk, which means that which cuts the water off from what comes after it and takes it over to itself. In this canal the superfluous water from as-Sib jungles and some water of the Euphrates gather. This name was corrupted into al-Bazzāk.

Al-Maimūn. As for al-Maimūn¹ it was first dug out by Saʿid ibn-Zaid, an agent of ʿumm-Jaʿfar Zubaidah, daughter of Jaʿfar ibn-al-Manṣūr. The mouth of al-Maimūn was near a village called Maimūn. In the time of al-Wāṭhik-Billāh, the position of the mouth was shifted by ʿUmar ibn-Faraj ar-Rukhhāji, but the river kept its old name al-Maimūn [the auspicious], lest the idea of auspiciousness be dissociated from it.

I was informed by Muḥammad ibn-Khālid that by the order of caliph al-Mahdi, Nahr as-Ṣilah was dug out and the lands around it were entrusted to farmers. The income thereof was used as stipends to the inhabitants of the sacred territories of Makkah and al-Madinah [ahl al-Haramain] and for other expenses there. It was stipulated on the tenants who came to those lands that they should yield two-

¹ Ṭabari, vol. iii, p. 1760: “Nahr Maimūn.”
fifths [?] of the produce, with the understanding that after holding their share for fifty years, they should yield as tax one-half of its produce. This stipulation is still in force.¹

Al-Amîr. As regards Nahr al-Amîr, it was ascribed to ʿIṣa ibn-ʿAli and lay in his fief.

Mashraʿat al-Fîl. We were informed by Muḥammad ibn-Khâlid that Muḥammad ibn-al-Ḳâsim presented to al-Ḥajjâj an elephant from as-Sind ² which was transported through al-Baṭâʾîḥ [the great swamp] on a ship and was landed at a watering place, which has since been called Mashraʿat al-Fîl or Furdat ³ al-Fîl.

¹ Cf. Ḳudâmah, pp. 241-242.
² A country bordering upon India, Karmân and Sijistân; Meynard, p. 324.
³ mashraʿat = wharf; furdat = harbor.
CHAPTER X

AL-BATĀ’IH

Al-‘Aurā’. I was informed by certain learned men that the Persians often discussed the future fall of their kingdom and thought that earthquakes and floods would be the sign thereof. Now, the Tigris emptied its water into Dijlat al-Baṣrah, also called al-‘Aurā’,¹ by means of branching streams which drew their water from the main stream which carried the rest of the water and looked like one of those streams.

The formation of al-Batā’ih. In the days of Ḵubâdh ibn-Fairūz,² the water at the lower part of Kaskar broke through a great breach which was neglected until its waters drowned large, flourishing tracts of land. Ḵubâdh was a feeble man and cared little for the breach. But when his son Anūshirwān came to rule, he ordered that dams be made and thus the water was stopped and some of the lands flourished again.

When the year came in which the Prophet sent ‘Abdallāh ibn-Ḥudhāfah as-Sahmi to Kisra Abarwiz, which was the year 7 A. H. (others say 6), the waters of the Tigris and the Euphrates rose to a height never reached before or since, causing many great breaches. Abarwiz made special effort to stop the breaches; but the water had the better of him,

¹ The united course of the Tigris and Euphrates before they empty into the Persian Gulf. Yāḏūṭ, vol. ii, p. 745.
² Thaʿalibi, pp. 586-603.
turned towards al-Baṭā’īḥ and overflowed the buildings and plants, drowning many cantons that were there. Kisra rode out in person to block the breaches; he scattered money right and left, put many workmen to death and, according to a report, crucified on certain breaches forty dam builders in one day; but all that was of no avail against the force of water.

With the advent of the Arabs into al-‘Irāḳ, the Persians were kept too busy fighting to mind the breaches which would burst and no one would mind them; and the feudal lords [diḥkāns] failed to block them. Consequently, al-Baṭīḥah was made wider and more extensive.

‘Abdallāh ibn-Darrāj. When Mu‘āwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyān became ruler, he appointed ‘Abdallāh ibn-Darrāj, his freedman, over the kharāj of al-‘Irāḳ. ‘Abdallāh, by cutting down the reeds and stopping the water by dams, reclaimed for his master lands in al-Baṭā’īḥ, the income of which amounted to 5,000,000 [dirhams].

Ḥassān an-Nabāṭi. Then came Ḥassān an-Nabāṭi, the freedman of the banu-Dabbah, the builder of Ḥauḑ [reservoir] Ḥassān in al-Baṣrah and the one after whom Manārat [light-house] Ḥassān in al-Baṭā’īḥ is named. Ḥassān reclaimed certain lands in al-Baṭā’īḥ for al-Ḥajjāj in the days of al-Walid and for Ḥishām ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik.

Al-Janb canal. Before al-Baṭā’īḥ was formed, there was at Ḥaskar a canal called al-Janb, along the south bank of which ran the post-road to Maisān, Dastumaisān and al-Ahwāz. When al-Baṭā’īḥ was formed, that part of the

---

1 The great swamp in which water overflowing from the Tigris and Euphrates disappeared. Rustah, p. 94.
2 Anūshirwān; Tha‘ālibi, p. 603.
3 Mas’ūdī, vol. i, p. 225.
4 Ḫudāmah, p. 240.
5 Cf. Ḫudāmah, p. 240.
post-road which became a thicket was called Ājām al-Barid; and the other part was called Ājām Aghmarbathi in which the great thickets lie. The canal is now seen in the al-Jāmidah [solid] lands that have recently been reclaimed and rendered fit for use.

The version of abu-Mas'ūd. Abu-Mas'ūd al-Kūfī from his sheikhs:—Al-Batā'īḥ was formed after the “flight” of the Prophet and during the reign of Abarwiz over the Persians. Many great fissures were formed which Kisra was unable to block, thus making the rivers overflow and producing al-Batā'īḥ. At the time of the Moslem wars with the Persians, the water overflowed and no one took the trouble to block the fissures. This enlarged the Baṭīḥah and made it wider. The banu-Umaiyyah had reclaimed a part of the Baṭīḥah, which part was again sunk in the time of al-Ḥajjāj when new breaches appeared which al-Ḥajjāj did not care to block, trying thereby to injure the Persian feudal lords whom he suspected to be on the side of ibn-al-Ash'ath who had broken off his allegiance to al-Ḥajjāj. Ḥassān an-Nabāṭī reclaimed for Hishām certain tracts of the Baṭīḥah land.

Abu-l-Asad. Abu-l-Asad, from whom Nahr abu-l-Asad takes its name, was one of the generals of the caliph al-Manṣūr, and one of those sent to al-Baṣra when 'Abdallāh ibn-'Ali resided in it. It was this abu-l-Asad who made 'Abdallāh ibn-'Ali enter al-Kūfah.

I was told by 'Umar ibn-Bukair that al-Manṣūr dispatched his freedman abu-l-Asad, who pitched his camp between al-Manṣūr and the army of 'Īsa ibn-Mūsa as al-Manṣūr was fighting against Ibrāhim ibn-'Abdallāh ibn-al-Ḥasan ibn-al-Ḥasan ibn-'Ali ibn-abi-Ṭālib. The same abu-l-Asad dug the canal near al-Baṭīḥah which bears his name.

1 “A Nabatean word which means the great thickets;” Ḳudāmah, p. 241.
Others say that Abu-l-Asad, reaching the mouth of the canal and finding it too narrow for the ships, widened it; and, therefore, it was named after him.

It is stated by Abu-Mas‘ūd that in the time of the “blessed dynasty” certain breaches were formed which made al-Baṭâ‘iḥ larger. Because of the water of the Euphrates, many thickets grew, of which some were reclaimed and made tillable land.

Maslamah reclaims new lands. Abu-Mas‘ūd from ‘Awânah:—In the days of al-Ḥajjâj, new breaches were made. Al-Ḥajjâj wrote to al-Walîd ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik stating that he estimated that 3,000,000 dirhams would be required for blocking them. Al-Walîd thought that too much. Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik said to al-Walîd, “I offer to pay the expenses provided thou givest me as fief the depressed tracts in which the water remains, after spending 3,000,000 dirhams, which sum shall be spent under the direct supervision of thy counsellor and trusted man, al-Ḥajjâj.” Al-Walîd accepted the offer. Maslamah gained possession of lands that had many cantons close together. He dug as-Sibain1 and induced the farmers and tenants to come and hold land. Thus the land flourished; and in order to secure his protection, many landowners voluntarily turned their farms over to him, and then held them from him as fief. When the “blessed dynasty” came and the possessions of the banu-Umaiyah were confiscated, all as-Sibain was assigned as fief to Dâ‘ūd ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-al-‘Abbâs, from whose heirs it was bought with its rights and boundaries and was included in the crown-domains [diyâ‘ al-khilâfah].

1 The dual form of as-Sib.
CHAPTER XI

MADĪNAT AS-SALĀM

Built by al-Manṣūr. Baghdadh was an ancient city, but al-Manṣūr colonized it, and added a city to it which he began in the year 145. Hearing that Muḥammad and Ibrāhim, the sons of ‘Abdallāh ibn-Ḥasan ibn-Ḥasan, had thrown off their allegiance to him, al-Manṣūr returned to al-Kūfah. In the year 146, he transferred the public treasures [buyūt al-māl], repositories, and registers from al-Kūfah to Baghdadh, and called it Madinat as-Salâm [the city of peace]. In the year 147, the wall of this city, with everything else connected with it, and the wall of ancient Baghdadh were completed. Al-Manṣūr died in Makkah in the year 158 and was buried near the well of Maimūn ibn-al-Ḥadrami, an ally of the banu-Umayyah.

Ar-Ruṣâfah. Ar-Ruṣâfah was built for al-Mahdi by al-Manṣūr on the east side of Baghdadh. This side was called ‘Askar [camp] al-Mahdi because al-Mahdi camped in it on his way to ar-Rai. When he returned from ar-Rai, he settled in ar-Ruṣâfah, although it had occurred to al-Manṣūr to direct him to settle in Khurāsān. This took place in the year 151. Before al-Mahdi had occupied the

---

1 A Persian word meaning the city “founded by God,” see Le Strange, Baghdād, pp. 10-11.
2 Ḥauḵal, p. 164.
3 i.e., causeway, the eastern suburb of Baghdad. Iṣṭakhri, pp. 83, 84; Tanbīh, p. 360.
4 Yaḵūbi, Buldān, p. 251.
east side, a palace was built for him by al-Manṣūr’s order; the one variously known as Ḷaṣr al-Waḍḍāh, Ḷaṣr al-Mahdi and ash-Sharkiyah. It lay on the other side of Bāb al-Karkh. Al-Waḍḍāh, after whom it is sometimes called, was a man from al-Anbâr who had charge of the expenses.

Al-Manṣūr as a builder. Al-Manṣūr built the two mosques of Madinat as-Salām and the new bridge over aṣ-Ṣarāṭ [canal]. The site of the city he bought from the owners of the villages of Bâdûraiya, Ḳaṭrabbul [or Ḳuṭ-rubbul], Nahr Bûḳ and Nahr Bin. He gave the city as fief to members of his household, his generals, soldiers, companions and secretaries. He made the meeting place of the streets at al-Karkh, and ordered the merchants to build their shops and held them responsible for the rent.

Places of interest in Bagh dục. The al-Mukharrim quarter in Bagh dục takes its name from Mukharrim ibn-Shuraiḥ ibn-Ḥazn al-Ḥârithi.

The Ḳantarat al-Baradân quarter, from as-Sari ibn-al-Ḥuṭaim, the builder of al-Ḥuṭamiyih.

Aṣ-Ṣâlihiyih, from Šâliḥ ibn-al-Manṣūr.

Al-Ḥarbiyih, from Ḥarb ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Balkhi, the commander of the guard in al-Mauṣîl under Jaʿfar ibn-abi-Jaʿfar.

Az-Zuhairiyah or Bāb at-Tibn, from Zuhair ibn-Muḥammad of the inhabitants of Abiward.

1 i. e., “the oriental palace”. Yaʿkûbi, p. 245.
2 Ar. ghallaḥ = rent paid for buildings standing on the property of the state.
3 The following list is an abridged form of the original.
4 Le Strange, pp. 217-230.
5 Duraid, p. 238, omits “ibn-Shuraiḥ”.
‘Īsābādāḥ, from ‘Īsa ibn-al-Mahdi.¹
Kāṣr ‘Abdawaih standing opposite Barātha, from ‘Abda-
waiah, a notable of the Azd.
Al-Manṣūr assigned as sief to Sulaimān ibn-Mujālid the
site of his home; to Muḥalhil ibn-Ṣafwān, after whom Darb
Muḥalhil is named, a special sief; to ‘Umārah ibn-Ḥamzah,
the quarter that bears his name; to Maimūn abu-Bishr after
whom Ṭākāt Bishr are named, a special sief near Bustān al-
Kass;² to Shubail, his freedman, a sief near Dār Yakṭīn;
to ʿumm-ʿUbaidah, a freedmaid of Muḥammad ibn-ʿAlī, a
sief; to Munīrah, a freedmaid of Muḥammad ibn-ʿAlī and
after whom Darb Munīrah and Khān [inn] Munīrah are
named, a special sief; and to Raisānah³ a spot known by the
name of Masjid bani-Raghbān.⁴
Darb [path] Mihrawaih takes its name from Mihra-
waiah ar-Rāzi who was one of the captives of Sinfādāh and
was set free by al-Mahdi.

The city a residence for the caliphs. Al-Manṣūr lived in
Madīnat as-Salām to the last days of his caliphate. He
made a pilgrimage from it and died in Makkah. The city
was then occupied by the caliph al-Mahdi. Later, al-Mahdi
left for Māsabadhān, where he died. Most of the time he
spent in Madīnat as-Salām was passed in palaces he built
at ‘Īsābādāḥ.

Madīnat as-Salām was then occupied by al-Hādi Mūsa
ibn-al-Mahdi, who died in it. Ar-Rashīd Hārūn also re-
sided in it, and later left it for ar-Rāfīkah where he stayed
for a while and then departed for Khurāsān, and died at
Ṭūs. The city became after that the residence of Muḥam-
mad ibn-ar-Rashīd, who was slain in it.

¹ Tanbih, pp. 343-344.
² Yaʿkūbi, p. 247.
⁴ Dhahabi, p. 227; Yaʿkūbi, pp. 244-245.
Al-Ma'mūn 'Abdallāh ibn-ar-Rashīd came to the city from Khurāsān and took up his abode in it. He then left on an expedition during which he died at al-Fadhandūn and was buried at Tārsūs.

*Surra-man-ra'a, a residence for the caliphs.* Caliph al-Mu'taṣim-Billāh made his residence in it and then left it for al-Kāṭūl ¹ where he occupied the Kaṣr ar-Rashīd which was built when ar-Rashīd dug out Kāṭūlah [canal] and called it abu-l-Jund [the father of the army] because the land watered by it produced enough provisions for the army. Al-Mu'taṣim erected in al-Kāṭūl a building which he occupied, and offered the Kaṣr to Ashnās at-Turki [the Turk], his freedman. He started to colonize that region and after beginning a new city, he gave it up and built the city of Surra-man-ra'a.² He transplanted people to it and made it his residence. At the meeting point of the streets, he built a cathedral mosque, and called the city Surra-man-ra'a. Al-Mu'taṣim made his freedman, Ashnās, together with the other generals who had joined him [Ashnās], settle at Karkh Fairūz. Other generals were given the houses called al-'Arabāya.³ Al-Mu'taṣim died in Surra-man-ra'a in the year 227.

Hārūn al-Wāthīk-Billāh lived to the last day of his life in a house which he built at Surra-man-ra'a and called al-Hārūnī.

When in dhu-l-Ḥijjah, year 232, the caliph Ja'far al-Mutawakkil-'Alallāh was installed, he made al-Hārūnī his residence. He erected many buildings and assigned to different men fiefs in a place back of Surra-man-ra'a called al-

---

1 *Tanbih*, pp. 356-357.
Ḥâ'ir in which al-Muʿtaṣim had put him in confinement, thus giving more space for the inhabitants. Al-Mutawakkil also built a great cathedral mosque and lavished enormous sums of money on it, making the minaret so high that the voices of the muezzins could be easily heard, and the minaret could be seen at a distance of many parasangs. The Moslems gave up the first mosque and held Friday prayers in it.

Al-Mutawakkiliyyah. Al-Mutawakkil founded a city which he called al-Mutawakkiliyyah. He built it between al-Karkh, known by the name of Fairūz, and al-Κâṭûl, known by the name of Kisra, chose it for his abode and gave fiefs in it. The houses and the villages known as al-Mâḥûzah were included in it. Al-Mutawakkil built in this city a cathedral mosque. From the time he started the city to the time he occupied it, only a few months elapsed, the occupation having taken place at the beginning of the year 246. Here he died in Shauwâl, [2] 47.

In the same night on which he died, al-Muntaṣir-Billâh was proclaimed caliph; and on Tuesday the 10th of Shauwâl, he left al-Mutawakkiliyyah for Surra-man-raʿa, where he died.

ʿUyun at-Ṭaff. ʿUyun [springs] at-Ṭaff which include ʿAin aṣ-Ṣaid, al-Κuṭkuṭânah, ar-Ruhaimah, ʿAin Jamal and the lands that belonged to them, were held by the garrisons that guarded the frontier forts beyond as-Sawâd. These springs lay near Khandâk [trench] Sâbûr, which Sâbûr had dug between him and the Arabs who guarded the frontier and the other Arabs who lived there. Sâbûr allowed them the use of the land as fief without collecting kharâj from them.

2 Athîr, vol. vii, pp. 56, 68: "al-Mâkhûrah".
In the battle of dhu-Kār in which Allah through his Prophet gave the Arabs the victory, the Arabs gained possession of some of these springs, the rest remaining in the hands of the Persians. When the Arabs advanced to al-Ḥirah, the Persians took to flight after covering over with earth all the springs in their lands. The Arabs who held the remaining springs embraced Islām; and the land which they cultivated became tithe-land.

After the battles of al-Ḳādisiyah and al Madâ’in, the lands whose owners had evacuated them, were turned over to the Moslems and given out as fiefs, thus becoming tithe-lands. Such was the case with ‘Uyûn at-Ṭaff whose lands are treated like the villages in the valley of al-Madinah and the villages of Najd, all the ṣadākāh thereof being given to the ‘āmilṣ of al-Madinah.

When Ishāk ibn-Ibrāhīm ibn-Muṣʿab ruled over as-Sawād in the name of al-Mutawakkil, he added these ‘Uyûn and their lands to what he already controlled; and he collected their tithe, treating them as any other land in as-Sawād, which status they still retain. The Moslems later dug out many other springs which irrigate lands that are treated in a similar way.

‘Ain al-Jamal. I was told by a sheikh that ‘Ain al-Jamal ¹ was so called because a camel [Ar. jamal] died near it. Others say that the one who dug it out was called Jamal.

‘Ain as-Ṣaid. ‘Ain as-Ṣaid ² [fishing spring] was so called because fish gathered in it. I was told by certain Kuraiziyyûn [?] that this spring was one of those covered with earth. As one of the Moslems was passing there, the legs of his horse sank in the mud. He dismounted and dug in the ground; and the water appeared. With the help of

¹ Rustah, p. 180: “‘Ain Jamal”.
certain men he called, the earth and soil were removed, the course was opened and the water issued as before. The spring then passed to the hands of 'Isâ ibn-'Ali, who bought it from a son of Ḥasan ibn-Ḥasan ibn-'Ali ibn-abi-Ṭâlib. One of 'Isa's wives was umm-Kalthûm, daughter of Ḥasan ibn-Ḥasan. Mu‘awiyyah in exchange for the caliphate, assigned, together with other things, 'Ain aṣ-Ṣaid as fief to al-Ḥasan ibn-'Ali.

'Ain ar-Raḥbah. 'Ain ar-Raḥbah was also one of the springs buried of old. A pilgrim from Karmân once saw it leaking; and when he returned from his pilgrimage, he advised 'Isa ibn-Mûsa regarding it and pointed it out to him. This Karmân man took it as fief with its land and dug it out. He cultivated the lands around it and planted the palm-trees which stand on al-'Udhaib road.

'Uyun al-'Irk. A few parasangs from Hit lie certain springs called al-'Irk which are similar to 'Uyun at-Ṭaff, and whose tithes are taken by the chief of Hit.

The meaning of Sawâd. Al-Athram from abu-'Amr ibn-al-'Alâ':—When the Arabs saw the great number of villages, palm and other trees, they exclaimed, "Never did we see a greater number of sawâd!" i. e., objects. Hence the name of the country as-Sawâd.

The market compared to the place of worship. Al-Ḳâsim ibn-Sallâm from Muḥammad ibn-abi-Mûsa:—One day 'Ali went out to the market and saw that his relatives had secured special places, upon which he remarked, "That can not be. For the Moslems, the market is similar to the place of worship; he who arrives first can hold his seat all day until he leaves it."

Abu-'Ubaid from 'Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-'Ubaid's father: —The latter said, "In the time of al-Mughirah ibn-Shu'bah, we used to go early into the market; and when one sat in a place, he had claim on it until the nightfall. But when
Ziyâd came, he ordered that he who sat in a place, could claim it so long as he occupied it.”

According to Marwân, al-Mughîrah held the governorship of al-Kûfah twice: once for ‘Umar and another time for Mu‘âwiyyah.
CHAPTER XII

ARABIC MADE THE LANGUAGE OF THE REGISTER

Al-Madâ’ini ‘Ali ibn-Muḥammad ibn-abi-Saif from his sheikhs:—Persian was the language of the register of the kharâj of as-Sawâd and the rest of al-‘Irâk. When al-Ḥajjâj became ruler of al-‘Irâk he chose Zâdân Farrûkh ibn-Yabra for secretary, and the latter was assisted by Šâliḥ ibn-‘Abd-ar-Raḥmân, a freedman of the banu-Tamim, who knew both Arabic and Persian. Šâliḥ’s father was one of the captives of Sijistân. Through Zâdân Farrûkh, Šâliḥ was acquainted with al-Ḥajjâj, who found him acceptable. One day Šâliḥ said to Zâdân, “Thou art the means by which I became acquainted with the governor; and I see that he has found me acceptable. I, therefore, do not wonder if he should promote me over thee, in which case thou wouldst fall.” “Never believe that,” answered Zâdân; “he has more use for me than I for him, because none but myself can be found to keep his books.” “By Allah,” retorted Šâliḥ, “if thou so desire, I could change the accounts into Arabic.” “Try a part of it,” said Zâdân, “and I will see.” Šâliḥ having done that, Zâdân asked him to feign illness, which he did. Al-Ḥajjâj sent his own physician, but found nothing wrong with Šâliḥ. Hearing that, Zâdân ordered him to appear.

In the days of ‘Abd-ar-Raḥmân ibn-Muḥammad ibn-al-Ash‘ath al-Kindi, Zâdân Farrûkh was killed on his way from some house to his own home, or some other man’s home. Thereupon, al-Ḥajjâj made Šâliḥ secretary in the
place of Zâdân. Ṣâliḥ reported to al-Ḥajjâj the conversation that took place between him and Zâdân relative to the change of the language of the register. Al-Ḥajjâj immediately made up his mind to adopt Arabic as the language of the register and charged Ṣâliḥ with the task. Mardânsâh ibn-Zâdân Farrûkh asked Ṣâliḥ, “What wouldst thou do with dahwiyah and shashwiyah?” To this, Ṣâliḥ replied, “I shall use instead ‘ushr [tenth] and nusf‘ushr ¹ [half-tenth].”—“And what about wid?”—“I shall use aidân” (wid means excess).² Hearing that, Mardânsâh said, “May God efface thy trace from the world as thou hast effaced the trace of the Persian!” Ṣâliḥ was later offered 100,000 dirhams in order to show that it was impossible to change the language of the register and to refrain from doing it; but he refused and carried out the plan. ‘ Abd-al-Ḥamîd ibn-Yaḥya, the secretary of Marwân ibn-Muḥammad used to say, “Great is Ṣâliḥ, and great is the favor he has bestowed upon the secretaries!”

‘Umar ibn-Shabbah from Sahl ibn-abi-ās-Ṣalt:—Al-Ḥajjâj assigned for Ṣâliḥ ibn-‘Abd-ar-Raḥmân a certain period in which to change the language of the register.³

¹ These are the Arabic equivalent of the Persian terms dahwiyah and shashwiyah; shash means six.
² wid or waid is used to-day in Persian in the sense of “little”. Ar. aidân corresponds to ditto.
PART X

MEDIA [AL-JIBÂL]
CHAPTER I

ḤULWÂN

Ḥulwân capitulates. After the decisive battle of Jalûlâ' had been won by the Moslems, Hāshim ibn-'Utbah ibn-abi-Waḳḳâṣ added to the forces of Jarîr ibn-'Abdallâh al-Bajali a heavy detachment of cavalry and stationed him at Jalûlâ' between the Moslems and their enemy [the Persians].

Later on, Sa'd sent Jarîr about 3,000 Moslems and ordered him to advance with them and his forces to Ḥulwân.¹ No sooner had Jarîr approached Ḥulwân than Yazdajird fled away in the direction of Iṣbahân. Ḥulwân capitulated; and Jarîr promised to spare the people and guarantee their lives and possessions without interfering with those who preferred to flee the land.

Karmâsîn. Leaving in Ḥulwân a part of his forces [?] with 'Azrah ibn-Ḳais ibn-Ghaziyyah-l-Bajali, Jarîr pushed towards ad-Dinawar, which he failed to reduce. He, however, reduced Karmâsîn ² on the same terms as Ḥulwân. He then returned to Ḥulwân and held its governorship until the arrival of 'Ammâr ibn-Yâsir in al-Kûfah. 'Ammâr wrote to Jarîr that 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb wanted him to reinforce abu-Mûsa-l-Ash'ari [in Tustar]. Accordingly, Jarîr left 'Azrah ibn-Ḳais over Ḥulwân, and in the year 19 made his way to join abu-Mûsa.

Muḥammad ibn-Sa'd from 'A'ishah, daughter of Sa'd ibn-

¹ Rustah, pp. 164-165.
² Yâḳût, vol. iv, p. 68.
abi-Wakƙâṣ:—The latter said, "When Muʿâwiyah put Ḥujr ibn-ʿAdi-l-Kindi to death, my father made the following remark, 'If Muʿâwiyah had seen the part Ḥujr had taken in the reduction of Ḥulwân, he would have realized of what great value he was to Islâm'."

According to al-Wâkîdi, certain sons of Jarîr ibn-Abdal-lâh settled in Ḥulwân, where their descendants are still living.

1 Aghâni, vol. xvi, pp. 3-4; Athîr, vol. iii, pp. 392 seq.; Masʻûdi, vol. v, pp. 15 seq.

CHAPTER II

THE CONQUEST OF NIHÂWAND

An-Nu‘mân in chief command. In the year 19, when Yazdajird fled away from Ḫulwân, the Persians and the people of ar-Rai, Kûmis, Ḳûbah, Hamadhân and al-Mâhain communicated with another and, in the year 20, joined Yazdajird. The latter put at their head Mardânshâh dhu-l-Ḥâjib and they unfurled their flag ad-Dirafshikâbiyân. These “polytheists” numbered 60,000, and according to other estimates, 100,000. When ‘Ammâr ibn-Yâsir communicated this news to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, the latter was on the point of leading an expedition in person against them, but desisted lest the Arabs should then prevail over Najd and other places. The advice to let the Syrians lead the attack from Syria and the Yamanites from al-Yaman was also discarded, lest the Greeks should return to their home, and the Abyssinians should subjugate what was next to them. Consequently, he wrote to the people of al-Kûfah ordering that two-thirds of them should set out and one-third should stay for the defense of their homes and country. From the people of al-Baṣrah, he also sent a group of men. He then said, “I shall use over the army someone who shall be the first to expose himself to the spears.” Accordingly, ‘Umar wrote to an-Nu‘mân ibn-‘Amr ibn-Mušarrin al-Muzani, who was at that time with as-Sâ’ib ibn-al-

Akra' ath-Thakafi, assigning him to the leadership of the army, saying, "In case thou art killed, Ḥudhaifah ibn-al-Yamān shall be the leader; if he should be killed, then Jarir ibn-'Abdallāh al-Bajali; if he should be killed, then al-Mughirah ibn-Shu'bah; and if he should be killed, al-Ash'ath ibn-Ḵais." This an-Nu'mān was at that time the 'āmil over Kaskar and its territory. Others say he was in al-Madinah, and when he received his appointment over this army from 'Umar by word of mouth, he started from it.

Shaibān from Ma'ḵil ibn-Yasār:—When 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb sought the advice of al-Hurmuzān, saying, "Shall we begin with Iṣbahān or Adharbajjān [Atrapatakan]?" al-Hurmuzān replied, "Iṣbahān is the head, and Adharbajjān the wings. Cut off the head, and the wings will fall off together with it."¹

*Al-Mughirah as envoy.* When 'Umar entered the mosque [in al-Madinah], his eyes fell on an-Nu'mān ibn-Muḵarrin; so he took a seat by his side. When he was through with his prayer, 'Umar said, "I want thee to be my 'āmil [lieutenant]." An-Nu'mān replied, "If a collecting-'āmil, no; but if an invading-'āmil, yes." "An invading one," said 'Umar. Thus 'Umar sent an-Nu'mān and wrote to the people of al-Kūfah to reinforce him, which they did, sending among others al-Mughirah ibn-Shu'bah. An-Nu'mān sent al-Mughirah to dhu-l-Ḥājibain,² the Persian chief at Nihāwand.³ Al-Mughirah [reaching the Persian camp] drew his sword and began cutting the rugs to pieces until he presented himself before the chief, upon which he took his seat on the throne. By dhu-l-Ḥājib's

² He is also called dhu-l-Ḥājib Mardānshāh.
order, al-Mughirah was dragged out. So he exclaimed:

"[Remember that] I am an envoy!"

The battle fought. When the Moslems met the "poly-
thests", they found them fastened in chains, in tens and
fives, so that they might not flee. Before the fight started
they shot their arrows and wounded some of the Moslems.
Then an-Nu‘mân said, "I noticed that when the Prophet
did not carry on the fight in the morning, he would wait
until the sun set and the wind blew; then the victory
would be assured." ¹ An-Nu‘mân added, "I shall now
shake the standard I carry three times. After the first
shake, let each perform the ablutions and satisfy his
natural wants. After the second shake, let each turn to his
sword (he may have said sandal-thong) and get ready,
putting everything in order. When the third shake is, by
Allah’s will, made, then rush and let none of you heed the
other." Saying this, an-Nu‘mân shook the standard and
they did as he had ordered them. His coat of mail was too
heavy for him; but he fought and his men fought; and he
was the first Moslem to be killed.

The Persian [dhu-l-Ḥâjib] fell from his mule and his
belly was cut open.

Ma‘kil ibn-Yasâr [the narrator of this tradition] adds,
"Coming to an-Nu‘mân and finding that life had not yet
fully departed from him, I washed his face with some water
I carried in a vessel; upon which he asked, ‘Who art thou?’
—‘Ma‘kil.’—‘How did the Moslems fare?’—‘I have glad
tidings; Allah has given us conquest and victory!’—‘Praise
be to Allah! Write and tell ‘Umar about it.’"

The news carried to ‘Umar. Shaibân from abu-‘Uth-
mân an-Nahdi:—The latter said, "I myself carried the
glad news to ‘Umar who asked, ‘And what about an-Nu‘-

mân? ’He was killed,’ said I. ’We are Allah’s,’ remarked ‘Umar, ’and to Allah we return.’ Saying this, he began to cry. I then said, ’By Allah, he was killed with others whom I know not.’ ’But whom Allah knows,’ said he.”

Aḥmad ibn-Ibrāhīm from abu-‘Uthmān an-Nahdi:—The latter said, “When ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb received the news of the death of an-Nu’mān ibn-Muṣarrin, he covered his face with his hands and began to cry.”

The version of as-Sā‘ib. Al-Ḵâsim ibn-Sallām from as-Sā‘ib ibn-al-Ākra‘:—The latter said, “An army, the similar to which was never seen before, marched against the Moslems.” He then cited the tradition regarding ‘Umar’s intention to lead the expedition in person, his appointment of an-Nu’mān ibn-Muṣarrin, the forwarding with as-Sā‘ib (whom ‘Umar put in charge of the booty) of the appointment in which these words occur: “Bring no false case to my attention, and never hold justice from anyone.”¹ The tradition then gives an account of the battle and mentions that an-Nu’mān was the first to be killed in the battle of Nihāwand, upon which Ḥudhaifah carried the standard and Allah gave them [the Arabs] victory. As-Sā‘ib adds, “I gathered the booty and divided it. After that there came to me a spy,² saying, ‘The treasure of an-Nakhīrkhān³ is in the castle.’ When I climbed up there, I found two chests containing pearls, the similar to which I never saw before. I then made my way to ‘Umar who, having not yet received the news, was roaming in the streets [of al-Madīnah] and making inquiries. Seeing me, ‘Umar exclaimed, ‘Oh! what news?’ I gave him an account of the battle and the death

² Cf. Dinawari, p. 145.
³ Ibid., p. 145: “Nukhârijân”.

of an-Nuʿmān and mentioned the case of the two chests. ‘Umar said 'Go and sell the chests and divide the price among the Moslems.' Accordingly, I took them to al-Kūfah, where I met a young man of the Ḥuraish, 'Amr ibn-Ḥuraith, by name, who paid their price from the stipends of [his own] family and the warriors of its members. One chest he took to al-Ḥirah, where he sold it for the same price he had paid me for both; and the other he kept. This was the first part of the fortune 'Amr amassed.'

Other versions. A certain biographer reports that the battle of Nihâwand was fought on Wednesday and Thursday and, after a short cessation, fighting was continued on Friday. He reports in describing the battle a similar tradition to that reported by Ḥammâd ibn-Salamah.

Ibn-al-Kalbi from abu-Mikhnaf:—An-Nuʿmān camped at al-Isbidhahâr1 with al-Ash'ath ibn-Ḳais commanding his right wing and al-Mughirah ibn-Shu'bah, the left wing. In the fight that ensued, an-Nuʿmān was killed. At last the Moslems won the victory; and that conquest was termed "the victory of victories." The conquest of Nihâwand took place on Wednesday, year 19, and according to others, 20.

'Ar-Rifâ'i from al-Ḥasan and Muḥammad:—The battle of Nihâwand took place in the year 21. A similar tradition was communicated to me by ar-Rifâ'i on the authority of Muḥammad ibn-Ḳa‘b.2

Dinâr makes terms for the city. Others report that after the defeat of the Persian army and the victory of the Moslems, Ḥudhaifah, who was at that time the leader, laid siege to Nihâwand, whose inhabitants made sorties but were de-

1 Hamadhâni, pp. 211, 259, and Dinawari, p. 143: "al-Isfidhahân"; Yākût, vol. i, p. 239: "Isbidhahân".

feated. One day Simâk ibn-'Ubaid al-'Absi chased a Persian who was accompanied by 8 horsemen. Simâk killed all eight, as each of them in turn turned against him. Seeing that he was left alone, the man chased yielded and laid down his arms. Simâk took him as prisoner; but as he spoke Persian, Simâk called someone who understood him and translated what he said, which was, "I shall go to your leader that I may make terms with him on this land, pay him poll-tax, and give thee for taking me as prisoner whatever thou requestest. To thee I owe a great deal because thou didst spare my life." Simâk asked, "What is thy name?" and he replied, "Dinâr". Simâk led him to Hudhaifah who made terms with him, stipulating that the kharâj and poll-tax be paid, and that the safety of the possessions, walls and dwellings of the inhabitants of his city, Nihâwand, be guaranteed. Nihâwand was thereafter called Mâh Dinâr. Dinâr often came after that to Simâk, offering him presents and showing his loyalty.

*Mâh al-Baṣrah and Mâh al-Kûfah.* Abu-Mas'ûd al-Kûfi from al-Mubârak ibn-Sa'd's father:—Nihâwand was one of the places conquered by the people of al-Kûfah, whereas ad-Dinawar was one of those conquered by the people of al-Baṣrah. The increase of the Moslems at al-Kûfah made it necessary that the lands the kharâj of which was divided among them be increased. Consequently, [the district of] ad-Dinawar was given them, in exchange for which the people of al-Baṣrah were given [the district of] Nihâwand which formed a part of [the province of] Iṣbahân. The excess of the kharâj of ad-Dinawar over that of Nihâwand was therefore a gain for the people of al-Kûfah.¹ Nihâwand was thereafter called Mâh al-Baṣrah; and ad-Dinawar, Mâh al-Kûfah. All this took place during the caliphate of Mu'awiyyah.

The meaning of “al-Yamân”. I have been informed by certain men of learning that Ḥudhaifah ibn-al-Yamân was the son of Ḥusail [Ḥisl] ibn-Jâbir al-‘Absi. He was an ally of the banu-‘Abd-al-Ashhal of al-Anṣâr; and his mother was ar-Rabâb, daughter of Ka‘b ibn-‘Adi of the ‘Abd-al-Ashhal tribe. His father was killed in the battle of Uḥud by ‘Abdallâh ibn-Mas‘ūd al-Hudhali, who killed him by mistake, taking him for an “unbeliever”. In accordance with the Prophet’s order, the blood money was paid; but Ḥudhaifah distributed it among the Moslems. According to al-Wâkidî, Ḥusail was nicknamed al-Yamân because he had commercial interests in al-Yaman; and whenever he arrived in al-Madînah, people would say, “Here comes al-Yamâni [of which al-Yamân is a shortened form].” According to al-Kalbi, however, Ḥudhaifah was the son of Ḥusail ibn-Jâbir ibn-Rabî‘ah ibn-‘Amr ibn-Jurwah, Jurwah being the one nicknamed al-Yamâni after whom Ḥudhaifah was so called, although between the two many generations intervened. Jurwah, in pre-Islamic times, killed someone and fled to al-Madînah, where he became an ally of the banu-‘Abd-al-Ashhal. His people called him al-Yamâni because he made an alliance with the Yamanites.
CHAPTER III

AD-DINAWAR, MÂSABADHÂN AND MIHRIJÂN-KÂDHAF

_Ad-Dînawar makes terms._ Abu-Mûsa-l-Ash‘ari left Ni-hâwand, to which he had come with the army of al-Başrah for the reinforcement of an-Nu‘mân ibn-Muṣarrin. On his way, he passed by ad-Dînawar where he camped for five days, in which he was offered resistance for only one day. The people of ad-Dînawar then agreed to pay tax and kharâj, and sought safety for their lives, possessions and children. Abu-Mûsa granted their request and left over the city his ‘âmil, together with some horsemen, and proceeded to Mâsabadhân, whose people offered no resistance.¹

_As-Sirawân makes terms._ The people of as-Sirawân made terms similar to those of ad-Dînawar, agreeing to pay poll-tax and kharâj; and abu-Mûsa sent detachments and conquered all the lands of ad-Dînawar. Others assert that abu-Mûsa conquered Mâsabadhân before the battle of Ni-hâwand.

_As-Šaimarah capitulates._ Abu-Mûsa ‘Abdallâh ibn-Kaîs al-Ash‘ari sent as-Sâ‘ib ibn-al-Akra‘ ath-Thâkafî—who was his son-in-law through his daughter umm-Muḥammad ibn-as-Sâ‘ib—to as-Šaimarah the chief city of Mihrijân-kâdhaf. The city capitulated; and it was agreed that the lives of the inhabitants be spared, that no captives be taken, and that no pieces of gold or silver be carried away, pro-
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vided the inhabitants paid poll-tax and kharâj on the land. As-Sâ‘ib reduced all the districts of Mihrijânkadhaf. The more reliable report is that Abu-Mûsa dispatched as-Sâ‘ib from al-Ahwâz; and the latter reduced Mihrijânkadhaf.

Sinn Sumairah. Muḥammad ibn-‘Ukbah ibn-Muṣrim Ḍabbī from certain sheikhs of al-Kûfah:—When the Moslems invaded al-Jibāl, they passed by the eastern summit called Sinn Sumairah, Sumairah being a woman of the Ḍabbah [a branch] of the banu-Mu‘āwiya ibn-Ka‘b ibn-Tha‘labah ibn-Sa‘d ibn-Ḍabbah and one of the Emigrants. Sumairah had a tooth [sinn protruding beyond the others]; hence the name of the peak Sinn Sumairah.

Kanâṭir an-Nu’mân. Kanâṭir [arches] an-Nu’mân, according to ibn-Hîshâm al-Kalbi, were named after an-Nu‘mân ibn-‘Amr ibn-Muqarrin al-Muzani, who camped by these Kanâṭir, which had been standing from ancient time.

Kathîr ibn-Shihâb. Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hîshâm al-Kalbi from ‘Awânah:—Kathîr ibn-Shihâb ibn-al-Ḥusain ibn-dhi-l-Ghuṣṣah-1-Ḥârithi belonged to the Uthmân party and often spoke evil of ‘Ali ibn-abi-Ṭâlib, and dissuaded men from following al-Ḥusain. He died either before or at the beginning of the rebellion of al-Mukhtâr ibn-abi-‘Ubaid. Al-Mukhtâr ibn-abi-‘Ubaid referred to him when he said: “By the Lord of heavens, the severe in punishment, the revealer of the Book, I shall surely dig the grave of Kathîr ibn-Shihâb, the transgressor, the liar.” Mu‘âwiya gave him for some time the governorship of ar-Rai and Dastaba, which he held on behalf of Mu‘âwiya and his two ‘āmils, Ziyâd and al-Mughirah ibn-Shu‘bah. After that, he incurred Mu‘âwiya’s anger and was flogged and imprisoned by him in

2 Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 2648.
Damascus. Shuraiḥ ibn-Hâni’ al-Muradi interceded in favor of Kathîr; and he was released. Yazid ibn-Mu‘âwiyyah, for selfish reasons, approved of following Kathîr and siding with him, and wrote to ‘Ubadallâh ibn-Ziyâd, asking him to appoint Kathîr over Mâsâbadhân, Mihrijân-kathaf, Ḥulwân and al-Mâhain [the two Mâhs], which he did, giving Kathîr many villages of the crown-domains in al-Jabal ¹ as fief. Here Kathîr built the castle which bears his name and which lies in ad-Dînawar. Zuhrâh ibn-al-Ḥârîth ibn-Manṣûr ibn-Ḳâis ibn-Kathîr ibn-Shîhâb had secured many crown-villages at Mâsâbadhân.

_Al-Khashârimah._ I learned from a descendant of Khashram ibn-Mâlik ibn-Hubairah-I-Asadi that the Khashârimah came first to Mâsâbadhân towards the end of the Umayyad dynasty, their grandfather being an emigrant from al-Kûfah.

_Kathîr made governor._ Al-‘Umari from al-Haitham ibn-‘Adî:—Ziyâd was one day on a trip when the belt of his robe became loose. Kathîr ibn-Shîhâb immediately drew a needle, that was stuck in his cap, and a thread and mended the belt. Seeing that, Ziyâd said, “Thou art a man of discretion; and such a one should never go without an office.” Saying this, he appointed him governor over a part of al-Jabal.

¹or al-Ṭibâl = Persian ‘Irâk or Media. Meynard, p. 151; Ḳazwîni, p. 228; Hamadhâni, p. 209; Rustah, p. 106.
Jarir reduces Hamadhân. In the year 23 A. H., al-Mughirah ibn-Shu‘bah who, after the dismissal of ‘Ammâr ibn-Yâsir, was the ‘âmil of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb over al-Kûfah, dispatched Jarir ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Bajali to Hamadhân.¹ The inhabitants of Hamadhân offered resistance and repelled his attacks, in the course of which Jarir received an arrow in his eye; and he remarked, “I give up my eye, seeking recompense from Allah who decorated with it my face and provided me by means of it with light, so long as he willed, and then deprived me of it as I was in his cause!” After that he reduced Hamadhân, which made terms similar to those of Nihâwand. This took place toward the close of the year 23. Its inhabitants, having later rebelled, drove Jarir back; but he finally took their land by force.²

Other versions. According to al-Wâkidî, Jarir reduced Nihâwand in the year 24, six months after the death of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb.

It is reported by others that al-Mughirah ibn-Shu‘bah, with Jarir leading the vanguard, marched against Hamadhân and, after reducing it, put it in charge of Kathir ibn-Shihâb al-Ḫârithi.

The terms with al-‘Alâ’. ‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from his grandfather and ‘Awânah ibn-al-Ḫakam:—When Sa‘d ibn-
abi-Wakḳâş ruled over al-Kūfah in behalf of 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân, he assigned over Mâh and Hamadhân al-‘Alâ’ ibn-Wahl ibn-‘Abd ibn-Wahlân of the banu-‘Âmir ibn-Lu’âi. The people of Hamadhân acted treacherously and violated the covenant, on account of which al-‘Alâ’ fought against them until they surrendered. The terms he made with them stipulated that, on the one hand, they should pay kharâj on their land and tax on their person and deliver to him 100,000 dirhams for the Moslems; and that, on the other hand, he should not interfere with their possessions, inviolable rights and children.

Mâdharân. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, the castle known by the name of Mâdharân was so called after as-Sari ibn-Nusair ibn-Thaur al-‘Ijli, who camped around it until he reduced it.

Sisar. Ziyâd ibn-‘Abd-ar-Raḥmân al-Balkhi from certain sheikhs of Sisar:—Sisar was so called because it lay in a depression surrounded by thirty hills. Hence its other name “Thalâthûn Ra’s” [thirty summits]. It was also called Sisar Sadkhâniyah which means thirty summits and a hundred springs, because it has as many as one hundred springs.

Sisar and the adjoining region were pasture-lands for the Kurds and others. It also had meadows for the beasts of burden and the cattle of caliph al-Mahdi, and was entrusted to a freedman of his called Sulaimân ibn-Ḳirâṭ—whose name Saḥrâ’ Ḳirâṭ in Madînat as-Sâlâm bears—and to a partner of his, Sallâm at-Ṭaiﬁrî, Ṭaiﬁrî having been a freedman of abu-Ja‘far al-Manṣûr and having been given by him as present to al-Mahdi. When in the caliphate of al-Mahdi the destitute [ṣa‘ālîk] and villain became numerous and spread over al-Jabal, they chose this region for their refuge and

1 Cf. Marâṣid, vol. iii, p. 27.
stronghold, to which they resorted after acting as highwaymen, and from which they could not be called back, because it was a boundary line between Hamadhân, ad-Dinawar and Adharbaijân. Sulaimân and his colleague wrote to al-Mahdi, reporting the case of those who interfered with their beasts and cattle. Thereupon, al-Mahdi directed against them a great army and wrote to Sulaimân and Sallâm, ordering them to build a city and occupy it with their associates and shepherds and use it as a refuge for their beasts and cattle against those who threatened them. Accordingly, they built the city of Sisar, fortified it, and made people settle in it. The district [rustâk] of Mâyanharaj ¹ in ad-Dinawar, and that of al-Jûdhamah in Adharbaijân which is a part of the province of Barzah, together with Rusťuf ² and Khâbanjar were added to Sisar; and the whole was made into one district that was put under one ‘âmil to whom its kharâj was paid.³

Later, in the caliphate of ar-Rashîd, this band of destitute multiplied and badly damaged Sisar. Ar-Rashîd ordered that it be repaired and fortified, stationing in it 1,000 of the men of Khâkân al-Khâdim as-Sughdi, whose descendants are still in it. Towards the end of his caliphate, ar-Rashîd appointed Murrah ibn-abi-Murrah ar-Rudaini-l-Tjli over Sisar. ‘Uthmân al-Audi attempted to wrest it from his hands, but failed, succeeding ⁴ only in wrestling all or most of what Murrah already held at Adharbaijân. Until the time of the insurrection, Murrah ibn-ar-Rudaini did not cease in the days of Muḥammad ibn-ar-Rashîd to pay the fixed kharâj of Sisar which he had

¹ Khurdâdhibh, p. 120. Hamadhâni, p. 240: “Mâyanmaraj”.
³ Hamadhâni, pp. 239-240.
⁴ According to Hamadhâni, p. 240, he failed in that, too.
agreed to pay annually. In the caliphate of al-Ma'mûn, Sisar was taken from the hand of 'Âsim ibn-Murrâh and once more added to the crown-domains [ḍiyâ' al-khilâfah].

Al-Mafâzah. I was told by certain sheikhs from al-Mafâzah, which is situated near Sisar, that when al-Jurashi ruled over al-Jabal the inhabitants of al-Mafâzah evacuated their town. Al-Jurashi had a general, Hammâm ibn-Hâni' al-'Abdi, to whom most of the people of al-Mafâzah yielded their villages and held them as tenants in order to enjoy his protection. Hammâm appropriated the villages to himself and used to pay the treasury what was due on them until he died. His sons were too weak to hold them. After the death of Muḥammad ibn-Zubaidah, when al-Ma'mûn was on his way from Khurâsân to Madînat as-Salâm, he was met by certain sons of Hammâm and a man from al-Mafâzah named Muḥammad ibn-al-'Abbâs, who told him the story of the place and informed him of the desire of all the people to give up their lands to him and act as his tenants in it provided they be protected and strengthened against the destitute bands and others. Al-Ma'mûn accepted their offer and ordered that they be reinforced and strengthened in order to cultivate the lands and repair them. Thus these lands were added to the crown-domains.

Laila-l-Akhyaliyyah. According to a tradition communicated to me by al-Madâ'ini, Laila-l-Akhyaliyyah paid a visit to al-Ḥajjâj. He gave her a present, and she requested him to write and recommend her to his 'āmil at ar-Rai. On her way back, Laila died at Sâwah, where she was buried.

1 Ar. muḫâfa'ah; M. V. Berchem, La Propriété Territoriale et l'Impôt Foncier sous les Premiers Califes, p. 45.
CHAPTER V

KUMM, KÂSHÂN AND IŠBAHÂN

Kummm and Kâshân reduced. Leaving Nihâwand, abu-Mûsa ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ḳais al-Ash‘ari came to al-Ahwâz, and after passing through it, stopped at Kummm which he reduced after a few days’ fight. He then directed al-Aḥnaf ibn-Ḳais, whose name was aḍ-Ḍâḥṭâk ibn-Ḳais at-Tamimi to Kâshân, which he took by force. Abu-Mûsa then overtook him.1

Jai and al-Yahûdiyâh capitulate. In the year 23, ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb directed ‘Abdallâh ibn-Budail ibn-Warkâ al-Khuzâ‘i to Išbahân. Others assert that ‘Umar wrote to abu-Mûsa-l-Ash‘ari ordering him to direct ‘Abdallâh at the head of an army to Išbahân, which abu-Mûsa did. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Budail conquered Jai,2 which capitulated after a fight, agreeing to pay kharâj and poll-tax, provided the population be guaranteed the safety of their lives and all possessions with the exception of the arms in their hands.

‘Abdallâh ibn-Budail then directed al-Aḥnaf ibn-Ḳais, who was in his army, to al-Yahûdiyâh,3 whose inhabitants made terms similar to those of Jai.

Thus ibn-Budail effected the conquest of the territory of Išbahân with its districts over which he acted as ‘āmil to

the end of the first year of 'Uthmân's caliphate, at which time 'Uthmân appointed as-Sâ’ib ibn-al-Akra'.

**Bashir's version.** Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d, a freedman of the banu-Hāshim, from Bashir ibn-abi-Umaiyah:—Al-Ash‘ari camped at Iṣbahân and proposed to the people the idea of Islâm, which they refused. He then proposed that they pay tax, upon which they made terms agreeing to pay it. The very next morning they rebelled; and he fought against them and, by Allah's help, defeated them. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d, however, adds, “In my view this refers to the inhabitants of Ḳumm.”

**The satrap of Iṣbahân.** Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from Muḥammad ibn-Ishâk:—'Umar sent ibn-Budail al-Khuzâ‘i to Iṣbahân, whose satrap [marzubân] was an aged man called al-Fâdûsafân. Ibn-Budail besieged the city and wrote to the people inciting them to forsake him. Seeing the lukewarmness of his men, al-Fâdûsafân chose thirty archers, in whose courage and obedience he confided, and fled away from the city towards Karmân with a view to joining Yazdajird. As soon as 'Abdallâh knew of it, he sent after him a heavy detachment of cavalry. As the Persian reached a high place, he looked behind and said to 'Abdallâh, “Take heed for thyself, no arrow of ours misses its mark. If thou charge, we shoot; and if thou fight a duel, we will fight!” A duel followed in the course of which the Persian gave 'Abdallâh a blow [with the sword] which, falling on the pomelle of his saddle, broke it and cut the breast-girth [of the horse]. The Persian then said to 'Abdallâh, “I hate to kill thee because I see thou art wise and brave. Wouldst thou let me go back with thee that I may arrange terms with thee and pay tax for my towns-

---

1 Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 2639: “al-Fâdûsafân”.

2 Caetani, vol. v, p. 10, takes 'Abdallâh to be the one who delivered the blow. Cf. Ṭabari, vol. i, p. 2639.
men, of whom those who stay will be considered dhimmis, and those who flee will not be interfered with? The city I will turn over to thee.” Ibn-Budail returned with him and took Jai; and the Persian fulfilled his promise, saying, “I saw that ye, people of Iṣbahān, are mean and disunited. Ye, therefore, deserve what I did with you.”

The territory of Iṣbahān pays kharāj. Ibn-Budail then passed through the plains and mountains of the territory of Iṣbahān, all of which he conquered, treating them as regards kharāj as he had treated the people of al-Ahwâz.

Some say that the conquest of Iṣbahān and its territory was effected partly in the year 23 and partly in the year 24.

Other versions. It is reported by others that ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb sent at the head of an army ‘Abdallâh ibn-Budail, who met abu-Mûsa, after the latter had conquered Kumm and Kāshān. They both now led the attack against Iṣbahān with al-Aḥnaf ibn-Ḳais commanding the van of abu-Mûsa’s army. Thus they subjugated all al-Yahūdiyân as described above. Ibn-Budail, after that, reduced Jai; and they both marched through the territory of Iṣbahān and reduced it. The most reliable account, however, is that Kumm and Kāshān were conquered by abu-Mûsa; whereas Jai and al-Yahūdiyân, by ‘Abdallâh ibn-Budail.

Abu-Ḥassân az-Ziyādi from a Thakīf man:—In Iṣbahān stands the sanctuary ¹ of ‘Uthmân ibn-abi-l-‘Āṣi ath-Thakīfi.

Persian nobility embrace Islâm. Muḥammad ibn-Yahya at-Tamîmî from his sheikhs:—To the nobility of Iṣbahān belonged various strongholds in Jafrâbâd in the district of ath-Thaimarâh ²-l-Kubra, in Bihjâwarsân ³ and in the fort

¹ Ar. mashhad—a place where a martyr died or is buried.


of Márib.¹ When Jai was reduced, these nobles offered homage, agreeing to pay the kharāj; and because they disdained to pay poll-tax, they became Moslems.

*Al-Anbari in Iṣbahān.* It is stated by al-Kalbi and abu-l-Yakzan that after al-Hudhail ibn-Kāis al-‘Anbari was appointed governor of Iṣbahān in the time of Marwān, the ‘Anbari clan moved there.

*Idris ibn-Ma‘kil imprisoned.* The grandfather of abu-Dulaf (abu-Dulaf being al-Kāsim ibn-‘Īsa ibn-Idris ibn-Ma‘kil al-‘Ijli), whose occupation consisted in preparing perfumes and trading in sheep, came to al-Jabal with a number of his relatives and occupied a village at Hamadhān called Mass. They became wealthy and came to own many [crown] villages. One day Idris ibn-Ma‘kil attacked a merchant who owed him money and choked him. Others say he choked him and took his money. Therefore, he was carried away to al-Kufah, where he was imprisoned. This took place when Yūsuf ibn-‘Umar ath-Thakafi ruled over al-‘Irāq in the days of Hishām ibn-Abd-al-Malik.

*Al-Karaj rebuilt.* After that, ‘Īsa ibn-Idris came to al-Karaj,² which he reduced and whose fort, which was dilapidated, he rebuilt. Abu-Dulaf al-Kāsim ibn-‘Īsa strengthened his position and rose into eminence in the eyes of the sultan. He enlarged that fort and built the city of al-Karaj which was for that reason called after him Karaj ab-Dulaf. Al-Karaj to-day forms a district by itself.

*Kumm’s rebellion suppressed.* The inhabitants of Kumm threw off their allegiance and withheld the kharāj. Al-Ma’mūn directed against them ‘Ali ibn-Hishām al-Marwazi, recruiting him with troops ³ and ordering him to wage war

²Meynard, pp. 478-479.
³Ṭabari, vol. iii, p. 1093.
against them. ‘Ali did so, killed their chief, Yahya ibn-‘Imran, razed the city wall to the ground and collected over 7,000,000 dirhams as tax, although previous to this they used to complain that 2,000,000 were too much for them to pay.

In the caliphate of abu-'Abdallâh al-Mu‘tazz-Billâh ibn-al-Mutawakkil-'Alallâh, they once more threw off their allegiance, upon which al-Mu‘tazz directed against them Mûsa ibn-Bughâ, his ‘âmil over al-Jabal, who was conducting the war against the Tâlibites who appeared in Tabaristân. Kumm was reduced by force and a large number of its inhabitants was slaughtered. Al-Mu‘tazz wrote that a group of its leading men should be deported.
CHAPTER VI

THE DEATH OF YAZDAJIRD IBN-SHAHRUYĂR IBN-KISRA
ABARWĪZ IBN-HURMUZ IBN-ANŪSHIRWĀN

The flight of Yazdajird. Yazdajird fled from al-Maḍā’in to Ḥulwān and thence to Iṣbahān. When the Moslems were done with Nihāwand, he fled from Iṣbahān to Iṣṭakhr, where he was pursued, after the conquest of Iṣbahān, by ‘Abdallāh ibn-Budail ibn-Warḵā’, but to no avail. Abu-Mūsa-l-Ash‘ari came to Iṣṭakhr and attempted its conquest, but did not succeed, and likewise did ‘Uthmān ibn-abi-l-‘Āṣi ath-Thaḵafi try it and fail.

In the year 29 when all Persia with the exception of Iṣṭakhr and Jūr ¹ was already reduced, ‘Abdallāh ibn-‘Āmir ibn-Kuraiz proceeded to al-Baṣrah. Yazdajird was on the point of leaving for Ṭabaristān, whose satrap had invited him, when Yazdajird was still in Iṣbahān, to come to Ṭabaristān which he told him was well fortified. It then occurred to Yazdajird to flee to Karmān, to which ibn-‘Āmir sent after him Mujāshi‘ ibn-Mas‘ūd as-Sulami and Harim ibn-Ḥaiyān al-‘Abdi. Mujāshi‘ came and stopped at Biyamand in Karmān, where his army was caught by a snow storm and nearly annihilated, few only surviving. The castle in which he resided was called after him Ḳaṣr Mujāshi‘. Mujāshi‘ then took his way back to ibn-‘Āmir.

As Yazdajird was one day sitting in Karmān, its marzubān came in; but Yazdajird felt too haughty to speak to

him, and the marzubān ordered that he be driven out, saying, "Not only art thou unworthy of a kingdom but even of a governorship of a village; and if Allah had seen any good in thee, he would not have put thee in such condition!"

Yazdajird left for Sijistān\(^1\) whose king showed regard for him and exalted him. After a few days Yazdajird asked about the kharāj which made the king change his attitude towards him.

Seeing that, Yazdajird left for Khurāsān. When he reached the boundary line of Maru he was met by its satrap [marzubān] Māhawaih\(^2\) with great honor and pomp. Here he was also met by Nizak Ṭarkhān who offered him something to ride upon, gave him presents and entertained him bountifully. Nizak spent one month with Yazdajird, after which he left him. He then wrote Yazdajird asking for the hand of his daughter. This aroused the anger of Yazdajird, who said, "Write and tell him 'Thou art nothing but one of my slaves; how darest thou then ask for my daughter's hand?'" Yazdajird also ordered that Māhawaih, the satrap of Maru, give an account and be asked about the money he had collected. Māhawaih wrote to Nizak, instigating him against Yazdajird and saying, "This is the one who came here as a runaway fugitive. Thou hast helped him in order to have his kingdom restored to him; but see what he wrote to thee!" They both then agreed to put him to death.

**Yazdajird slain.** Nizak led the Turks to al-Junābidh\(^3\) where he met the enemy. At first the Turks retreated, but then the tide turned against Yazdajird, his followers

---


\(^2\) Tha'ālibi, p. 743: "Māhawai 'ait".

\(^3\) Yunābidh, Yunāwid or Kunābidh. Ištakhri, p. 273; Ḥaukal, p. 324; Muṣaddasi, p. 321.
were killed, his camp was plundered, and he fled to the city of Maru. The city refused to open its gates; so he had to dismount at a miller's house standing on the bank of al-Mirghâb.¹ Some say that having heard of that, Mâhawaih sent his messenger who killed him in the miller's house. Others assert that Mâhawaih incited the miller and, by his orders, the miller killed Yazdajird, after which Mâhawaih said, "No slayer of a king should be kept alive", and he ordered that the miller himself be put to death. Still others claim that the miller offered Yazdajird food, which he ate, and drink, which intoxicated him. In the evening, Yazdajird took out his crown and put it on his head. Seeing that, the miller coveted the possession of the crown, and raising a mill-stone dropped it on Yazdajird. After killing him, he took his crown and clothes and threw the body into the water. When the news came to Mâhawaih, he put the miller and his family to death and took the crown and clothes. According to another story, Yazdajird was warned against the messengers of Mâhawaih and fled away, jumping into the water. When the miller was asked about him, he said, "The man has left my house." They found Yazdajird in the water, and he said, "If ye spare me I will give you my belt, ring and crown." He asked them for some money with which he could buy bread, and one of them gave him 4 dirhams. Seeing that, Yazdajird laughingly said, "I was told that I will some day feel the need of 4 dirhams!" Later he was attacked by certain men sent after him by Mâhawaih, and he said, "Kill me not; rather carry me to the king of the Arabs, and I will make terms with him on your and my behalf, and thus ye will be safe." They refused and choked him by means of a bow-string.

¹ or Marghâb or Murghâb, also called Nahr Maru. Ţabari, vol. i, p. 2872; Ḫauḳal, p. 315.
His clothes they carried away in a pouch; and his body they threw away into the water.¹

Fairûz taken away by the Turks. It is claimed that Fairûz ibn-Yazdajird fell into the hands of the Turks, who gave him one of their women in marriage; and he settled among them.
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banu-Bakr, 61
abu-Bakrah b. Masrūh, 85
Ba'labakk, 171, 180, 198, 201, 228, 236, 245, 247, 251
al-Balanjar, 319, 320, 415
al-Balāṣajān, 319
Baldah, 204
Balīs, 231-233
al-Balkā', 173, 193, 197
Bana, 342
al-Bandaniyān, 421
Bānikiya, 392-394, 403, 404
Barā' b. Mālik, 130, 131
al-Baradān, 399
Bārakah, 371
Bardha'ah, 305, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 324, 325, 329
Bārīk, 184
Barkah (in Africa), 352-354, 360, 371
Barr b. Kais, 353
al-Barriyāh, 281
al-Brashaliyāh, 307
Bārūsma, 402
Barzah, 186
abu-Barzah-l-Aslami, 66
al-Basharūdāt, 342
Bashīr b. al-Audah, 158
Bashīr b. Sa'd, 392, 398
al-Baṣrah, 82, 114, 116, 117, 118, 124, 139, 151, 180, 228, 264, 300, 375, 388, 396, 405, 410, 411, 436, 437, 441, 449, 455, 471, 476, 490
al-Baṭā'īh, 264, 452, 453-456
al-Bathaniyāh, 193
Bāṭhān, Bāṭhan or Buṭhān, 22, 25, Wādi—26
Baṭn Marr, 32
al-Baṭrīk b. an-Nakā, 220
al-Ba‘ūdah, 149
Bawāzīj al-Anbār, 395

Bawāzīj al-Mulk, 422
Bazabda, 275
Bāzalit, 318
al-Bazzāk, 451
Beirūt, 194
Berbers, 123, 253-254, 360, 361, 366, 368, 369
Bihjāwarsān, 487
al-Bihkubādhat, 428
Bikrāt b. Ashūt, 289
Bilāl, 26
Bilhit, 340
al-Bīma, 351
Bishr b. Maimūn, 282, 459
Bishr b. Šafwān, 366, 367
Bistām, 416
Bistām b. Narsi, 422
Biıyamand, 490
Bugha (a freedman of al-Mu'tašim), 319
Bugha-l-Kabir, 331-332
al-Bujah, 381, 382
Būka, 229, 246, 250, 259
Buçailah, 392
Bukh, 309
Buḵrāt b. Ashūt, 331
Bulunyās, 205
Būrān, 406
Burs, 410, 416
Buşbuhra b. Salûba, 392-393, 421
al-Busfurrajān, 305, 307, 313, 315, 331
Bushair b. Yassār, 45, 46, 47
Büşir, 342
Busr b. abi-Aṛtāt, 172, 356, 357, 358
Buṣra, 171, 172, 173, 193, 234
al-Buṭāh, 149
Buṭmān Ḥabīb, 229
al-Buwaib, 406
Buwałis, 232
al-Buwairah, 36
Buzākhah, 144, 145, 147
Byzantine Empire, s. v. Asia Minor
INDEX

Constantine [Kustantin b. Alyun], 287, 290-291, 293, 299
Constantine, son of Heraclius, 347
Constantinople, 179, 207, 209, 347,
376
Copts, 339, 340, 342, 343, 344, 346,
347, 383
Cordova [Kurtubah], 365
Crete [Ikritis], 376
Cyprus [Kubrus], 180, 235-243

Dabba, 117
banu-Dabbah, 397
Dabik, 264, 295
Dabil, 305, 312, 314-315, 321, 330
Dadhawa, 161, 162
ad-Dahhab b. Kais, s. v. al-Ahsaf
ad-Dahhab al-Khairi, 328
Dailam, 441
ad-Dailam, 441
Dair al-Awar, 406, 443
Dair Hind, 406, 443
Dair al-Jamajim, 431, 443
Dair Ka'b, 417, 443
Dair Khali, 186, 198
Dafika, 422
Damalu, 263-264
Damascus [Dimashk], 167, 172,
173, 176, 178, 182, 183, 186-199,
200, 201, 202, 216, 217, 219, 301,
320, 366, 480
Damirah, 342
Dair al-'Ajalah, 80-81
Dair al-Kawarir, 78, 80-81
Dair an-Nadwa, 80-81
Daira, 275
Darauliya, 255
Darab al-Hadath, 295, 296, 298
ad-Darb (Darb Baghras), 210, 254
abu-ad-Dard' Uwaimir, 186, 216,
217
Darin, 129, 130
Darasat, 450
Dasht al-Warak, 313

ad-Daskarah, 421
Dastaba, 479
Dastumaisan, 454
Dathin, 167
Dâ'ud b.'Ali, 456
ad-Daukarah, 450
abu-Dharr al-Ghifari, 237
abu-Dhu'aib Khuwailid, 356
Dhufaih b. 'Umar, 324
Diduna, 317
Dijlah (district), 259
Dijlah, see Tigris
Dijlat al-Basrah, 388, 453
Dikalahl, 342
Dimyat, 342
Dinar, 475-476
Dinar b. Dinar, 294
ad-Dinar, 305, 469, 476, 478-480, 483
Dirar b. al-Azwar, 149, 393, 394,
415
Diyar Mudar, 278
Diyar Rabia, 278, 281
ad-Dubbiyah (ad-Dabiiyah), 168
banu-Dudan, 306
ad-Dudaniyah, 306, 318, 328
ad-Dujana Simak, 35, 36, 37,
135, 139
abu-Dulaf al-Kasim, 488
Duluk, 202, 231, 297
Dumat al-Hirah, 96, 97, 400
Dumat al-Jandal, 95-97, 171
Duraid b. as-Simmah, 85
ad-Durdukiyah, 306
Durna, 389, 401
ad-Dustan, 244

Egypt (Misr), 20, 180, 191, 215,
216, 335-345, 351, 352, 353, 356,
358, 359, 360, 371, 372, 379, 381,
383
Euphrates (al-Furat), 232, 279, 284,
285, 293, 311, 403, 406, 410, 428,
432, 443, 445, 451, 453, 456
INDEX

Fadak, 37, 50-56, 58
Faḍālah b. ‘Ubaid, 237
al-Fadhandún, 460
al-Faqīl b. al-‘Abbās, 215
al-Faqīl b. Kārīn, 206
al-Faqīl b. Rauh, 369
al-Faqīl b. Yahya, 330
al-Fādūsafān, 486
Faid, 389, 405
Fairūz (dihkān), 422
Fairūz b. ad-Dailami, 160-162
Fairūz b. Jushaish, 129
Fairūz b. Yazdajird, 493
al-Faiyūm, 341
Fakh, 79
Fākhitah, daughter of ‘Amir, 129
Fākhitah, daughter of Kāražah, 235
al-Falālij, 394, 421
al-Falūjajatayn, 407
Fāmiyeh, 201-202
al-Farāfisah-I-Kalbiyah, 29
Faraj b. Sulaim, s. v. abu-Sulaim
Faranjah [France], 366
al-Farazdaq, 450
al-Farmā', 335
Farrukhundadh, 392
Farwah b. Iyās, 390
Farwah b. Musaik, 160
abu-Farwah ‘Abd-ar-Rahmān, 397
umm-Farwah, 155
al-Fasilah, 229
Fātimah, 52, 53, 54, 55, 61, 99
al-Fauwārah, 175
abu-l-Fawāris, 262
banu-Fazārah, 146
Fiḥl, 176-177, 216
Filān, 309, 324, 327
al-Fujā'ah, 149, 158
Fukair, 29
Furāt b. Ḥaiyān, 141
al-Furū’, 28, 29
al-Fustāt, 335, 336, 341, 347, 350
al-Ghābah, 24
al-Ghābah (the city of), 130
Ghābat b. Hubairah, 282
umm-Ghaṣbān, 128
al-Ghamr, 147-148
al-Ghamr b. Yazid, 282
banu-Ghanm b. ‘Auf, 17
Ghassān, 32, 83, 96, 172, 209, 254, 442
Ghatafān, 144, 148
Ghazzah, 168, 213
Guīmīk, 323
Ghurābah, 141
Ghūrah, 141
Habbār b. Sufyān, 174
Ḥabīb b. ‘Abd-ar-Rahmān, 368
Ḥabīb b. Zaid, 135, 140
umm-Ḥabīb aṣ-Ṣahbā', 169
banu-Ḥabibah, 93, 94
umm-Ḥabibah, 208
Ḥabtar, 128
al-Ḥādi, s. v. Mūsa
al-Ḥadikah, 135, 141
Ḥādir Ḥalab, 224-225
Ḥādir Kinnasrin, 223
Ḥādir Taiyi', 224-225
al-Ḥadithah, 280
Ḥadithat al-Mausil, 288, 311
al-Ḥadrah, 381
Ḥaḍramaut, 107, 112, 153, 156, 157
Ḥafs b. abi-l-‘Āsi, 125
abu-Ḥafs ‘Umar b. Ṭsa, 376
Ḥaidar b. Kāwus, 330
al-Ḥā'ir, 460
abu-l-Haitham Mālik, 50
abu-l-Ha iyāj al-Asadi, 435
Hajar, 110, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124
Ḥajar, 151
al-Ḥajjāj b. ‘Atik, 437
al-Ḥajjāj b. al-Ḥārith, 175
INDEX

Ḥakam b. Saʿd al-ʿAshirah, 32
al-Ḥakam b. Masʿūd, 404
umm-Ḥakim, 182
Ḥalab as-Sajūr, 231
Ḥamadhān, 410, 471, 481-484, 488
Ḥamāh, 201-202
Hamdān, 169, 160, 444
Ḥammād al-Barbari, 78, 81
al-Ḥammārīn, 82
Ḥamrāʾ Dailam, 441
Ḥamzah b. ʿAbd-al-Muṭṭalib, 80, 135
Ḥamzah b. Mālik, 297
Ḥamzah b. an-Nuʿmān, 58
Ḥamzin, 323, 326
Hānī b. ʿKabīṣah, 390
al-Hāni wa-l-Mari, 280-281
umm-Hānī, 52
banu-Ḥanifah, 133, 134, 135, 136
Ḥanẓalah, b. ar-Rabiʿ, 394
Ḥanẓalah, b. ʿSaʿfawān, 367
banu-Ḥanẓalah, 149, 150
al-Ḥarajah, 112
al-Ḥarāk, 313
al-Ḥaram, 70, 72, 73, 75, 76, 77, 82, 84
umm-Ḥarām, 235, 237
Ḥarim b. Ḥaiyān, 490
Ḥarish, 369-370
al-Ḥarīth b. ʿAbd-Kulāl, 109
al-Ḥarīth b. ʿAmr, 322
al-Ḥarīth b. al-Ḥakam, 356
al-Ḥarīth b. Hānī, 443
al-Ḥarīth b. al-Ḥarīth, 175
al-Ḥarīth b. Hīṣḥām, 175, 215
al-Ḥarīth b. Khālid, 83
al-Ḥarīth b. abi-Shimr, 209
Ḥaṭīthah b. ʿThaʿlabah, 33
banu-Ḥaṭīthah, 24
al-Ḥarnāniyah, 272
al-Ḥarrāh, 21, 22, 27, 30, 396
Ḥarrān, 272-274, 282, 291
Harthamah b. Aʿyan, 221, 261, 262, 370
Ḥārūn ar-Rashid, 78, 81, 83, 105, 117, 202, 221, 224, 233, 238, 244, 252, 257, 260, 261, 263, 264, 280, 282, 283, 293, 297-298, 299, 300, 370, 376, 459, 460, 483
abu-Ḥārūn as-Sulami, 280
al-Ḥārūniyah, 264
Ḥasan b. Ḥasan b. ʿAli, 463
al-Ḥasan b. ʿAli, 49, 99, 155
al-Ḥasan b. ʿAli-l-Bādhaghisi, 330
al-Ḥasan b. ʿAḥtabah, 261, 288, 292, 295, 296, 329
al-Ḥasan b. ʿUmar, 281
Ḥāshim b. ʿSubābah, 67
Ḥāshim b. ʿUtbah, 208, 420-422, 469
al-Ḥāshimiyyah, 300, 445-446
Ḥasmadan, 322
Ḥassān b. Māhawaih, 258
Ḥassān b. Mālik, 190
Ḥassān an-Nabatī, 454, 455
Ḥassān b. an-Nuʿmān, 360
Ḥassān b. Thābit, 36, 188, 218
Ḥātim b. an-Nuʿmān, 321, 322
abu-Ḥātim as-Saddarāti, 369
Haudah b. ʿAli, 132, 133
abu-l-Haul, 184
Ḥaurān, 173, 193, 197
Ḥawāriḥ, 317
Ḥawāzin, 85
Heraclius [Hirakl], 174, 175, 176, 177, 179, 182, 189, 200, 207, 210, 211, 253, 254, 344
 Hibāl b. Khuwailid, 145
Hibra, 197
Hilāl b. ʿAkkah, 398
Hilāl b. Daigham, 260
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INDEX</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hilāl b. 'Ullafah, 415</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥima an-Nakī', 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥima ar-Rabadah, 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Ḥimār, 210, 379</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥimṣ [Emesa], 174, 175, 180, 198, 200-206, 211, 212, 216, 217, 223,  \n</td>
<td>228, 270, 275, 294, 295, 301, 320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥimyar, 108, 109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥind, daughter of 'Utbah, 207</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥind, daughter of Yāmīn, 155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Ḥirah, 32, 96, 97, 169, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 398,  \n</td>
<td>400, 403, 404, 407, 410, 421, 437, 442, 444, 447, 462, 475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hishām b. al-'Aṣī, 147, 175</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥiṣn al-Ḥadath, 260, 282, 296-297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥiṣn Kalādhiyāh, 291, 293</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥiṣn Kamkh, 287-288, 291</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥiṣn Malikiyā, 408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥiṣn Mansūr, 299-300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥiṣn Māridīn, 275</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥiṣn Salīm, 230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Ḥiṣn b. Maʿbad, 407</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hit, 279, 280, 463</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥiyār bani-l-Ḳaʾḥā', 225-226</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥubābah, 155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Ḥubal, 141</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥubāsh b. Ḫās, 210</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Ḥudaiḥiyāh, 45, 49, 60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥudaiḥiyāh b. Miḥṣan, 117, 399-400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥudaiḥiyāh b. al-Yamān, 320, 427, 430, 449, 472, 474, 475, 476, 477,  \n</td>
<td>482, 483, 484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abu-Ḥudaiḥiyāh b. al-Mughirah, 74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abu-Ḥudaiḥiyāh b. Utbah, 138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Ḥudhayl b. Ḫās, 488</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥujaʿar, 138</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥujr b. 'Adi, 420, 470</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abu-l-Ḥuqailk, 43, 47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥukaim b. Saʿd, 443</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥulwān, 420, 421, 466-470, 471, 480, 490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥumaid b. Maʿyūf, 238, 376</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥumrān b. Abān, 396</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥunain, 66, 85, 86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abu-Hurairah (ad-Dausi), 22, 30, 63, 64, 124, 125, 126</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥuraith b. 'Abd-al-Malik, 96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥurḳūs b. an-Nuʿmān, 170</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurmuz (village), 431</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Hurmuz, 314</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Hurmuz al-ʿAraj, 350</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Hurmuzān, 472</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurmuzjarad, 389</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Ḥusayd, 169</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥusail [Ḫisl] b. Jābir, 477</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥusain b. Muslim, 263</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Ḥusin b. 'Ali, 49, 99, 344, 393, 479</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Ḥusain al-Khādīm, 283</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Ḥusain b. Muḥammad, 112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Ḥusain b. Numair, 74, 75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Ḥutam, 127, 128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Ḥuwairith b. Nuqaidh, 67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥwārin, 171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥuyay b. Akḥtab, 41, 43, 44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhīm (son of the Prophet), 35, 344</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhīm b. 'Abdallāh, 446, 455, 457</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhīm b. al-Aḥlab, 370, 371</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhīm b. al-Mahdi, 228</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhīm b. Saʿd, 228</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibrāhīm b. Salamah, 446</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idris b. Maʾkil, 488</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ikhmīm, 340-341</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥkrīmah b. abi-Jahl, 117, 155, 174</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iyyās b. Ḥabīb, 368</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Imrān b. Mujālid, 371</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Irāk, 194</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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'al-Jarajimah, 246-252, 258
Jarash, 179
al-Jarbâ', 92-94
al-Jardamân, 307, 318
Jarjarâya, 398
al-Jarrâh b. 'Abdallâh, 317, 322-323
Jarshân, 309, 324
Jau 'Kurâkir, 148
al-Jaulân, 179
Ja'wanah b. al-Ḫârith, 290, 323
al-Jazirah, s. v. Mesopotamia
al-Jibâl or al-Jabal, 319, 324, 325, 393, 420, 449, 480, 482, 484, 488, 489
Jidh', 32
al-Jifshish al-Kindi, 154
Jisr Manbij, 232, 275
Jisr al-Walid, 259-260
Jordan [al-Urdunn], 167, 176, 178-181, 193, 201, 202, 216, 217, 244, 301
Jubail, 194
Judhâm, 92, 207, 218
al-Juḥâf w-al-Jurâf, 82
Juhainah, 184, 441
al-Junâbidh, 491
Junâdah b. abi-Umaiyah, 375-376
Jurash, 91
al-Jurf, 28, 39, 114, 165, 218
Jurhum, 31, 32, 74
al-Jurjûmah, 247, 249, 250
Jurna, 314
Jurwah al-Yamâmi, 477
INDEX

Juwâtha, 127, 128, 129, 140
Ka'b al-Ḥabr, 237
Kabalalah, 306, 319
Kaḍas, 179
al-Ḳadīsiyyah, 277, 404, 408, 409-416, 417-418, 424, 434, 439, 440, 441, 462
Kafarbaiya, 256, 257
Kafarjadda, 282
Kafarmara, 397
Kafartis, 349
Kafartûthah, 281
al-Kâhinah, 360
Ka'inukâ', 33
al-Ḳairawân, 357, 358, 361, 362, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371
Ḳais b. Hubairah, 160-162, 411
Ḳais b. Makhrumah, 396
Ḳais b. Makshûh, 208, 414, 415
Ḳais b. Sa'd, 358
Ḳais b. Sakan, 116
Ḳaisâriyâh, 216-220, 335
banu-l-Ḳa'kâ', 225-226
Ḳalajirj, 318
Ḳalb, 170, 391, 395
Ḳalîqala, 289, 305, 309-310, 312-313, 320
Kalthâm b. Hidm, 15
Kalwâda, 408
al-Ḳamībarân, 306, 319
Ḳanâṭir an-Nu'mân, 479
Ḳanisat as-Ṣuḥh, 263
al-Ḳanisat as-Saudâ', 264
dhu-Ḳâr, 462
al-Karaj, 488
Ḳarda, 275
Ḳarkh Fairûz, 460, 461
Ḳarqisîya, 171, 274, 275, 279, 281
Karmân, 463, 486, 490
Ḳarmâsin, 469
Ḳarrâd an-Nukri, 130, 131
Ḳaryat abi-Salâbah, 443
al-Ḳaryatain, 171
Ḳâshân, 485-489
al-Ḳâsim b. Rabî'ah, 321
Ḳâṣîrin, 231-232
Ḳaskar, 259, 389, 402, 407, 453, 454, 472
Ḳaṣr al-'Adasiyyân, 391, 444
al-Ḳaṣr al-Abyaḍ, 371, 391
al-Ḳaṣṣah, 144, 145
Ḳastasji, 318
Ḳaṭarghâsh, 258
Ḳathîr b. Shihâb, 416, 479, 480, 481
al-Kâtibih, 45, 46, 49
al-Ḳaṭîf, 124, 129
Ḳaṭrabbul (or Ḳuṭrubbul), 400, 458
Ḳaṭṭûl Kisra, 461
al-Ḳaṭṭûl, 460
Ḳaṭûlah, 460
Ḳazwin, 441
Ḳhâbanjar, 483
Ḳhubbâb b. al-Arrat, 431, 432
al-Ḳhâûbûr, 279
Ḳhaffân, 387, 390, 399
Ḳhaibar, 37, 41, 42-49, 50, 51, 52, 57, 58, 337
al-Ḳhais, 340
Ḳhaizân, 319, 320, 323, 324
al-Ḳhazûrân, 433
Ḳhâkân, 308, 319
Ḳhâkân al-Ḳhûdîm, 483
Ḳâkhit, 318, 325
Ḳholfûn al-Barbarî, 372
Ḳhâlid b. 'Abdallâh, 437, 445, 450-451
Ḳhâlid b. Mâlik, 159
Ḳhâlid b. Sa'id, 106, 107, 156, 160, 165, 166, 182, 183
Ḳhâlid b. Thâbit, 214
Ḳhâlid b. Umair, 324
Ḳhâlid b. ʿUrūfîtâh, 413, 416, 419, 432
Ḳhâlid b. al-Walid, 63, 64, 65, 95, 96, 97, 118, 128, 129, 134, 136, 137, 145, 147-150, 158, 167-168,
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Khālid b. Yazid b. Mazyad, 330
Khālid b. Yazid b. Mu‘āwiya, 383
Khalīj Banāt Nā‘īlah, 29
Khānijār, 422
Khānīkin, 420, 421, 430
Khārījah b. Ḥīṣn, 144, 145, 148
Khārījah b. Ḥudhāfah, 336, 341, 346
al-Khashārimah, 480
Khashram b. Mālik, 480
Khashram as-Sulami, 327
b. Khātāl al-Adrami, 66, 67
al-Khatt, 124, 129
Khaulān, 152, 157
Khwawīt b. Jubbair, 27
al-Khawarnak, 446
al-Khazar, 305, 306, 309, 310, 319, 323, 324-327, 329
al-Khazraj, 19, 33
al-Khibrimah, 141
Khilāt, 275, 289, 305, 313, 322, 331
Khufāsh, 112
Khūkhīt, 318
Khumm, 77, 78
Khumān, 317
Khumāsir b. ‘Amr, 229
Khumāsirah, 229
al-Khuraibah, 388
Khuraim b. Aus, 392
Khurāsān, 151, 205, 261, 262, 280, 292, 293, 297, 328, 368, 369, 446, 457, 459, 460, 484, 491
Khurrazād, 420
Khurzād b. Māhibundād, 399
al-Khūṣūs, 256
Khuṭarniyah, 422
Khuza‘ah, 33, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 240
Khuza‘imah b. Khāzim, 330
dhu-l-Kilā‘, 263
al-Kilā‘, 307, 324
banu-Kilā‘, 34
Kinānah, 60, 61
Kindah, 107, 153, 154, 156, 157, 169, 211, 369
Kinnasrin, 202, 211, 213, 214, 217, 223-234, 254, 259, 270, 294, 296, 297, 301
al-Kiryaun, 346, 349
Kisāl, 317, 325
Kisra, 124, 129, 160, 390, 405, 406, 419, 430, 431, 432
Ku‘āik‘ī‘ān, 81
Kūbā‘, 15, 16, 17, 18
Kūbād b. Fairūz, 305-306, 453
Kūbākīb, 292
Kūbbash, 197
Kūdāmah b. Ma‘z‘ūn, 125
al-Kūfah, 97, 102, 103, 104, 105, 133, 155, 169, 180, 211, 212, 228, 279, 300, 310, 320, 388, 396, 405, 411, 415, 427, 434-448, 449, 450, 455, 457, 464, 469, 471, 472, 475, 476, 479, 480, 481, 482, 488
Kūhuwīt, 317
Kulthūm b. ‘Iyād, 360, 367
al-Kulzum, 358, 381
Kūmīs, 471
Kumm, 485-489
Kūra ‘Arabiyyah, 53, 143
Kūraish, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 68, 74, 75, 77, 80, 86, 105, 112, 133, 475
banu-Kūraizah, 25, 40-41
Kūrākīr, 169, 170
Kurdbandād, 434
Kurds, 319, 482
al-Kurr, 319, 323
Kūrrah b. Hubairah, 147
Kūrt b. Jammāh, 407, 415
Kūrṭubah, see Cordova
Kūrus, 202, 230
Kūrzh b. ‘Alkamaḥ, 84
umm-Kurzh, 424
Kuṣai b. Kilā‘, 77, 80
Kuṣam, 171
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Kūsān al-Armani, 312
al-Kūshān, 244
Kuss an-Nāṭif, 403-404
Kūthā, 408, 418, 422, 428
Kūtham b. Ja‘far, 54
al-Kūṯúṭānah, 461
Kuwaitah, 434, 437

Labbah, 16
al-Lādhikiyah, 203-205
Laila (daughter of al-Jūdi), 96-97
Laila-l-Akhayliyah, 484
b. abi-Laila, 71, 73, 89, 90, 113, 114, 286
al-Laith b. Sa‘d, 238
Lakhm, 92, 207, 362, 443
Lakīt b. Mālik, 117
al-Lakz, 309, 322, 326, 327
Lirān, 309, 324
Ludd [Lydda], 213, 220
dhāt-al-Lujum, 314-315
Luwātah, 353-354

Ma‘āb, 173
Ma‘arrat Miṣrīn, 229
Ma‘arrat an-Nu‘mān, 202
Ma‘bad b. al-‘Abbās, 356, 359
al-Madhār, 389, 405
Mādhharān, 482
Madhhij, 160, 183, 323
Madh‘ūr b. ‘Adi, 387
al-Ma‘dīn, 381
Madinat as-Salām, s. v. Baghdād
al-Mafāzah, 484
al-Maghrib, 256, 335-345, 349, 352-
Magians, 110, 118, 120, 121, 123, 130, 314, 424, 441
Māḥ al-Baṣraḥ [Nihāwand], 476, 482
Māḥ Dinār, 476
Māḥ al-Kūfah [ad-Dinawar], 476
al-Māḥain, 471, 480
Māḥawāiḥ, 491, 492
al-Mahdiyyah, 297
Mahrūbah, 226
Mahrūd, 421
al-Māḥūzah, 461
Maimadh, 324
Maimūn (village), 451
Maimūn b. Ḥamzah, 282
Maimūn al-Jurjumāni, 248
al-Maimūn (canal), 451
Maisarah b. Māsrūk, 254, 270
Maiyāfārīkīn, 275
Majāz al-Andalus, 365
Ma‘kīl b. Yaṣār, 472, 473
Makkah, 15, 16, 19, 21, 27, 32, 33, 47, 60-76, 77-81, 82-83, 86, 87, 88, 129, 146, 165, 184, 240, 451, 457, 459
Makna, 92-94
al-Makṣalāt, 188, 190
Malāt야h, 287, 288, 289-293, 297, 312
Mālik b. ‘Abdallāh, 298
Mālik b. Anas, 238
Mālik al-Ashtar, 254, 358
Mālik b. ‘Auf, 85, 101
Mālik b. Murārah, 107, 108
Mālik b. Nuwairah, 149-150
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<td>Manṣūr b. al-Mahdi, 228</td>
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<td>Mar‘āsh, 231, 293-295</td>
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<td>Mardānshāh, 403, 410, 471, 472, 473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muḥammad b.ʿAbdallāh b.ʿAbdar-Rahmān, 288-289</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muḥammad b.ʿAbdallāh al-Kummi, 381-382</td>
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<td>Muḥammad b. abi-Bakr, 358</td>
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<td>Muḥammad b. abi-Ḥudhaifah, 357, 358</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Muḥammadīyah, 296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muḥāid b. Jābr, 376</td>
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<td>al-Muṣʿab b. az-Zubair, 247</td>
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<td>al-Muṣafir al-Ḵażāb, 328</td>
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<td>Musailimah al-Kadhāb, 128, 132-140, 151, 159</td>
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<td>al-Muṣayyab b. Zuhair, 293, 297</td>
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<td>Mūshāʾil al-Armanī, 329</td>
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<td>Muslim b.ʿAbdallāh, 180, 228</td>
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<td>al-Muṣṭaʿīn, 372</td>
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<td>Muʿtah, 138, 195</td>
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<td>Muʿtamir b. Sulaimān, 261, 296</td>
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<td>Muṭāmmam b. Nuwairah, 149-150</td>
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<td>al-Muṭṭasim, 206, 221, 225, 256, 257, 258, 259, 264, 299, 312-313, 446, 460, 461</td>
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<tr>
<td>al-Mutawakkiliyyah, 319, 461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Mu'tazz, 489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Muthanna b. Ḥārithah, 169, 387-388, 390, 394, 395, 399, 400, 401-402, 404, 409-410, 413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muzai'kiya, 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nabateans, 247, 248, 250, 289, 417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nābulus, 213, 245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>banu-an-Nādir, 33, 34-39, 43, 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nafis b. Muhammad, 30, 396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahr al-Amir, 452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahr ad-Damm, 390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahr Durţī, 428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahr Maḥdūd, 433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahr al-Malik, 408, 422, 428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahr al-Mar'ah, 389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahr Maslamah, 232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahr Sa'd, 432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahr Sa'd, 280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahr Shaila, 433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahr aš-Šilah, 451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahr aš-Ṣin, 450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an-Nahrain, 407, 421, 431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>umm-Nahshal, 82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Najd, 134, 165, 462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Najrân, 29, 32, 76, 98-105, 107, 157, 240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an-Najraniyah, 102, 103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an-Nakhrkhân, 417, 474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an-NAME b. Kâsit, 398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an-Nashâstaj, 431, 432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an-Nashawa, 307, 315, 321, 330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Našibin, 274-275, 278-279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Našr b. Mâlik, 293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Našr b. Sa'd, 293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an-NAMEt, 45, 46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nihāwand, 146, 471-477, 478, 481, 485; 490</td>
</tr>
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<td>Nikâbulus, 230</td>
</tr>
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| an-Nil, 450 |
| Nizâr, 435, 436 |
| Nuʾaim b. ʿAbd-Kulâl, 109 |
| Nuʾaim b. Aus, 197 |
| Nubia, 379-382 |
| an-Nujair, 154, 155, 157, 158 |
| an-Nukhailah, 393, 405-408, 410 |
| an-Nuʾmân Kâil dhi-Ruʿain, 109 |
| an-Nuʾmân b. al-Mundhir, 127, 390, 394-395 |
| an-Nuʾmân b. Zurʿah, 284 |
| Nuṣair abu-Mûṣa, 396, 397 |
| an-Nusair b. Daisam, 398-400 |

| Orontes [al-Urunṭ or al-Urund], 200, 227 |

| Palestine [Filastîn], 167, 168, 178, 193, 201, 202, 207, 213-222, 227, 232, 244, 301, 329, 353 |

| Rabaq Ḥarrân, 282 |
| Raḥn, 202, 231, 297 |
| Rabiʿah, 127, 128, 229, 372, 392, 399, 407 |
| Rabiʿah b. Buja, 169, 170 |
| Rafah, 213 |
| ar-Râfiqah, 280, 459 |
| ar-Râbah, 281 |
| Rahwat Mâlik, 298 |
| ar-Râi, 410, 457, 471, 479, 484 |
| ar-Râiya, 141, 142 |
| ar-Rajjâl [Rahhâl] b. Unfuwaḥ, 132, 133, 134 |
| ar-Râkkâh, 270-272, 274, 275, 278, 280, 281, 282, 290, 300, 322 |
| ar-Ramlah, 220-221 |
| Rammân, 147 |
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Ra's al-'Ain, 275-277, 279
Râskifa, 274, 282
ar-Rass, 306, 319, 323
Rauh b. Ḥâtim, 297, 330, 369
"ar-Rawâdif," 247, 250
Rhodes [Rûdis], 375-376
ar-Ribâb, 151, 446
ar-Ruha, 269, 272-275, 278, 282, 287, 300
ar-Rûmiyah, 419, 434
ar-Ruṣâfah, 446, 457
Ruṣâfat Hishâm, 280, 290
Rustam, 410-415, 420, 440, 441
Sabastiyyah, 213
Sâbat, 417, 419
as-Sâbûn, 129
Sâbûr, 433, 461
Sa'd b. 'Amr, 169, 279, 280, 432-433
Sa'd b. Khaiithamah, 15, 16
Sa'd b. Mâlik, 431, 432
Sa'd b. Mu'ādh, 40, 41
as-Ṣadif, 107, 156
as-Ṣafa, 65, 71
Ṣafiyah, 43-44
as-Ṣafûkah, 142
Ṣafwân b. al-Mu'atthal, 270, 273, 287, 288
Sahl b. Ḥunaif, 36, 37
Sahl b. Sanbât, 330
as-Sâ'ib b. al-Akra', 471-472, 474, 478, 479, 486
as-Sâ'ib b. al-'Auwâm, 136, 138
Sa'id b. 'Amir, 270, 275, 278
Sa'id b. 'Amir b. Aswad, 323-325, 484
Sa'id b. 'Amir b. Sa'id, 184
Sa'id b. al-'Āsi, 184, 310, 440
Sa'id al-Khair b. 'Abd-al-Malik, 280
Sa'id b. Sa'd, 81
Sa'id b. Sâlim, 329, 330
as-Sâlahîn, 394, 410
as-Ṣaimarah, 478
Sajâh, 151
as-Sâkin, 153, 406
Salamyah, 205
Sala'ūs, 282
Sâliḥ b. 'Abd-ar-Râhmân, 465, 466
Sâliḥ b. 'Ali, 205, 221, 251, 257, 260, 291, 295
Salîr b. Ǧâṣîs, 132, 401, 403, 405
Sallâm at-Ṭaifūrī, 482, 483
Salma, 413, 414
Salmân b. Râbi'ah, 230-231, 310-312, 318-320, 415
Samaritans, 217, 244-245
as-Ṣamsámah sword, 183-185
as-Sâmûr, 323, 326
Ṣan'a', 106-107, 112, 156, 160, 162
as-Ṣanâriyah, 318, 329
as-Ṣarîh (canal), 396, 416, 446, 458
as-Sarât, 32, 405
as-Sarîr, 326
Sarjûn [Sergius], 301
Saruja, 274, 282
as-Sawâd, 114, 387-400, 421, 422-431, 449, 461, 462, 463, 465
as-Sâwardiyah, 319, 443
as-Sayâbijah, 250
Sa'yah b. 'Amr, 43
Seleucia [Salûqiyah], 228
Shabath b. Rib'i, 151, 444
ash-Shâbirân, 306, 319, 326
Shaila, 433
Shaizar, 201
abu-Shajarah 'Amr, 148
Shakkan, 319
abu-Shâkir, 83
ash-Shamâkhiyâh, 329
Shamkûr, 319
ash-Sharât, 193-194
Sharwân, 306, 309, 319, 324, 327, 329
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ash-Shikk, 45, 46
Shimshât, 287, 289, 294, 297, 305, 319
Shirawâîh, 406
Shurahbîl b. Ḥasanah, 165-167, 177, 178, 179, 186, 190, 201, 215
Shurahbîl b. as-Simîf, 211-212, 406
Shurâîh b. ‘Amir, 388-389
Shurât, 118, 328
Sicily [Sikîliyah], 375
Sidon [Saîdah], 194
Sîffin, 232, 415
Sîjistân, 465, 491
Simâk b. Kharashah, s. v. abu-Dujânah
Simâk b. ‘Ubaïd, 476
as-Simîf b. al-Aswad, 200-201, 211, 212, 224
Sinân, 255
as-Sind, 250, 252
Sînjâr, 274, 276
Sînân Sumârah, 479
Sîrâj Tâir, 305, 314
as-Sirâvân, 478
Sirin abu-Muḥammad, 306, 398
as-Sisajân, 305, 307, 315, 322, 328, 331, 332
Sisâr, 482-484
Sîsiyâh (Sis), 262
as-Sîyâsîfûn, 306, 307, 309
Slavs [Saḵâlibah], 231, 325
St. John’s Cathedral, 191-192
as-Sudd al-‘Arîm, 30, 31
Suṭîyân b. ‘Abdallâh, 89
Suṭîyân b. ‘Auîf, 294
Suṭîyân b. Muṣîfîb, 194, 195
Suṭîyân b. ‘Uyainah, 238, 240
abu-Suṭîyân b. Ḥarb, 61, 62, 63, 66, 87, 91, 101, 107, 157, 197, 208
Sughîbâbîl, 306
Suḥail b. ‘Amr, 129, 215
Suḥaim b. al-Muhâjîr, 248
abu-Sulâîm Faraj al-Khâdîm, 260, 262
banu-Sulâîm, 148, 291
Sulâîmân b. ‘Abd-al-Malîk, 54, 198, 220, 222, 366
Sulâîmân b. Kîrât, 482, 483
Sulâîmân b. Sa’d, 301
Sulîlîm, 45, 46
Sulîtais, 340, 349
Sumâisât, 273-274, 297
Sûrân, 372
Surra-man-ra’a, 330, 332, 372, 382, 460-461
as-Sûs al-Adnâ, 355, 362
as-Sûs al-Akṣa, 362
Suwa, 169-170
Suwâîd b. Kuṭbah, 388, 389
Tabâlah, 91
Ṭabaristan, 489, 490
Ṭabarsârân, 309, 324, 327
Ṭabûk, 92-94, 105, 167
Tadmûr, 171
Ṭafiṣ, 241, 305, 316-317, 325
banu-Ṭaghlîb, 114, 115, 151, 169, 170, 284-286, 398, 399
at-Ṭâ’if, 28, 61, 62, 85-90, 165, 208
Ṭaimâ’, 31, 57-59
Ṭaiyî’, 224, 419
Ṭakāṭ Bîshr, 282, 447, 459
Takrît, 225, 399, 400
Ṭalhâ b. ‘Ubaḍallâh, 144, 431, 432
Tall ‘Afrà’, 282
Tall A’zâz [or ‘Azâz], 230
Tall Jubair, 263
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Tall Madhâba, 282
Tall Mauzin, 275
Tamim b. Aus, 197
banu-Tamim, 120, 129, 149, 151, 278, 394
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Thabit b. Kais, 139, 145
Thabit b. Nu‘aim, 328
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ath-Thalâbiyah, 389, 405, 409
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Tiberias [Tabaraiyah], 178-179
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Tustar, 394, 401
Tyre [Ṣūr], 179, 180, 181, 220
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Ubai b. Ka‘b, 18, 69, 135
‘Ubayd b. Murrah, 30, 396
Abu-‘Ubayd b. Mas‘ûd, 401-402, 403-404, 405, 406
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Ubûd, 22, 28, 30, 35, 80, 140, 477
Ukaidir b. ‘Abd-al-Malik, 95-97
‘Ukbah b. ‘Amir, 342, 343
‘Ukbah b. Nâfi‘, 353, 357, 358, 359, 361, 367, 379
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Ulilais, 389-390, 393, 404, 405
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‘Umair b. Wahb, 336, 342
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| Utbah b. Ghazwân, 125, 410-411 | Warthan, 323, 324, 328 |
| Utbah b. Rabiah, 81 | Wasi, 264, 449-452 |
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Yahya b. Sa'id, 50, 184
al-Yākūsah, 175
Ya'la b. Munyah, 152, 157
al-Yamamah, 21, 76, 129, 132-142, 389, 394, 415
al-Yarmuk, 175, 188, 207-211, 216, 223, 335
Yathrib (al-Madinah), 31, 33, 34, 44, 86
Yazdajird b. Shahriyār, 406, 416, 417, 418, 420, 421, 469, 471, 486, 508-409 |
| Yazid b. 'Abd-al-Malik, 112, 190, 204, 322, 366, 442 | Zamzam, 77 |
| Yazid b. Ḥātim, 369 | Zandaward, 389, 402, 450 |
| Yazid b. al-Ḥurr, 254 | az-Zârah, 129, 130, 131 |
| Yazid b. Makhla, 262 | az-Zawazân, 275 |
| Yazid b. Muʿāwiyyah, 59, 75, 76, 96, 103, 202, 236, 244, 245, 294, 359, 376, 480 | Zawilah, 352-354 |
| Yazid b. al-Muhallab, 259, 366 | b. az-Ziba'ra as-Sahmi, 68 |
| Yazid b. abi-Muslim, 366 | Zibaṭrah, 282, 298-299 |
| Yazid b. abi-Sufyân, 58, 166-168, 173, 178, 179, 186, 189, 190, 193, 194, 196, 201, 208, 215-217, 219, 269, 335, 341 | Zirikirān, 309, 326 |
| Yazid b. al-Walid, 238, 241, 368 | abu-Zubaid at-Ṭā'i, 282, 404 |
| Yuḥanna b. Ruḥah, 92 | Zubaidah, daughter of abu-l-Faḍl, 81, 281, 451 |
| Yūsuf b. Muḥammad, 330-331 | Zuhair b. Ḵais, 360 |
| Yūsuf b. 'Umar, 104, 105, 442, 488 | Zuhair b. Sulaim, 417 |
| az-Zāb, 370 | Zuhrah b. Ḥawiyah, 413, 416, 440-441 |
| Zabid, 107 | banu-Zuhrah, 80, 413 |
| Zādān Farrūkh, 465-466 | Zur'ah b. dhi-Yazan, 107 |
| Zaid b. al-Khaṭṭāb, 138, 139, 150 | Zuraib, 24 |
| abu-Zaid al-Anṣārī, 116, 117, 118, 404 | Žurārah, 432, 442 |
| az-Zuṭṭ, 250, 251, 259, 264 |  |
### ERRATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Original Text</th>
<th>Corrected Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>&quot;Ju’dubah&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;ibn-Ju’dubah&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>&quot;‘Umri&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;‘Umari&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&quot;Warwah&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Marwah&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&quot;Taima&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Taimâ&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&quot;al-Arkam&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;al-Arkam ibn-‘Amr&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>14 and 26</td>
<td>&quot;Buwairah&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;al-Buwairah&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&quot;Hudaibiyah&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;al-Hudaibiyah&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&quot;al-Hasan&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;al-Hasan&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>&quot;al-Mubarik&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;al-Mubarak&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&quot;al-Mubarik&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;al-Mubarak&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&quot;Sa’id&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Sa’id&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&quot;Hadrám&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Hadrâmi&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&quot;Khadijah&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Khadijah daughter of Khuwailid&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&quot;Shufiyah&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Shufaïyah&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&quot;Mus‘ab&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Ma’mûn&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>&quot;Ma’mûm&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Ma’mûn&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>&quot;al-Abbâs&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Abbâs&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&quot;Zinad&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Zinâd&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&quot;al-Makhzûmi&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;and ‘Ikrimah ibn-abi-Jahl al-Makhzûmi&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&quot;Sa’fûk&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Sa’fûk&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&quot;Muttamam&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Mutammam&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>&quot;‘Abdallâh&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;‘Ubaiddâh&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&quot;Bayâdi&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Bayâdi&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>11, 12, 22, 24</td>
<td>&quot;Labid&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;ibn-Labid&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&quot;Yâmîn&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Yâmîn&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&quot;Bayâdi&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Bayâdi&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>&quot;Arwa&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;ar-Arwa&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>&quot;Yâkûsah&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;al-Yâkûsah&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>&quot;Abu-ad-Dardâ appointed&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Yazid appointed abu-ad-Dardâ&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&quot;Bierût&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Beirut&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>&quot;Huţai’ah&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Huţai’ah&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&quot;‘Abd-al-‘Azîz&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&quot;Mayazdiyâr&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Mâyazdiyâr&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ERRATA

Page 220, line 29, for “Baṭrīk”, read “Baṭrīk”.
221 8, “Abbās”, “‘Abbās”.
227, 28, “abu-”, “ibn-”.
250, 20, “Ḥafs”, “Ḥafs”.
260, 32, “Ḥadath”, “Ḥadath”.
276, 15, “Amr”, “Amr”.
277, 26, “‘Umar ibn-”, “‘Umar ibn-”.
282, 22, “‘Afra’”, “‘Afra’”.
282, 33, “Mawardi”, “Māwardi”.
287, 13, “Armenia”, “Armenia IV”.
305, 11, “Bajunais”, “Bajunais”.
328, 9, “ash-Shurat”, “ash-Shurat”.
361, 15, “Khuzā’ī”, “Khuzā’ī”.
375, 19, “Mu’adh”, “Mu’adh”.
376, 21, “Ma’yūf”, “Ma’yūf”.
392, 32, “Mawardi”, “Māwardi”.
401, 1, “Khattāb”, “Khattāb”.
421, 30, “Busbuhra”, “Jamil ibn-Busbuhra”.
428, 23, “Mus’ab”, “Mus’ab”.
432, 8, “Zurārah’s”, “Zurārah”.
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